## Denison Municipal Airport

#### PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT



#### PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

JULY 2022





The preparation of this document was financed in part through an Airport Improvement Program grant from the Federal Aviation Administration (Project Number 3-19-0000-028-2021) as provided under Section 505 of the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended. The contents do not necessarily reflect the DOT's official views or the policy of the FAA. Acceptance of this report by the FAA does not in any way constitute a commitment on the part of the United States to participate in any development depicted therein nor does it indicate the proposed development is environmentally acceptable in accordance with appropriate public laws.

# DENISON MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

## **Prepared For:**



Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

## **Prepared By:**



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

## In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 1
PAVEMENT INVENTORY
PAVEMENT EVALUATION
Pavement Evaluation Procedure
Pavement Evaluation Results7
Inspection Comments
Runway 12
Taxiway12
Apron 12
T-Hangar12
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM 13
Analysis Parameters
Critical PCIs13
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs
Budget and Inflation Rate
Analysis Approach13
Analysis Results
General Maintenance Recommendations15
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)15
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)
SUMMARY

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair	. 1
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Denison Municipal Airport	4
Figure 3. Denison Municipal Airport network definition map.	. 5
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	. 6
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.	. 7
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Denison Municipal Airport.	8
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Denison Municipal Airport.	. 8
Figure 8. Denison Municipal Airport PCI map.	9

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. 2021 pavement evaluation results	. 10
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario	. 14
Table 3. Pavement inspection report	. 18

## **APPENDIXES**

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	B-1
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2022 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	

## INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company, updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Denison Municipal Airport were assessed in November 2021 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

The pavement evaluation results for Denison Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Denison Municipal Airport, the Iowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the interactive pavement management data visualization tool IDEA, containing the pavement management information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website (<u>https://iowadot.gov/aviation</u>).

## **PAVEMENT INVENTORY**


The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Denison Municipal Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps as necessary to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2018.

The pavement network at Denison Municipal Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.

Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.


To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 535,000 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Denison Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age, in years, of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Denison Municipal Airport.



### Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Denison Municipal Airport.





1	NETWORK
	R18AL-01 (71
	03
	03
	03





## PAVEMENT EVALUATION

### **Pavement Evaluation Procedure**

APTech inspected the pavements at Denison Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, *Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements* (<u>https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory\_Circular/150-5380-6C.pdf</u>).
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, *Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)* (https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory\_Circular/150-5380-7B.pdf).
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces<sup>1</sup>.



<sup>1</sup>Photographs shown are not specific to Denison Municipal Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

PCI Range	Repair		
86-100			
71-85	Preventive Maintenance		
56-70			
41-55	Major Rehabilitation		
26-40			
11-25	Reconstruction		
0-10			

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.


The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which in turn helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause, thus eliminating or delaying its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as load-related (such as alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on portland cement concrete [PCC] pavements), climate/durability-related (such as weathering [a climate-related distress type on asphalt-surfaced pavements] and durability cracking [a durability-related distress type on PCC pavements]), and other (distress types that cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability).

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

#### **Pavement Evaluation Results**


The pavements at Denison Municipal Airport were inspected in November 2021. The 2021 areaweighted condition of Denison Municipal Airport is 79, with conditions ranging from 37 to 93 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2018, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 81.


Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Denison Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.



#### Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Denison Municipal Airport.

Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Denison Municipal Airport. (Values on chart are area-weighted)







		pplied pav	ement	115 W. Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, IL 61801 Tel: (217) 398-3977 Fax: (217) 398-4027
	Robin	son Engineeri	ing	322 1st Street East
		Company		Independence, IA 50644 Tel: (319) 334-7211
		Consulting Engineers		
ONDITION INDEX	AGENCY: IOW	a Department	of Transportat	ion
PCI	Moda	l Transportatio	on Bureau - Av	iation
86-100	LOCATION:	Denison Mur	nicipal Airport	
71-85		Deniso	n, Iowa	
56-70	PAGE TITLE: 2021	Pavement Co	ondition Index	Man
41-55				•
26-40	PROJECT DATE: SEP. 2021	CREATION DATE: SEP. 2021	PROJECT MANAGER: LJR	JOB NUMBER: 17-020-AM05
11-25	DRAWING SCALE: 1"=400'	LAST MODIFIED DATE: APR. 2022	REVISED BY: ABF	DRAWN BY: DSP
0-10	FILENAME: Deniso	on.dwg	LAYOUT NAME/NUMBER: PCI	PAGE NUMBER: 9

	Table 1. 2021 pavement evaluation results.								
Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2021 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
A01DN	01	PCC	30,349	6/1/2001	87	21	73	6	Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
A01DN	02	PCC	35,959	1/1/1997	76	27	41	32	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
R12DN	01	PCC	314,842	1/2/1997	80	32	35	33	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
R12DN	02	PCC	59,248	1/3/1997	79	19	36	45	ASR, Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
R12DN	03	PCC	14,133	1/2/1997	78	87	7	6	Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
R12DN	04	РСС	15,616	1/2/1997	37	61	14	25	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
R12DN	05	PCC	7,737	1/3/1997	86	30	43	27	Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
R12DN	06	PCC	6,812	1/2/1997	73	34	33	33	Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
R12DN	07	PCC	4,535	1/2/1997	57	62	22	16	Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
T01DN	01	PCC	4,607	6/1/2001	92	0	89	11	Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
T01DN	02	PCC	717	1/1/1997	93	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage

10

	Table 1. 2021 pavement evaluation results (continued).									
Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2021 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress	
T01DN	03	PCC	3,843	6/1/1997	74	0	42	58	Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, Scaling	
T01DN	04	PCC	1,400	6/1/2001	88	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage	
T01DN	05	PCC	1,725	6/1/1997	73	24	39	37	Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Scaling, Shrinkage Cracking	
T01DN	06	PCC	2,199	6/1/1997	74	38	38	24	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking	
TH01DN	01	PCC	6,765	1/1/1989	80	62	22	16	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Small Patch	
TH01DN	02	PCC	10,670	4/4/2014	84	39	60	1	Corner Break, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking	
TH01DN	03	PCC	13,817	2/3/2004	89	28	65	7	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking	

Table 1. 2021 pavement evaluation results (continued)

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphaltsurfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Denison Municipal Airport Pavement Management Report

July 2022

## **Inspection Comments**

Denison Municipal Airport was inspected on November 17, 2021. There were eighteen pavement sections defined during the inspection. Suspected alkali-silica reaction (ASR) was recorded at this airport in accordance with ASTM D5340-20. It should be noted that laboratory testing in the form of petrographic analysis is the only definitive way to validate the presence of ASR; however, the formation of a precipitate is evidence of a reaction consistent with this type of materials-related distress.

