Maquoketa Municipal Airport

PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT



PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

JULY 2021





The preparation of this document was financed in part through an Airport Improvement Program grant from the Federal Aviation Administration (Project Number 3-19-0000-027-2020) as provided under Section 505 of the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended. The contents do not necessarily reflect the DOT's official views or the policy of the FAA. Acceptance of this report by the FAA does not in any way constitute a commitment on the part of the United States to participate in any development depicted therein nor does it indicate the proposed development is environmentally acceptable in accordance with appropriate public laws.

MAQUOKETA MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared For:



Iowa Department of Transportation Aviation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

Prepared By:



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| INTRODUCTION |
|--|
| PAVEMENT INVENTORY |
| PAVEMENT EVALUATION |
| Pavement Evaluation Procedure |
| Pavement Evaluation Results7 |
| Inspection Comments |
| Runway 12 |
| Taxiways12 |
| Apron |
| T-Hangar12 |
| PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM14 |
| Analysis Parameters14 |
| Critical PCIs14 |
| Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs |
| Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs14 |
| Budget and Inflation Rate14 |
| Analysis Approach14 |
| Analysis Results |
| General Maintenance Recommendations16 |
| FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)16 |
| SUMMARY |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair. | . 1 |
|---|-----|
| Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Maquoketa Municipal Airport | . 4 |
| Figure 3. Maquoketa Municipal Airport network definition map. | . 5 |
| Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces | . 6 |
| Figure 5. PCI versus repair type. | . 7 |
| Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Maquoketa Municipal Airport. | . 8 |
| Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Maquoketa Municipal Airport | . 8 |
| Figure 8. Maquoketa Municipal Airport PCI map. | . 9 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1. 2020 pavement evaluation results | . 10 |
|---|------|
| Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario. | . 15 |
| Table 3. Pavement inspection report | . 19 |

APPENDIXES

| Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables | A-1 |
|--|-----|
| Appendix B. Inspection Photographs | B-1 |
| Appendix C. Inspection Report | |
| Appendix D. Work History Report | |
| Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables | |
| Appendix F. Year 2021 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details | F-1 |

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company, updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Aviation Bureau (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Maquoketa Municipal Airport were assessed in November 2020 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

The pavement evaluation results for Maquoketa Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Maquoketa Municipal Airport, the Iowa DOT and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport.

In addition to this report, the interactive pavement management data visualization tool IDEA, containing the pavement management information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website (<u>https://iowadot.gov/aviation</u>).

PAVEMENT INVENTORY


The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Maquoketa Municipal Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps as necessary to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2017.

The pavement network at Maquoketa Municipal Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.

Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.

To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 303,100 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Maquoketa Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age, in years of the pavements, at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Maquoketa Municipal Airport.








FIGURE 3. NETWORK DEFINITION MAP.









PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech inspected the pavements at Maquoketa Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, *Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements* (<u>https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory_Circular/150-5380-6C.pdf</u>).
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, *Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)* (https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory_Circular/150-5380-7B.pdf).
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.



Note: Photographs shown are not specific to Maquoketa Municipal Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

| PCI Range | Repair |
|-----------|------------------------|
| 86-100 | |
| 71-85 | Preventive Maintenance |
| 56-70 | |
| 41-55 | Major Rehabilitation |
| 26-40 | |
| 11-25 | Reconstruction |
| 0-10 | |

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration which in turn helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as load-related (such as alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on portland cement concrete [PCC] pavements), climate/durability-related (such as weathering [a climate-related distress type on asphalt-surfaced pavements] and durability cracking [a durability-related distress type on PCC pavements]), and other (distress types that cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability).

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at Maquoketa Municipal Airport were inspected in November 2020. The 2020 area-weighted condition of Maquoketa Municipal Airport is 81, with conditions ranging from 6 to 89 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2017, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 85.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Maquoketa Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distresses observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.

100







Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Maquoketa Municipal Airport.

Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Maquoketa Municipal Airport. (Values on chart are area-weighted)










| LEGEND | PAVEMENT CC |
|---|----------------|
| R15MQ-01 (90) BRANCH IDENTIFIER SECTION IDENTIFIER PCI VALUE | 80 71 56 |
| SECTION BREAK LINE | 4 20 1 |





| | | pplied pav | rement | 115 W. Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, IL 61801 Tel: (217) 398-3977 Fax: (217) 398-4027 | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | son Engineeri Company _{Consulting Engineers} | ng | 322 1st Street East Independence, IA 50644 Tel: (319) 334-7211 | | |
| CONDITION INDEX | AGENCY: IOV | va Department | of Transporta | tion | | |
| PCI | | Aviation Bureau | | | | |
| 86-100 | LOCATION: | LOCATION: Maquoketa Municipal Airport | | | | |
| 71-85 | | Maquoketa, Iowa | | | | |
| 56-70 | PAGE TITLE: 2020 | PAGE TITLE: 2020 Pavement Condition Index Map | | | | |
| 41-55 | PROJECT DATE: | CREATION DATE: | PROJECT MANAGER: | JOB NUMBER: | | |
| 26-40 | SEP. 2020 | SEP. 2020 | LJR | 2017-020-AM04 | | |
| 11-25 | DRAWING SCALE: 1"=300' | LAST MODIFIED DATE: MAR. 2021 | REVISED BY: ABF | DRAWN BY: ABF | | |
| 0-10 | FILENAME: Maquok | eta.dwg | LAYOUT NAME/NUMBER: PCI | PAGE NUMBER: 9 | | |

| Table 1. 2020 pavement evaluation results. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-----------------|----------------------|------------|-------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Branch | Section | Surface Type | Section Area (sf) | LCD | 2020 PCI | % Distress Due to Load | % Distress Due to Climate/ Durability | % Distress Due to Other | Type of Distresses |
| A01MQ | 01 | PCC | 28,682 | 6/1/1986 | 79 | 25 | 47 | 28 | ASR, Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking |
| A01MQ | 02 | PCC | 14,000 | 6/2/2005 | 84 | 21 | 65 | 14 | ASR, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking |
| R15MQ | 01 | PCC | 198,248 | 10/15/2006 | 86 | 0 | 78 | 22 | Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch |
| T01MQ | 01 | PCC | 12,603 | 10/1/2006 | 89 | 0 | 94 | 6 | Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage |
| T02MQ | 01 | AC | 5,200 | 6/1/1982 | 10 | 83 | 15 | 2 | Alligator Cracking, Raveling, Swelling |
| T02MQ | 02 | AC | 2,748 | 10/1/2006 | 40 | 19 | 78 | 3 | Alligator Cracking, L&T Cracking, Swelling, Weathering |
| T03MQ | 01 | AAC | 1,592 | 10/1/2006 | 56 | 0 | 100 | 0 | L&T Cracking, Weathering |
| T04MQ | 01 | PCC | 9,948 | 6/2/2005 | 79 | 29 | 46 | 25 | Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab |
| T04MQ | 02 | PCC | 12,787 | 10/2/2006 | 84 | 0 | 72 | 28 | Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, Shrinkage Cracking |
| TH01MQ | 01 | AC | 1,604 | 3/1/1984 | 6 | 68 | 32 | 0 | Alligator Cracking, Raveling, Weathering |
| TH01MQ | 02 | PCC | 7,718 | 6/1/2000 | 51 | 80 | 16 | 4 | ASR, Corner Break, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking |
| TH01MQ | 03 | PCC | 7,951 | 1/1/1999 | 50 | 77 | 16 | 7 | Corner Break, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab |

Table 1. 2020 pavement evaluation results (continued).

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphaltsurfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

July 2021

Inspection Comments

Maquoketa Municipal Airport was inspected on November 20, 2020. There were twelve pavement sections defined during the inspection. Suspected alkali-silica reaction (ASR) was recorded in accordance with ASTM D5340-20 at this airport where evidence of a precipitate was observed within some of the cracking on the PCC surface. It should be noted that laboratory testing in the form of petrographic analysis is the only definitive way to validate the presence of ASR; however, the formation of a precipitate is evidence of a reaction consistent with this type of materials-related distress.

