Algona Municipal Airport

Pavement Management Report



PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

JULY 2023





The preparation of this document was financed in part through an Airport Improvement Program grant from the Federal Aviation Administration (Project Number 3-19-0000-030-2022) as provided under Section 505 of the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended. The contents do not necessarily reflect the DOT's official views or the policy of the FAA. Acceptance of this report by the FAA does not in any way constitute a commitment on the part of the United States to participate in any development depicted therein nor does it indicate the proposed development is environmentally acceptable in accordance with appropriate public laws.

ALGONA MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared For:



Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

Prepared By:



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pavement Evaluation Procedure6Pavement Evaluation Results7Inspection Comments13Runway13Taxiway13Taxiway13Apron13T-Hangar13PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM14Analysis Parameters14Critical PCIs14Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs14Budget and Inflation Rate14Analysis Results15General Maintenance Recommendations16FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16	INTRODUCTION
Pavement Evaluation Procedure6Pavement Evaluation Results7Inspection Comments13Runway13Taxiway13Taxiway13Apron13T-Hangar13PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM14Analysis Parameters14Critical PCIs14Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs14Budget and Inflation Rate14Analysis Results15General Maintenance Recommendations16FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16	PAVEMENT INVENTORY
Pavement Evaluation Results.7Inspection Comments13Runway13Taxiway.13Apron13T-Hangar13PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM14Analysis Parameters14Critical PCIs14Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs.14Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs14Budget and Inflation Rate14Analysis Results.15General Maintenance Recommendations16FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16	PAVEMENT EVALUATION
Inspection Comments13Runway13Taxiway13Apron13T-Hangar13PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM14Analysis Parameters14Critical PCIs14Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs14Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs14Budget and Inflation Rate14Analysis Results15General Maintenance Recommendations16FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16	Pavement Evaluation Procedure
Runway13Taxiway13Apron13T-Hangar13PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM14Analysis Parameters14Critical PCIs14Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs14Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs14Budget and Inflation Rate14Analysis Approach14Analysis Results15General Maintenance Recommendations16FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16	Pavement Evaluation Results7
Taxiway.13Apron13T-Hangar13PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM14Analysis Parameters14Critical PCIs14Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs14Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs14Budget and Inflation Rate14Analysis Results15General Maintenance Recommendations16FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16	
Apron13T-Hangar13PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM14Analysis Parameters14Critical PCIs14Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs14Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs14Budget and Inflation Rate14Analysis Approach14Analysis Results15General Maintenance Recommendations16FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16	Runway13
T-Hangar13PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM14Analysis Parameters14Critical PCIs14Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs14Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs14Budget and Inflation Rate14Analysis Approach14Analysis Results15General Maintenance Recommendations16FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16	Taxiway13
T-Hangar13PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM14Analysis Parameters14Critical PCIs14Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs14Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs14Budget and Inflation Rate14Analysis Approach14Analysis Results15General Maintenance Recommendations16FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16	Apron
Analysis Parameters14Critical PCIs14Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs14Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs14Budget and Inflation Rate14Analysis Approach14Analysis Results15General Maintenance Recommendations16FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16	T-Hangar13
Critical PCIs14Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs14Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs14Budget and Inflation Rate14Analysis Approach14Analysis Results15General Maintenance Recommendations16FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16	PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM14
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs.14Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs14Budget and Inflation Rate14Analysis Approach14Analysis Results15General Maintenance Recommendations16FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16	Analysis Parameters14
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs14Budget and Inflation Rate14Analysis Approach14Analysis Results15General Maintenance Recommendations16FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16	Critical PCIs14
Budget and Inflation Rate14Analysis Approach14Analysis Results15General Maintenance Recommendations16FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16	Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs14
Analysis Approach	Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs14
Analysis Results	Budget and Inflation Rate14
General Maintenance Recommendations	Analysis Approach14
General Maintenance Recommendations	Analysis Results15
	General Maintenance Recommendations16
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B Appendix A Pavement Management Program	FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16
TAA Advisory Chedia 150/5050-7D, Appendix A. Tavement Management Flogram	FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program
(PMP)	(PMP)
SUMMARY	SUMMARY

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.	1
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Algona Municipal Airport	4
Figure 3. Algona Municipal Airport network definition map	5
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	6
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.	7
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Algona Municipal Airport	8
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Algona Municipal Airport.	9
Figure 8. Algona Municipal Airport PCI map 1	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results.	. 11
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario	. 15
Table 3. Pavement inspection report	. 19

APPENDIXES

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2023 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers (Robinson), updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Algona Municipal Airport were assessed in November 2022 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

The pavement evaluation results for Algona Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Algona Municipal Airport, the Iowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the web-based interactive pavement data visualization tool IDEA, containing the information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the <u>Iowa DOT's website</u> or directly (<u>Iowa APMS IDEA</u>).

