Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport

Pavement Management Report



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

JULY 2024







CARROLL-ARTHUR N NEU AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared For:



Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

Prepared By:



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
PAVEMENT INVENTORY	3
PAVEMENT EVALUATION	
Pavement Evaluation Procedure	
Pavement Evaluation Results	
Inspection Comments	13
Runways	
Taxiways	
Apron	
T-Hangars	
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM	
Analysis Parameters	
Critical PCIs	
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs	
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs	
Budget and Inflation Rate	
Analysis Approach	
Analysis Results	
General Maintenance Recommendations	
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)	
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Prog	
(PMP)	
SUMMARY	
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 4. Development and distinguished a figure in	4
Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair	
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport	
Figure 3. Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport network definition map	
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type	
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport.	
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport	
Figure 8. Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport PCI map	10
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1, 2022 revenues evaluation regults	44
Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results.	
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario	
Lable 3 Pavement inspection report	20

Table of Contents July 2024

APPENDIXES

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2024 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	

Introduction July 2024

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers (Robinson), updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the State of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport were visually assessed in November 2023 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present on the pavement surface are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

Introduction July 2024

The pavement evaluation results for Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport, the Iowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the web-based interactive pavement data visualization tool IDEA, containing the information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website or directly (Iowa APMS IDEA).

Pavement Inventory July 2024

PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps, as necessary, to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2020.


The pavement network at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.


Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.


To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 1,151,800 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age in years of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport.

Pavement Inventory July 2024







PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech visually inspected the pavements at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, <u>Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of</u> Airport Pavements.
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, <u>Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)</u>.
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

During the PCI inspection, a cursory inspection of the entirety of a pavement section was performed. Sample units identified for more detailed inspection were verified, and adjustments to the selected sample units for inspection were made as needed to ensure an accurate assessment of the pavement's condition. Data pertaining to the types, severities, and quantities of observed pavement distresses were then collected within each sample unit. These data were then used to calculate the composite PCI of each pavement section. The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition with no visible signs of deterioration. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

PCI: 100

PCI: 83

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.

Note: Photographs shown are not specific to Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport.

PCI: 39

PCI: 66

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

PCI Range
86-100
Preventive Maintenance

56-70
Major Rehabilitation

26-40
11-25
Reconstruction

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which is useful when selecting M&R strategies. Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as:

- Load-related—These distress types are defined as being caused by aircraft or vehicular traffic and may indicate a structural deficiency. Examples of load-related distress include alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements and corner breaks on portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements.
- Climate/durability-related—These distress types often signify the presence of aged or environmentally susceptible (or both) material and include durability-related issues. Examples of climate/durability-related distress include weathering on asphalt-surfaced pavements, which is climate-related, and durability cracking on PCC pavements, which is durability-related.
- Other—Distress types that fall into this category cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability. Examples of this type of distress include depressions on asphaltsurfaced pavements and shrinkage cracking on PCC pavements.

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport were inspected in November 2023. The 2023 area-weighted condition of Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport is 80, with conditions ranging from 39 to 98 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2020, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 87.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.







Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport. (Values on chart are area-weighted)






Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results.

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2023 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
A01CA	01	PCC	69,760	6/1/1972	86	6	58	36	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Scaling
R03CA	01	PCC	76,872	9/16/2006	92	83	0	17	Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
R03CA	02	PCC	120,082	9/16/2006	89	42	12	46	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking
R13CA	02	PCC	89,625	6/1/1972	39	7	16	77	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Popouts, Small Patch
R13CA	03	PCC	210,375	6/1/1988	70	19	35	46	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Popouts, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
R13CA	04	PCC	150,000	1/3/1993	80	29	52	19	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
R13CA	05	PCC	100,125	1/3/1993	84	17	60	23	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking
T01CA	01	PCC	3,690	6/1/1988	76	41	40	19	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Shrinkage Cracking
T01CA	02	PCC	21,295	10/3/2010	93	0	95	5	Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
T02CA	01	PCC	20,169	1/1/1997	84	26	59	15	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab

Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results (continued).

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2023 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
T03CA	01	PCC	20,082	1/1/1997	85	11	69	20	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage
T04CA	01	PCC	44,495	9/16/2006	88	61	0	39	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking
T05CA	01	PCC	10,526	9/16/2006	82	65	29	6	Corner Break, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
T06CA	01	PCC	188,648	6/3/2010	92	18	79	3	Corner Break, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
TH01CA	01	PCC	8,725	1/1/2007	88	42	15	43	Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
TH02CA	01	PCC	8,919	1/1/2007	93	21	25	54	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
TH02CA	02	PCC	4,345	1/1/2007	90	38	16	46	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
TH02CA	03	PCC	4,040	1/1/2007	98	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
- 5. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Inspection Comments

Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport was inspected on November 6, 2023. There were eighteen pavement sections defined during the inspection. Suspected alkali-silica reaction (ASR) was recorded at this airport in accordance with ASTM D5340. It should be noted that laboratory testing in the form of petrographic analysis is the only definitive way to validate the presence of ASR; however, the formation of a precipitate is evidence of a reaction consistent with this type of materials-related distress.