## Runway

Runway 12/30 was defined by seven sections. Section 01 contained areas of medium-severity corner break and shattered slab; all severities of corner spalling; low- and medium-severity joint spalling, faulting, and longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking; high-severity joint seal damage and small patching; and shrinkage cracking. All severities of ASR and faulting; medium-severity corner break, LTD cracking, and shattered slab; medium- and high-severity joint seal damage; and low-severity joint spalling were noted in Section 02. Section 03 had low-severity faulting and joint seal damage and low- and medium-severity LTD cracking. Section 04 was in poor condition with low- and medium-severity ASR and corner break; low-severity corner spalling, joint spalling, and faulting; medium- and high-severity joint seal damage and shattered slab; all severities of LTD cracking and large patching; shrinkage cracking; and medium-severity small patching. Section 05 contained medium-severity joint seal damage and LTD cracking and low-severity joint spalling and faulting. High-severity joint seal damage was recorded throughout Section 06 along with medium-severity joint spalling and LTD cracking, shrinkage cracking, and high-severity small patching. Section 07 had areas of high-severity joint seal damage was recorded throughout Section 06 along with medium-severity joint spalling and LTD cracking, shrinkage cracking, and high-severity small patching. Section 07 had areas of high-severity joint seal damage was recorded throughout and medium-severity joint spalling and LTD cracking noted.

## Taxiway

The taxiway connected Runway 12/30 with the apron area and contained six sections. Section 01 had low-severity corner spalling and medium-severity joint seal damage. Medium-severity joint seal damage was observed throughout Section 02. Section 03 had areas of high-severity joint seal damage, low-severity joint spalling and large patching, and low- and medium-severity scaling. Section 04 contained high-severity joint seal damage that was noted throughout. High-severity joint seal damage, low-severity LTD cracking and scaling, medium-severity joint spalling, and shrinkage cracking were recorded in Section 05. Section 06 contained low-severity corner spalling and faulting, high-severity joint seal damage, and medium-severity LTD cracking.

## Apron

The apron was divided into two sections. Medium-severity corner break, low-severity faulting, all severities of joint seal damage, and low- and medium-severity LTD cracking were observed in Section 01. Section 02 contained medium-severity corner break, low-severity corner spalling and joint spalling, low- and medium-severity faulting and LTD cracking, and medium- and high-severity joint seal damage.

## T-Hangar

The T-hangar area consisted of three sections. Section 01 had areas of low-severity corner spalling, faulting, and small patching; medium-severity joint seal damage; and low- and medium-severity joint spalling and LTD cracking. Low-severity corner break, medium- and high-severity joint seal damage, low- and medium-severity LTD cracking, and shrinkage cracking were noted in Section 02. Section 03 contained medium-severity joint seal damage and low-severity corner break, corner spalling, and LTD cracking.

## PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Denison Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

## Analysis Parameters

## Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

## Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct for the different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Denison Municipal Airport.

## Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

## Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2022 and an inflation rate of 4.0 percent was used during the analysis.

## Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2022) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2023 or 2024, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2022. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Denison Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2022 localized

preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

#### **Analysis Results**

A summary of the M&R program for Denison Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2022 is provided in Appendix F.

Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost
2022	A01DN	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$12,727
2022	A01DN	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$20,246
2022	R12DN	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$160,042
2022	R12DN	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$43,349
2022	R12DN	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$444
2022	R12DN	04	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$271,440
2022	R12DN	05	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$3,826
2022	R12DN	06	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$4,317
2022	R12DN	07	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$37,286
2022	T01DN	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$2,606
2022	T01DN	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$223
2022	T01DN	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$6,353
2022	T01DN	04	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$458
2022	T01DN	05	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$876
2022	T01DN	06	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$943
2022	TH01DN	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$4,568
2022	TH01DN	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance \$	
2022	TH01DN	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$6,413

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Table Notes:

Total Estimated Cost: \$582,000

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Denison Municipal Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Denison Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Denison Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Denison Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

## **General Maintenance Recommendations**

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- 1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this report.
- 2. Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur as a result of inspections. These need to be reported to the FAA and the Iowa DOT. This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a "bathtub" effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

## FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Denison Municipal Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Denison Municipal Airport will also need to undertake monthly driveby inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities. FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this Appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized words are direct quotations from the FAA Advisory Circular.

### FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

**A-1.0.** An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

#### A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at Denison Municipal Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the Iowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map (Figure 3) is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at Denison Municipal Airport is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for Denison Municipal Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys, the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

**A-1.3. Record Keeping.** The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:

- a. Inspection date
- b. Location
- c. Distress types
- d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items a through c are satisfied by this inspection report. Item d is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

**A-1.4. Information Retrieval.** An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Inspected By:

Date Inspected: \_\_\_\_\_

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01DN	01					
A01DN	02					
R12DN	01					
R12DN	02					
R12DN	03					
R12DN	04					

July 2022

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Inspected By:

Date Inspected: \_\_\_\_\_

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
R12DN	05					
R12DN	06					
R12DN	07					
T01DN	01					
T01DN	02					
T01DN	03					

19

Denison Municipal Airport Pavement Management Report

Inspected By: \_\_\_\_\_ Date Inspected: \_\_\_\_\_

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
T01DN	04					
T01DN	05					
T01DN	06					
TH01DN	01					
TH01DN	02					
TH01DN Table Note	03					

20

1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

## SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Denison Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2021 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 79. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Denison Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$582,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Denison Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

## **APPENDIX A**

## CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress			
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.			
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.			
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.			
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.			
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.			
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.			
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.			
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A			
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.			
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.			
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.			
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.			
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.			
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.			