PAVEMENT INVENTORY


The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Algona Municipal Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps, as necessary, to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2019.

The pavement network at Algona Municipal Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.

Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.

To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 460,200 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Algona Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age, in years, of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Algona Municipal Airport.








FIGURE 3. NETWORK DEFINITION MAP.





PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech inspected the pavements at Algona Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements.
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, *Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)*.
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.



PCI = 33

Note: Photographs shown are not specific to Algona Municipal Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

PCI Range	Repair		
86-100			
71-85	Preventive Maintenance		
56-70			
41-55	Major Rehabilitation		
26-40			
11-25	Reconstruction		
0-10			

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which is useful when selecting M&R strategies. Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as:

- Load-related—These distress types are defined as being caused by aircraft or vehicular traffic and may indicate a structural deficiency. Examples of load-related distress include alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements and corner breaks on portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements.
- Climate/durability-related—These distress types often signify the presence of aged or environmentally susceptible (or both) material and include durability-related issues. Examples of climate/durability-related distress include weathering on asphalt-surfaced pavements, which is climate-related, and durability cracking on PCC pavements, which is durability-related.
- Other—Distress types that fall into this category cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability. Examples of this type of distress include depressions on asphalt-surfaced pavements and shrinkage cracking on PCC pavements.

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at Algona Municipal Airport were inspected in November 2022. The 2022 areaweighted condition of Algona Municipal Airport is 63, with conditions ranging from 14 to 100 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2019, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 68. Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Algona Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.






Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Algona Municipal Airport.


Pavement Condition Index (PCI)





(Values on chart are area-weighted)

FIGURE 8. PCI MAP.



LEGEND	PAVEMENT CO
BRANCH IDENTIFIER	<u>F</u>
	8
	7
R12AG-01 (79)	5
SECTION BREAK LINE	4
SECTION BREAK LINE	20
	1




Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results.									
Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2022 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
A01AG	01	РСС	37,740	5/3/1974	51	57	13	30	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
A01AG	02	PCC	20,475	5/1/1971	47	75	13	12	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
R12AG	01	РСС	312,509	5/3/1974	66	65	22	13	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Small Patch
R12AG	02	PCC	4,000	5/2/2003	63	73	27	0	Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
R12AG	03	PCC	4,000	5/2/2003	14	87	10	3	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
T01AG	01	PCC	22,482	5/3/1974	44	60	15	25	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
TH01AG	01	PCC	12,276	6/17/2003	65	78	14	8	Corner Break, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking
TH01AG	02	PCC	11,539	10/3/2011	90	18	67	15	Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
TH01AG	03	PCC	1,800	6/1/2015	100	0	0	0	No distress
TH01AG	04	PCC	11,804	6/1/2014	98	0	82	18	Joint Seal Damage, Shrinkage Cracking

 $\stackrel{\frown}{=}$

	Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results (continued).								
Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2022 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
TH01AG	05	PCC	5,984	1/1/1973	50	68	18	14	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
TH01AG	06	РСС	15,583	1/1/1973	39	74	13	13	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking

Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results (continued)

Table Notes:

Algona Municipal Airport Pavement Management Report

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphaltsurfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Inspection Comments

Algona Municipal Airport was inspected on November 11, 2022. There were twelve pavement sections defined during the inspection.

Runway

Runway 12/30 consisted of three sections. Section 01 contained low- and medium-severity corner break; joint spalling; shattered slab; and longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking. It also had areas of low-severity corner spalling, faulting, small patching, and large patching and high-severity joint seal damage. Section 02, which is located near the Runway 30 approach, had areas of high-severity joint seal damage and medium-severity LTD cracking observed at time of inspection. Section 03 was located near the Runway 12 approach and was in poor condition with low-severity corner break, medium-severity corner spalling, high-severity joint seal damage, and low- and medium-severity LTD cracking and shattered slab recorded throughout.

