Bloomfield Municipal Airport

PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT



PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

JULY 2022





The preparation of this document was financed in part through an Airport Improvement Program grant from the Federal Aviation Administration (Project Number 3-19-0000-028-2021) as provided under Section 505 of the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended. The contents do not necessarily reflect the DOT's official views or the policy of the FAA. Acceptance of this report by the FAA does not in any way constitute a commitment on the part of the United States to participate in any development depicted therein nor does it indicate the proposed development is environmentally acceptable in accordance with appropriate public laws.

BLOOMFIELD MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared For:



Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

Prepared By:



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 1
PAVEMENT INVENTORY
PAVEMENT EVALUATION
Pavement Evaluation Procedure
Pavement Evaluation Results7
Inspection Comments
Runway 12
Taxiway12
Apron 12
T-Hangar12
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM13
Analysis Parameters13
Critical PCIs13
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs
Budget and Inflation Rate
Analysis Approach13
Analysis Results
General Maintenance Recommendations15
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)15
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)
SUMMARY

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair	. 1
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Bloomfield Municipal Airport.	. 4
Figure 3. Bloomfield Municipal Airport network definition map.	. 5
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	. 6
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.	. 7
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Bloomfield Municipal Airport.	
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Bloomfield Municipal Airport	. 8
Figure 8. Bloomfield Municipal Airport PCI map	. 9

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. 2021 pavement evaluation results	. 10
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario	. 14
Table 3. Pavement inspection report	. 18

APPENDIXES

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	B-1
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2022 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company, updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Bloomfield Municipal Airport were assessed in November 2021 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

The pavement evaluation results for Bloomfield Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Bloomfield Municipal Airport, the Iowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the interactive pavement management data visualization tool IDEA, containing the pavement management information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website (https://iowadot.gov/aviation).

PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Bloomfield Municipal Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps as necessary to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2018.

The pavement network at Bloomfield Municipal Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.

Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.

To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 290,500 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Bloomfield Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age, in years, of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Bloomfield Municipal Airport.






Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Bloomfield Municipal Airport.

FIGURE 3. NETWORK DEFINITION MAP.









PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech inspected the pavements at Bloomfield Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, *Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements* (<u>https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory_Circular/150-5380-6C.pdf</u>).
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, *Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)* (https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory_Circular/150-5380-7B.pdf).
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces¹.



¹Photographs shown are not specific to Bloomfield Municipal Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

PCI Range	Repair	
86-100		
71-85	Preventive Maintenance	
56-70		
41-55	Major Rehabilitation	
26-40		
11-25	Reconstruction	
0-10		

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which in turn helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause, thus eliminating or delaying its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as load-related (such as alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on portland cement concrete [PCC] pavements), climate/durability-related (such as weathering [a climate-related distress type on asphalt-surfaced pavements] and durability cracking [a durability-related distress type on PCC pavements]), and other (distress types that cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability).

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at Bloomfield Municipal Airport were inspected in November 2021. The 2021 area-weighted condition of Bloomfield Municipal Airport is 91, with conditions ranging from 19 to 98 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2018, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 95.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Bloomfield Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.




Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Bloomfield Municipal Airport.

Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Bloomfield Municipal Airport. (Values on chart are area-weighted)






FIGURE 8. PCI MAP.





Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2021 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
A01BL	01	PCC	22,741	11/3/2014	94	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage
A01BL	02	PCC	23,798	6/1/2003	83	25	58	17	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
R18BL	01	PCC	35,227	9/4/2006	84	14	69	17	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
R18BL	02	PCC	169,895	6/3/2012	97	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage
R18BL	03	PCC	24,116	9/4/2006	80	11	51	38	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
T01BL	01	PCC	6,426	6/3/2012	98	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage
TH01BL	01	AC	4,199	1/1/2001	19	35	54	11	Alligator Cracking, L&T Cracking, Shoving, Weathering
TH01BL	02	PCC	1,750	1/1/2015	80	15	53	32	Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage
TH01BL	03	PCC	2,308	1/1/2001	49	35	16	49	ASR, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab

Table Notes:

1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.

- 3. LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphaltsurfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.

Table 1. 2021 pavement evaluation results (continued).

- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Inspection Comments

Bloomfield Municipal Airport was inspected on November 21, 2021. There were nine pavement sections defined during the inspection.

Runway

Runway 18/36 consisted of three sections. Section 01 contained areas of low- and mediumseverity corner spalling and faulting; all severities of joint seal damage; and low-severity joint spalling and longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking. Section 02 was in excellent condition, with only low- and medium-severity joint seal damage recorded throughout. Lowseverity corner break and LTD cracking, low- and medium-severity corner spalling and faulting, medium- and high-severity joint seal damage, and medium-severity joint spalling were observed in Section 02.