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

## **APPENDIX B**

## **INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS**

### A01DN-01. Overview.



A01DN-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



A01DN-02. Overview.




A01DN-02. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 06).



## R12DN-01. Overview.



R12DN-01. Corner Spalling (Additional Sample Unit No. 36).



## R12DN-01. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 22).



R12DN-01. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 35).





R12DN-01. Small Patching (Additional Sample Unit No. 36).

R12DN-02. Overview.





R12DN-02. ASR (Additional Sample Unit No. 15).

R12DN-02. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 02).





R12DN-02. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 16).

R12DN-03. Overview.





R12DN-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).

R12DN-04. Overview.





R12DN-04. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).

R12DN-04. Large Patching (Additional Sample Unit No. 03).



### R12DN-05. Overview.



R12DN-05. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 04).



### R12DN-06. Overview.



R12DN-06. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).



### R12DN-07. Overview.



R12DN-07. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



T01DN-01. Overview.



T01DN-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



T01DN-02. Overview.



T01DN-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



## T01DN-03. Overview.



T01DN-03. Scaling (Sample Unit No. 02).



T01DN-04. Overview.



T01DN-04. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



### T01DN-05. Overview.



T01DN-05. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



T01DN-06. Overview.



T01DN-06. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



## TH01DN-01. Overview.



TH01DN-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 03).



TH01DN-02. Overview.



TH01DN-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01DN-03. Overview



TH01DN-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



# **APPENDIX C**

## **INSPECTION REPORT**

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS Generate Date: 4/27/2022

	Duranah Osatian		5
Branch Name: APRON 01	Branch - Sectior	11D: A01DN - 01	Use: APRON
LCD: 6/1/2001 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 30,349.00 Length (ft): 285.00 Width (ft): 105.00 From: ONE END OF APRON To: THE OTHER	P	CI Family: IowaPCCAPSW	
Slabs: 211 Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.00 Joint Length (ft): 4,662.64	Se	ection Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 PCI: 87 Total Samples: 12 Surveyed: 6	In	spection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 82 Sample Area (Slabs): 21	Sa	ample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	L M L	2 Slabs 21 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 21 65 JT SEAL DMG	Sa	ample Comments: 21 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 79 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sá	ample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	M M M	1 Slabs 1 Slabs 20 Slabs	
Sample Number: 07			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sa	ample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	20 Slabs	
Sample Number: 09			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 16	Sá	ample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	М	16 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS

#### Sample Number: 11

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20 65 JT SEAL DMG

Sample Comments:

Н

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS Generate Date: 4/27/2022

	Branch - Section ID: A	01DN - 02	
Branch Name: APRON 01			Use: APRON
LCD: 1/1/1997 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 35,959.00 Length (ft): 210.00 Width (ft): 168.00 From: END OF APRON To: SECTION 01	PCI Family: IowaPCCAPSW		
Slabs: 250 Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.00 Joint Length (ft): 5,607.89	Section C	omments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 PCI: 76 Total Samples: 13 Surveyed: 6	Inspectior	n Comments:	
Sample Number: 003			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 82 Sample Area (Slabs): 16	Sample C		
62 CORNER BREAK 65 JT SEAL DMG	M H	1 Slabs 16 Slabs	
Sample Number: 006			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 58 Sample Area (Slabs): 25	Sample C	omments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M H L M L L	2 Slabs 25 Slabs 6 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 008			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 83 Sample Area (Slabs): 25	Sample C	omments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	L M M	2 Slabs 25 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 010			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sample C	comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	М	20 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021

65 JT SEAL DMG

71 FAULTING

71 FAULTING

Network ID: DNS

Sample Number: 011			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 16	Sample C	omments:	
63 LINEAR CR	L	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	М	1 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	М	16 Slabs	
Sample Number: 013			
Sample Type: R	Sample C	omments:	
Sample PCI: 71			
Sample Area (Slabs): 25			
63 LINEAR CR	L	4 Slabs	

M L

Μ

25 Slabs

3 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS Generate Date: 4/27/2022

	Branch - Section ID: F		
Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30	Branch - Section ID: F	(12DN - 01	Use: RUNWA
LCD: 1/2/1997 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 314,842.00 Length (ft): 4,198.00 Width (ft): 75.00 From: NW END OF RUNWAY To: 250' FROM NW END	PCI Famil	ly: IowaPCCRWSW_General	
Slabs: 1,679 Slab Length (ft): 15.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 41,903.94	Section C	omments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 PCI: 80 Total Samples: 71 Surveyed: 10	Inspectior	n Comments:	
Sample Number: 004			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 24		omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	H	24 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 013			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 82 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample C	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24 Slabs	
71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	L	2 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 022			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample C	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24 Slabs	
71 FAULTING Sample Number: 031	L	4 Slabs	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample C	comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	H L	24 Slabs 1 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021

Network ID: DNS

Sample Type: R	Sample C	omments:	
Sample PCI: 57			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24			
62 CORNER BREAK	Μ	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	L	1 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	Н	1 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	1 Slabs	
72 SHAT. SLAB	Μ	1 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CR	Ν	2 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1 Slabs	

Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 55 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK	М	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	М	1 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	Н	1 Slabs	
72 SHAT. SLAB	М	1 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CR	Ν	1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	Μ	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Н	1 Slabs	

### Sample Number: 040

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 79	Sample Comments:		
Sample Area (Slabs): 24			
65 JT SEAL DMG	н	24 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	1 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	Μ	1 Slabs	