Taxiway

Taxiway 01 connected Runway 12/30 to the apron area and contained one section. Low-severity corner break, faulting, and small patching; low- and medium-severity corner spalling and LTD cracking; high-severity joint seal damage; and all severities of joint spalling were observed in Section 01 during the inspection.

Apron

The apron area was defined by two sections. Section 01 contained areas of medium-severity corner break and corner spalling; low- and medium-severity faulting, LTD cracking, shattered slab, and joint spalling; and high-severity joint seal damage and large patching. Low-severity corner spalling, faulting, small patching, and large patching; low- and medium-severity joint spalling and corner break; medium-severity shattered slab; high-severity joint seal damage; all severities of LTD cracking; and shrinkage cracking were recorded in Section 02.

T-Hangar

The T-hangar area was defined by six sections. Areas of low-severity corner break; mediumseverity joint seal damage; low- and medium-severity joint spalling, LTD cracking, and shattered slab; and shrinkage cracking were noted in Section 01. Section 02 contained low-severity corner spalling and LTD cracking and medium-severity joint seal damage. Section 03 was in excellent condition with no distress observed at the time of inspection. Section 04 was also in excellent condition with only shrinkage cracking and low-severity joint seal damage recorded. Lowseverity corner break and joint spalling, low- and medium-severity corner spalling and LTD cracking, and high-severity joint seal damage were recorded in Section 05. Section 06 was in poor condition with low-severity corner spalling and faulting; low- and medium-severity corner break, joint spalling, LTD cracking, and shattered slab; medium-severity large patching; highseverity joint seal damage; and shrinkage cracking recorded at the time of inspection.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Algona Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct the different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Algona Municipal Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2023 and an inflation rate of 4.0 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2023) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2024 or 2025, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2023. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Algona Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2023 localized

preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Algona Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2023 is provided in Appendix F.

Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost	
2023	A01AG	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$322,672	
2023	A01AG	02	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$243,158	
2023	R12AG	02	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$34,199	
2023	R12AG	03	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$72,319	
2023	T01AG	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$339,839	
2023	TH01AG	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$104,958	
2023	TH01AG	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$4,260	
2023	TH01AG	05	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$54,527	
2023	TH01AG	06	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$281,738	
2024	R12AG	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$2,778,787	
	Total Estimated Cost: \$4,237,000					

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Algona Municipal Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Algona Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Algona Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Algona Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- 1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this report.
- 2. Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur as a result of inspections. These need to be reported to the FAA and the Iowa DOT. This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a "bathtub" effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Algona Municipal Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Algona Municipal Airport will also need to undertake monthly driveby inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this Appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized words are direct quotations from the FAA Advisory Circular.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

A-1.0. An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at Algona Municipal Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the Iowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map provided in Figure 3 is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at Algona Municipal Airport is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to the pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for Algona Municipal Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys, the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

A-1.3. Record Keeping. The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:

- a. Inspection date
- b. Location
- c. Distress types
- d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items a through c are satisfied by this inspection report. Item d is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

A-1.4. Information Retrieval. An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Inspected By: _____

Date Inspected:

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01AG	01					
A01AG	02					
R12AG	01					
R12AG	02					
R12AG	03					
T01AG	01					

July 2023

Inspected By:

Date Inspected:

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
TH01AG	01					
TH01AG	02					
TH01AG	03					
TH01AG	04					
TH01AG	05					
TH01AG	06					

Table Note: See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Algona Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2022 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 63. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Algona Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$4,237,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Algona Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

APPENDIX A

CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

APPENDIX B

INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01AG-01. Overview.



A01AG-01. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 06).



A01AG-02. Overview.



A01AG-02. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 01).



R12AG-01. Overview.



R12AG-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 05).





R12AG-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 32).

R12AG-02. Overview.





R12AG-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).

R12AG-03. Overview.





R12AG-03. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 01).

T01AG-01. Overview.





T01AG-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 04).

TH01AG-01. Overview.





TH01AG-01. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 03).

TH01AG-02. Overview.





TH01AG-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).

TH01AG-03. Overview.



TH01AG-04. Overview.