Runway

Runway 15/33 was defined by one section. Low-severity faulting; medium-severity joint spalling; medium- and high-severity joint seal damage; all severities of small patching; and shrinkage cracking were identified in Section 01.

Taxiways

Taxiway 01 consisted of one section. In Section 01, medium-severity corner spalling and medium- and high-severity joint seal damage were recorded.

Taxiway 02 contained two sections that were both in poor condition. Low-severity swelling, medium-severity alligator cracking, and high-severity raveling were observed in Section 01. In Section 02, low- and medium-severity longitudinal and transverse (L&T) cracking; low-severity swelling; medium-severity alligator cracking; and medium- and high-severity weathering were identified. The low-severity L&T cracking was unsealed, while the medium-severity L&T cracking was recorded where unsealed crack widths exceeded ¹/₄ in.

Taxiway 03 was defined by one section. Medium-severity L&T cracking was identified where either unsealed crack widths were greater than ¹/₄ in or the crack sealant was no longer satisfactorily, preventing water from penetrating the pavement surface. In addition, medium- and high-severity weathering were recorded during the inspection.

Taxiway 04 consisted of two sections. Low-severity corner break, corner spalling, faulting, shattered slab, and longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking; low- and medium-severity joint spalling; and high-severity joint seal damage were identified in Section 01. Section 02 had low-severity corner spalling; medium-severity joint spalling; high-severity joint seal damage; and shrinkage cracking recorded during the inspection.

Apron

The apron area was defined by two sections. In Section 01, low-severity ASR and corner break; low- and medium-severity faulting and LTD cracking; medium-severity joint spalling; and high-severity joint seal damage were identified. High-severity joint seal damage was observed throughout Section 02, along with areas of low-severity ASR; low- and medium-severity joint spalling; and shrinkage cracking. In addition, an atypical area of low- and medium-severity LTD cracking was identified and recorded as an additional sample unit according to ASTM D5340-20.

T-Hangar

The T-Hangar area contained three sections. Section 01 was in poor condition with mediumseverity alligator cracking and high-severity raveling and weathering identified during the inspection. Low-severity ASR and joint spalling; low- and medium-severity corner break and LTD cracking; and high-severity joint seal damage were recorded throughout Section 02. In Section 03, low- and medium-severity joint spalling and LTD cracking; medium-severity corner break and shattered slab; and high-severity joint seal damage were observed at the time inspection.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Maquoketa Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct for the different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Maquoketa Municipal Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to more accurately estimate the cost of such work.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2021 and an inflation rate of 1.4 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2021) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2022 or 2023, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2021. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Maquoketa Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2021

localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Maquoketa Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2021 is provided in Appendix F.

| Year | Branch | Section | Surface Type | Type of Repair | Estimated Cost |
|------|--------|---------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| 2021 | A01MQ | 01 | PCC | Localized Maintenance | \$17,797 |
| 2021 | A01MQ | 02 | PCC | Localized Maintenance | \$8,119 |
| 2021 | R15MQ | 01 | PCC | Localized Maintenance | \$104,436 |
| 2021 | T01MQ | 01 | PCC | Localized Maintenance | \$3,656 |
| 2021 | T02MQ | 01 | AC | Major Rehabilitation | \$52,052 |
| 2021 | T02MQ | 02 | AC | Major Rehabilitation | \$27,507 |
| 2021 | T03MQ | 01 | AAC | Major Rehabilitation | \$7,546 |
| 2021 | T04MQ | 01 | PCC | Localized Maintenance | \$3,624 |
| 2021 | T04MQ | 02 | PCC | Localized Maintenance | \$3,124 |
| 2021 | TH01MQ | 01 | AC | Major Rehabilitation | \$16,056 |
| 2021 | TH01MQ | 02 | PCC | Major Rehabilitation | \$60,972 |
| 2021 | TH01MQ | 03 | PCC | Major Rehabilitation | \$68,487 |
| | | | | Total Estimated Co | ost: \$373,000 |