### Sample Number: 049

Sample Number: 049			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample Co	nments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24 Slabs	
Sample Number: 058			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample Co	nments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24 Slabs	
Sample Number: 067			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample Co	nments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 73 SHRINKAGE CR 75 CORNER SPALL	L H N L	1 Slabs 24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Μ	1 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Nelwork ID. DINS			Faye /
Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30	Branch - Section ID: I	R12DN - 02	Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 1/3/1997 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 59,248.00 Length (ft): 800.00 Width (ft): 75.00 From: 250' FROM NW END To: 1120' FROM SE END	PCI Fami	ily: IowaPCCRWSW_General	
Slabs: 379 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 8,615.65	Section C	Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 PCI: 79 Total Samples: 16 Surveyed: 7	Inspectio	n Comments:	
Sample Number: 002			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 65 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample C	Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING	H H L M	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 4 Slabs 2 Slabs	
Sample Number: 005			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 83 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample C	Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	H L	24 Slabs 2 Slabs	
Sample Number: 009			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample C	Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	M L L	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 012			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 89 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample 0	Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	M L	24 Slabs 1 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021

Sample Area (Slabs): 24 72 SHAT. SLAB

Network ID: DNS

Sample Number: 014			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 79 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample C	comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Μ	24 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	1 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	Μ	2 Slabs	
Sample Number: 015			
Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample C	comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	Μ	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	Μ	1 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24 Slabs	
76 ASR	Н	2 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	2 Slabs	
76 ASR	Μ	1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 016			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 83	Sample C	comments:	

Μ

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS Generate Date: 4/27/2022

	Branch - Section ID: F	R12DN - 03	
Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30			Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 1/2/1997 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 14,133.00 Length (ft): 350.00 Width (ft): 41.00 From: R12DN-01 To: R12DN-01		y: lowaPCCRWSW_General	
Slabs: 94 Slab Length (ft): 15.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,970.41	Section C	omments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 PCI: 78 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4	Inspectior	Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 65 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sample C	omments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	M L L	4 Slabs 20 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 66 Sample Area (Slabs): 16	Sample C	omments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	M L	4 Slabs 16 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 92 Sample Area (Slabs): 16	Sample C	omments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	L	1 Slabs 16 Slabs	
Sample Number: 06			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 12	Sample C	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	12 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Page 10

### Branch - Section ID: R12DN - 04

Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30			Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 1/2/1997 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 15,616.00 Length (ft): 350.00 Width (ft): 41.00 From: R12DN-01 To: R12DN-02	PCI Fam	ily: lowaPCCRWSW_General	
Slabs: 106 Slab Length (ft): 14.80 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 2,191.24	Section C	Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 PCI: 37 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 5	Inspectio	n Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 40 Sample Area (Slabs): 28	Sample C	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	H M L L	3 Slabs 3 Slabs 28 Slabs 5 Slabs 1 Slabs	

L

Μ

#### Sample Number: 02

76 ASR

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 31 Sample Area (Slabs): 20
62 CORNER BREAK
63 LINEAR CR
63 LINEAR CR
65 JT SEAL DMG
67 LARGE PATCH
67 LARGE PATCH
67 LARGE PATCH
71 FAULTING
76 ASR

75 CORNER SPALL

#### Sample Comments:

1 Slabs

М	1 Slabs
Н	2 Slabs
M	3 Slabs
M	20 Slabs
Н	1 Slabs
L	1 Slabs
Μ	1 Slabs
L	1 Slabs
L	2 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021

### Network ID: DNS

mple Number: 03			
Sample Type: A	Sample C	comments:	
Sample PCI: 27			
Sample Area (Slabs): 20			
62 CORNER BREAK	М	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	Н	2 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	Μ	2 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	Μ	2 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	Н	2 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	1 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	1 Slabs	
76 ASR	Μ	1 Slabs	

Sample Type: R	Sample C	comments:	
Sample PCI: 67			
Sample Area (Slabs): 20			
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	Μ	2 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	1 Slabs	

### Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 16 Sample Area (Slabs): 18	Sample Comm	ents:
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 72 SHAT. SLAB 72 SHAT. SLAB	L L M H M	1 Slabs 2 Slabs 18 Slabs 4 Slabs 4 Slabs
73 SHRINKAGE CR	Ν	2 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30	Branch - Section ID: R12D	N - 05 Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 1/3/1997 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 7,737.00 Length (ft): 90.00 Width (ft): 80.00 From: R12DN-02 To:	PCI Family: lowa	PCCRWSW_General
Slabs: 66 Slab Length (ft): 11.70 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,252.30	Section Commer	ıts:
Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 PCI: 86 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3	Inspection Comm	nents:
Sample Number: 02		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 85 Sample Area (Slabs): 16	Sample Commer	its:
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	M	16 Slabs 2 Slabs
Sample Number: 03		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 91 Sample Area (Slabs): 16	Sample Commer	its:
65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL	M L	16 Slabs 1 Slabs
Sample Number: 04		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 83 Sample Area (Slabs): 17	Sample Commer	its:
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	M M	1 Slabs 17 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Page 13

### Branch - Section ID: R12DN - 06

Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30			Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 1/2/1997 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 6,812.00 Length (ft): 60.00 Width (ft): 41.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP	PCI F	amily: IowaPCCRWSW_General	
Slabs: 51 Slab Length (ft): 12.20 Slab Width (ft): 11.00 Joint Length (ft): 897.95	Section Comments: variable slabs sizes		
Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 PCI: 73 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2	Inspec	ction Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 26	Samp	e Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR	М	1 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	26 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	М	4 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sampla Tuna: P	Some	o Commonto:	

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 68 Sample Area (Slabs): 25 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 73 SHRINKAGE CR 74 JOINT SPALL Sample Comments:

Μ	2 Slabs
Н	25 Slabs
Н	1 Slabs
Ν	1 Slabs
Μ	2 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS

65 JT SEAL DMG

Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Page 14

	Branch - Section ID: F	R12DN - 07	
Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30			Use: RUNWA
LCD: 1/2/1997 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 4,535.00 Length (ft): 450.00 Width (ft): 41.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP	PCI Fami	ly: lowaPCCRWSW_General	
Slabs: 36 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 695.61	Section C	comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 PCI: 57 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2	Inspection	n Comments:	
Sample Number: 001			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 53 Sample Area (Slabs): 26	Sample C	comments:	
63 LINEAR CR	L	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	М	7 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	26 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	Μ	4 Slabs	
Sample Number: 002			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 67 Sample Area (Slabs): 10	Sample C	comments:	
63 LINEAR CR	М	2 Slabs	
	IVI	2 01003	

