Boone Municipal Airport

PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT



PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

JULY 2021





The preparation of this document was financed in part through an Airport Improvement Program grant from the Federal Aviation Administration (Project Number 3-19-0000-027-2020) as provided under Section 505 of the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended. The contents do not necessarily reflect the DOT's official views or the policy of the FAA. Acceptance of this report by the FAA does not in any way constitute a commitment on the part of the United States to participate in any development depicted therein nor does it indicate the proposed development is environmentally acceptable in accordance with appropriate public laws.

BOONE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared For:



Iowa Department of Transportation Aviation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

Prepared By:



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION
PAVEMENT INVENTORY
PAVEMENT EVALUATION
Pavement Evaluation Procedure
Pavement Evaluation Results7
Inspection Comments
Runway 12
Taxiway12
Apron
T-Hangars12
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM14
Analysis Parameters14
Critical PCIs14
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs14
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs14
Budget and Inflation Rate
Analysis Approach14
Analysis Results
General Maintenance Recommendations16
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16
SUMMARY

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair	. 1
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Boone Municipal Airport	. 4
Figure 3. Boone Municipal Airport network definition map	. 5
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	. 6
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.	. 7
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Boone Municipal Airport.	. 8
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Boone Municipal Airport.	. 8
Figure 8. Boone Municipal Airport PCI map.	. 9

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. 2020 pavement evaluation results	. 10
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.	. 15
Table 3. Pavement inspection report	. 19

APPENDIXES

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	B-1
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2021 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	F-1

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company, updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Aviation Bureau (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Boone Municipal Airport were assessed in November 2020 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

The pavement evaluation results for Boone Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Boone Municipal Airport, the Iowa DOT and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the interactive pavement management data visualization tool IDEA, containing the pavement management information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website (<u>https://iowadot.gov/aviation</u>).

PAVEMENT INVENTORY


The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Boone Municipal Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps as necessary to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2017.

The pavement network at Boone Municipal Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.

Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.

To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 838,500 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Boone Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age, in years of the pavements, at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Boone Municipal Airport.







FIGURE 3. NETWORK DEFINITION MAP.



		oplied pav	rement	115 W. Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, IL 61801 Tel: (217) 398-3977 Fax: (217) 398-4027			
EFINITION LEGEND	AGENCY: IOV	va Department	of Transporta	tion			
BRANCH IDENTIFIER		Aviation	n Bureau				
SECTION IDENTIFIER PCI VALUE	Boone Municipal Airport						
	Boone, Iowa						
SECTION BREAK LINE	PAGE TITLE:	Network De	finition Map				
SAMPLE UNIT BREAK LINE							
SLAB JOINT	PROJECT DATE: SEP. 2020	CREATION DATE: SEP. 2020	PROJECT MANAGER: LJR	JOB NUMBER: 2017-020-AM04			
SAMPLE UNIT NUMBER	DRAWING SCALE:	LAST MODIFIED DATE:	REVISED BY:	DRAWN BY:			
SAMPLE UNIT INSPECTED	1"=400'	MAR. 2021	KEW	DSP			
ADDITIONAL SAMPLE UNIT	FILENAME: Boon	e dwa	LAYOUT NAME/NUMBER: NFT DFF	PAGE NUMBER: 5			

03

PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech inspected the pavements at Boone Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, *Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements* (<u>https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory_Circular/150-5380-6C.pdf</u>).
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, *Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)* (https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory_Circular/150-5380-7B.pdf).
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.



Note: Photographs shown are not specific to Boone Municipal Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

PCI Range	Repair
86-100	
71-85	Preventive Maintenance
56-70	
41-55	Major Rehabilitation
26-40	
11-25	Reconstruction
0-10	

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration which in turn helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as load-related (such as alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on portland cement concrete [PCC] pavements), climate/durability-related (such as weathering [a climate-related distress type on asphalt-surfaced pavements] and durability cracking [a durability-related distress type on PCC pavements]), and other (distress types that cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability).

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at Boone Municipal Airport were inspected in November 2020. The 2020 areaweighted condition of Boone Municipal Airport is 83, with conditions ranging from 11 to 100 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2017, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 87.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Boone Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distresses observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.






Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Boone Municipal Airport.

Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Boone Municipal Airport. (Values on chart are area-weighted)





		pplied pav	rement	115 W. Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, IL 61801 Tel: (217) 398-3977 Fax: (217) 398-4027			
		son Engineeri Company _{Consulting Engineers}	ng	322 1st Street East Independence, IA 50644 Tel: (319) 334-7211			
CONDITION INDEX	AGENCY: IOW	va Department Aviatior	of Transporta Bureau	tion			
86-100 71-85	LOCATION:		cipal Airport e, Iowa				
56-70 41-55	PAGE TITLE: 2020 Pavement Condition Index Map						
26-40	PROJECT DATE: SEP. 2020	CREATION DATE: SEP. 2020	PROJECT MANAGER: LJR	JOB NUMBER: 2017-020-AM04			
11-25	DRAWING SCALE: 1"=400'	LAST MODIFIED DATE: MAR. 2021	REVISED BY: ABF	DRAWN BY: DSP			
0-10	FILENAME: Boone	e.dwg	LAYOUT NAME/NUMBER:	PAGE NUMBER: 9			

Table 1. 2020 pavement evaluation results.									
Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2020 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distresses
A01BO	01	PCC	40,783	6/3/2012	91	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage
A01BO	02	PCC	61,854	9/30/2010	93	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage
A01BO	03	PCC	12,553	6/3/2008	88	0	97	3	Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling
A01BO	04	PCC	48,766	9/30/2010	98	48	52	0	Corner Break, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
R15BO	01	PCC	225,152	6/1/2007	91	10	65	25	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
R15BO	02	PCC	143,116	7/1/1991	68	5	17	78	ASR, Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Popouts, Small Patch
T01BO	01	PCC	9,105	9/30/2010	97	0	64	36	Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling
T01BO	02	PCC	196,172	6/3/2002	79	23	22	55	ASR, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking
T01BO	03	PCC	3,699	6/3/2007	91	0	79	21	Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
T01BO	04	PCC	8,338	6/3/2007	90	16	65	19	Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking
T01BO	05	PCC	8,946	6/3/2007	93	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage
T01BO	06	PCC	2,658	6/3/2007	89	23	64	13	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
TH01BO	01	AC	7,335	1/1/1989	31	38	62	0	Alligator Cracking, Block Cracking, L&T Cracking, Rutting, Weathering
TH01BO	02	AC	1,910	10/1/2008	45	30	49	21	Alligator Cracking, Depression, L&T Cracking, Rutting, Swelling, Weathering
TH01BO	03	PCC	24,307	1/12/2008	83	30	59	11	Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking

10

	Table 1. 2020 pavement evaluation results (continued).									
Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2020 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distresses	
TH02BO	01	PCC	15,730	5/3/2015	98	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage	
TH02BO	02	AC	12,467	1/1/1977	11	51	38		Alligator Cracking, Block Cracking, Depression, L&T Cracking, Patching, Raveling, Rutting, Swelling, Weathering	
TH02BO	03	PCC	15,574	4/3/2018	100	0	0	0	No Distress	

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphaltsurfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Inspection Comments

Boone Municipal Airport was inspected on November 17, 2020. There were eighteen pavement sections defined during the inspection.