TH01AG-04. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 02).



TH01AG-05. Overview.



TH01AG-05. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01AG-06. Overview.



TH01AG-06. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 05).



APPENDIX C

INSPECTION REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: AXA Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Page 1

			i age
Branch Name: APRON	Branch - Section ID	: A01AG - 001	Use: APRO
LCD: 5/3/1974 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 37,740.00 Length (ft): 218.00 Width (ft): 175.00 From: BUILDINGS To: TAXIWAY	PCI F	amily: IowaPCCAPNC_General	
Slabs: 151 Slab Length (ft): 20.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 4,517.42	Sectio	n Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/11/2022 PCI: 51 Total Samples: 8 Surveyed: 5	Inspe	ction Comments:	
Sample Number: 002			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 53 Sample Area (Slabs): 22.00	Samp	le Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 72 SHATTERED SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL	L M L L M	4.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 22.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 004			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 52 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Samp	le Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 67 LARGE PATCH 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 72 SHATTERED SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL	L H L M L	3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 005	L	2.00 31005	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Samp	le Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	н	20.00 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2022

Network ID: AXA

Sample Number: 006

Sample Type: R	Sample C	omments:
Sample PCI: 12		
Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	М	8.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	16.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING	L	3.00 Slabs
72 SHATTERED SLAB	Μ	5.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	Μ	3.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	Μ	1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 008

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 40 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample (Comments:
62 CORNER BREAK 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 72 SHATTERED SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL	M H L M M	1.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: AXA Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Page 3

Network ID: AXA			Page
	Branch - Section ID:	A01AG - 002	
Branch Name: APRON			Use: APRC
LCD: 5/1/1971 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 20,475.00 Length (ft): 151.00 Width (ft): 135.00 From: SOD TAXIWAY To: APRON 01 SECT 01	PCI Fam	nily: IowaPCCAPNC_General	
Slabs: 136 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.00 Joint Length (ft): 3,056.99	Section	Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/11/2022 PCI: 47 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4	Inspectio	on Comments:	
Sample Number: 001			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 31 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 67 LARGE PATCH 72 SHATTERED SLAB 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALL	L M L M L M N N M	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 002			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 58 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALL	L M H M	2.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 004			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 49 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	M M H L	1.00 Slabs 6.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: AXA

Sample Number: 005

Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Page 4

Sample Type: R	Sample (Comments:
Sample PCI: 52		
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	3.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	Μ	5.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	24.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING	L	3.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: AXA Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Page 5

Network ID: AXA			Page 5
	Branch - Section ID:	R12AG - 001	
Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30			Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 5/3/1974 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 312,509.00 Length (ft): 3,960.00 Width (ft): 75.00 From: RUNWAY END 12 To: RUNWAY END 30	PCI Fan	nily: IowaPCCRWNC_General	
Slabs: 1,250 Slab Length (ft): 20.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 36,380.47	Section	Comments: avg slab size (length)	
Last Insp Date: 11/11/2022 PCI: 66 Total Samples: 55 Surveyed: 8	Inspection	on Comments:	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 34 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L M	6.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	24.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	2.00 Slabs	
72 SHATTERED SLAB	М	2.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	3.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	М	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 14			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 72 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	Μ	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	Μ	1.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	24.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL Sample Number: 18	L	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Type: R	Sampla	Comments:	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 67 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments.	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	4.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	3.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	24.00 Slabs	
72 SHATTERED SLAB	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2022

Network ID: AXA

Network ID. 7000			i uge o
Sample Number: 23			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 53 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	2.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	Μ	6.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	24.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 32			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 70 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	9.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	24.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 41			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 64 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	2.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	Μ	2.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	н	24.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	М	1.00 Slabs	

Sample Number: 46

75 CORNER SPALL

-			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 80			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	24.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	Μ	2.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	

L

1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 50

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 84	Sample	Comments:
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	24.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: AXA

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Page 7

Branch - Section ID: R12AG - 002			
Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30			Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 5/2/2003 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 4,000.00 Length (ft): 80.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: R12AG-01 To:	PCI Fam	ily: IowaPCCRWNC_General	
Slabs: 20 Slab Length (ft): 16.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 440.00	Section	Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/11/2022 PCI: 63 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1	Inspectio	on Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 63 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	М	5.00 Slabs	