Н

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS Generate Date: 4/27/2022

	Branch - Section ID: T	01DN - 01	
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01			Use: TAXIWA
LCD: 6/1/2001 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 4,607.00 Length (ft): 58.00 Width (ft): 94.00 From: A01DN-01 To: T01DN-02	PCI Family	r: IowaPCCTWSW	
Slabs: 53 Slab Length (ft): 9.10 Slab Width (ft): 9.50 Joint Length (ft): 862.77	Section Co	mments: avg slab	
Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 PCI: 92 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2	Inspection	Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 92 Sample Area (Slabs): 29	Sample Co	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 75 CORNER SPALL	M L	29 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample Co	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	М	24 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS

65 JT SEAL DMG

Generate Date: 4/27/2022 Page 16

#### Branch - Section ID: T01DN - 02 Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY LCD: 1/1/1997 PCI Family: IowaPCCTWSW Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 717.00 Length (ft): 18.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: T01DN-01 To: T01DN-03 Slabs: 6 Section Comments: Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 73.69 Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 Inspection Comments: PCI: 93 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1 Sample Number: 001 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 6

Μ

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Page 17

	Branch - Section ID: T	01DN - 03	
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01			Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 6/1/1997 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 3,843.00 Length (ft): 112.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: T01DN-02 To: T01DN-04	PCI Famil	y: IowaPCCTWSW	
Slabs: 32 Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 574.16	Section Co	omments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 PCI: 74 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2	Inspection	Comments:	
Sample Number: 001			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 76 Sample Area (Slabs): 18	Sample Co	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 70 SCALING 70 SCALING 74 JOINT SPALL	H L M L	18 Slabs 13 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 002			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 72 Sample Area (Slabs): 14	Sample C	omments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 67 LARGE PATCH 70 SCALING	H L L	14 Slabs 1 Slabs 9 Slabs	

Μ

2 Slabs

70 SCALING 70 SCALING

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS

65 JT SEAL DMG

Generate Date: 4/27/2022

### Page 18

#### Branch - Section ID: T01DN - 04 Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY LCD: 6/1/2001 PCI Family: IowaPCCTWSW Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 1,400.00 Length (ft): 29.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: T01DN-03 To: T01DN-05 Slabs: 10 Section Comments: avg slabs Slab Length (ft): 13.00 Slab Width (ft): 11.00 Joint Length (ft): 151.69 Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 Inspection Comments: PCI: 88 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1 Sample Number: 01 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 10

Н

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS

63 LINEAR CR

70 SCALING

65 JT SEAL DMG

74 JOINT SPALL

73 SHRINKAGE CR

Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Page 19

#### Branch - Section ID: T01DN - 05 Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY LCD: 6/1/1997 PCI Family: IowaPCCTWSW Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 1,725.00 Length (ft): 43.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: T07DN-04 To: R12DN-01 Slabs: 12 Section Comments: Slab Length (ft): 14.30 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 209.89 Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 Inspection Comments: PCI: 73 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1 Sample Number: 01 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 73 Sample Area (Slabs): 12

1 Slabs
12 Slabs
6 Slabs
1 Slabs
1 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS

63 LINEAR CR

71 FAULTING

65 JT SEAL DMG

**75 CORNER SPALL** 

Generate Date: 4/27/2022 Page 20

#### Branch - Section ID: T01DN - 06 Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY LCD: 6/1/1997 PCI Family: IowaPCCTWSW Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 2,199.00 Length (ft): 43.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP Slabs: 18 Section Comments: Slab Length (ft): 14.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.80 Joint Length (ft): 300.84 Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 Inspection Comments: PCI: 74 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1 Sample Number: 001 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 74 Sample Area (Slabs): 18

М	1	Slabs
Н	18	Slabs
L	1	Slabs
L	1	Slabs

# **RE-INSPECTION REPORT DENISON MUNICIPAL AIRPORT**

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS Generate Date: 4/27/2022 Page 21

	Branch - Section ID	: TH01DN - 01	0
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 1/1/1989 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 6,765.00 Length (ft): 400.00 Width (ft): 15.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP		Family: IowaPCCTH_SC&SW	
Slabs: 113 Slab Length (ft): 8.00 Slab Width (ft): 7.50 Joint Length (ft): 1,279.71		tion Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 PCI: 80 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3	Insp	ection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 43 Sample Area (Slabs): 10	Sam	ple Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR	L	3 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	М	4 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	M	10 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 90 Sample Area (Slabs): 23	Sam	ple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	М	23 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 21	San	ple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Μ	21 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	М	1 Slabs	

# **RE-INSPECTION REPORT** DENISON MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS

73 SHRINKAGE CR

Generate Date: 4/27/2022 Page 22

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01	Branch - Section	1D: TH01DN - 02	Use: T-HANGAR
			036. 1-11410411
LCD: 4/4/2014 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 10,670.00 Length (ft): 670.00 Width (ft): 15.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP		PCI Family: lowaPCCTH_SC&SW	
Slabs: 142 Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 7.50 Joint Length (ft): 1,762.41		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 PCI: 84 Total Samples: 8 Surveyed: 5		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 68 Sample Area (Slabs): 24		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR	L	5 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	L M	2 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	H	24 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 22	:	Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	22 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 16		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	М	16 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	:	Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	L	2 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Μ	24 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 92 Sample Area (Slabs): 14		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	М	14 Slabs	
		4 01-1	

Ν

1 Slabs

# **RE-INSPECTION REPORT DENISON MUNICIPAL AIRPORT**

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Network ID: DNS Generate Date: 4/27/2022 Page 23