Runway

Runway 15/33 was defined by two sections. Areas of low-severity faulting and longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking and medium-severity corner spalling and joint seal damage were recorded in Section 01. Low-severity corner spalling, joint spalling, small patching, large patching, and LTD cracking; popouts; and medium-severity joint seal damage were identified in Section 02. In addition, suspected low- and medium-severity alkali-silica reaction (ASR) were recorded in accordance with ASTM D5340-20. It should be noted that laboratory testing in the form of petrographic analysis is the only definitive way to validate the presence of ASR; however, the formation of a precipitate is evidence of a reaction consistent with this type of materials-related distress.

Taxiway

Taxiway 01 contained six sections. Section 01 was in excellent condition with low-severity joint seal damage and medium-severity joint spalling noted during the inspection. Most of the taxiway system was defined by Section 02. Shrinkage cracking, low-severity faulting and shattered slabs, medium-severity corner spalling and joint seal damage, and low- and medium-severity LTD cracking were identified. Additionally, suspected low- and medium-severity ASR was recorded in this section in accordance with ASTM D5340-20. It should be noted that laboratory testing in the form of petrographic analysis is the only definitive way to validate the presence of ASR; however, the formation of a precipitate is evidence of a reaction consistent with this type of materials-related distress. Medium-severity corner spalling and joint seal damage was observed throughout Section 04, along with areas of low-severity corner spalling and LTD cracking and medium-severity joint spalling. Medium-severity joint seal damage was recorded throughout Section 06, low-severity corner break and corner spalling and medium-severity joint seal damage were observed during the inspection.

Apron

The apron area consisted of four sections. Medium- and high-severity joint seal damage were recorded throughout Section 01, while only medium-severity joint seal damage was observed in Section 02. Low-severity joint spalling and high-severity joint seal damage were identified in Section 03. Section 04 was in excellent condition with low-severity joint seal damage observed throughout. An atypical area of low-severity LTD cracking and corner break was also identified and recorded as an additional sample unit according to ASTM D5340-20.

T-Hangars

T-Hanger 01 was defined by three sections. Section 01 was in poor condition with low-severity rutting, medium-severity weathering and block cracking, medium- and high-severity alligator cracking, and all severities of longitudinal and transverse (L&T) cracking were recorded. The low-severity cracking was unsealed; the medium-severity cracking was due to either unsealed crack widths that were greater than ¹/₄ in or to the development of secondary cracking; and the high-severity cracking was recorded where secondary cracking wider than 1 ft had developed. In Section 02, medium-severity L&T cracking and weathering and low-severity alligator cracking,

depression, rutting, and swelling were identified. The medium-severity cracking was noted where either unsealed crack widths exceeded ¹/₄ in or secondary cracking had developed. In Section 03, shrinkage cracking, low-severity corner spalling and LTD cracking, high-severity joint seal damage, and low- and medium-severity joint spalling were identified at the time of the inspection.

T-Hanger 02 consisted of three sections. Section 01 was in excellent condition with only lowseverity joint seal damage recorded throughout. Section 02 was in poor condition with lowseverity depression, patching and rutting; medium-severity block cracking and weathering; highseverity swelling; low- and medium-severity L&T cracking; and medium- and high-severity alligator cracking and raveling observed during the inspection. The medium-severity L&T cracking and block cracking were identified where unsealed crack widths exceeded ¼ in. Section 03 was recently reconstructed and was in excellent condition with no distress noted at the time of the inspection.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Boone Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct for the different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Boone Municipal Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to more accurately estimate the cost of such work.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2021 and an inflation rate of 1.4 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2021) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2022 or 2023, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2021. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Boone Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2021 localized

preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Boone Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2021 is provided in Appendix F.

Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost
2021	A01BO	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$24,102
2021	A01BO	02	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$31,001
2021	A01BO	03	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$6,206
2021	A01BO	04	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$24
2021	R15BO	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$109,561
2021	R15BO	02	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$76,118
2025	R15BO	02	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$1,195,273
2021	T01BO	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$309
2021	T01BO	02	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$114,435
2021	T01BO	03	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$1,978
2021	T01BO	04	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$3,818
2021	T01BO	05	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$3,718
2021	T01BO	06	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$1,068
2021	TH01BO	01	AC	Major Rehabilitation	\$73,423
2021	TH01BO	02	AC	Major Rehabilitation	\$15,516
2021	TH01BO	03	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$9,477
2021	TH02BO	02	AC	Major Rehabilitation	\$124,795

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Total Estimated Cost: \$1,791,000

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Boone Municipal Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Boone Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Boone Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Boone Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- 1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this report.
- 2. Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur as a result of inspections. These need to be reported to the FAA and the Iowa DOT. This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a "bathtub" effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Boone Municipal Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Boone Municipal Airport will also need to undertake monthly drive-by inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. Following is a copy of this Appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized words are direct quotations from the FAA Advisory Circular.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

A-1.0. An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at *Boone Municipal Airport*. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the Iowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map (Figure 3) is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at *Boone Municipal Airport* is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for *Boone Municipal Airport* is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey

is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys, the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

A-1.3. Record Keeping. The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:

- a. Inspection date
- b. Location
- c. Distress types
- d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items a through c are satisfied by this inspection report. Item d is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

A-1.4. Information Retrieval. An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Inspected By: _____

Date Inspected:

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01BO	01					
A01BO	02					
A01BO	03					
A01BO	04					
R15BO	01					
R15BO	02					

July 2021

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

19

Inspected By:

Date Inspected: _____

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
T01BO	01					
T01BO	02					
T01BO	03					
T01BO	04					
T01BO	05					
T01BO	06					

July 2021

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

20

Inspected By:

Date Inspected: _____

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
TH01BO	01					
TH01BO	02					
TH01BO	03					
ТН02ВО	01					
тно2во	02					
тно2во	03					

2

1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Boone Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2020 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 83. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Boone Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$1,791,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Boone Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

APPENDIX A

CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress		
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.		
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.		
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.		
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.		
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.		
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.		
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.		
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.		
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.		
Patching	N/A		
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.		
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.		
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.		
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.		
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.		
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.		
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.		

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

APPENDIX B

INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01BO-01. Overview.



A01BO-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 15).




A01BO-02. Overview.




A01BO-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 07).







A01BO-03. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 02).





A01BO-03. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).

A01BO-04. Overview.






A01BO-04. Corner Break (Additional Sample Unit No. 07).

