

applied pavement TECHNOLOGY



AUDUBON COUNTY AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared For:



Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

Prepared By:



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
PAVEMENT INVENTORY	3
PAVEMENT EVALUATION	6
Pavement Evaluation Procedure	6
Pavement Evaluation Results	8
Inspection Comments	13
Runway	13
Taxiways	
Aprons	
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM	
Analysis Parameters	
Critical PCIs	
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs	
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs	
Budget and Inflation Rate	
Analysis Approach	
Analysis Results	15
General Maintenance Recommendations	
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5020 7B. Appared v. A. Bayarrant Management B	
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management P	
(PMP)SUMMARY	
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 4. Decreased and distance and of some in	4
Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair	
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Audubon County Airport	
Figure 3. Audubon County Airport network definition map	
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type	
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Audubon County Airport	
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Audubon County Airport	
Figure 8. Audubon County Airport PCI map.	
rigure of Addubort County Amport i Of map.	10
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results	11
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario	15
Table 3. Pavement inspection report	

Table of Contents July 2024

APPENDIXES

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2024 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	F-1

Introduction July 2024

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers (Robinson), updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the State of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Audubon County Airport were visually assessed in November 2023 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present on the pavement surface are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

Introduction July 2024

The pavement evaluation results for Audubon County Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Audubon County Airport, the Iowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the web-based interactive pavement data visualization tool IDEA, containing the information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website or directly (Iowa APMS IDEA).

Pavement Inventory July 2024

PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Audubon County Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps, as necessary, to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2020.

The pavement network at Audubon County Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.


Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.


To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 343,000 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Audubon County Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age in years of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Audubon County Airport.

Pavement Inventory July 2024

Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Audubon County Airport.





PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech visually inspected the pavements at Audubon County Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, <u>Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of</u> Airport Pavements.
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, <u>Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)</u>.
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

During the PCI inspection, a cursory inspection of the entirety of a pavement section was performed. Sample units identified for more detailed inspection were verified, and adjustments to the selected sample units for inspection were made as needed to ensure an accurate assessment of the pavement's condition. Data pertaining to the types, severities, and quantities of observed pavement distresses were then collected within each sample unit. These data were then used to calculate the composite PCI of each pavement section. The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition with no visible signs of deterioration. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

PCI: 100

PCI: 83

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.

Note: Photographs shown are not specific to Audubon County Airport.

PCI: 39

PCI: 66

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

PCI Range
86-100
Preventive Maintenance

56-70
Major Rehabilitation

26-40
11-25
Reconstruction

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which is useful when selecting M&R strategies. Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as:


- Load-related—These distress types are defined as being caused by aircraft or vehicular traffic and may indicate a structural deficiency. Examples of load-related distress include alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements and corner breaks on portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements.
- Climate/durability-related—These distress types often signify the presence of aged or environmentally susceptible (or both) material and include durability-related issues.
 Examples of climate/durability-related distress include weathering on asphalt-surfaced pavements, which is climate-related, and durability cracking on PCC pavements, which is durability-related.
- Other—Distress types that fall into this category cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability. Examples of this type of distress include depressions on asphaltsurfaced pavements and shrinkage cracking on PCC pavements.

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at Audubon County Airport were inspected in November 2023. The 2023 area-weighted condition of Audubon County Airport is 85, with conditions ranging from 21 to 94 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2020, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 89.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Audubon County Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.







Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Audubon County Airport. (Values on chart are area-weighted)






Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results.

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2023 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
A01AU	01	PCC	17,895	11/1/1970	21	80	6	14	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Popouts, Scaling, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking
A02AU	01	PCC	11,700	6/1/1990	77	26	36	38	Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Shattered Slab, Small Patch
A02AU	02	PCC	30,408	11/3/2006	73	41	33	26	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
R14AU	01	PCC	185,700	6/3/2012	94	4	94	2	Corner Break, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
R14AU	02	PCC	42,900	6/1/1990	81	44	47	9	Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking
T01AU	01	PCC	2,896	11/1/1970	36	55	8	37	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
T01AU	02	PCC	3,870	6/1/1990	77	40	51	9	Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
T01AU	03	PCC	3,894	6/3/2012	83	15	37	48	Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage
T02AU	01	PCC	43,784	11/3/2006	89	21	57	22	Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction

Pavement Evaluation

Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results (continued).

[ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.

- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Inspection Comments

Audubon County Airport was inspected on November 3, 2023. There were nine pavement sections defined during the inspection.

Runway

Runway 14/32 was defined by two sections. Section 01 was located at the Runway 14 approach. Areas of low-severity corner break and joint spalling and all severities of joint seal damage were recorded in Section 01. Section 02 was located at the Runway 32 approach and had low-severity corner break, faulting, and joint spalling; low- and medium-severity longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking; high-severity joint seal damage; and shrinkage cracking identified at the time of the inspection.

Taxiways

Taxiway 01 consisted of three sections. Section 01 was in poor condition with low-severity faulting; low- and medium-severity LTD cracking; and medium-severity corner spalling, joint spalling, and joint seal damage recorded. Low-severity joint spalling, low- and medium-severity LTD cracking, medium-severity corner spalling, and medium- and high-severity joint seal damage were observed in Section 02. Areas of medium-severity joint seal damage and low-severity corner break and faulting were identified in Section 03.

Taxiway 02 contained one section. Areas of low-severity corner spalling, joint spalling, and large patching; medium-severity joint seal damage and LTD cracking; and shrinkage cracking were recorded in Section 01 at the time of the inspection.

Aprons

Apron 01 was defined by one section. Section 01 was in poor condition with low-severity corner spalling, large patching, and scaling; low- and medium-severity joint spalling and LTD cracking; medium-severity faulting and joint seal damage; all severities of shattered slab; shrinkage cracking; and popouts recorded throughout.

Apron 02 contained two sections. Section 01 had low-severity faulting, medium-severity joint spalling and shattered slab, and high-severity joint seal damage and small patching identified during the inspection. Areas of low-severity faulting; low- and medium-severity corner spalling; medium-severity corner break, joint spalling, and LTD cracking; and high-severity joint seal damage were observed in Section 02.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Audubon County Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The lowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the lowa DOT considered appropriate to correct the different distress types and severities. The lowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire State. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Audubon County Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The lowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2024, and an inflation rate of 2.0 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2024) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2025 or 2026, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2024. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Audubon County Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2024 localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Audubon County Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2024 is provided in Appendix F.

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost
2024	A01AU	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$330,006
2024	A02AU	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$9,492
2024	A02AU	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$18,244
2024	R14AU	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$72,723
2024	R14AU	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$25,592
2024	T01AU	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$53,406
2024	T01AU	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$1,987
2024	T01AU	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$1,541
2024	T02AU	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$16,819

Total Estimated Cost: \$530,000

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Audubon County Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Audubon County Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Audubon County Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that State or Federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Audubon County Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A
 sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this
 report.
- Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur because of these
 inspections. This documentation needs to be reported to the FAA and the lowa DOT.
 This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in
 compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further
 information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure all edges of pavement maintain the required 1.5-inch lip. This enables the water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, mowing, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Audubon County Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for Federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the Federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Audubon County Airport will also need to undertake monthly drive-by inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized text is a direct quotation from the FAA Advisory Circular.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

A-1.0. An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, and aprons at Audubon County Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the lowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, and aprons are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map provided in Figure 3 is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at Audubon County Airport is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to the pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

- d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.
 - Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for Audubon County Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.
- e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys," the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

A-1.3. Record Keeping. The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:

- a. Inspection date
- b. Location
- c. Distress types
- d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items A through C are satisfied by this inspection report. Item D is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

A-1.4. Information Retrieval. An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report.

Inspected By: _	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01AU	01					
A02AU	01					
A02AU	02					
R14AU	01					
R14AU	02					
T01AU	01					

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued)

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
T01AU	02					
T01AU	03					
T02AU	01					

Table Note: See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

Summary July 2024

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Audubon County Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2023 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 85. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Audubon County Airport, which revealed that approximately \$530,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Audubon County Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

APPENDIX A CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Cause of Distress Tables July 2024

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Cause of Distress Tables July 2024

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

APPENDIX B INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01AU-01. Overview.



A01AU-01. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 02).



A02AU-01. Overview.



A02AU-01. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 03).