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01	Branch - Section ID: TH0	1DN - 03	Use: T-HANGAR	
LCD: 2/3/2004 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 13,817.00 Length (ft): 337.00 Width (ft): 41.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP	PCI Family:	lowaPCCTH_SC&SW		
Slabs: 111 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 2,109.06	Section Comments:			
Last Insp Date: 11/17/2021 PCI: 89 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3	Inspection C	omments:		
Sample Number: 01				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 85 Sample Area (Slabs): 21	Sample Con	nments:		
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1 Slabs		
63 LINEAR CR	L	1 Slabs		
65 JT SEAL DMG Sample Number: 02	Μ	21 Slabs		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 21 65 JT SEAL DMG	Sample Con	iments: 21 Slabs		
Sample Number: 03	М	21 Slabs		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 91 Sample Area (Slabs): 21	Sample Con	nments:		
65 JT SEAL DMG	М	21 Slabs		
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1 Slabs		

# **APPENDIX D**

# WORK HISTORY REPORT

06-30-1964

## Work History Pavement Database: IA 2021

# Network: DENISON MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

New Construction - PCC

A01DN - 01

#### Branch - Section ID:

LCD: 6/1/ Use: APF Rank: P Surface: I	RON					Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf):	285.00 105.00 30,349.00
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments	
06-01-2001	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-	

\$0.00

0.00

True

#### Branch - Section ID: A01DN - 02

NC-PC

LCD: 1/1/ <sup>,</sup> Use: APR Rank: P Surface: F	ON					Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf):	210.00 168.00 35,959.00
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments	

	Date	Code	Description	Cost	(in)	MR	Comments
I	01-01-1997	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

#### Branch - Section ID: R12DN - 01

LCD: 1/2/1997	Length (ft):	4,198.00
Use: RUNWAY	Width (ft):	75.00
Rank: P	True Area (sf):	314,842.00
Surface: PCC		

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST
01-02-1997	OL-PU	Overlay - PCC Unbonded	\$0.00	6.00	True	WEST 2600' & EAST 1600": 6" P501 PCC WHITE
01-01-1997	BA-BI	Base Course - Bituminous	\$0.00	1.00	False	WEST 2600' & EAST 1600': 1" P401 AC LEVELIN
06-03-1964	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	WEST 2600': 6" P501 PCC; 1969 EXTENSION EA
06-02-1964	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	WEST 2600': 4" P208 SUBBASE
06-01-1964	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	WEST 2600': 6"-9" P152 COMPACTED SUBGRA

## Branch - Section ID: R12DN - 02

LCD: 1/3/1997
Use: RUNWAY
Rank: P
Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-03-1997	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC SURFACE
01-02-1997	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P208 ABC
01-01-1997	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE
06-30-1973	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Page 1

# Length (ft): 800.00

75.00

59,248.00

Width (ft):

True Area (sf):

#### Branch - Section ID:

LCD: 1/2/1997 Use: RUNWAY Rank: P Surface: PCC

Length (ft):	350.00
Width (ft):	41.00
True Area (sf):	14,133.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
Dato	0000	Decemption		(,		
06-01-2019	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	field est.
10-01-2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	State Funding - Total Amount \$34,000
10-01-2008	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	State Funding - Total Amount \$34,000
01-02-1997	OL-PU	Overlay - PCC Unbonded	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC OVERLAY
01-01-1997	BA-BI	Base Course - Bituminous	\$0.00	1.00	False	1" P401 AC BOND BREAKER
01-03-1963	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC SURFACE
01-02-1963	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" P208 ABC
01-01-1963	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE

#### Branch - Section ID: R12DN - 04

LCD: 1/2/1997 Use: RUNWAY Rank: P Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-01-2008	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	FIELD EST
10-01-2008	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	State Funding - Total Amount \$34,000
10-01-2008	JS-SI	Joint Seal - Silicon	\$0.00	0.00	False	State Funding - Total Amount \$34,000
01-02-1997	OL-PU	Overlay - PCC Unbonded	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC OVERLAY
01-01-1997	BA-BI	Base Course - Bituminous	\$0.00	1.00	False	1" P401 AC BOND BREAKER
01-03-1968	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC SURFACE
01-02-1968	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P154 SUBBASE
01-01-1968	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE

#### Branch - Section ID:

R12DN - 05

R12DN - 03

LCD: 1/3/1997 Use: RUNWAY Rank: P Surface: PCC

Length (ft):	90.00
Width (ft):	80.00
True Area (sf):	7,737.00

Length (ft):

True Area (sf):

Width (ft):

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-03-1997	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC
01-02-1997	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P208 BASE
01-01-1997	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE

350.00

41.00

15,616.00

R12DN - 06

#### Branch - Section ID:

LCD: 1/2/1997 Use: RUNWAY Rank: P Surface: PCC

Length (ft):	60.00
Width (ft):	41.00
True Area (sf):	6,812.00

Length (ft):

Width (ft):

True Area (sf):

Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major	Comments
Date	Code	Description		(in)	MR	
10-01-2008	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	State Funding - Total Amount \$34,000
10-01-2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00		State Funding - Total Amount \$34,000
01-02-1997	OL-PU	Overlay - PCC Unbonded	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC OVERLAY
01-01-1997	BA-BI	Base Course - Bituminous	\$0.00	1.00	False	1" P401 AC BOND BREAKER
01-03-1963	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC SURFACE
01-02-1963	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" P208 ABC
01-01-1963	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE

#### Branch - Section ID: R12DN - 07

LCD: 1/2/1997 Use: RUNWAY Rank: P Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-01-2008	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	State Funding - Total Amount \$34,000
10-01-2008	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	FIELD EST
10-01-2008	JS-SI	Joint Seal - Silicon	\$0.00	0.00	False	State Funding - Total Amount \$34,000
01-02-1997	OL-PU	Overlay - PCC Unbonded	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC OVERLAY
01-01-1997	BA-BI	Base Course - Bituminous	\$0.00	1.00	False	1" P401 AC BOND BREAKER
01-03-1968	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC SURFACE
01-02-1968	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P154 SUBBASE
01-01-1968	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE

#### Branch - Section ID: T01DN - 01

Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major	Comments	
Surface:	PCC						
Rank: P						True Area (sf):	4,607.00
Use: TAX	KIWAY					Width (ft):	94.00
LCD: 6/1/	/2001					Length (ft):	58.00
	10004					1 = 1 = 1	

Date	Code	Description	0031	(in)	MR	Comments
06-01-2009	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2001	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-
06-30-1973	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST

## Branch - Section ID:

T01DN - 02
------------

LCD: 1/1/1997			Length (ft):	18.00
Use: TAXIWAY			Width (ft):	40.00
Rank: P			True Area (sf):	717.00
Surface: PCC				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	 		

	Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
0	1-01-1997	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

450.00

41.00

4,535.00

DIANCII -	Section	ID: T01DN - 03					
LCD: 6/1/ Use: TAX						Length (ft): Width (ft):	112.00 40.00
Rank: P Surface: I	PCC					True Area (sf):	3,843.00
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments	
01-01-2020	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST.	
06-01-1997	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-	
Branch -	Section	ID: T01DN - 04					
LCD: 6/1/ Use: TAX Rank: P	IWAY					Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf):	29.00 40.00 1,400.00
Surface: I					1		
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments	
06-01-2001	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-	
Branch -	Section	ID: T01DN - 05					
LCD: 6/1/	1997					Length (ft):	43.00
Use: TAX	IWAY					Width (ft):	40.00
Rank: P						True Area (sf):	1,725.00
Surface: I	PCC						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments	
Date	-		Cost \$0.00			Comments State Funding - Total Amount \$3	4,000
Date 10-01-2008	Code	Description		(in)	MR		4,000
Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997	Code SL-PC NU-IN	Description           Slab Replacement - PCC           New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	<b>(in)</b> 0.00	MR False		4,000
Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997	Code SL-PC NU-IN Section	Description           Slab Replacement - PCC           New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	<b>(in)</b> 0.00	MR False		
Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch -	Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1997	Description           Slab Replacement - PCC           New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	<b>(in)</b> 0.00	MR False	State Funding - Total Amount \$3- - Length (ft): Width (ft):	4,000 43.00 40.00
Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - 5 LCD: 6/1/	Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1997 IWAY	Description           Slab Replacement - PCC           New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	<b>(in)</b> 0.00	MR False	State Funding - Total Amount \$3 - Length (ft):	43.00
Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - 5 LCD: 6/1/ Use: TAX Rank: P	Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1997 IWAY	Description           Slab Replacement - PCC           New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	<b>(in)</b> 0.00	MR False	State Funding - Total Amount \$3- - Length (ft): Width (ft):	43.00 40.00
Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - 3 LCD: 6/1/ Use: TAX Rank: P Surface: F Work Date	Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1997 IWAY PCC Work	Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial         ID:       T01DN - 06         Work	\$0.00	(in) 0.00 0.00	MR False True	State Funding - Total Amount \$3 - Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf):	43.00 40.00 2,199.00
Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - 3 LCD: 6/1/ Use: TAX Rank: P Surface: F Work Date 10-01-2008	Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1997 IWAY PCC Work Code	Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial         ID:       T01DN - 06         Work         Description	\$0.00 \$0.00	(in) 0.00 0.00 Thickness (in)	MR False True Major MR	State Funding - Total Amount \$34 - Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf): Comments	43.00 40.00 2,199.00
Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - 3 LCD: 6/1/ Use: TAX Rank: P Surface: F Work Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997	Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1997 IWAY PCC Work Code SL-PC NU-IN	Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial         ID:       T01DN - 06         Work         Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial	\$0.00 \$0.00 Cost \$0.00	(in) 0.00 0.00 Thickness (in) 0.00	MR False True Major MR False	State Funding - Total Amount \$34 - Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf): Comments	43.00 40.00 2,199.00
Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - 3 LCD: 6/1/ Use: TAX Rank: P Surface: F Work Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997	Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1997 IWAY PCC Work Code SL-PC NU-IN Section	Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial         ID:       T01DN - 06         Work         Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial	\$0.00 \$0.00 Cost \$0.00	(in) 0.00 0.00 Thickness (in) 0.00	MR False True Major MR False	State Funding - Total Amount \$34 - Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf): Comments	43.00 40.00 2,199.00 4,000
Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - 3 LCD: 6/1/ Use: TAX Rank: P Surface: F Work Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - 3	Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1997 IWAY PCC Work Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1989	Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial         ID:       T01DN - 06         Work         Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial	\$0.00 \$0.00 Cost \$0.00	(in) 0.00 0.00 Thickness (in) 0.00	MR False True Major MR False	State Funding - Total Amount \$34 - Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf): Comments State Funding - Total Amount \$34 -	43.00 40.00 2,199.00
Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - LCD: 6/1/ Use: TAX Rank: P Surface: F Work Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - LCD: 1/1/	Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1997 IWAY PCC Work Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1989	Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial         ID:       T01DN - 06         Work         Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial	\$0.00 \$0.00 Cost \$0.00	(in) 0.00 0.00 Thickness (in) 0.00	MR False True Major MR False	State Funding - Total Amount \$34 - Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf): Comments State Funding - Total Amount \$34 - Length (ft):	43.00 40.00 2,199.00 4,000 400.00 15.00
Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - S LCD: 6/1/ Use: TAX Rank: P Surface: F Work Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - S LCD: 1/1/ Use: T-H/	Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1997 IWAY PCC Work Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1989 ANGAR	Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial         ID:       T01DN - 06         Work         Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial	\$0.00 \$0.00 Cost \$0.00	(in) 0.00 0.00 Thickness (in) 0.00	MR False True Major MR False	State Funding - Total Amount \$3- - Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf): Comments State Funding - Total Amount \$3- - Length (ft): Width (ft):	43.00 40.00 2,199.00 4,000 400.00 15.00
Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - LCD: 6/1/ Use: TAX Rank: P Surface: F Work Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - LCD: 1/1/ Use: T-H/ Rank: P	Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1997 IWAY PCC Work Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1989 ANGAR	Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial         ID:       T01DN - 06         Work         Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial	\$0.00 \$0.00 Cost \$0.00	(in) 0.00 0.00 Thickness (in) 0.00	MR False True Major MR False	State Funding - Total Amount \$3- - Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf): Comments State Funding - Total Amount \$3- - Length (ft): Width (ft):	43.00 40.00 2,199.00 4,000 400.00
Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - 3 LCD: 6/1/ Use: TAX Rank: P Surface: F Work Date 10-01-2008 06-01-1997 Branch - 3 LCD: 1/1/ Use: T-H/ Rank: P Surface: F Work	Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1997 IWAY PCC Work Code SL-PC NU-IN Section 1989 ANGAR PCC Work	Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial         ID:       T01DN - 06         Work         Description         Slab Replacement - PCC         New Construction - Initial         ID:       TH01DN - 01         Work         Work         Work         Vork         Work	\$0.00 \$0.00 <b>Cost</b> \$0.00 \$0.00	(in) 0.00 0.00 Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00 Thickness	MR False True Major MR False True	State Funding - Total Amount \$34 - Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf): Comments State Funding - Total Amount \$34 - Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf):	43.00 40.00 2,199.00 4,000 400.00 15.00 6,765.00