Runways

Runway 03/21 was defined by two sections. Section 01 was located at the Runway 03 approach with low-severity joint spalling and large patching; low- and medium-severity longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking; and medium-severity corner spalling and shattered slab identified during the inspection. Section 02 was located at the Runway 21 approach and contained low-severity ASR, corner break, joint seal damage, and large patching; low- and medium-severity corner spalling and LTD cracking; medium-severity faulting and joint spalling; and shrinkage cracking.

Runway 13/31 contained four sections. Section 02 was in poor condition and had low-severity large patching and small patching, low- and medium-severity joint spalling and LTD cracking, medium-severity corner break and corner spalling, medium- and high-severity joint seal damage, all severities of ASR, and popouts observed during the inspection. Areas of low-severity large patching and small patching; low- and medium-severity ASR, joint spalling, and LTD cracking; medium-severity corner break; medium- and high-severity joint seal damage; all severities of corner spalling; shrinkage cracking; and popouts were recorded in Section 03. Section 04 had low-severity small patching; low- and medium-severity faulting, joint spalling; and LTD cracking; medium-severity corner spalling; all severities of joint seal damage; and shrinkage cracking recorded during the inspection. Section 05 was observed having areas of low-severity large patching; low- and medium-severity faulting, joint spalling, and LTD cracking; medium-severity corner break; medium- and high-severity corner spalling and joint seal damage; and shrinkage cracking.

Taxiways

Taxiway 01 consisted of two sections. Section 01 connected the apron area to Taxiway 06 and had low-severity joint spalling, low-and high-severity corner break, medium- and high-severity corner spalling, high-severity joint seal damage, and shrinkage cracking. Section 02 connected Runway 13/31 to Taxiway 06 and contained low-severity joint spalling and medium-severity joint seal damage.

Taxiway 02 was defined by one section. Section 01 was located at the Runway 31 approach and connected to Taxiway 06. Areas of low-severity LTD cracking; low- and high-severity corner spalling; medium-severity corner break, joint spalling, and shattered slab; and medium- and high-severity joint seal damage were recorded in this section.

Taxiway 03 contained one section. Areas of low-severity faulting, medium-severity corner break, and high-severity corner spalling and joint seal damage were identified in Section 01.

Taxiway 04 consisted of one section. Low-severity joint spalling, low- and medium-severity LTD cracking, low- and high-severity corner break, medium-severity corner spalling and faulting, and shrinkage cracking were recorded during the inspection in Section 01. An atypical area of high-severity faulting was observed and recorded as an additional sample unit in accordance with ASTM D5340.

Taxiway 05 contained one section with areas of low-severity large patching and LTD cracking and medium-severity corner break, joint seal damage, and shattered slab recorded during the inspection.

Taxiway 06 was defined by one section. Medium- and high-severity joint seal damage were identified. An atypical area of medium-severity corner break, joint spalling, and LTD cracking was observed and recorded as an additional sample unit in accordance with ASTM D5340.

Apron

The apron area consisted of one section. Low-severity corner break and LTD cracking, low- and medium-severity corner spalling and joint spalling, medium-severity ASR, and all severities of joint seal damage were recorded during the inspection. An atypical area of medium-severity scaling was observed and recorded as an additional sample unit in accordance with ASTM D5340.

T-Hangars

T-hangar 01 was defined by one section. Section 01 contained areas of low-severity corner break, faulting, and joint seal damage; low- and medium-severity joint spalling; and medium-severity LTD cracking.

T-hangar 02 contained three sections. Low-severity faulting, joint seal damage, and LTD cracking and medium-severity corner spalling were recorded in Section 01. Areas of low-severity corner spalling, faulting, joint seal damage, and LTD cracking were identified in Section 02. Section 03 was in excellent condition with only low-severity joint seal damage observed during the inspection.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The lowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the lowa DOT considered appropriate to correct the different distress types and severities. The lowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire State. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The lowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2024, and an inflation rate of 2.0 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2024) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2025 or 2026, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2024. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2024 localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2024 is provided in Appendix F.

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost
2024	A01CA	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$36,253
2024	R03CA	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$17,206
2024	R03CA	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$3,613
2024	R13CA	02	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$1,652,797
2024	R13CA	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$201,243
2024	R13CA	04	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$43,329
2024	R13CA	05	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$42,185
2024	T01CA	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$2,925
2024	T01CA	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$11,862
2024	T02CA	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$17,957
2024	T03CA	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$12,243
2024	T04CA	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$6,528
2024	T05CA	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$10,052
2024	T06CA	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$113,829
2024	TH01CA	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$323
2024	TH02CA	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$107
2027	R13CA	03	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$1,947,557

Total Estimated Cost: \$4,120,000

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that State or Federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the

recommendations presented within this report, Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A
 sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this
 report.
- Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur because of these inspections. This documentation needs to be reported to the FAA and the lowa DOT. This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure all edges of pavement maintain the required 1.5-inch lip. This enables the water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, mowing, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for Federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the Federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport will also need to undertake monthly driveby inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized text is a direct quotation from the FAA Advisory Circular.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

A-1.0. An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the lowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map provided in Figure 3 is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at Carroll-Arthur N Neu *Airport* is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to the pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys," the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

A-1.3. Record Keeping. The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:

- a. Inspection date
- b. Location
- c. Distress types
- d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items A through C are satisfied by this inspection report. Item D is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

A-1.4. Information Retrieval. An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report.