Taxiway

Taxiway 01 connected the apron area with Runway 18/36 and contained one section. Section 01 was in excellent condition with only low-severity joint seal damage noted.

Apron

The apron consisted of two sections. All severities of joint seal damage were recorded in Section 01. Section 02 contained areas of low- and medium-severity corner break and joint spalling; low-severity corner spalling, faulting, and LTD cracking; and medium- and high-severity joint seal damage.

T-Hangar

The T-hangar area was defined by three sections. Section 01 was poor condition with lowseverity alligator cracking, low- and medium-severity, unsealed longitudinal and transverse (L&T) cracking, medium-severity shoving, and high-severity weathering. High-severity joint seal damage was recorded throughout Section 02, along with areas of low-severity corner break and faulting. Section 03 contained low- and medium-severity faulting, high-severity joint seal damage, and low-severity LTD cracking, shattered slab, and alkali-silica reaction (ASR). The suspected ASR was recorded at this airport in accordance with ASTM D5340-20. It should be noted that laboratory testing in the form of petrographic analysis is the only definitive way to validate the presence of ASR; however, the formation of a precipitate is evidence of a reaction consistent with this type of materials-related distress.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Bloomfield Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct for the different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Bloomfield Municipal Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2022 and an inflation rate of 4.0 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2022) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2023 or 2024, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2022. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Bloomfield Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2022

localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Bloomfield Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2022 is provided in Appendix F.

Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost
2022	A01BL	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$8,458
2022	A01BL	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$15,625
2022	R18BL	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$12,673
2022	R18BL	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$20,905
2022	R18BL	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$10,511
2022	TH01BL	01	AC	Major Rehabilitation	\$43,730
2022	TH01BL	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$874
2022	TH01BL	03	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$24,473

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Total Estimated Cost: \$137,000

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Bloomfield Municipal Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Bloomfield Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Bloomfield Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Bloomfield Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- 1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this report.
- Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur as a result of inspections. These need to be reported to the FAA and the Iowa DOT. This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a "bathtub" effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Bloomfield Municipal Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Bloomfield Municipal Airport will also need to undertake monthly drive-by inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this Appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized words are direct quotations from the FAA Advisory Circular.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

A-1.0. An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at Bloomfield Municipal Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the Iowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map (Figure 3) is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at Bloomfield Municipal Airport is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for Bloomfield Municipal Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys, the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

A-1.3. Record Keeping. The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:

- a. Inspection date
- b. Location
- c. Distress types
- d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items a through c are satisfied by this inspection report. Item d is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

A-1.4. Information Retrieval. An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Inspected By:

Date Inspected: _____

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01BL	01					
A01BL	02					
R18BL	01					
R18BL	02					
R18BL	03					
T01BL	01					

July 2022

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued).

Inspected By: _____

Date Inspected: _____

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
TH01BL	01					
TH01BL	02					
TH01BL	03					

Table Notes:

1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Bloomfield Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2021 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 91. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Bloomfield Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$137,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Bloomfield Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

APPENDIX A

CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

APPENDIX B

INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01BL-01. Overview.



A01BL-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 10).



A01BL-02. Overview.



A01BL-02. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 02).



R18BL-01. Overview (1).



R18BL-01. Overview (2).






R18BL-01. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).

R18BL-02. Overview.






R18BL-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 13).

R18BL-03. Overview.







R18BL-03. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 03).



T01BL-01. Overview.



T01BL-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 03).



TH01BL-01. Overview.



TH01BL-01. Alligator Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01BL-02. Overview.



TH01BL-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01BL-03. Overview.



TH01BL-03. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 01).



APPENDIX C

INSPECTION REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: 4K6 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

	Branch - Section ID: A0	1BL - 01
Branch Name: APRON		Use: APRC
LCD: 11/3/2014 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 22,741.00 Length (ft): 240.00 Width (ft): 90.00 From: BUILDING To: APRON 01 SECT 03	PCI Family:	lowaPCCAPSE_GeneralBasic
Slabs: 227 Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 4,200.77	Section Corr	iments:
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 94 Total Samples: 11 Surveyed: 6	Inspection C	omments:
Sample Number: 01		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 22	Sample Corr	nments:
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	22 Slabs
Sample Number: 03		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 16	Sample Corr	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Μ	16 Slabs
Sample Number: 05 Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 16	Sample Corr	nments:
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	16 Slabs
Sample Number: 06		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sample Corr	nments:
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20 Slabs
Sample Number: 07		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sample Corr	nments:
65 JT SEAL DMG	М	20 Slabs
Sample Number: 10		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sample Corr	iments:
65 JT SEAL DMG	М	20 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: 4K6