A02AU-02. Overview.




A02AU-02. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 03).



R14AU-01. Overview.



R14AU-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 55).



R14AU-02. Overview.



R14AU-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 14).



T01AU-01. Overview.



T01AU-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



T01AU-02. Overview.



T01AU-02. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).



T01AU-03. Overview.



T01AU-03. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



T02AU-01. Overview.



T02AU-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 02).



APPENDIX C INSPECTION REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 1

Branch	- Section	ID. AC	11Δ 11 -	001
Dianti	- 06611011	ID. AL	IAU -	

Branch Name: APRON 01 Use: APRON

LCD: 11/1/1970

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 17,895.00

Length (ft): 305.00 Width (ft): 60.00 From: SEE MAP To: TAXIWAY 01

Slabs: 72 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 20.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 1,969.43

Last Insp Date: 11/3/2023

PCI: 21 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3 Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCAP_SC_BasicLocal

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 16

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

63 LINEAR CR	M	3.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CR	M	1.00 Slabs
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	16.00 Slabs
67 LARGE PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs
70 SCALING	L	1.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING	M	1.00 Slabs
72 SHAT. SLAB	Н	1.00 Slabs
72 SHAT. SLAB	M	2.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 34

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

63 LINEAR CR	L	2.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CR	M	5.00 Slabs
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	16.00 Slabs
72 SHAT. SLAB	M	1.00 Slabs
73 SHRINKAGE CR	N	1.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	L _.	2.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	L _.	3.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 2

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 13

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

63 LINEAR CR	L	1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CR	M	1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CR	M	5.00 Slabs
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	20.00 Slabs
68 POPOUTS	N	2.00 Slabs
72 SHAT. SLAB	L	2.00 Slabs
72 SHAT. SLAB	M	5.00 Slabs
73 SHRINKAGE CR	N	1.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 3

Branch - Section ID: A02AU - 001

Branch Name: APRON 02 Use: APRON

PCI Family: IowaPCCAP SC BasicLocal

Surface Type: PCC

LCD: 6/1/1990

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 11,700.00

Length (ft): 125.00 Width (ft): 100.00 From: SEE MAP To: END OF APRON

Slabs: 75 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 1,661.40

Last Insp Date: 11/3/2023 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 77 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 83

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

20.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG Н Μ 2.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 83

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG Н 20.00 Slabs Н 1.00 Slabs 66 SMALL PATCH

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 65

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 20.00 Slabs Η 71 FAULTING L 5.00 Slabs 72 SHAT. SLAB Μ 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 4

Network ID: ADU			Page 4
	Branch - Section	on ID: A02AU - 002	
Branch Name: APRON 02			Use: APRON
LCD: 11/3/2006 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 30,408.00 Length (ft): 175.00 Width (ft): 150.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP		PCI Family: IowaPCCAP_SC_BasicLocal	
Slabs: 195 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 4,488.80	\$	Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/3/2023 PCI: 73 Total Samples: 10 Surveyed: 5	l	Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 69 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	•	Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	H L M M	20.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 73 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00 63 LINEAR CR	M	Sample Comments: 2.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	16.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 07			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 70 Sample Area (Slabs): 22.00	•	Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	М	2.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG 75 CORNER SPALL	H L	22.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78	•	Sample Comments:	

M

Н

1.00 Slabs

20.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 63 LINEAR CR

65 JT SEAL DMG

74 JOINT SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 5

Sample Number: 09

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 77

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

 63 LINEAR CR
 M
 1.00 Slabs

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 20.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 M
 2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 6

PCI Family: IowaPCCRW SC Local

Branch - Section ID: R14AU - 001

Use: RUNWAY Branch Name: RUNWAY 14/32

LCD: 6/3/2012

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 185,700.00

Length (ft): 3,000.00 Width (ft): 60.00

From: RUNWAY 14 APPROACH

To: R14AU-02

Slabs: 1.857 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 33,983.10

Last Insp Date: 11/3/2023

PCI: 94 Total Samples: 77 Surveyed: 9

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 95

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

1.00 Slabs **62 CORNER BREAK** L 65 JT SEAL DMG L 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

Sample Comments: Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 15

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 25

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 35

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

24.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG L

Sample Number: 45

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 24.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 7