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01CA	01					
R03CA	01					
R03CA	02					
R13CA	02					
R13CA	03					
R13CA	04					

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued).

Inspected By: _	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
R13CA	05					
T01CA	01					
T01CA	02					
T02CA	01					
T03CA	01					
T04CA	01					

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
T05CA	01					
T06CA	01					
TH01CA	01					
TH02CA	01					
TH02CA	02					
TH02CA	03					

Table Note: See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

Summary July 2024

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2023 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 80. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport, which revealed that approximately \$4,120,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

APPENDIX A CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Cause of Distress Tables July 2024

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Cause of Distress Tables July 2024

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

APPENDIX B INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01CA-01. Overview.



A01CA-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 10).



A01CA-01. Scaling (Additional Sample Unit No. 20).




R03CA-01. Overview.




R03CA-01. Large Patching (Sample Unit No. 09).



R03CA-02. Overview.




R03CA-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 08).



R13CA-02. Overview.



R13CA-02. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 05).



R13CA-03. Overview.



R13CA-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 09).



R13CA-04. Overview.



R13CA-04. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 20).



R13CA-05. Overview.



R13CA-05. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 10).



T01CA-01. Overview.



T01CA-01. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 01).



T01CA-02. Overview.



T01CA-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 09).



T02CA-01. Overview.




T02CA-01. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 07).



T03CA-01. Overview.



T03CA-01. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 08).



T04CA-01. Overview.



T04CA-01. Faulting (Additional Sample Unit No. 05).



T04CA-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 15).



T05CA-01. Overview.



T05CA-01. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 03).



T06CA-01. Overview.



T06CA-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 64).



T06CA-01. Joint Spalling (Additional Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01CA-01. Overview.



TH01CA-01. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 03).



TH02CA-01. Overview.



TH02CA-01. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 03).



TH02CA-02. Overview.



TH02CA-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH02CA-03. Overview.



TH02CA-03. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



APPENDIX C INSPECTION REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Pavement Database: IA 2023			Generate Date: 4/16/2024
Network ID: CIN			Page ²
	Branch - Secti	ion ID: A01CA - 001	
Branch Name: APRON			Use: APRON
LCD: 6/1/1972 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 69,760.00 Length (ft): 460.00 Width (ft): 164.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP		PCI Family: lowaPCCAP_NCW_Enhanced	
Slabs: 567 Slab Length (ft): 11.50 Slab Width (ft): 10.70 Joint Length (ft): 12,008.70		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023 PCI: 86 Total Samples: 28 Surveyed: 8		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 94 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments: 20.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG 75 CORNER SPALL	L M	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 91 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 75 CORNER SPALL	L M	20.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 07			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 10			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 92 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	L M L	20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 19			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 66 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	

65 JT SEAL DMG Н 21.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL L 1.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL Μ 1.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL 1.00 Slabs Μ 76 ASR 2.00 Slabs Μ

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

1 avenient Database. IA 2025		•	perierate Date. 4/10/2024
Network ID: CIN			Page 2
Sample Number: 20			
Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	21.00 Slabs	
70 SCALING	M	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 23			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 92 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	20.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 28			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR	L	2.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	20.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	2.00 Slabs	

7.00 Slabs

75 CORNER SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 3

Branch - Section ID: R03CA - 001

PCI Family: IowaPCCRW NCW Enhanced

Branch Name: RUNWAY 03/21 Use: RUNWAY

LCD: 9/16/2006 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: S

Section Area (sf): 76,872.00 Length (ft): 1,230.00 Width (ft): 60.00 From: RUNWAY 03 END To: RUNWAY 13/31

Slabs: 615 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 12,493.26

Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023

PCI: 92 Total Samples: 27 Surveyed: 7

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 73

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

2.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CR L 1.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CR Μ 72 SHAT. SLAB М 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 83

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

72 SHAT. SLAB Μ 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 09

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 95

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

67 LARGE PATCH L 2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 13

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 17

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 4

Sample Number: 21

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 95

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

74 JOINT SPALL L 1.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL M 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 26

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

74 JOINT SPALL L 1.00 Slabs

Generate Date: 4/16/2024 Pavement Database: IA 2023

Network ID: CIN		Page (
	Branch - Section ID: R03CA - 002	
Branch Name: RUNWAY 03/21		Use: RUNWA
LCD: 9/16/2006 Surface Type: PCC Rank: S Section Area (sf): 120,082.00 Length (ft): 2,000.00 Width (ft): 60.00 From: RUNWAY 13/31 To: RUNWAY 21 END	PCI Family: lowaPCCRW_NCW_En	nhanced
Slabs: 961 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 19,553.35	Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023 PCI: 89 Total Samples: 41 Surveyed: 8	Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 04		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 75 CORNER SPALL	Sample Comments: L 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08	L 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08 Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 71 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 67 LARGE PATCH 71 FAULTING 73 SHRINKAGE CR 75 CORNER SPALL	L 3.00 Slabs L 2.00 Slabs L 24.00 Slabs L 1.00 Slabs M 1.00 Slabs N 1.00 Slabs M 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 13		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 63 LINEAR CR	Sample Comments: M 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 17		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 90 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL 76 ASR	L 1.00 Slabs M 1.00 Slabs L 1.00 Slabs	
Oamanda Namaham 00		