R15BO-01. Overview.







R15BO-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).





R15BO-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 59).

R15BO-02. Overview.



R15BO-02. ASR (Sample Unit No. 01).



R15BO-02. ASR (Sample Unit No. 25).





R15BO-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 07).

R15BO-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 16).





R15BO-02. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 01).

T01BO-01. Overview.



T01BO-02. Overview.



T01BO-02. ASR (Sample Unit No. 109).





T01BO-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 86).


T01BO-02. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 33).



T01BO-03. Overview.



T01BO-03. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 02).



T01BO-04. Overview.




T01BO-04. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 04).



T01BO-05. Overview.



T01BO-05. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 03).



T01BO-06. Overview.



T01BO-06. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 01).






T01BO-06. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).

TH01BO-01. Overview.





TH01BO-01. L&T Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).





TH01BO-02. Overview.



TH01BO-02. Depression (Sample Unit No. 01).





TH01BO-02. Weathering (Sample Unit No. 01).

TH01BO-03. Overview.






TH01BO-03. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 10).

TH01BO-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 10).



TH02BO-01. Overview.



TH02BO-02. Overview.





TH02BO-02. Alligator Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03) (1).

TH02BO-02. Alligator Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03) (2).





TH02BO-02. Block Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).

TH02BO-03. Overview.



APPENDIX C

INSPECTION REPORT

		Re-mspecu	on Report			
IA 2020 Report Generated Date: April 08	8 2021					
- *	e: BOONE MUNICIPAL AIF	RPORT				
Branch: A01BO Nam	e: APRON		Use: APRON	Area: 108	3,501.00SqFt	
Section: 01 of Surface: PCC Fa	4 From: SEE MAP amily: IowaPCCAPNC		To: SEE MAP	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/03/2012 Rank: P
Area: 40,783.00SqFt Slabs: 403 Slab Wi Shoulder: Street Type:	Length: 257.00Ft	Width Slab Length: Lanes: 0	- / 0100-1	Joint Length:	8,311.00Ft	
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/17/2020 Tot Conditions: PCI: 91 Inspection Comments:	al Samples: 22 Surv	veyed: 7				
Sample Number: 03	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 04 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs 20.00 Slabs	PCI = 93 Comments:		
Sample Number: 08 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 10	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 15	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 88		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		Н	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 20	Type: R	Area:	18.00Slabs	PCI = 88		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		Н	18.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 22	Type: R	Area:	18.00Slabs	PCI = 88		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		Н	18.00 Slabs	Comments:		

14 2020	1	Re-inspect	ion Report			
IA 2020 Report Generated Date: April 08	8, 2021					
Network: BNW Nam	ne: BOONE MUNICIPAL AIR	PORT				
Branch: A01BO Nam	ne: APRON		Use: APRON	Area: 108	3,501.00SqFt	
Section: 02 of Surface: PCC Fa	4 From: SEE MAP amily: IowaPCCAPNC		To: SEE MAP	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	09/30/2010 Rank: P
Area: 61,854.00SqFt Slabs: 560 Slab W Shoulder: Street Type: Section Comments:	Length: 350.00Ft idth: 10.00Ft Grade: 0.00	Width Slab Length Lanes: 0		Joint Length:	10,690.00Ft	
Last Insp. Date: 11/17/2020 Tot Conditions: PCI : 93 Inspection Comments:	al Samples: 31 Surv	reyed: 8				
Sample Number: 03	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 07	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 10 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 13	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 18	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 21	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 23	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 29	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		

		Re-inspection	on Keport			
IA 2020						
Report Generated Date: April 0	8, 2021					
Network: BNW Nar	ne: BOONE MUNICIPAL AI	RPORT				
Branch: A01BO Nar	ne: APRON		Use: APRON	Area: 10)8,501.00SqFt	
Section: 03 of Surface: PCC F	4 From: SEE MAP amily: IowaPCCAPNC		To: see map	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/03/2008 Rank: P
Area: 12,553.00SqFt	Length: 200.00Ft	Width:	60.00Ft			
Slabs: 130Slab WShoulder:Street Type:	ridth: 10.00Ft Grade: 0.00	Slab Length: Lanes: 0	10.00Ft	Joint Length:	2,140.00Ft	
Section Comments:						
Sample Number: 01	Type: R	Area:	15.00Slabs	PCI = 86		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	1	Н	15.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 88		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE]	Н	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 88		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE]	Н	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 04 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	21.00Slabs	PCI = 88		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	1 1	Н	21.00 Slabs	Comments:		

		Re-inspect	ion Report			
IA 2020 Report Generated Date: April 08	3 2021					
	e: BOONE MUNICIP.	AL AIRPORT				
Branch: A01BO Nam	e: APRON		Use: APRON	Area: 108	3,501.00SqFt	
Section: 04 of Surface: PCC Fa Area: 48,766.00SqFt	4 From: SEE M amily: IowaPCCAPNC Length: 215.		To: SEE MAP	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	09/30/2010 Rank: P
Slabs: 881 Slab Wi Shoulder: Street Type:	-	Slab Length Lanes: 0		Joint Length:	8,185.00Ft	
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/17/2020 Tota Conditions: PCI: 98 Inspection Comments:	al Samples: 25	Surveyed: 8				
Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	16.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	16.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 05 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 07 Sample Comments:	Туре: А	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 87		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING		L L	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number: 15 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 16 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 18 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 22 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 25 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		

IA 2020		Re-inspecti	ion Report			
Report Generated Date: April 08	3, 2021					
Network: BNW Nam	e: BOONE MUNICIP	AL AIRPORT				
Branch: R15BO Nam	e: RUNWAY 15/33		Use: RUNWAY	Area: 23	3,140.00SqFt	
	mily: IowaPCCRWN		To: R15BO-02	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/01/2007 Rank: P
Area: 225,152.00SqFt Slabs: 1,804 Slab Wi Shoulder: Street Type: Section Comments:	Length: 3,006 dth: 12.50Ft Grade: 0.00	Slab Length		Joint Length:	37,500.00Ft	
Last Insp. Date: 11/17/2020 Tota Conditions: PCI : 91 Inspection Comments:	al Samples: 75	Surveyed: 9				
Sample Number: 01	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 10	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 15	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 20 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 30 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 88		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING		M L	24.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number: 40	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 50	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 90		
Sample Comments: 75 CORNER SPALLING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M M	1.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number: 59	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 83		
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 71 FAULTING	- JF X	L L	2.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 70 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		