Sample Number: 55

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 65

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 92

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 24.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 75

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 24.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 8

Branch - Section ID: R14AU - 002 Branch Name: RUNWAY 14/32 Use: RUNWAY LCD: 6/1/1990

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 42,900.00

Length (ft): 639.00 Width (ft): 60.00

From: RUNWAY 32 APPROACH

To: R14AU-01 Slabs: 429 Slab Length (ft): 10.00

Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 7,797.86

Last Insp Date: 11/3/2023

PCI: 81

Total Samples: 18 Surveyed: 7

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 87

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 73 SHRINKAGE CR

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG

Sample Number: 08

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG

Sample Number: 10

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 87

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL

Sample Number: 12

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG

PCI Family: IowaPCCRW SC Local

Section Comments:

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Н

Ν

Н

Н

Н

L

24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

24.00 Slabs

24.00 Slabs

24.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

1.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

Н 24.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 9

Sampl	e Num	ber: 1	4
-------	-------	--------	---

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 60

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

 63 LINEAR CR
 M
 5.00 Slabs

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 24.00 Slabs

 71 FAULTING
 L
 3.00 Slabs

 73 SHRINKAGE CR
 N
 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 17

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 70

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

 62 CORNER BREAK
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CR
 L
 2.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CR
 M
 2.00 Slabs

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 24.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 10

Branch - Section ID: T01AU - 001

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 11/1/1970

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 2,896.00

Length (ft): 76.00 Width (ft): 30.00 From: APRON 01 To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 17 Section Comments: avg

Slab Length (ft): 11.50 Slab Width (ft): 15.00 Joint Length (ft): 310.25

Last Insp Date: 11/3/2023

PCI: 36 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1

Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: lowaPCCTW_SC_Local

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 36

Sample Area (Slabs): 17.00

63 LINEAR CR	L	1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CR	M	7.00 Slabs
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	17.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING	L	2.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	2.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	4.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 11

Branch - Section ID: T01AU - 002

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/1/1990

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 3,870.00

Length (ft): 220.00 Width (ft): 25.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 35

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 11.00 Joint Length (ft): 566.43

Last Insp Date: 11/3/2023

PCI: 77 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2 Section Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SC Local

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 70

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

 63 LINEAR CR
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CR
 M
 1.00 Slabs

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 16.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 75 CORNER SPALL
 M
 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 84

Sample Area (Slabs): 19.00

63 LINEAR CR M 1.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG M 19.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 12

Branch - Section ID: T01AU - 003

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/3/2012

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 3,894.00 Length (ft): 115.00 Width (ft): 25.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 28 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 11.50 Joint Length (ft): 473.49

Last Insp Date: 11/3/2023

PCI: 83 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1 Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: lowaPCCTW_SC_Local

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 83

Sample Area (Slabs): 28.00

 62 CORNER BREAK
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 M
 28.00 Slabs

 71 FAULTING
 L
 3.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 13

Branch - Section ID: T02AU - 001 Use: TAXIWAY Branch Name: TAXIWAY 02 LCD: 11/3/2006 PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SC Local Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 43,784.00 Length (ft): 1,600.00 Width (ft): 25.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP Slabs: 280 Section Comments: Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 5,226.71 Last Insp Date: 11/3/2023 Inspection Comments: PCI: 89 Total Samples: 14 Surveyed: 6 Sample Number: 02 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 90 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 20.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 2.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL L Sample Number: 04 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 91 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 20.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL L 1.00 Slabs Sample Number: 06 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 08 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 10

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 91

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 20.00 Slabs Μ 74 JOINT SPALL 1.00 Slabs L

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 14

Sample Number: 12

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 78

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

 63 LINEAR CR
 M
 1.00 Slabs

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 M
 20.00 Slabs

 67 LARGE PATCH
 L
 2.00 Slabs

 73 SHRINKAGE CR
 N
 1.00 Slabs

APPENDIX D WORK HISTORY REPORT

WORK HISTORY

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 1

Network: AUDUBON COUNTY AIRPORT

Branch - Section ID: A01AU - 001

 LCD: 11/1/1970
 Length (ft):
 305.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 60.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 17,895.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2016	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	False	-
06-01-2016	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-605
06-01-2016	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-605
11-01-1970	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-505 over 4" P-154 Agg Base, over P-152