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Maquoketa Municipal Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Maquoketa Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Maquoketa Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Maquoketa Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- 1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this report.
- Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur as a result of inspections. These need to be reported to the FAA and the Iowa DOT. This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a "bathtub" effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Maquoketa Municipal Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Maquoketa Municipal Airport will also need to undertake monthly drive-by inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. Following is a copy of this Appendix, along with instructions

for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized words are direct quotations from the FAA Advisory Circular.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

A-1.0. An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at *Maquoketa Municipal Airport*. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the Iowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map (Figure 3) is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at *Maquoketa Municipal Airport* is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for *Maquoketa Municipal Airport* is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys, the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

A-1.3. Record Keeping. The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:

- a. Inspection date
- b. Location
- c. Distress types
- d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items a through c are satisfied by this inspection report. Item d is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

A-1.4. Information Retrieval. An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Inspected By:

Date Inspected:

| Branch | Section | Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action | Description of Repair | Date Performed | Cost | Funding Source |
|--------|---------|---|--------------------------|-------------------|------|-------------------|
| A01MQ | 01 | | | | | |
| A01MQ | 02 | | | | | |
| R15MQ | 01 | | | | | |
| T01MQ | 01 | | | | | |
| T02MQ | 01 | | | | | |
| T02MQ | 02 | | | | | |

July 2021

19

Inspected By:

Date Inspected: _____

| Branch | Section | Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action | Description of Repair | Date Performed | Cost | Funding Source |
|--------|---------|---|--------------------------|-------------------|------|-------------------|
| T03MQ | 01 | | | | | |
| T04MQ | 01 | | | | | |
| T04MQ | 02 | | | | | |
| TH01MQ | 01 | | | | | |
| TH01MQ | 02 | | | | | |
| TH01MQ | | | | | | |

Table Notes:

1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

July 2021

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Maquoketa Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2020 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 81. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Maquoketa Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$373,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Maquoketa Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

APPENDIX A

CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

| Distress Type | Probable Cause of Distress |
|------------------------------|--|
| Alligator Cracking | Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading. |
| Bleeding | Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both. |
| Block Cracking | Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated. |
| Corrugation | Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer. |
| Depression | Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction. |
| Jet-Blast Erosion | Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized. |
| Joint Reflection Cracking | Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes. |
| L&T Cracking | Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab. |
| Oil Spillage | Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents. |
| Patching | N/A |
| Polished Aggregate | Repeated traffic applications. |
| Raveling | Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge. |
| Rutting | Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads. |
| Shoving | Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement. |
| Slippage Cracking | Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure. |
| Swelling | Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil. |
| Weathering | Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens. |

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

| Distress Type | Probable Cause of Distress |
|--------------------------------|---|
| ASR | Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers. |
| Blowup | Incompressible materials in the joints. |
| Corner Break | Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses. |
| Durability Cracking | Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles. |
| Faulting | Upheaval or consolidation. |
| Joint Seal Damage | Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint. |
| LTD Cracking | Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses. |
| Patching (Small and Large) | N/A |
| Popouts | Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates. |
| Pumping | Poor drainage, poor joint sealant. |
| Scaling | Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate. |
| Shattered Slab | Load repetition. |
| Shrinkage Cracking | Setting and curing of the concrete. |
| Spalling (Joint and Corner) | Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads. |

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

APPENDIX B

INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01MQ-01. Overview.



A01MQ-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).





A01MQ-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).

A01MQ-02. Overview.





A01MQ-02. ASR (Sample Unit No. 02).

A01MQ-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 02).





A01MQ-02. LTD Cracking (Additional Sample Unit No. 01).

R15MQ-01. Overview.





R15MQ-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 06).

R15MQ-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 69).





R15MQ-01. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 06).

R15MQ-01. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 60).



T01MQ-01. Overview.