Generate Date: 4/27/2022

			i ugo
Branch Name: APRON	Branch - Section I	D: A01BL - 02	Use: APRO
LCD: 6/1/2003 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 23,798.00 Length (ft): 235.00 Width (ft): 120.00 From: A01BL-01 To:	PCI	PCI Family: IowaPCCAPSE_GeneralBasic	
Slabs: 238 Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 4,460.02	Sect	ion Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 83 Total Samples: 12 Surveyed: 6	Inspe	ection Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 79 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sam	ple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	M	20 Slabs 3 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sam	ple Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	Μ	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	L	1 Slabs 20 Slabs	
Sample Number: 06	П	20 Slabs	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 16	Sam	ple Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 65 JT SEAL DMG	L M	1 Slabs 16 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sam	ple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL	M M	20 Slabs 2 Slabs	
Sample Number: 10			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sam	ple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	М	20 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: 4K6

Sample Number: 11

Sample Type: R	Sample Comn	nents:
Sample PCI: 71		
Sample Area (Slabs): 20		
63 LINEAR CR	L	6 Slabs
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20 Slabs
71 FAULTING	L	1 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: 4K6

Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Nelwork ID. 4Ko			Faye 4
Branch Name: RUNWAY 18/36	Branch - Section ID: R	18BL - 01	Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 9/4/2006 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 35,227.00 Length (ft): 600.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: RUNWAY END 18 To: RUNWAY SECT 02	PCI Family	/: IowaPCCRWSE_Basic	
Slabs: 225 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 4,873.07	Section Co	omments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 84 Total Samples: 15 Surveyed: 6	Inspection	Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 86 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sample Co	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 75 CORNER SPALL	H L	20 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 92 Sample Area (Slabs): 18	Sample Co	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	18 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	L M	1 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 09			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sample Co	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	н	20 Slabs	
71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING	L M	1 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 11	111		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sample Co	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 75 CORNER SPALL	H L	20 Slabs 2 Slabs	
Sample Number: 13			
· Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sample Co	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: 4K6

Sample Number: 15

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 20 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG Sample Comments:

L	5 Slabs
Μ	20 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: 4K6 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

	Branch Costion ID: F		1 490 0
Branch Name: RUNWAY 18/36	Branch - Section ID: R	(10DL - U2	Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 6/3/2012 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 169,895.00 Length (ft): 2,788.00 Width (ft): 60.00 From: RUNWAY SECT 01 To: RUNWAY SECT 03	PCI Family	/: IowaPCCRWSE_Basic	
Slabs: 1,359 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 27,688.58	Section Co	omments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 97 Total Samples: 58 Surveyed: 8	Inspection	Comments:	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample Co	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Μ	24 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample Co	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	24 Slabs	
Sample Number: 13			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample Co	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	24 Slabs	
Sample Number: 23			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample Co	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	М	24 Slabs	
Sample Number: 33			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample Co	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	24 Slabs	
Sample Number: 38			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample Co	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	24 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: 4K6

			5
Sample Number: 43			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98	Sample C	comments:	
Sample Area (Slabs): 24			
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	24 Slabs	
Sample Number: 53			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample C	comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	24 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: 4K6 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

	Branch - Section ID: F	R18BL - 03	
Branch Name: RUNWAY 18/36			Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 9/4/2006 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 24,116.00 Length (ft): 425.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: RUNWAY SECT 02 To: RUNWAY END 36	PCI Famil	y: lowaPCCRWSE_Basic	
Slabs: 154 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 3,319.50	Section Co	omments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 80 Total Samples: 11 Surveyed: 6	Inspection	Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 25	Sample C	omments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	L M L	2 Slabs 25 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 83 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sample C	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	M L M	20 Slabs 2 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sample C	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Μ	20 Slabs	
Sample Number: 06			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 76 Sample Area (Slabs): 17	Sample C	omments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 75 CORNER SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	L L M L M	1 Slabs 1 Slabs 17 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021

Network ID: 4K6

Sample Number: 09			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 71 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sample C	Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	8 Slabs	
Sample Number: 10			
Sample Type: R	Sample C	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 68			

Sam			
Sam	ple Area (Slabs): 16		
	63 LINEAR CR	L	1 Slabs
	65 JT SEAL DMG	М	16 Slabs
	71 FAULTING	L	4 Slabs
	71 FAULTING	Μ	1 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: 4K6 Generate Date: 4/27/2022 Page 10