Sample Number: 22

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 91

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

76 ASR L 3.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 6

Sample Number: 26

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 83

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

 63 LINEAR CR
 L
 3.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 M
 1.00 Slabs

 75 CORNER SPALL
 M
 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 31

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 96

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

63 LINEAR CR L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 40

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 27.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 27.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN			Page
	Branch - Section II	D: R13CA - 002	
Branch Name: RUNWAY 13/31			Use: RUNWA
LCD: 6/1/1972 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 89,625.00 Length (ft): 1,195.00 Width (ft): 75.00 From: RUNWAY END 13 To: RUNWAY SECT 03	PCI	Family: lowaPCCRW_NCW_Enhanced	I
Slabs: 478 Slab Length (ft): 15.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 11,875.00	Secti	on Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023 PCI: 39 Total Samples: 20 Surveyed: 7	Inspe	ection Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 67 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sam	ole Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 68 POPOUTS 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL 76 ASR	H L N L M M	24.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 6.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 51 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Samı	ole Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 68 POPOUTS 75 CORNER SPALL 76 ASR 76 ASR	M H L N M L	1.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs 21.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 15.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 31 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Samı	ole Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 66 SMALL PATCH 67 LARGE PATCH 68 POPOUTS	H L L N	24.00 Slabs 8.00 Slabs 16.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 10.00 Slabs	

Μ

Н

2.00 Slabs

2.00 Slabs

14.00 Slabs

75 CORNER SPALL

76 ASR

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 8

Network ID: CIN			Page 8
Sample Number: 10			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 29			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00			
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	24.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	8.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	12.00 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	4.00 Slabs	
68 POPOUTS	N	12.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	Н	1.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	6.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	M	2.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	M	2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 13			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 26			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00			
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	M	3.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	19.00 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	4.00 Slabs	
68 POPOUTS	N	14.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	4.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	3.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	M	1.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	M	4.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 15			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 33			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00			
63 LINEAR CR	L	1.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	11.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	8.00 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	5.00 Slabs	
68 POPOUTS	N	10.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	6.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	- L	3.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	M	1.00 Slabs	
70 400		5.00.01.1	

Μ

5.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 9

Sample Number: 18

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 35

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG	M	24.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	L	20.00 Slabs
67 LARGE PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs
68 POPOUTS	N	9.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
76 ASR	L	11.00 Slabs
76 ASR	M	5.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 10

Network ID: CIN			Page 10
	Branch - Section	ID: R13CA - 003	
Branch Name: RUNWAY 13/31			Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 6/1/1988 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 210,375.00 Length (ft): 2,805.00 Width (ft): 75.00 From: RUNWAY SECT 02 To: RUNWAY SECT 04	PC	CI Family: lowaPCCRW_NCW_Enhanced	
Slabs: 1,346 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 30,780.00	Se	ection Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023 PCI: 70 Total Samples: 59 Surveyed: 8	Ins	spection Comments:	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 81 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sa	ample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 75 CORNER SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	L M H M	1.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 09			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 65 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sa	ample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 67 LARGE PATCH 73 SHRINKAGE CR	M M L L N	4.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 14			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 71 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sa	ample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 67 LARGE PATCH 68 POPOUTS	L M L L	1.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs	

1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

73 SHRINKAGE CR

Pavement Database: IA 2023		G	enerate Date: 4/16/2024
Network ID: CIN			Page 11
Sample Number: 23			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 75			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00			
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	24.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	3.00 Slabs	
68 POPOUTS	N	3.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	L M	3.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 32		2.00 Slabe	
Sample Type: R	Samnle	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 74	Gampic	Comments.	
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00			
63 LINEAR CR	M	1.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	H	24.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	 L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	2.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 41			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 73			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00			
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	3.00 Slabs	
68 POPOUTS	N	2.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 50			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 81			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00			
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 56			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 35 Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00			
, ,	5.4	4.00 Claha	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR	M M	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	H	18.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	 L	3.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	Ĺ	2.00 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	- L	3.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	1.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	M	2.00 Slabs	
76 A C D	N/I	2.00 Slaba	

М

2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN			Page 12
	Branch - Section	ID: R13CA - 004	
Branch Name: RUNWAY 13/31			Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 1/3/1993 PCI Family: lowaPCCRW_NCW_Enhanced Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 150,000.00 Length (ft): 1,500.00 Width (ft): 100.00 From: RUNWAY 31 END To: RUNWAY SECT 03			
Slabs: 960 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 22,400.00 Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023 PCI: 80 Total Samples: 48 Surveyed: 8		ction Comments: pection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00		mple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG Sample Number: 07	Н	24.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 07 Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 82 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	Sar H L M	mple Comments: 20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 14			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	Sar L M H	nple Comments: 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 20	п	20.00 Slaus	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 74 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sar	mple Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR	L M	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	