T01MQ-01. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 05).





T01MQ-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 05) (1).

T01MQ-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 05) (2).



T02MQ-01. Overview.



T02MQ-01. Alligator Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



T02MQ-02. Overview.



T02MQ-02. L&T Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01) (1).





T02MQ-02. L&T Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01) (2).

T02MQ-02. Weathering (Sample Unit No. 01) (1).





T02MQ-02. Weathering (Sample Unit No. 01) (2).

T03MQ-01. Overview.





T03MQ-01. L&T Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).

T03MQ-01. Weathering (Sample Unit No. 01).



T04MQ-01. Overview.



T04MQ-01. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 01).





T04MQ-01. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).

T04MQ-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).





T04MQ-01. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 01).

T04MQ-02. Overview.





T04MQ-02. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 05) (1).

T04MQ-02. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 05) (2).



TH01MQ-01. Overview.



TH01MQ-01. Alligator Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01MQ-02. Overview.



TH01MQ-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).





TH01MQ-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).

TH01MQ-03. Overview.





TH01MQ-03. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).

TH01MQ-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).





TH01MQ-03. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 01).

APPENDIX C

INSPECTION REPORT

| IA 2020 Report Concepted Date: April 00 | 2 2021 | ne inspecti | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Report Generated Date: April 08 Network: 00W Nam | s, 2021 ne: MAQUOKETA MUNICI | IPAL AIRPORT | | | | |
| Branch: A01MQ Nam | ne: APRON | | Use: APRON | Area: 42 | 2,682.00SqFt | |
| Section: 01 of Surface: PCC Fa | 2 From: BUILDING amily: IowaPCCAPNCE | 3 | To: TAXIWAY 0 | 2 Zone: | Last Const.: Category: | 06/01/1986 Rank: P |
| Area: 28,682.00SqFt Slabs: 301 Slab Wi Shoulder: Street Type: Section Comments: | Length: 185.00Ft idth: 10.00Ft Grade: 0.00 | Width: Slab Length: Lanes: 0 | | Joint Length: | 5,575.00Ft | |
| Last Insp. Date: 11/20/2020 Tot Conditions: PCI : 79 Inspection Comments: | al Samples: 12 Su | rveyed: 6 | | | | |
| Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 24.00Slabs | PCI = 71 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | Н | 24.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | M | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 62 CORNER BREAK | | L | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | L | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 74 JOINT SPALLING | | M | 2.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| | | | 2.00 51405 | | | |
| Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 24.00Slabs | PCI = 65 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | Н | 24.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 74 JOINT SPALLING | | М | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | L | 2.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 76 ASR | | L | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 71 FAULTING | | L | 3.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 71 FAULTING | | М | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 05 | Type: R | Area: | 24.00Slabs | PCI = 88 | | |
| Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | Н | 24.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 07 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 24.00Slabs | PCI = 88 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | Н | 24.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 09 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 24.00Slabs | PCI = 85 | | |
| 62 CORNER BREAK | | L | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | H | 24.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 11 | Type: R | Area: | 24.00Slabs | PCI = 80 | | |
| Sample Comments: | | Н | 21 00 globa | Commonter | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | H L | 24.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | L | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 76 ASR | | Ц | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |

| | | Ke-ing | specia | on Repor | l | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| IA 2020 Report Generated Date: April 00 | 8 2021 | | | | | | | |
| | | TA MUNICIPAL AIRPO | ORT | | | | | |
| Branch: A01MQ Nan | ne: APRON | | | Use: AF | RON | Area: 4 | 2,682.00SqFt | |
| Section: 02 of Surface: PCC F | 2 From: amily: IowaPC0 | AUXILIARY ROAD CAPNCE | | To: A | APRON 01 | SECT 01 Zone: | Last Const.: Category: | 06/02/2005 Rank: P |
| Area: 14,000.00SqFt Slabs: 150 Slab W Shoulder: Street Type: Section Comments: | Length: idth: 9 Grade: | | Width: Length: 0 | 95.00 10.00F | | Joint Length: | 2,680.00Ft | |
| Last Insp. Date: 11/20/2020 Tot Conditions: PCI : 84 Inspection Comments: | tal Samples: | 8 Surveyed: (| 6 | | | | | |
| Sample Number: 01 | Type: A | Area: | | 20.00Slabs | | PCI = 77 | | |
| Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | | Н | 20.00 | Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | | L | 2.00 | Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | | М | 1.00 | Slabs | Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | | 20.00Slabs | | PCI = 77 | | |
| 76 ^{ASR} | | | L | 1.00 | Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | | Н | | Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 74 JOINT SPALLING | | | L | | Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 74 JOINT SPALLING | | | М | 1.00 | Slabs | Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | | 20.00Slabs | | PCI = 87 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | 1 | | Н | 20.00 | Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKIN | G | | Ν | 1.00 | Slabs | Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 04 | Type: R | Area: | | 20.00Slabs | | PCI = 88 | | |
| Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | 1 | | Н | 20.00 | Slabs | Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 05 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | | 20.00Slabs | | PCI = 88 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | | Н | 20.00 | Slabs | Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 07 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | | 15.00Slabs | | PCI = 88 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | | Н | 15.00 | Slabs | Comments: | | |

| IA 2020 | 2021 | Re-inspecti | on Report | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Report Generated Date: April 08 Network: OQW Nam | 3, 2021 ne: MAQUOKETA MUNI | CIPAL AIRPORT | | | | |
| Branch: R15MQ Nam | ne: RUNWAY 15/33 | | Use: RUNWAY | Area: 198 | 3,248.00SqFt | |
| Section: 01 of Surface: PCC Fa | 1 From: RUNWA amily: IowaPCCRWNCE_ | | To: RUNWAY | 33 END Zone: | Last Const.: Category: | 10/15/2006 Rank: P |
| Area: 198,248.00SqFt Slabs: 1,788 Slab Wi Shoulder: Street Type: | Length: 3,278.00F idth: 10.00Ft Grade: 0.00 | t Width: Slab Length: Lanes: 0 | 60.00Ft 11.00Ft | Joint Length: | 34,210.00Ft | |
| Section Comments: | | | | | | |
| Last Insp. Date: 11/20/2020 Tot Conditions: PCI : 86 Inspection Comments: | al Samples: 75 S | Surveyed: 9 | | | | |
| Sample Number: 06 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 24.00Slabs | PCI = 87 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 66 SMALL PATCH | | H L | 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs | Comments: Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 15 | Type: R | Area: | 24.00Slabs | PCI = 87 | | |
| Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKIN(| G | H N | 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs | Comments: Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 24 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 24.00Slabs | PCI = 83 | | |
| 66 SMALL PATCH 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | L H | 2.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs | Comments: Comments: | | |
| 74 JOINT SPALLING | | M | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 33 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 24.00Slabs | PCI = 90 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALLING | | M M | 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs | Comments: Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 42 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 24.00Slabs | PCI = 88 | | |
| 71 FAULTING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | L M | 2.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs | Comments: Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 51 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 24.00Slabs | PCI = 89 | | |
| 71 FAULTING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | L M | 1.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs | Comments: Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 60 | Type: R | Area: | 24.00Slabs | PCI = 79 | | |
| Sample Comments: 66 SMALL PATCH | | L | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 66 SMALL PATCH 66 SMALL PATCH | | M H | 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs | Comments: Comments: | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | H | 24.00 Slabs | Comments: Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 69 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 24.00Slabs | PCI = 84 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | H | 24.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 66 SMALL PATCH | | L | 2.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |

| IA 2020 Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021 | | F | |
|--|-------|-------------|-----------|
| 66 SMALL PATCH | М | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: |
| Sample Number: 75 Type: R | Area: | 12.00Slabs | PCI = 88 |
| Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | Н | 12.00 Slabs | Comments: |