н

20.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: AXA

Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Page 8

Branch - Section ID: R12AG - 003

Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30		Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 5/2/2003 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 4,000.00 Length (ft): 80.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: R12AG-01 To:	PCI Family: IowaPCCRWNC_General	
Slabs: 20 Slab Length (ft): 16.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 440.00	Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/11/2022 PCI: 14 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1	Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01		
Sample Type: R	Sample Comments:	

Sample Type: R	
Sample PCI: 14	
Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	
62 CORNER BREAK	L
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L
63 LINEAR CRACKING	Μ
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н
72 SHATTERED SLAB	L
72 SHATTERED SLAB	Μ
75 CORNER SPALL	Μ

ıpı e Co

L	1.00 Slabs
L	2.00 Slabs
Μ	5.00 Slabs
Н	20.00 Slabs
L	4.00 Slabs
Μ	4.00 Slabs
Μ	1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: AXA Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: AXA			Page 9
	Branch - Section ID:	T01AG - 001	
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01			Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 5/3/1974 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 22,482.00 Length (ft): 517.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: APRON 01 SECT 01 To: RUNWAY 12/30	PCI Far	nily: IowaPCCTWNC_General	
Slabs: 112 Slab Length (ft): 20.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 2,766.76	Section	Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/11/2022 PCI: 44 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4	Inspecti	on Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 34 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING		8.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	6.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	20.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	Н	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	М	2.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 41 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	2.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	7.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	20.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	М	2.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 49 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	6.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	2.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	н	16.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	2.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	М	1.00 Slabs	

Μ

1.00 Slabs

3.00 Slabs

2.00 Slabs

16.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: AXA

74 JOINT SPALL

Sample Number: 05

Sample

Sample Sample

Number: 05		
ole Type: R	Sample Commen	its:
ble PCI: 53		
ole Area (Slabs): 16.00		
62 CORNER BREAK	L	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	Μ	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	
71 FAULTING	L	

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: AXA Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Page 11

Network ID: AXA			Page 1
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01	Branch - Section ID: TH	H01AG - 001	Use: T-HANGA
LCD: 6/17/2003 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 12,276.00 Length (ft): 450.00 Width (ft): 25.00 From: . To: .	PCI Fami	ily: IowaPCCTH NC NCW	
Slabs: 70 Slab Length (ft): 14.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 1,340.62	Section C	Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/11/2022 PCI: 65 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3	Inspection	n Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 55 Sample Area (Slabs): 28.00	Sample C	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 72 SHATTERED SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL	L L M M L	1.00 Slabs 8.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 28.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample C	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALL	L L M N L	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 66 Sample Area (Slabs): 22.00	Sample C	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 72 SHATTERED SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL	L M L L M	4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 22.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: AXA Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Page 12

			1 age 12	
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01	Branch - Section ID: TH01	AG - 002	Use: T-HANGAR	
LCD: 10/3/2011 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 11,539.00 Length (ft): 410.00 Width (ft): 25.00 From: . To: .	PCI Family: lo	waPCCTH NC NCW		
Slabs: 74 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 1,356.54	Section Comm	nents:		
Last Insp Date: 11/11/2022 PCI: 90 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3	Inspection Co	Inspection Comments:		
Sample Number: 02				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 23.00	Sample Comn	nents:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Μ	23.00 Slabs		
Sample Number: 03				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 86 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample Comn	nents:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 75 CORNER SPALL	L M L	1.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs		
Sample Number: 04				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 90 Sample Area (Slabs): 14.00	Sample Comn	nents:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 75 CORNER SPALL	M L	14.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs		

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: AXA Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Page 13

Branch - Section ID: TH01AG - 003

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01		Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 6/1/2015 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 1,800.00 Length (ft): 72.00 Width (ft): 25.00 From: . To: .	PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NC NCW	
Slabs: 12 Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 197.00	Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/11/2022 PCI: 100 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1	Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01		

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100 Sample Area (Slabs): 12.00 NO DISTRESS Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: AXA Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Page 14