L

20.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

65 JT SEAL DMG

66 SMALL PATCH

CARROLL-ARTHUR N NEU AIRPORT				
Pavement Database: IA 2023			Generate Date: 4/16/2024	
Network ID: CIN			Page 13	
Sample Number: 23				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 83 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CR	L	1.00 Slabs		
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	20.00 Slabs		
73 SHRINKAGE CR	N	1.00 Slabs		
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs		
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs		
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs		
Sample Number: 34				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:		
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	20.00 Slabs		
Sample Number: 41				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 43 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CR	L	1.00 Slabs		
63 LINEAR CR	M	4.00 Slabs		
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	20.00 Slabs		
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs		
71 FAULTING	L	2.00 Slabs		
71 FAULTING	M	3.00 Slabs		

Μ

L

Μ

Sample Comments:

1.00 Slabs

20.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

74 JOINT SPALL

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG

75 CORNER SPALL

Sample Number: 46Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 94

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN			Page 14
	Branch - Sect	ion ID: R13CA - 005	
Branch Name: RUNWAY 13/31			Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 1/3/1993 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 100,125.00 Length (ft): 4,005.00 Width (ft): 25.00 From: RUNWAY 13 END To: RUNWAY SECT 04		PCI Family: lowaPCCRW_NCW	[/] _Enhanced
Slabs: 607 Slab Length (ft): 13.20 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 11,565.23		Section Comments: Slab length length is entered)	varies btwn 15' & 12.5' (average slab
Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023 PCI: 84 Total Samples: 38 Surveyed: 8		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	16.00 Slab	S
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 75 CORNER SPALL	M M	16.00 Slab 1.00 Slab	
Sample Number: 10			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 65 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00		Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 73 SHRINKAGE CR 74 JOINT SPALL	M M M M N	1.00 Slab 1.00 Slab 16.00 Slab 1.00 Slab 2.00 Slab 1.00 Slab	s s s
Sample Number: 15			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 81 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	H L H	16.00 Slab 1.00 Slab 1.00 Slab	s
Sample Number: 20			

Sample Number: 20

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 16.00 Slabs Μ

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 15

Sample Number: 25

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 84

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 16.00 Slabs 67 LARGE PATCH L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 31

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 78

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

 63 LINEAR CR
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 16.00 Slabs

 71 FAULTING
 L
 2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 35

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 16.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 16

Branch -	Saction	ID: TA	1 C A	በበ4
Dianch -	Section	ID. IV	IUA -	UUI

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

LCD: 6/1/1988

Section Area (sf): 3,690.00

Length (ft): 74.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: APRON

To: TAXIWAY 01 SECT 02

Slabs: 43 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 9.50 Slab Width (ft): 9.00 Joint Length (ft): 643.13

Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023

PCI: 76 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW NCW Enhanced

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 69

Sample Area (Slabs): 19.00

62 CORNER BREAK 1.00 Slabs Н 3.00 Slabs **62 CORNER BREAK** L 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 19.00 Slabs 73 SHRINKAGE CR Ν 1.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 80

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 24.00 Slabs Η 75 CORNER SPALL 1.00 Slabs Η 75 CORNER SPALL Μ 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 17

Branch - Section ID: T01CA - 002

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01

LCD: 10/3/2010

PCI Family: lowaPCCTW_NCW_Enhanced

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 21,295.00

Length (ft): 472.00

Width (ft): 30.00

From: TAXIWAY 01 SECT 01

To: RUNWAY 13/33
Slabs: 232
Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.50 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 3,706.86

Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 93 Total Samples: 13 Surveyed: 6

Sample Number: 01
Sample Type: R
Sample Comments:

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02
Sample Type: R
Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 91

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 20.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 08

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 09

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 10

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 11

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 24.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

1 avenient Batabase. I/ 2020			Ochorate Date. 4/ 10/202-
Network ID: CIN			Page 18
	Branch - Secti	ion ID: T02CA - 001	
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 02			Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 1/1/1997 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 20,169.00 Length (ft): 300.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: RUNWAY 31 To: END OF TAXIWAY		PCI Family: lowaPCCTW_NCW_Enhance	d
Slabs: 202 Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 3,462.34		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023 PCI: 84 Total Samples: 9 Surveyed: 5		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 91 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 65 JT SEAL DMG	М	Sample Comments: 24.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L L	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	24.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05 Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL	M M	Sample Comments: 20.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 07	IVI	2.00 01000	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 73 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 72 SHAT. SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL	M M M M	24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 09			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 73 Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00		Sample Comments:	

62 CORNER BREAK 1.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CR L 1.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 18.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL Н 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 19