| IA 2020 | | Re-inspection | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | | |
| Report Generated Date: April 08 | 3, 2021 | | | | | |
| Network: OQW Nam | e: MAQUOKETA MUNICIE | AL AIRPORT | | | | |
| Branch: T01MQ Nam | e: TAXIWAY 01 | | Use: TAXIWAY | Area: | 12,603.00SqFt | |
| Section: 01 of Surface: PCC Fa | 1 From: SEE MAP mily: IowaPCCTWNCE | | To: SEE MAP | Zone: | Last Const.: Category: | 10/01/2006 Rank: P |
| Area: 12,603.00SqFt | Length: 264.00Ft | Width: | 30.00Ft | | | |
| Slabs: 88 Slab Wi | | Slab Length: | 11.00Ft | Joint Length: | 1,218.00Ft | |
| Shoulder: Street Type: | Grade: 0.00 | Lanes: 0 | | | | |
| Section Comments: | | | | | | |
| Sample Number: 02 | Type: R | Area: 20 | .00Slabs | PCI = 88 | | |
| Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | | | 101 00 | | |
| 1 | | Н | 20.00 Slabs | Comments: | : | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Sample Number: 03 | Type: R | | | | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments: | Type: R | | 20.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Sample Number: 04 | Type: R Type: R | Area: 12 M | 20.00 Slabs | Comments: PCI=93 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Sample Number: 04 | | Area: 12 M | 20.00 Slabs .00Slabs 12.00 Slabs | Comments: PCI = 93 Comments: | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Sample Number: 04 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Sample Number: 05 | | Area: 12 M Area: 16 M | 20.00 Slabs .00Slabs 12.00 Slabs .00Slabs | Comments: PCI = 93 Comments: PCI = 93 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Sample Number: 04 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | Type: R | Area: 12 M Area: 16 M | 20.00 Slabs .00Slabs 12.00 Slabs .00Slabs 16.00 Slabs | Comments: PCI = 93 Comments: PCI = 93 Comments: | | |

| IA 2020 Report Ger | merated Date: A | April 08, 2 | 2021 | | | p • • • • • | писры | • | | | |
|---|--|--------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Network: | | - | MAQUOKE | TA MUNICII | PAL AIRPO | RT | | | | | |
| Branch: | T02MQ | Name: | TAXIWAY |)2 | | | Use: TA | AXIWAY | Area: | 7,948.00SqFt | |
| Section: Surface: | 01 AC | of 2 Fami | e From: ily: IowaACT | APRON WNCE | | | To: ; | SECTION (| 02 Zone: | Last Const.: Category: | 06/01/1982 Rank: P |
| Area: Shoulder: | 5,200.00SqFt Street T | | Length: Grade: | 140.00Ft 0.00 | Lanes: | Width: | 30.00 |)Ft | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Section Con | nments: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Last Insp. l Conditions | Date: 11/20/20 s: PCI : 10 |)20 Total S | Samples: 1 | Sur | veyed: 1 | | | | | | |
| Last Insp. 1 Conditions Inspection C Sample Nu | Date: 11/20/20 s: PCI:10 Comments: umber: 01 | | Samples: 1 ype: R | Sur | veyed: 1 Area: | | 0.00SqFt | | PCI = 10 | | |
| Last Insp. 1 Conditions Inspection C Sample Nu Sample Con | Date: 11/20/20 s: PCI:10 Comments: umber: 01 | T | - | Sur | | 5,20 | 0.00SqFt | SqFt | PCI = 10 Comments: | | |
| Conditions Inspection C Sample Nu Sample Con 41 ALLJ | Date: 11/20/20 s: PCI:10 Comments: umber: 01 nments: | T | - | Sur | | 5,20 | 1 | - | | | |