IA 2020		ixe-inspecti	on Report			
Report Generated Date: April	08, 2021					
Network: BNW Na	ame: BOONE MUNICIPA	L AIRPORT				
Branch: R15BO Na	ame: RUNWAY 15/33		Use: RUNWAY	Area: 233	3,140.00SqFt	
Section: 02 of Surface: PCC	2 From: RUNW Family: IowaPCCRWNC	YAY 33 APPROACH	To: R15BO-01	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	07/01/1991 Rank: P
Area: 143,116.00SqFt	Length: 1,802.0	0Ft Width	75.00Ft			
Slabs: 764Slab VShoulder:Street Type:	Width: 15.00Ft Grade: 0.00	Slab Length: Lanes: 0	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	17,945.00Ft	
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/17/2020 Te Conditions: PCI : 68 Inspection Comments:	otal Samples: 32	Surveyed: 8				
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 69		
66 SMALL PATCH		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR	_	L	7.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAG	E	M	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING 67 LARGE PATCH/UTIL	JTY	L L	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 77		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAG	E	М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR		L	9.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 07 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 77		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAG		M	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
67 LARGE PATCH/UTIL 76 ASR	ΊΤΥ	L L	3.00 Slabs 6.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
/0 ASK		Ц	0.00 STADS			
Sample Number: 12 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 58		
66 SMALL PATCH		L	7.00 Slabs	Comments:		
67 LARGE PATCH/UTIL	ITY	L	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR		L	14.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAG	·ت	M M	1.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
68 POPOUTS	<u>, C.</u>	N N	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 16 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 62		
66 SMALL PATCH		L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:		
67 LARGE PATCH/UTIL	JTY	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR		L	12.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAG	،۳	М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 21	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 69		

Sample Comments:

ne mspee	mon nepoi	· ·		
L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
L	11.00	Slabs	Comments:	
L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
М	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 70	
L			Comments:	
L	8.00	Slabs	Comments:	
М	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
L	8.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 64	
L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:	
N	4.00	Slabs	Comments:	
L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
М	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
M L		Slabs Slabs	Comments: Comments:	
	L L L L M L L Area: L L M L L M L L N	L 1.00 L 11.00 L 1.00 L 1.00 L 1.00 M 24.00 L 1.00 Area: 24.00Slabs L 8.00 M 24.00 L 8.00 M 24.00 L 8.00 M 24.00 L 8.00 M 24.00 L 8.00	L 11.00 Slabs L 1.00 Slabs L 1.00 Slabs M 24.00 Slabs L 1.00 Slabs L 1.00 Slabs L 4.00 Slabs L 8.00 Slabs M 24.00 Slabs L 8.00 Slabs L 8.00 Slabs L 8.00 Slabs L 8.00 Slabs	L 1.00 Slabs Comments: L 11.00 Slabs Comments: L 1.00 Slabs Comments: L 1.00 Slabs Comments: L 1.00 Slabs Comments: M 24.00 Slabs Comments: L 1.00 Slabs Comments: L 4.00 Slabs Comments: M 24.00 Slabs Comments: M 24.00 Slabs Comments: M 24.00 Slabs Comments: L 8.00 Slabs Comments: Area: 24.00Slabs Comments: Area: 24.00Slabs Comments: Area: 24.00Slabs Comments: Area: 24.00Slabs Comments:

14 2020			IXC-III	speen	on Keport				
IA 2020 Report Ger	nerated Date: April	08 2021							
Network:	1	me: BOONE MUNIO	CIPAL AIRPORT						
Branch:	T01BO Na	me: TAXIWAY 01			Use: TAX	IWAY	Area: 228	3,918.00SqFt	
Section: Surface:	01 of PCC	6 From: Al Family: IowaPCCTW			To: RU	NWAY 14	/32 Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	09/30/2010 Rank: P
Area:	9,105.00SqFt	Length: 2	28.00Ft	Width:	30.00Ft				
Slabs: 94 Shoulder:	Slab V Street Type:	Vidth: 10.001 Grade: 0.		Length: 0	10.00Ft		Joint Length:	1,110.00Ft	
	Date: 11/17/2020 Te	otal Samples: 4	Surveyed:	3					
Conditions Inspection C									
Sample Nu Sample Corr		Type: R	Area:		27.00Slabs]	PCI = 95		
1	NT SEAL DAMAG	E		L	27.00 \$	Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOIN	NT SPALLING			М	1.00 \$	Slabs	Comments:p	ovmt damag	е
Sample Nu Sample Corr		Type: R	Area:		24.00Slabs]	PCI = 98		
-	NT SEAL DAMAG	E		L	24.00 \$	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Nu Sample Corr		Type: R	Area:		20.00Slabs]	PCI = 98		
1	NT SEAL DAMAG	E		L	20.00 \$	Slabs	Comments:		

14 2020		Re-inspecti	on Report			
IA 2020 Report Generated Date: April 08	8, 2021					
Network: BNW Nam	e: BOONE MUNICIPAL A	IRPORT				
Branch: T01BO Nam	e: TAXIWAY 01		Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 228,	918.00SqFt	
Section: 02 of Surface: PCC Fa	6 From: RW 15	1	To: RW 33	Zanai	Last Const.:	06/03/2002 Rank: P
	imily: IowaPCCTWNC_Ger	Width	: 35.00Ft	Zone:	Category:	Kank: P
Area: 196,172.00SqFt Slabs: 2,208 Slab Wi Shoulder: Street Type:	Length: 5,220.00Ft dth: 8.75Ft Grade: 0.00	Slab Length: Lanes: 0		Joint Length:	33,895.00Ft	
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/17/2020 Tota Conditions: PCI : 79 Inspection Comments:	al Samples: 111 Su	rveyed: 12				
Sample Number: 04 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 81		
76 ASR		L	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 14 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 79		
76 ASR		L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		М	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 33 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 63		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
72 SHATTERED SLAB 63 LINEAR CRACKING		L M	2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
	_					
Sample Number: 43 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 83		
76 ASR		L	3.00 Slabs			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	_	М	20.00 Slabs			
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	5	N	1.00 Slabs	Comments:7	3	
Sample Number: 53 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 77		
76 ASR		L	10.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 64 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 82		
76 ASR		L	1.00 Slabs			
71 FAULTING		L	2.00 Slabs			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 73 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 87		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	20.00 Slabs			
76 ASR		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		

IA 2020 Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

Sample Number: 83 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 85
76 ASR			L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			Μ	20.00	Slabs	Comments:
Sample Number: 86 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 71
63 LINEAR CRACKING			М	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			М	20.00	Slabs	Comments:
Sample Number: 93 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 93
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			М	20.00	Slabs	Comments:
Sample Number: 103 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 71
76 ASR			L	9.00	Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR			М	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			Μ	20.00	Slabs	Comments:
Sample Number: 109 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		16.00Slabs		PCI = 77
76 ASR			L	8.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			М	16.00	Slabs	Comments:

IA 2020			Re-inspect				
Report Ger Network:	nerated Date: April 0	,					
INCLWOIK.	BINW INan	ne: BOONE MUNICIP	AL AIRPORT				
Branch:	T01BO Nar	ne: TAXIWAY 01		Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 228	3,918.00SqFt	
Section: Surface:	03 of PCC F	6 From: SEE M amily: IowaPCCTWNC		To: SEE MAP	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/03/2007 Rank: P
Area:	3,699.00SqFt	Length: 97.	50Ft Widt	th: 37.50Ft			
Slabs: 45	Slab W		Slab Lengt	h: 10.00Ft	Joint Length:	648.48Ft	
Shoulder:	Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Last Insp. I Conditions Inspection C Sample Nu	omments:	tal Samples: 3 Type: R	Surveyed: 3 Area:	16.00Slabs	PCI = 88		
Sample Com	nments: IER SPALLING		М	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
	NER SFALLING NT SEAL DAMAGE		M	16.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Nu		Type: R	Area:	13.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Com 65 JOIN	iments: IT SEAL DAMAGE		М	13.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Nu Sample Com		Type: R	Area:	16.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
-	IT SEAL DAMAGE	1 1	М	16.00 Slabs	Comments:		

		Re-inspec	tion Report			
IA 2020	0.0001					
Report Generated Date: April 0	8, 2021					
Network: BNW Nam	ne: BOONE MUNICIPAL A	IRPORT				
Branch: T01BO Nan	ne: TAXIWAY 01		Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 22	28,918.00SqFt	
Section: 04 of Surface: PCC Fa	6 From: SEE MAP amily: IowaPCCTWNC_Ger	neral	To: see map	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/03/2007 Rank: P
Area: 8,338.00SqFt	Length: 180.00Ft	Wid	th: 40.00Ft			
Slabs: 72Slab WShoulder:Street Type:	-	Slab Lengt Lanes: 0	h: 10.00Ft	Joint Length:	1,220.00Ft	
Section Comments:						
Conditions: PCI: 90 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 02	Type: R	Area:	21.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	21.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	15.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	15.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 04 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	12.00Slabs	PCI = 79		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	12.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		М	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 05 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	12.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	12.00 Slabs	Comments:		

IA 2020				inspeci	on Report			
	nerated Date: April (08, 2021						
Network:	BNW Na	me: BOONE MU	JNICIPAL AIRPORT					
Branch:	T01BO Na	me: TAXIWAY	01		Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 22	8,918.00SqFt	
Section: Surface:	05 of PCC I	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	SEE MAP CTWNC_General		To: SEE MAP	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/03/2007 Rank: P
Area:	8,946.00SqFt	Length:	122.00Ft	Width	: 60.00Ft			
Slabs: 73 Shoulder:	Slab W Street Type:	Vidth: 10 Grade:		ab Length: les: 0	10.00Ft	Joint Length:	1,282.00Ft	
Section Com	nments:							
Last Insp. 1 Conditions Inspection C		otal Samples:	4 Surveyed:	3				
Sample Nu Sample Con		Type: R	Are	a:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
1	IT SEAL DAMAG	Ξ		М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Nu		Type: R	Are	a:	18.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
Sample Con 65 JOIN	nments: IT SEAL DAMAGI	Ξ		М	18.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Nu Sample Con		Type: R	Are	a:	22.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
1	IMENIS: IT SEAL DAMAGI	Ξ		М	22.00 Slabs	Comments:		

				Ľ	C-ms	peen	on repo	L L			
IA 2020											
Report Ger	nerated Date: Apri	1 08, 202	21								
Network:	BNW N	Name: H	BOONE MUNI	CIPAL AIRF	PORT						
Branch:	T01BO	Name: 7	AXIWAY 01				Use: TA	AXIWAY	Area: 22	28,918.00SqFt	
Section: Surface:	06 of PCC		From: SI IowaPCCTV		ıl		To:	SEE MAP	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/03/2007 Rank: P
Area:	2,658.00SqFt	Ler	igth:	56.00Ft		Width:	40.00)Ft			
Slabs: 32 Shoulder:	· 1	Width:	8.75 Grade: 0		Slab Lanes:	Length: 0	11.20	Ft	Joint Length:	360.00Ft	
Section Com	iments:										
Last Insp. I Conditions: Inspection C		Total Sa	nples: 2	Surve	yed: 2	2					
Sample Nu Sample Com		Тур	e: R		Area:		16.00Slabs		PCI = 88		
	IER BREAK					L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOIN	IT SEAL DAMA	GE				М	16.00	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Nu Sample Com		Тур	e: R		Area:		16.00Slabs		PCI = 90		
1	NT SEAL DAMA	GE				М	16.00	Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORN	NER SPALLING					L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:		

	Re-inspec	tion Report			
IA 2020					
Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021					
Network: BNW Name: BOONE MUNICIPAL A	IRPORT				
Branch: TH01BO Name: T-HANGAR 01		Use: T-HANGAR	Area:	33,552.00SqFt	
Section: 01 of 3 From: SEE MAP		To: SEE MAP		Last Const.:	01/01/1989
Surface: AC Family: IowaASPHALTTHNG	orthern		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 7,335.00SqFt Length: 407.00Ft	Wid	th: 18.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 01 Type: R Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	Area: M M L H	3,392.00SqFt 3,392.00 SqFt 441.00 Ft 135.00 Ft 20.00 SqFt	PCI = 39 Comment Comment Comment	s:W SEC CRK s:LU	
Sample Number: 02 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	3,943.00SqFt	PCI = 24		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	205.00 Ft	Comment	s:LU	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	Н	18.00 Ft		s:1FT TRANS	
18 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	485.00 Ft		s:W SEC CRK	
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	Н	35.00 SqFt		s:MISSING	
A1 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	M	25.00 SqFt	Comment		
43 BLOCK CRACKING	M L	400.00 SqFt 30.00 SqFt	Comment Comment		
53 RUTTING	1.	30 UU SOPT	LOUMPE		
57 WEATHERING	M	3,943.00 SqFt	Comment		