Branch - Section ID: T03CA - 001 Use: TAXIWAY Branch Name: TAXIWAY 03 LCD: 1/1/1997 PCI Family: IowaPCCTW NCW Enhanced Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 20,082.00 Length (ft): 315.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: RUNWAY 13 To: END OF TAXIWAY Slabs: 201 Section Comments: Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 3,450.60 Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023 Inspection Comments: PCI: 85 Total Samples: 9 Surveyed: 5 Sample Number: 01 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 79 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 24.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 3.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 75 CORNER SPALL Н 1.00 Slabs Sample Number: 03 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 Н 24.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 08

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 83

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

62 CORNER BREAK M 1.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG H 18.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 20

Branch - Section ID: T04CA - 001

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 04 Use: TAXIWAY

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW NCW Enhanced

Inspection Comments:

LCD: 9/16/2006 Surface Type: PCC

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 44,495.00 Length (ft): 1,665.00 Width (ft): 25.00 From: RUNWAY 21 END

To: APRON

Slabs: 285 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 5,312.68

Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023

PCI: 88

Total Samples: 17 Surveyed: 8

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 86

Sample Area (Slabs): 28.00

 62 CORNER BREAK
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CR
 L
 3.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CR
 L
 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 92

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

63 LINEAR CR L 2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: A Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 47

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

 62 CORNER BREAK
 H
 1.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CR
 M
 3.00 Slabs

 71 FAULTING
 H
 2.00 Slabs

 71 FAULTING
 M
 1.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 L
 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 07

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 10

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 21

Sample Number: 12

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 81

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

63 LINEAR CR L 2.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING M 2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 15

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 90

Sample Area (Slabs): 15.00

63 LINEAR CR L 2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 16

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 15.00

73 SHRINKAGE CR N 1.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL M 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 22

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 05 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 9/16/2006 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 10,526.00

Length (ft): 200.00 Width (ft): 75.00 From: RUNWAY 03 END

To: END

Slabs: 84 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 1,701.70

Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023

PCI: 82 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW NCW Enhanced

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 12.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 12.00 Slabs Μ 1.00 Slabs **67 LARGE PATCH** L

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 73

Sample Area (Slabs): 15.00

65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 15.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 72 SHAT. SLAB Μ

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 84

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

62 CORNER BREAK 1.00 Slabs Μ 63 LINEAR CR L 1.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 24.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 23

Branch - Section ID: T06CA - 001

Use: TAXIWAY Branch Name: TAXIWAY 06

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW NCW Enhanced

Inspection Comments:

LCD: 6/3/2010 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 188,648.00

Length (ft): 5,286.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 2.168 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.70 Joint Length (ft): 35,122.85

Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023

PCI: 92

Total Samples: 110 Surveyed: 12

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: A Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 67

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

2.00 Slabs **62 CORNER BREAK** Μ 1.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CR Μ 65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 16.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL Μ 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 08

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 104

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG Н 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 19

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 29

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 20.00 Slabs Μ

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 24

Sample Number: 34

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 42

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 54

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 64

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 74

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 84

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 94

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 20.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 25

Network ID: CIN			Page 25
	Branch - Section	n ID: TH01CA - 001	
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 1/1/2007 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 8,725.00 Length (ft): 415.00 Width (ft): 20.00 From: TAXIWAY 02 To: SEE MAP		PCI Family: IowaPCCTH_NCW	
Slabs: 60 Slab Length (ft): 14.50 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,016.95		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023 PCI: 88 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 79 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR	M	1.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	20.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL	L L	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02		1.00 01455	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 85 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	

1.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

62 CORNER BREAK

65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING

74 JOINT SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 26

Branch - Section ID: TH02CA - 001 Branch Name: T-HANGAR 02 Use: T-HANGAR LCD: 1/1/2007 PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NCW Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 8,919.00 Length (ft): 430.00 Width (ft): 20.00 From: TAXIWAY 02 To: SEE MAP Slabs: 64 Section Comments: Slab Length (ft): 14.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,062.28 Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023 Inspection Comments: PCI: 93 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3 Sample Number: 01 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 1.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CR L

L

20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 87

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 L
 24.00 Slabs

 71 FAULTING
 L
 2.00 Slabs

 75 CORNER SPALL
 M
 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 27

Branch - Section ID: TH02CA - 002

Use: T-HANGAR

LCD: 1/1/2007 PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NCW

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 4,345.00

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 02

Length (ft): 200.00 Width (ft): 20.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 41 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.50 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 609.33

Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 90 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 83

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

 63 LINEAR CR
 L
 2.00 Slabs

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 L
 21.00 Slabs

 71 FAULTING
 L
 2.00 Slabs

 75 CORNER SPALL
 L
 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 28

Branch - Section ID: TH02CA - 003

PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NCW

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 02 Use: T-HANGAR

Surface Type: PCC

LCD: 1/1/2007

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 4,040.00

Length (ft): 202.00 Width (ft): 20.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 38 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.50 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 566.76

Last Insp Date: 11/6/2023 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 98 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

APPENDIX D WORK HISTORY REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 1

Network: CARROLL-ARTHUR N NEU AIRPORT

Branch - Section ID: A01CA - 001

 LCD: 6/1/1972
 Length (ft):
 460.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 164.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 69,760.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2020	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2020	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2010	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1972	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: R03CA - 001

 LCD: 9/16/2006
 Length (ft):
 1,230.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 60.00