### Branch - Section ID:

TH01DN - 02

Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major	Comments	
Use: T-HA Rank: P Surface: P	-					Width (ft): True Area (sf):	15.00 10,670.00
LCD: 4/4/2	014					Length (ft):	670.00

Date	Code	Description		(in)	MR	
04-04-2014	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-505 PCC
04-03-2014	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" P-154 MOD. SUBBASE
04-02-2014	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6' P152 SUBGRADE

#### Branch - Section ID: TH01DN - 03

LCD: 2/3/2004 Use: T-HANGAR Rank: P Surface: PCC

Length (ft):	337.00
Width (ft):	41.00
True Area (sf):	13,817.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
02-03-2004	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" IDOT CLASS C PCC
02-02-2004	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-154 SUBBASE
02-01-2004	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-152 SUBGRADE

# **APPENDIX E**

# LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low	Monitor
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium	Monitor
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

	Severity	
Distress Type	Level	Maintenance Action
ASR	Low	Monitor
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement
ASR	High	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Faulting	Low	Monitor
Faulting	Medium	Grinding
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Popouts	N/A	Monitor
Pumping	N/A	Monitor
Scaling	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance	ce policy, PCC pavements.
---	---------------------------

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$14.66/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.51/lf
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$37.54/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$16.76/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.02/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.02/lf
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.36/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$16.76/sf

Table E-3. 2022 unit costs for preventive maintenance actions.

Table E-4. 2022 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0–40	PCI Range 40–50	PCI Range 50–60	PCI Range 60-70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80–90	PCI Range 90–100
AC	\$10.41	\$4.93	\$4.93	\$4.93	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$17.38	\$8.22	\$8.22	\$8.22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

# **APPENDIX F**

# YEAR 2022 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

July 2022

								• • • •
				Distress	Distress		Unit	2022 Estimated
Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Quantity	Unit	<b>Maintenance</b> Action	Cost	Cost
A01DN	01	Corner Break	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.76	\$968
A01DN	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	102	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$6,802
A01DN	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	73	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$4,893
A01DN	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$65
A01DN	02	Corner Break	Medium	6	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.76	\$3,196
A01DN	02	Faulting	Medium	10	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.36	\$43
A01DN	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	169	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$11,468
A01DN	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	81	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$5,467
A01DN	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$71
R12DN	01	Corner Break	Medium	9	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.76	\$4,688
R12DN	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	8	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$774
R12DN	01	Corner Spalling	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$101
R12DN	01	Faulting	Medium	8	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.36	\$34
R12DN	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	1,679	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$126,549
R12DN	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$242
R12DN	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$42
R12DN	01	Shattered Slab	Medium	9	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$16.76	\$27,220
R12DN	01	Small Patch	High	9	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.76	\$391
R12DN	02	ASR	Medium	1	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$16.76	\$2,619

Table F-1. Year 2022 localized preventive maintenance details.

Denison Municipal Airport Pavement Management Report

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2022 Estimated Cost
R12DN	02	ASR	High	2	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$16.76	\$5,238
R12DN	02	Corner Break	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.76	\$541
R12DN	02	Faulting	Medium	10	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.36	\$44
R12DN	02	Faulting	High	2	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$16.76	\$6,456
R12DN	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	178	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$12,186
R12DN	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	142	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$9,771
R12DN	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$38
R12DN	02	Shattered Slab	Medium	2	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$16.76	\$6,456
R12DN	03	LTD Cracking	Medium	12	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$444
R12DN	05	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	66	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$3,782
R12DN	05	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$44
R12DN	06	Joint Seal Damage	High	51	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$2,712
R12DN	06	Joint Spalling	Medium	6	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$1,455
R12DN	06	LTD Cracking	Medium	3	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$105
R12DN	06	Small Patch	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.76	\$45
T01DN	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	53	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$2,606
T01DN	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	6	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$223
T01DN	03	Joint Seal Damage	High	32	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$1,734
T01DN	03	Scaling	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$4,619
T01DN	04	Joint Seal Damage	High	10	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$458
T01DN	05	Joint Seal Damage	High	12	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$634

Table F-1. Year 2022 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Year 2022 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details

Table 1 1. Tear 2022 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).								
Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2022 Estimated Cost
T01DN	05	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$242
T01DN	06	Joint Seal Damage	High	18	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$909
T01DN	06	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$34
TH01DN	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	113	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$3,865
TH01DN	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$507
TH01DN	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	8	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$196
TH01DN	02	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$35
TH01DN	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	77	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$2,874
TH01DN	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	65	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$2,448
TH01DN	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	3	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$75
TH01DN	03	Corner Break	Low	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$44
TH01DN	03	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	111	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$6,369

Table F-1. Year 2022 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Denison Municipal Airport.

#### PREPARED FOR

lowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau — Aviation 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 iowadot.gov/aviation

JULY 2022