Branch - Section ID: T01BL - 01			
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01		Use: TAXIW	WAY
LCD: 6/3/2012 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 6,426.00 Length (ft): 180.00 Width (ft): 30.00 From: APRON 03 To: RUNWAY 18/36	PCI Family: I	owaPCCTWSE_Basic	
Slabs: 86 Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 7.50 Joint Length (ft): 1,249.50	Section Com	ments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 98 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3	Inspection Co	omments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20 65 JT SEAL DMG	Sample Comments:		
Sample Number: 02	L	20 01000	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sample Com	ments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	20 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sample Comments:		
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	20 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: 4K6

- -

Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Page 11

Branch - Section ID: TH01BL - 01

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01	Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 1/1/2001 Surface Type: AC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 4,199.00 Length (ft): 270.00 Width (ft): 15.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP	PCI Family: IowaASPHALTTHSouthern
Slabs: Slab Length (ft): Slab Width (ft): Joint Length (ft):	Section Comments:
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 19 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1	Inspection Comments:
Sample Number: 01	
Sampla Tuna: P	Sample Commente:

Sample Type: R	
Sample PCI: 19	
Sample Area (SF): 4,199	
41 ALLIGATOR CR	
48 L & T CR	
48 L & T CR	
54 SHOVING	
57 WEATHERING	

Sample Comments:

L L M	720 SF 70 Ft 50 Ft 45 SF	edge Iu w
Н	4,199 SF	
Н	4,199 SF	

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: 4K6

65 JT SEAL DMG

71 FAULTING

Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Page 12

Branch - Section ID: TH01BL - 02 Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR PCI Family: IowaPCCTH SE LCD: 1/1/2015 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 1,750.00 Length (ft): 115.00 Width (ft): 15.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP Slabs: 24 Section Comments: Slab Length (ft): 9.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.00 Joint Length (ft): 281.31 Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 Inspection Comments: PCI: 80 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1 Sample Number: 01 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 62 CORNER BREAK s

L	1 Slabs
Н	24 Slabs
L	2 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: 4K6 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Page 13

Branch - Section ID: TH01BL - 03 Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR PCI Family: IowaPCCTH_SE LCD: 1/1/2001 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 2,308.00 Length (ft): 80.00 Width (ft): 25.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP Slabs: 21 Section Comments: Slab Length (ft): 9.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 319.91 Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 Inspection Comments: PCI: 49 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1 Sample Number: 01 Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample Type. IV
Sample PCI: 49
Sample Area (Slabs): 21
63 LINEAR CR
65 JT SEAL DMG
71 FAULTING
71 FAULTING
72 SHAT. SLAB
76 ASR

L	7	Slabs
Н	21	Slabs
L	4	Slabs
Μ	2	Slabs
L	1	Slabs
L	3	Slabs

APPENDIX D

WORK HISTORY REPORT

Work History Pavement Database: IA 2021

Network: BLOOMFIELD MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch - Section ID:

ID:	A01BL - 01	

LCD: 11/3/2014 Use: APRON Rank: P Surface: PCC

Length (ft):	240.00
Width (ft):	90.00
True Area (sf):	22,741.00

Length (ft):

Width (ft):

True Area (sf):

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
11-03-2014	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC
11-02-2014	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P209
11-01-2014	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	9.00	False	9" P152
06-01-2009	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	\$0.00	0.00	False	State Funding \$9,000
06-01-1993	OL-AS	Overlay - AC Structural	\$0.00	0.00	True	Assumed
06-01-1963	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: A01BL - 02

LCD: 6/1/2003	
Use: APRON	
Rank: P	
Surface: PCC	

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-2017	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST. VIA DOT
06-01-2003	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$121,767.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: R18BL - 01

LCD: 9/4/2006	Length (ft):	600.00
Use: RUNWAY	Width (ft):	50.00
Rank: P	True Area (sf):	35,227.00
Surface: PCC		

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-2017	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST. VIA DOT
09-04-2006	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$212,569.00	5.00	True	5" P501 PCC
09-03-2006	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P209 CAB
09-02-2006	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P154
09-01-2006	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P152
06-01-1981	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

235.00

120.00

23,798.00

Work History Pavement Database: IA 2021

Branch - Section ID:

LCD: 6/3/2012 Use: RUNWAY Rank: P Surface: PCC

Length (ft):	2,788.00
Width (ft):	60.00
True Area (sf):	169,895.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
Date	ooue	Description		(11)		
06-03-2012	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC
06-02-2012	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P209 CAB
06-01-2012	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	9.00	False	9" P152
06-01-2010	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	Federal Funding - \$110,600
06-01-1986	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-
06-03-1967	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC (ASSUMED MAT. TYPE)
06-02-1967	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P154 SUBBASE
06-01-1967	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	0.00	False	P152