 Rank: S
 True Area (sf):
 76,872.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2020	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-02-2020	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2020	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
09-16-2006	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	5.00	True	IDOT CLASS C PCC
09-15-2006	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	8.00	False	FLY ASH TREATED

Branch - Section ID: R03CA - 002

 LCD: 9/16/2006
 Length (ft):
 2,000.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 60.00

 Rank: S
 True Area (sf):
 120,082.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2020	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-02-2020	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2020	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
09-16-2006	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	5.00	True	IDOT CLASS C PCC
09-15-2006	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	8.00	False	FLY ASH TREATED

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 2

Branch - Section ID: R13CA - 002

 LCD: 6/1/1972
 Length (ft):
 1,195.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 75.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 89,625.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2023	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	FIELD EST
06-01-2023	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	FIELD EST
06-01-2015	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2015	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-505
06-01-2015	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2010	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2010	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1972	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501
05-31-1972	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-154

Branch - Section ID: R13CA - 003

 LCD: 6/1/1988
 Length (ft):
 2,805.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 75.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 210,375.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2015	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2015	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2010	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1988	OL-PP	Overlay - PCC Partially Bonded	\$0.00	5.00	True	5" P-501
06-01-1972	OL-AS	Overlay - AC Structural	\$0.00	2.00	True	2" AC OVERLAY
06-04-1961	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0.00	2.00	True	2" P-401
06-03-1961	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	8.00	False	8" P-208
06-02-1961	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	5.00	False	5" P-154
06-01-1961	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	9.00	False	9" P-152

Branch - Section ID: R13CA - 004

 LCD: 1/3/1993
 Length (ft):
 1,500.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 100.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 150,000.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2015	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2015	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2010	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
01-03-1993	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501
01-02-1993	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-154
01-01-1993	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-152

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 3

Branch - Section ID: R13CA - 005

 LCD: 1/3/1993
 Length (ft):
 4,005.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 25.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 100,125.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2015	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2015	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2010	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
01-03-1993	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501
01-02-1993	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-154
01-01-1993	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P-155

Branch - Section ID: T01CA - 001

 LCD: 6/1/1988
 Length (ft):
 74.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 3,690.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2010	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1988	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T01CA - 002

 LCD: 10/3/2010
 Length (ft):
 472.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 30.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 21,295.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-03-2010	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	P-505
10-02-2010	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	P-208
10-01-2010	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	9.00	False	FLY ASH TREATED P-158
06-01-1988	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T02CA - 001

 LCD: 1/1/1997
 Length (ft):
 300.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 40.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 20,169.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-1997	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T03CA - 001

 LCD: 1/1/1997
 Length (ft):
 315.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 40.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 20,082.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-1997	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 4

Branch - Section ID: T04CA - 001

 LCD: 9/16/2006
 Length (ft):
 1,665.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 25.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 44,495.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2020	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-02-2020	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2020	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2015	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-505
09-16-2006	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	5.00	True	IDOT CLASS C PCC
09-15-2006	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	8.00	False	FLY ASH TREATED

Branch - Section ID: T05CA - 001

 LCD: 9/16/2006
 Length (ft):
 200.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 75.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 10,526.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2020	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2020	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
09-16-2006	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	5.00	True	IDOT CLASS C PCC
09-15-2006	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	8.00	False	FLY ASH STABILIZED

Branch - Section ID: T06CA - 001

 LCD: 6/3/2010
 Length (ft):
 5,286.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 188,648.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2010	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	P-505
06-02-2010	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	P-208
06-01-2010	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	9.00	False	FLY ASH TREATED P-158

Branch - Section ID: TH01CA - 001

 LCD: 1/1/2007
 Length (ft):
 415.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 20.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 8,725.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2020	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2020	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
01-01-2007	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	ESTIMATED FROM AERIAL
01-01-1990	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	UNKNOWN; CONSTRUCTED BETWEEN 1990- 2004 (GOOGLE EARTH)

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: CIN Page 5

Branch - Section ID: TH02CA - 001

 LCD: 1/1/2007
 Length (ft):
 430.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 20.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 8,919.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
12-16-2020	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2020	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
01-01-2007	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	ESTIMATED FROM AERIAL
01-01-1990	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	UNKNOWN; CONSTRUCTED BETWEEN 1990- 2004 (GOOGLE EARTH)

Branch - Section ID: TH02CA - 002

 LCD: 1/1/2007
 Length (ft):
 200.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 20.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 4,345.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2020	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2020	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
01-01-2007	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	LCD VIA GOOGLE EARTH

Branch - Section ID: TH02CA - 003

 LCD: 1/1/2007
 Length (ft):
 202.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 20.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 4,040.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2020	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
01-01-2007	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	LCD VIA GOOGLE EARTH