IA 2020 Report Generated Dat	e: April 08, 20	21						
Network: BNW	-		NICIPAL AIRPORT					
Branch: TH01BO	Name:	T-HANGAR ()1		Use: T-HANGAR	Area:	33,552.00SqFt	
Section: 02 Surface: AC	of 3 Family		SEE MAP IALTTHNorthern		To: SEE MAP	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	10/01/2008 Rank: P
Area: 1,910.00Sq	Ft Le	ngth:	84.00Ft	Wid	th: 18.00Ft			
Last Insp. Date: 11/17 Conditions: PCI : 45	/2020 Total Sa	imples: 1	Surveyed:	1				
Last Insp. Date: 11/17 Conditions: PCI: 45 nspection Comments: Sample Number: 01		mples: 1 De: R	Surveyed: Area:		1,910.00SqFt	PCI = 45		
Last Insp. Date: 11/17 Conditions: PCI: 45 nspection Comments: Gample Number: 01 Gample Comments:						PCI = 45 Comment	ts:	
ast Insp. Date: 11/17 Conditions: PCI: 45 nspection Comments: Cample Number: 01 ample Comments:	Тур	pe: R	Area:		1,910.00SqFt 1,910.00 SqFt 49.00 Ft			
Last Insp. Date: 11/17 Conditions: PCI: 45 Inspection Comments: Cample Number: 01 Cample Comments: 57 WEATHERING 48 LONGITUDINA	Тур	pe: R	Area:	M	1,910.00 SqFt	Comment	ts:W	
Last Insp. Date: 11/17 Conditions: PCI: 45 nspection Comments: Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING 48 LONGITUDINA	Тур	pe: R	Area:	M M	1,910.00 SqFt 49.00 Ft	Comment Comment Comment	ts:W	
Last Insp. Date: 11/17 Conditions: PCI: 45 nspection Comments: Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING 48 LONGITUDINA 53 RUTTING 45 DEPRESSION 48 LONGITUDINA	Typ AL/TRANSVE	DE: R RSE CRAC	Area:	M M L	1,910.00 SqFt 49.00 Ft 10.00 SqFt 80.00 SqFt 80.00 Ft	Comment Comment Comment Comment	ts:W ts:	PCC
Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING 48 LONGITUDINA 53 RUTTING 45 DEPRESSION	Typ AL/TRANSVE AL/TRANSVE	DE: R RSE CRAC	Area:	M M L L	1,910.00 SqFt 49.00 Ft 10.00 SqFt 80.00 SqFt	Comment Comment Comment Comment	ts:W ts: ts:AT PCC ts:SEC CRK,AT ts:	PCC

		Re-inspecti	on Keport			
IA 2020	2021					
Report Generated Date: April 08 Network: BNW Name	, 2021 e: BOONE MUNICIPAL AII	RPORT				
Branch: TH01BO Name	e: T-HANGAR 01		Use: T-HANGAR	Area:	33,552.00SqFt	
Section: 03 of	3 From: APRON		To: SEE MAP		Last Const.:	01/12/2008
	mily: IowaPCCTHnorthern			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 24,307.00SqFt	Length: 900.00Ft	Width	25.00Ft			
Slabs: 194 Slab Wie	dth: 10.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length	: 3,125.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/17/2020 Tota Conditions: PCI : 83 Inspection Comments:	l Samples: 10 Sur	veyed: 5				
Sample Number: 02	Type: R	Area:	19.00Slabs	PCI = 88		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		Н	19.00 Slabs	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 04 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	21.00Slabs	PCI = 80		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		Н	21.00 Slabs	Comments	:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments		
74 JOINT SPALLING		М	1.00 Slabs	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 05 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	21.00Slabs	PCI = 86		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		Н	21.00 Slabs	Comments	:	
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 07 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	26.00Slabs	PCI = 85		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		Н	26.00 Slabs	Comments	:	
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 10 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	22.00Slabs	PCI = 76		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	6.00 Slabs	Comments	:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		Н	22.00 Slabs	Comments		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	5	Ν	1.00 Slabs	Comments	:	

		Re-inspection	on Report			
IA 2020						
Report Generated Date: April (08, 2021					
Network: BNW Na	me: BOONE MUNICIPAL A	IRPORT				
Branch: TH02BO Na	me: T-HANGAR 02		Use: T-HANGAR	Area:	43,771.00SqFt	
Section: 01 of Surface: PCC I	3 From: APRON Family: IowaPCCTHnorthern		To: SEE MAP	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	05/03/2015 Rank: P
Area: 15,730.00SqFt	Length: 405.00Ft	Width:	45.00Ft			
Slabs: 137 Slab W	Vidth: 10.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length	: 2,830.50Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/17/2020 Te Conditions: PCI: 98 Inspection Comments:	-	rveyed: 4				
Sample Number: 02	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Ξ	L	24.00 Slabs	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	28.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAG	Ξ	L	28.00 Slabs	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 04	Type: R	Area:	25.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGI	E	L	25.00 Slabs	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 05 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	22.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAG	Ξ	L	22.00 Slabs	Comments	:	

IA 2020								
Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021 Network: BNW Name: BOONE MUNICIPAL A	IRPORT							
Branch: TH02BO Name: T-HANGAR 02		Lice: T	HANGAR	Area: 42	9,771.00SqFt			
		056. 1-	HANGAK	Area: 43	,//1.003qFt			
Section:02of3From: SEE MAPSurface:ACFamily:IowaASPHALTTHNOArea:12,467.00SqFtLength:691.00Ft		To: 5 7 idth: 18.00	SEE MAP Ft	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1977 Rank: P		
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0							
Section Comments:								
Last Insp. Date: 11/17/2020 Total Samples: 3 Su Conditions: PCI:11 Inspection Comments:	rveyed: 3							
Sample Number: 01 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	3,690.00SqFt		PCI = 19				
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	512.00	Ft	Comments:W	7			
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	41.00		Comments:				
43 BLOCK CRACKING	М	500.00	SqFt	Comments:W	7			
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	М	300.00	-	Comments:				
53 RUTTING	L	50.00	SqFt	Comments:				
52 RAVELING	М	50.00	SqFt	Comments:				
57 WEATHERING	М	3,613.00	SqFt	Comments:				
50 PATCHING	L	27.00	SqFt	Comments:				
45 DEPRESSION	L	30.00	SqFt	Comments:				
Sample Number: 02 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4,377.00SqFt		PCI = 1				
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	Н	650.00	SqFt	Comments:				
56 SWELLING	Н	20.00	SqFt	Comments:				
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	М	700.00	SqFt	Comments:				
43 BLOCK CRACKING	М	2,000.00	SqFt	Comments:w	J			
52 RAVELING	Н	30.00		Comments:				
57 WEATHERING	М	4,347.00	-	Comments:				
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	105.00		Comments:w	J			
53 RUTTING	L	30.00	SqFt	Comments:				
Sample Number: 03 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4,400.00SqFt		PCI = 13				
43 BLOCK CRACKING	М	2,700.00	SqFt	Comments:w	J			
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	M	1,280.00		Comments:				
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	Н	20.00		Comments:				
56 SWELLING	Н	20.00		Comments:				
53 RUTTING	L	30.00		Comments:				
52 RAVELING	Н	10.00		Comments:				
57 WEATHERING	М	4,390.00	-	Comments:				