Branch - Section ID: R18BL - 03

LCD: 9/4/2006 Use: RUNWAY Rank: P Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2010	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	Federal Funding - \$110,600
09-04-2006	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$155,198.00	5.00	True	5" P501 PCC
09-03-2006	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P209 CAB
09-02-2006	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P154
09-01-2006	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P152
06-01-1981	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID:

T01BL - 01

R18BL - 02

LCD: 6/3/2012 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
Duto		Decemption		(,		
06-03-2012	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC
06-02-2012	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P209 CAB
06-01-2012	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	9.00	False	9" P152
06-01-2010	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	Federal Funding - Total Amount \$174,587
06-01-2010	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	Federal Funding - Total Amount \$174,587
06-01-1986	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-
06-03-1967	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC
06-02-1967	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P154 SUBBASE
06-01-1967	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	0.00	False	P152

Length (ft):	425.00
Width (ft):	50.00
True Area (sf):	24,116.00

Length (ft):

True Area (sf):

Width (ft):

180.00

30.00

6,426.00

Work History Pavement Database: IA 2021

Branch - S	Section	ID: TH01BL - 01					
LCD: 1/1/2	2001					Length (ft):	270.00
Use: T-HA	NGAR		Width (ft):	15.00			
Rank: P						True Area (sf):	4,199.00
Surface: A	(C						
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major	Comments	
Date	Code	Description		(in)	MR		
01-01-2001	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST. VIA GE	
Branch - S	Section	ID: TH01BL - 02					
LCD: 1/1/2	2015					Length (ft):	115.00
Use: T-HA	NGAR					Width (ft):	15.00
Rank: P	-					True Area (sf):	1,750.00
Surface: P	229						,
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments	
01-01-2015	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST. VIA GE	
Branch - S	Section	ID: TH01BL - 03					
LCD: 1/1/2	2001					Length (ft):	80.00
Use: T-HA	NGAR					Width (ft):	25.00
Rank: P						True Area (sf):	2,308.00
Surface: P	CC					ζ,	
Sunace. P							
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major	Comments	
	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments	

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low	Monitor
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High Asphalt Patch	
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium	Monitor
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

Severity							
Distress Type	Level	Maintenance Action					
ASR	Low	Monitor					
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement					
ASR	High	Slab Replacement					
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement					
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement					
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement					
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC					
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor					
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch					
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement					
Faulting	Low	Monitor					
Faulting	Medium	Grinding					
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement					
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor					
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal					
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal					
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor					
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC					
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement					
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor					
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Popouts	N/A	Monitor					
Pumping	N/A	Monitor					
Scaling	Low	Monitor					
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch					
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement					
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC					
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement					
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement					
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor					
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor					
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch					
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch					

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance	ce policy, PCC pavements.
---	---------------------------

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$14.66/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.51/lf
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$37.54/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$16.76/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.02/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.02/lf
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.36/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$16.76/sf

Table E-3. 2022 unit costs for preventive maintenance actions.

Table E-4. 2022 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0–40	PCI Range 40–50	PCI Range 50–60	PCI Range 60-70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80–90	PCI Range 90–100
AC	\$10.41	\$4.93	\$4.93	\$4.93	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$17.38	\$8.22	\$8.22	\$8.22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

APPENDIX F

YEAR 2022 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2022 Estimated Cost
A01BL	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	112	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$6,232
A01BL	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	40	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$2,226
A01BL	02	Corner Break	Low	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$51
A01BL	02	Corner Break	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.76	\$1,110
A01BL	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	156	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$8,825
A01BL	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	82	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$4,645
A01BL	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	4	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$995
R18BL	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$193
R18BL	01	Faulting	Medium	2	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.36	\$9
R18BL	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	38	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$2,494
R18BL	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	153	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$9,977
R18BL	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	340	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$20,905
R18BL	03	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$32
R18BL	03	Corner Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$132
R18BL	03	Faulting	Medium	1	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.36	\$6
R18BL	03	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	128	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$8,326
R18BL	03	Joint Seal Damage	High	26	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$1,699
R18BL	03	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$316
TH01BL	02	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$25
TH01BL	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	24	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$850

Table F-1. Year 2022 localized preventive maintenance details.

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Bloomfield Municipal Airport.

PREPARED FOR

lowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau — Aviation 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 iowadot.gov/aviation

JULY 2022