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action	
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor	
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch	
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch	
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor	
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor	
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt	
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt	
Corrugation	Low	Monitor	
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch	
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch	
Depression	Low	Monitor	
Depression	Medium	Monitor	
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch	
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch	
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor	
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt	
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt	
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor	
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt	
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt	
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch	
Patching	Low	Monitor	
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch	
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch	
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor	
Raveling	Low	Monitor	
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch	
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch	
Rutting	Low	Monitor	
Rutting	Medium	Monitor	
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch	
Shoving	Low	Monitor	
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch	
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch	
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch	
Swelling	Low	Monitor	
Swelling	Medium	Monitor	
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch	
Weathering	Low	Monitor	
Weathering	Medium	Monitor	
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch	

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
ASR	Low	Monitor
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement
ASR	High	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Faulting	Low	Monitor
Faulting	Medium	Grinding
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Popouts	N/A	Monitor
Pumping	N/A	Monitor
Scaling	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch

Table E-3. 2024 unit costs for localized preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$15.54/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.66/If
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$39.82/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$17.78/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.20/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.20/If
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.38/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$17.78/sf

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

Table E-4. 2024 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0-40	PCI Range 40-50	PCI Range 50-60	PCI Range 60-70	PCI Range 70-80	PCI Range 80-90	PCI Range 90–100
AC	\$11.04	\$5.22	\$5.22	\$5.22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$18.44	\$8.72	\$8.72	\$8.72	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

APPENDIX F

YEAR 2024 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Table F-1. Year 2024 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2024 Estimated Cost
A01CA	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	12	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$2,988
A01CA	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	159	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$10,760
A01CA	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	21	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$2,289
A01CA	01	ASR	Medium	8	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$17.78	\$16,944
A01CA	01	Corner Break	Low	4	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$102
A01CA	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	21	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$1,423
A01CA	01	Scaling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$1,747
R03CA	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	4	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$135
R03CA	01	Shattered Slab	Medium	8	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$17.78	\$16,669
R03CA	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	4	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$402
R03CA	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	5	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$1,267
R03CA	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	10	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$355
R03CA	02	Faulting	Medium	5	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.38	\$19
R03CA	02	Corner Spalling	Medium	15	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$1,584
R03CA	02	Corner Break	Low	15	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$388
R13CA	03	LTD Cracking	Medium	43	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$1,737
R13CA	03	ASR	Medium	29	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$17.78	\$80,416
R13CA	03	Joint Spalling	Medium	22	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$5,583
R13CA	03	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	695	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$50,837
R13CA	03	Corner Spalling	Medium	94	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$10,081
R13CA	03	Joint Seal Damage	High	651	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$47,659
R13CA	03	Corner Break	Medium	7	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.78	\$4,155

Table F-1. Year 2024 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2024 Estimated Cost
R13CA	03	Corner Spalling	High	7	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$775
R13CA	04	Faulting	Medium	18	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.38	\$83
R13CA	04	LTD Cracking	Medium	41	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$1,639
R13CA	04	Joint Seal Damage	High	375	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$27,973
R13CA	04	Joint Spalling	Medium	12	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$3,011
R13CA	04	Corner Spalling	Medium	18	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$1,882
R13CA	04	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	117	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$8,741
R13CA	05	Corner Spalling	High	5	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$508
R13CA	05	Joint Seal Damage	High	304	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$18,504
R13CA	05	Corner Spalling	Medium	5	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$508
R13CA	05	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	304	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$18,504
R13CA	05	Corner Break	Medium	5	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.78	\$2,723
R13CA	05	LTD Cracking	Medium	5	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$195
R13CA	05	Joint Spalling	Medium	5	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$1,220
R13CA	05	Faulting	Medium	5	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.38	\$23
T01CA	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	43	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$2,058
T01CA	01	Corner Break	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.78	\$574
T01CA	01	Corner Break	Low	3	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$79
T01CA	01	Corner Spalling	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$107
T01CA	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$107
T01CA	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	232	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$11,862
T02CA	01	Corner Spalling	High	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$197

Table F-1. Year 2024 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2024 Estimated Cost
T02CA	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	169	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$9,267
T02CA	01	Shattered Slab	Medium	2	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$17.78	\$3,265
T02CA	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	33	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$1,813
T02CA	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	9	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$2,361
T02CA	01	Corner Break	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.78	\$1,054
T03CA	01	Corner Spalling	High	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$189
T03CA	01	Corner Break	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.78	\$1,012
T03CA	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	201	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$11,042
T04CA	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	3	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$120
T04CA	01	Faulting	High	2	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$17.78	\$5,556
T04CA	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$204
T04CA	01	Faulting	Medium	5	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.38	\$23
T04CA	01	Corner Break	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.78	\$574
T04CA	01	Corner Break	Low	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$50
T05CA	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	84	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$5,445
T05CA	01	Corner Break	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.78	\$946
T05CA	01	Shattered Slab	Medium	2	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$17.78	\$3,661
T06CA	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	1,972	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$102,251
T06CA	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	196	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$10,142
T06CA	01	Corner Break	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.78	\$1,148
T06CA	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$257
T06CA	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$30

Year 2024 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details

Table F-1. Year 2024 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2024 Estimated Cost
TH01CA	01	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$26
TH01CA	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$257
TH01CA	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$39
TH02CA	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$107

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Carroll-Arthur N Neu Airport.



PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

JULY 2024