14 2020		Re-mspecia	In Keport			
IA 2020 Report Generated Date:	April 08, 2021					
Network: BNW	April 08, 2021 Name: BOONE MUNICIPAL A	AIRPORT				
Branch: TH02BO	Name: T-HANGAR 02		Use: T-HANGAR	Area:	43,771.00SqFt	
Section: 03 Surface: PCC	of 3 From: TH02BO-0 Family: IowaPCCTHnorthern		To: SEE MAP	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	04/03/2018 Rank: P
Area: 15,574.00SqFt	Length: 600.00Ft	Width:	25.00Ft			
Slabs: 257 Shoulder: Street	Slab Width:8.30FtType:Grade:0.00	Slab Length: Lanes: 0	10.00Ft	Joint Length	: 2,682.23Ft	
Section Comments:						
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: <no distresses=""></no>	Type: R	Area:	25.00Slabs	PCI = 100		
Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments: <no distresses=""></no>	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 100		
Sample Number: 05 Sample Comments: <no distresses=""></no>	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 100		
Sample Number: 06 Sample Comments: <no distresses=""></no>	Type: R	Area:	21.00Slabs	PCI = 100		
Sample Number: 08 Sample Comments: <no distresses=""></no>	Type: R	Area:	15.00Slabs	PCI = 100		

APPENDIX D

WORK HISTORY REPORT

Date:02/	/15/2021		story Re	-	1 of 4
Network: B L.C.D.: 06/03	NW Br 3/2012 Use: AF	• -	AT BOONE) 257.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 01 Surface: PCC 170.00 Ft True Area: 40,783.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
06/03/2012	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True P-505
06/02/2012	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0 \$0	6.00	False CAB P-209
06/01/2012 06/30/1993	SG-CO OL-AS	Subgrade - Compacted Overlay - AC Structural	\$0	12.00 3.00	False P-152 True 3" P-401
06/02/1960	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	_	4.50	True 4.5" P-401
06/01/1960	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	4.00	False 4" P-154
Network: B L.C.D.: 09/30	NW Br 0/2010 Use: AF		AT BOONE) 350.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 02 Surface: PCC 160.00 Ft True Area: 61,854.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
09/30/2010	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PC	\$0	6.00	True P-505 PCC
09/29/2010	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	6.00	False P-154 GRANULAR SUBBASE
09/28/2010	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	12.00	False P-152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE
08/01/1989	OL-AC	Overlay - AC	-	-	True -
06/01/1977 06/01/1960	OL-AC NC-AC	Overlay - AC New Construction - AC	-	-	True - True -
			`	_	
Network: B	NW Br 3/2008 Use: AF	• -	AT BOONE) 200.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 03 Surface: PCC 60.00 Ft True Area: 12,553.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
06/03/2008	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True P-505 PCC
06/02/2008	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	6.00	False P-154 Granular Subbase
06/01/2008	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	12.00	False P-152 Compacted Subgrade
Network: B L.C.D.: 09/30	NW Br 0/2010 Use: AF		AT BOONE) 215.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 04 Surface: PCC 200.00 Ft True Area: 48,766.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
09/30/2010	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True P-505
09/29/2010	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0		
09/28/2010	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	12.00	False P-152 COMPACTED
Network: B L.C.D.: 06/07	NW Br 1/2007 Use: RU		Y 15/33 AT BOON 3,006.00 Ft	IE) Width:	Section: 01 Surface: PCC 75.00 Ft True Area:225,152.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
06/01/2007	OL-PU	Overlay - PCC Unbonded	\$0	6.00	True 6" PCC
09/01/1989	OL-AC	Overlay - AC	-	-	True -
06/02/1960	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0		True 2" AC
06/01/1960	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0	8.00	False β" P-209
Network: B L.C.D.: 07/07			Y 15/33 AT BOON 1,802.00 Ft	IE) Width:	Section: 02 Surface: PCC 75.00 Ft True Area: 143,116.00 SqF
	Work	Work		Thickness	Major
Work Date	Code	Description	Cost	(in)	M&R Comments
	-	-	Cost \$0	(in) 0.00	M&R Comments False -
Date	Code	Description		. ,	False -
Date 11/01/2014 11/01/2014 11/01/2014	Code SL-PC CS-PC PA-PF	Description Slab Replacement - PCC Crack Sealing - PCC Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0 \$0 \$0	0.00 0.00 0.00	False - False SS-26 False SS-25
Date 11/01/2014 11/01/2014 11/01/2014 11/01/2014	Code SL-PC CS-PC PA-PF PA-PP	Description Slab Replacement - PCC Crack Sealing - PCC Patching - PCC Full Depth Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	False - False SS-26 False SS-25 False SS-25
Date 11/01/2014 11/01/2014 11/01/2014	Code SL-PC CS-PC PA-PF	Description Slab Replacement - PCC Crack Sealing - PCC Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0 \$0 \$0	0.00 0.00 0.00	MaxFalse-FalseSS-26FalseSS-25FalseSS-25FalseSS-26

Date:02/	/15/2021		story Re Database:IA 2	•	2 of 4
Network: BI	NW Br	anch: T01BO (TAXIWA)	Width:	Section: 01 Surface: PCC	
L.C.D.: 09/30	D/2010 Use: TA	XIWAY Rank P Length:		30.00 Ft True Area: 9,105.00 SqF	
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
09/30/2010 09/29/2010 09/28/2010 06/01/1993 06/01/1977	CR-PC BA-AG SG-CO OL-AS NC-AC	Complete Reconstruction - PC Base Course - Aggregate Subgrade - Compacted Overlay - AC Structural (Major New Construction - AC	\$0 \$0 \$0 -	6.00 6.00 12.00 - -	TrueP-505FalseP-209 CABFalseP-152 COMPACTEDTrue-True-
Network: Bl L.C.D.: 06/03	NW Br 3/2002 Use: TA		Y 01 AT BOONE) 5,220.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 02 Surface: PCC 35.00 Ft True Area:196,172.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
06/03/2002	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True 6" PCC
06/02/2002	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	6.00	False 6" P-154
06/01/2002	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	6.00	False 6" P-152
Network: Bl L.C.D.: 06/03	NW Br 3/2007 Use: TA		Y 01 AT BOONE) 97.50 Ft	Width:	Section: 03 Surface: PCC 37.50 Ft True Area: 3,699.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
06/03/2007	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0	6.00	True 6" P-505
06/02/2007	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	6.00	False 6" P-154
06/01/2007	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	12.00	False 12" P-152
Network: BI L.C.D.: 06/03	NW Br 3/2007 Use: TA		Y 01 AT BOONE) 180.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 04 Surface: PCC 40.00 Ft True Area: 8,338.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
06/03/2007	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0	6.00	True 6" P-505
06/02/2007	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	6.00	False 6" P-154
06/01/2007	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	12.00	False 12" P-152
Network: BI L.C.D.: 06/03	NW Br 3/2007 Use: TA		Y 01 AT BOONE) 122.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 05 Surface: PCC 60.00 Ft True Area: 8,946.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
06/03/2007	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0		True 6" P-505
06/02/2007	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0		False 6" P-154
06/01/2007	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0		False 12" P-152
Network: BI L.C.D.: 06/03	NW Br 3/2007 Use: TA		Y 01 AT BOONE) 56.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 06 Surface: PCC 40.00 Ft True Area: 2,658.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
00/00/0007	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0	6.00 6.00	True 6" P-505
06/03/2007 06/02/2007 06/01/2007	SB-AG SG-CO	Subbase - Aggregate Subgrade - Compacted	\$0 \$0		False 5" P-154 False 12" P-152
06/02/2007 06/01/2007 Network: Bl	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted anch: TH01BO (T-HANG)		12.00	
06/02/2007 06/01/2007 Network: Bl	SG-CO NW Br	Subgrade - Compacted anch: TH01BO (T-HANG)	\$0 AR 01 AT BOONE	12.00 E)	False 12" P-152 Section: 01 Surface: AC