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01	Branch - Section II): TH01AG - 004	Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 6/1/2014 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 11,804.00 Length (ft): 335.00 Width (ft): 37.50 From: . To: .	PCI	Family: IowaPCCTH NC NCW	
Slabs: 79 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,577.98	Sec	tion Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/11/2022 PCI: 98 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3	Insp	pection Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 97 Sample Area (Slabs): 22.00	Sar	nple Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	L N	22.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sar	nple Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00	Sar	nple Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	16.00 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: AXA

Sample Area (Slabs):

Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Page 15

Branch - Section ID: TH01AG - 005

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01	Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 1/1/1973 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 5,984.00 Length (ft): 161.00 Width (ft): 36.00 From: . To: .	PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NC NCW
Slabs: 24 Slab Length (ft): 20.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.00 Joint Length (ft): 575.80	Section Comments: avg
Last Insp Date: 11/11/2022 PCI: 50 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1	Inspection Comments:
Sample Number: 01	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 50	Sample Comments:

ole Area (Slabs): 24.00		
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	6.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	М	4.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	24.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	М	1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: AXA Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Page 16

	Branch Costion		0
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01	Branch - Section	D: THUTAG - 006	Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 1/1/1973 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 15,583.00 Length (ft): 560.00 Width (ft): 18.00 From: . To: .	P	CI Family: IowaPCCTH NC NCW	
Slabs: 91 Slab Length (ft): 9.50 Slab Width (ft): 18.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,612.49	Se	ection Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/11/2022 PCI: 39 Total Samples: 5 Surveyed: 4	In	spection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 30 Sample Area (Slabs): 11.00	Sá	ample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 72 SHATTERED SLAB 72 SHATTERED SLAB 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALL	M L M L N N	1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 11.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 37 Sample Area (Slabs): 14.00	Sa	ample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 67 LARGE PATCH 71 FAULTING 72 SHATTERED SLAB 72 SHATTERED SLAB	M H L L M	1.00 Slabs 14.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 45 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00	Sa	ample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING 72 SHATTERED SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL	L M H L M	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 16.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L .		

L

1.00 Slabs

75 CORNER SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: AXA

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 40 Sample Area (Slabs): 30.00	Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	2.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	8.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	30.00 Slabs
72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	2.00 Slabs
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	2.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs

Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Page 17

APPENDIX D

WORK HISTORY REPORT

Network: ALGONA MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch - Section ID:

A01AG - 001

LCD: 5/3/1974 Use: APRON Rank: P Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
05-03-1974	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501
05-02-1974	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-154
05-01-1974	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	9.00	False	6"-9" Compacted SG (E-8 Classification)

Branch - Section ID: A01AG - 002

Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major	Comments	
Surface: F	239						,
Rank: P						True Area (sf):	20,475.00
Use: APR	ON					Width (ft):	135.00
LCD: 5/1/	1971					Length (ft):	151.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
05-01-1971	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: R12AG - 001

LCD: 5/3/1974
Use: RUNWAY
Rank: P
Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2013	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	ESTIMATED DATE
06-01-2013	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	ESTIMATED DATE
05-03-1974	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501
05-02-1974	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-154
05-01-1974	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	9.00	False	6"-9" Compacted SG (E-8 Classification)

Branch - Section ID: R12AG - 002

LCD: 5/2/2003	Length (ft):	80.00
Use: RUNWAY	Width (ft):	50.00
Rank: P Surface: PCC	True Area (sf):	4,000.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
05-02-2003	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501
05-01-2003	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" P-154

Page 1

Length (ft):	218.00
Width (ft):	175.00
True Area (sf):	37,740.00

Length (ft):	3,960.00
Width (ft):	75.00
True Area (sf):	312,509.00

WORK HISTORY

Branch - Section ID: R12AG - 003

LCD: 5/2/2003 Use: RUNWAY Rank: P Surface: PCC

Length (ft):	80.00
Width (ft):	50.00
True Area (sf):	4,000.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2012	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
05-02-2003	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501
05-01-2003	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" P-154

Branch - Section ID: T01AG - 001

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments	
Rank: P Surface: P	occ					True Area (sf):	22,482.00
Use: TAXI	IWAY					Width (ft):	40.00
LCD: 5/3/1	1974					Length (ft):	517.00

Date	Code	Description		(in)	MR	
06-01-2021	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST PCC SMALL PATCHES
05-03-1974	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501
05-02-1974	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-154
05-01-1974	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	9.00	False	6"-9" Compacted SG (E-8 Classification)