Branch - Section ID: A02AU - 001

 LCD: 6/1/1990
 Length (ft):
 125.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 100.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 11,700.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2016	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-605
06-01-2016	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-605
06-01-1990	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: A02AU - 002

 LCD: 11/3/2006
 Length (ft):
 175.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 150.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 30,408.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2016	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-605
06-01-2016	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-605
11-03-2006	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	P-505
11-02-2006	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	P-209
11-01-2006	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	10.00	False	P-158 Fly Ash Treated

Branch - Section ID: R14AU - 001

 LCD: 6/3/2012
 Length (ft):
 3,000.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 60.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 185,700.00

Surface: PCC

Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major	Comments
Date	Code	Description		(in)	MR	
06-03-2012	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC
06-02-2012	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" AGG BASE (P209 OR P219)
06-01-2012	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	9.00	False	9" P158 FLY ASH TREATED SUBGRADE
11-01-1970	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

WORK HISTORY

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 2

Branch - Section ID: R14AU - 002

 LCD: 6/1/1990
 Length (ft):
 639.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 60.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 42,900.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1990	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T01AU - 001

 LCD: 11/1/1970
 Length (ft):
 76.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 30.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 2,896.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2016	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-505
06-01-2016	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-605
06-01-2016	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-605
11-01-1970	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T01AU - 002

 LCD: 6/1/1990
 Length (ft):
 220.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 25.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 3,870.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2016	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-605
06-01-2016	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-605
06-01-1990	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T01AU - 003

 LCD: 6/3/2012
 Length (ft):
 115.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 25.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 3,894.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2012	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC
06-02-2012	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" AGG BASE (P209 OR P219)
06-01-2012	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	9.00	False	9" FLY ASH TREATED SUBGRADE
11-01-1970	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

WORK HISTORY

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: ADU Page 3

Branch - Section ID: T02AU - 001

 LCD: 11/3/2006
 Length (ft):
 1,600.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 25.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 43,784.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2016	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-605
06-01-2016	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2016	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-605
11-03-2006	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	P-505
11-02-2006	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	P-209
11-01-2006	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	10.00	False	P-158 Fly Ash Treated

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low	Monitor
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium	Monitor
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
ASR	Low	Monitor
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement
ASR	High	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Faulting	Low	Monitor
Faulting	Medium	Grinding
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Popouts	N/A	Monitor
Pumping	N/A	Monitor
Scaling	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch

Table E-3. 2024 unit costs for localized preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$15.54/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.66/If
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$39.82/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$17.78/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.20/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.20/If
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.38/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$17.78/sf

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

Table E-4. 2024 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0-40	PCI Range 40-50	PCI Range 50-60	PCI Range 60-70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80-90	PCI Range 90-100
AC	\$11.04	\$5.22	\$5.22	\$5.22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$18.44	\$8.72	\$8.72	\$8.72	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

APPENDIX F

YEAR 2024 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Table F-1. Year 2024 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2024 Estimated Cost
A02AU	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$643
A02AU	01	Shattered Slab	Medium	1	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$17.78	\$3,473
A02AU	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	75	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$5,316
A02AU	01	Small Patch	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.78	\$60
A02AU	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	12	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$478
A02AU	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	195	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$14,364
A02AU	02	Corner Break	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.78	\$1,142
A02AU	02	Corner Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$213
A02AU	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	8	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$2,047
R14AU	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	1,032	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$60,414
R14AU	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	206	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$12,083
R14AU	01	Corner Break	Low	9	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$226
R14AU	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	429	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$24,953
R14AU	02	Corner Break	Low	3	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$67
R14AU	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	18	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$572
T01AU	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	16	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$829
T01AU	02	Corner Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$107
T01AU	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	19	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$984
T01AU	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$67
T01AU	03	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	28	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$1,515
T01AU	03	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$26
T02AU	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$93

Year 2024 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details

Table F-1. Year 2024 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2024 Estimated Cost
T02AU	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	280	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$16,726

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Audubon County Airport.



PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

JULY 2024