Date:02/	/15/2021		story Re Database:IA 2	-	3 of 4
Network: BI	NW Br	• –	AR 01 AT BOONE	∃)	Section: 02 Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 10/01	1/2008 Use: T-I		84.00 Ft	Width:	18.00 Ft True Area: 1,910.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
10/01/2008	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0	0.00	True VIA GOOGLE EARTH
Network: BI L.C.D.: 01/12	NW Br 2/2008 Use: T-I		AR 01 AT BOONE 900.00 Ft	E) Width:	Section: 03 Surface: PCC 25.00 Ft True Area: 24,307.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/12/2008 01/11/2008 01/10/2008	CR-PC SB-AG SG-CO	Complete Reconstruction - PC Subbase - Aggregate Subgrade - Compacted	\$0 \$0 \$0	6.00 6.00 12.00	RECONSTRUCTION False 6" P154 SUBBASE
Network: BI	NW Br	• –	AR 02 AT BOONE	E)	Section: 01 Surface: PCC
L.C.D.: 05/03	3/2015 Use: T-I		405.00 Ft	Width:	45.00 Ft True Area: 15,730.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
05/03/2015	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	False 6" P-209
05/02/2015	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0	6.00	
05/01/2015	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	12.00	
Network: BI	NW Br		AR 02 AT BOONE	∃)	Section: 02 Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/1977 Use: T-I		691.00 Ft	Width:	18.00 Ft True Area: 12,467.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/1977	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0	0.00	True JNKNOWN; CONSTRUCTED PRIOR TO 1994 PER GOOGLE EARTH
Network: BI	NW Br		AR 02 AT BOONE	∃)	Section: 03 Surface: PCC
L.C.D.: 04/03	3/2018 Use: T-I		600.00 Ft	Width:	25.00 Ft True Area: 15,574.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
04/03/2018 04/02/2018 04/01/2018	CR-PC SB-AG SG-CO	Complete Reconstruction - PC Subbase - Aggregate Subgrade - Compacted	\$0 \$0 \$0	6.00 6.00 12.00	False 5" P-209 GRANULAR SUBBASE False 12" SUBGRADE PREPARATION
01/01/1977	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0	0.00	True UNKNOWN; CONSTRUCTED PRIOR TO 1994 PER GOOGLE EARTH

Work History Report

Pavement Database:IA 2020

Summary:

Work Description	Section Count	Area Total (SqFt)	Thickness Avg (in)	Thickness STD (in)
Base Course - Aggregate	5	339,536.00	6.40	.89
Complete Reconstruction - PCC	4	110,840.00	6.00	.00
Crack Sealing - PCC	1	143,116.00	.00	-
Joint Seal (Localized)	1	143,116.00	.00	-
New Construction - AC	8	374,180.00	1.08	1.86
New Construction - Initial	4	23,641.00	6.00	.00
New Construction - PCC	6	457,120.00	5.00	2.45
Overlay - AC	3	348,860.00	-	-
Overlay - AC Structural	1	40,783.00	3.00	-
Overlay - AC Structural (Major MR)	1	9,105.00	-	-
Overlay - PCC Unbonded	1	225,152.00	6.00	-
Patching - PCC Full Depth	1	143,116.00	.00	-
Patching - PCC Partial Depth	1	143,116.00	.00	-
Slab Replacement - PCC	1	143,116.00	.00	-
Subbase - Aggregate	10	374,884.00	5.80	.63
Subgrade - Compacted	13	448,485.00	11.54	1.66

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action		
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch		
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor		
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Corrugation	Low	Monitor		
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch		
Depression	Low	Monitor		
Depression	Medium	Monitor		
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch		
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch		
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor		
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch		
Patching	Low	Monitor		
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch		
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor		
Raveling	Low	Monitor		
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch		
Rutting	Low	Monitor		
Rutting	Medium	Monitor		
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch		
Shoving	Low	Monitor		
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch		
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch		
Swelling	Low	Monitor		
Swelling	Medium	Monitor		
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch		
Weathering	Low	Monitor		
Weathering	Medium	Monitor		
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch		

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
ASR	Low	Monitor
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement
ASR	High	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Faulting	Low	Monitor
Faulting	Medium	Grinding
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Popouts	N/A	Monitor
Pumping	N/A	Monitor
Scaling	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$14.10/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.41/lf
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$36.10/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$16.12/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$2.90/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$2.90/lf
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.35/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$16.12/sf

Table E-3. 2021 unit costs for preventive maintenance actions.

Table E-4. 2021 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0–40	PCI Range 40–50	PCI Range 50–60	PCI Range 60–70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80–90	PCI Range 90–100
AC	\$10.01	\$4.74	\$4.74	\$4.74	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$16.71	\$7.90	\$7.90	\$7.90	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

APPENDIX F

YEAR 2021 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2021 Estimated Cost
A01BO	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	237	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$14,178
A01BO	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	166	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$9,924
A01BO	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	560	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$31,001
A01BO	03	Joint Seal Damage	High	130	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$6,206
A01BO	04	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$24
R15BO	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	8	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$811
R15BO	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	1,804	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$108,750
R15BO	02	ASR	Medium	8	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$16.12	\$24,054
R15BO	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	764	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$52,064
T01BO	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$309
T01BO	02	ASR	Medium	9	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$16.12	\$13,197
T01BO	02	Corner Spalling	Medium	9	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$909
T01BO	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	2,208	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$98,295
T01BO	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	37	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$1,017
T01BO	02	Shattered Slab	Low	19	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$1,017
T01BO	03	Corner Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$97
T01BO	03	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	45	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$1,881
T01BO	04	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	72	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$3,538
T01BO	04	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$280
T01BO	05	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	73	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$3,718
T01BO	06	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$24

Table F-1. Year 2021 localized preventive maintenance details.

₽ -

rubie i 1. real 2021 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).												
								2021				
				Distress	Distress		Unit	Estimated				
Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Quantity	Unit	Maintenance Action	Cost	Cost				
T01BO	06	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	32	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$1,044				
TH01BO	03	Joint Seal Damage	High	194	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$9,062				
TH01BO	03	Joint Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$415				

Table F-1. Year 2021 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Boone Municipal Airport.

PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation Aviation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

JULY 2021