Branch - Section ID: TH01AG - 001

LCD: 6/17/2003	Length (ft):	450.00
Use: T-HANGAR	Width (ft):	25.00
Rank: P	True Area (sf):	12,276.00
Surface: PCC		

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-17-2003	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	5.00	True	5" P-501 PCC
06-16-2003	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" P-154 Subbase

Branch - Section ID: TH01AG - 002

LCD: 10/3/2011	Length (ft):	410.00
Use: T-HANGAR	Width (ft):	25.00
Rank: P	True Area (sf):	11,539.00
Surface: PCC		

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-03-2011	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-505 Reinforced PCC
10-02-2011	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" P-154
10-01-2011	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P-152 Compacted SG

Branch - Section ID:

LCD: 6/1/2015 Use: T-HANGAR Rank: P Surface: PCC

Length (ft):	72.00
Width (ft):	25.00
True Area (sf):	1,800.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2015	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST

Length (ft):	
Lengui (ii).	
Width (ft)	

TH01AG - 003

WORK HISTORY

Branch - Se

Branch - Section ID:	TH01AG - 004		
LCD: 6/1/2014		Length (ft):	335.00
Use: T-HANGAR		Width (ft):	37.50
Rank: P		True Area (sf):	11,804.00
Surface: PCC			

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2014	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST

Branch - Section ID: TH01AG - 005

LCD: 1/1/ [,] Use: T-HA Rank: P Surface: F	NGAR					Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf):	161.00 36.00 5,984.00
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments	
01-01-1973	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	UNKNOWN, PRE 1996	

Branch - Section ID: TH01AG - 006

Rank: P Surface:	IANGAR PCC					Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf):	560.00 18.00 15,583.00
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness	Major MR	Comments	

Date	Code	Description		(in)	MR	
06-11-2021	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST
01-01-1973	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	UNKNOWN, PRE 1996

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action		
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch		
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor		
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Corrugation	Low	Monitor		
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch		
Depression	Low	Monitor		
Depression	Medium	Monitor		
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch		
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch		
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor		
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch		
Patching	Low	Monitor		
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch		
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor		
Raveling	Low	Monitor		
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch		
Rutting	Low	Monitor		
Rutting	Medium	Monitor		
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch		
Shoving	Low	Monitor		
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Shoving	5			
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch		
Swelling	Low	Monitor		
Swelling	Medium	Monitor		
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch		
Weathering	Low	Monitor		
Weathering	Medium	Monitor		
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch		

Severity							
Distress Type	Level	Maintenance Action					
ASR	Low	Monitor					
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement					
ASR	High	Slab Replacement					
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement					
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement					
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement					
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC					
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor					
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch					
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement					
Faulting	Low	Monitor					
Faulting	Medium	Grinding					
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement					
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor					
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal					
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal					
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor					
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC					
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement					
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor					
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Popouts	N/A	Monitor					
Pumping	N/A	Monitor					
Scaling	Low	Monitor					
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch					
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement					
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC					
Shattered Slab	d Slab Medium Slab Replacement						
Shattered Slab	*						
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor					
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor					
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch					
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch					

Table E-2 Localized	preventive maintenance	nolicy	PCC pavements
Table E-2. Localized	preventive maintenance	poney,	I CC pavements.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost		
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$15.24/sf		
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.61/lf		
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$39.04/sf		
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$17.43/sf		
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.14/lf		
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.14/lf		
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.37/sf		
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$17.43/sf		

Table E-3. 2023 unit costs for localized preventive maintenance actions.

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

Table E-4. 2023 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0–40	PCI Range 40–50	PCI Range 50–60	PCI Range 60–70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80–90	PCI Range 90–100
AC	\$10.82	\$5.12	\$5.12	\$5.12	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$18.08	\$8.55	\$8.55	\$8.55	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

APPENDIX F

YEAR 2023 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2023 Estimated Cost
TH01AG	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	74	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$4,260

Table F-1. Year 2023 localized preventive maintenance details.

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Algona Municipal Airport.

Algona Municipal Airport Pavement Management Report

PREPARED FOR

Rad with 7 4

Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

JULY 2023