| IA 2020 Report Generated Da | te: April 08, 2021 | | speen | · | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------|
| Network: OQW | Name: MAQUOKE | ETA MUNICIPAL AIRP | ORT | | | | |
| Branch: T02MQ | Name: TAXIWAY | 02 | | Use: TAXIWAY | Area: | 7,948.00SqFt | |
| Section: 02 Surface: AC | of 2 From Family: IowaAC | SECTION 01 TWNCE | | To: RUNWAY | Zone: | Last Const.: Category: | 10/01/2006 Rank: P |
| Area: 2,748.00S | Ft Length: | 60.00Ft | Width: | 30.00Ft | | | |
| Last Insp. Date: 11/2 Conditions: PCI : 40 Inspection Comments: | 0/2020 Total Samples: | 1 Surveyed: | 1 | | | | |
| Sample Number: | 1 Type: R | Area: | 2.7 | /48.00SqFt | PCI = 40 | | |
| 1 | i iype it | | ,, | 48.005qFt | 1 Cl = 40 | | |
| Sample Comments: | i iypo. k | | _,, M | 2,548.00 SqFt | Comments | 5: | |
| Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING | AL/TRANSVERSE CRA | | , | I | Comments | s: s:W AT BRK | |
| Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING 48 LONGITUDIN 48 LONGITUDIN | AL/TRANSVERSE CRA AL/TRANSVERSE CRA | ACKING ACKING | М | 2,548.00 SqFt 96.00 Ft 30.00 Ft | Comments | s:W AT BRK | |
| Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING 48 LONGITUDIN 48 LONGITUDIN 48 LONGITUDIN | AL/TRANSVERSE CRA AL/TRANSVERSE CRA AL/TRANSVERSE CRA | ACKING ACKING | M M L M | 2,548.00 SqFt 96.00 Ft 30.00 Ft 180.00 Ft | Comments Comments Comments | s:W AT BRK s:LU s:W | |
| Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING 48 LONGITUDIN 48 LONGITUDIN 48 LONGITUDIN 41 ALLIGATOR | AL/TRANSVERSE CRA AL/TRANSVERSE CRA AL/TRANSVERSE CRA | ACKING ACKING | M M L M M | 2,548.00 SqFt 96.00 Ft 30.00 Ft 180.00 Ft 10.00 SqFt | Comments Comments Comments Comments | s:W AT BRK s:LU s:W s: | |
| Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING 48 LONGITUDIN 48 LONGITUDIN 48 LONGITUDIN | AL/TRANSVERSE CRA AL/TRANSVERSE CRA AL/TRANSVERSE CRA | ACKING ACKING | M M L M | 2,548.00 SqFt 96.00 Ft 30.00 Ft 180.00 Ft | Comments Comments Comments Comments | S:W AT BRK S:LU S:W S: S:AT BRK | |

| IA 2020 Papart Gar | nerated Date: A | Amril 08, 202 | 1 | | speen | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------|------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Network: | | - | IAQUOKETA MUNIC | IPAL AIRPC | ORT | | | | |
| Branch: | T03MQ | Name: T | AXIWAY 03 | | | Use: TAXIWAY | Area: | 1,592.00SqFt | |
| Section: Surface: | 01 AAC | of 1 Family: | From: SEE MAP IowaAACTWNCE | | | To: SEE MA | P Zone: | Last Const.: Category: | 10/01/2006 Rank: P |
| Area: Shoulder: | 1,592.00SqFt Street T | Len | gth: 82.00Ft Grade: 0.00 | Lanes: | Width: | 25.00Ft | | | |
| | Date: 11/20/2(: PCI : 56 | | nples: 1 Su | urveyed: 1 | l | | | | |
| Sample Nu Sample Com | | Туре | e: R | Area: | 1,5 | 92.00SqFt | PCI = 56 | | |
| | | TRANSVER | SE CRACKING | | М | 60.00 Ft | Comment | s:W | |
| | | TRANSVEF | SE CRACKING | | М | 68.00 Ft | | S:FS AT BRK | |
| - | THERING THERING | | | | H M | 100.00 SqFt 1,492.00 SqFt | | | |

| IA 2020 | | • | 1 | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Report Generated Date: April 08, Network: OQW Name | 2021 : MAQUOKETA MUNICIP. | AL AIRPORT | | | | |
| Branch: T04MQ Name | : TAXIWAY 04 | | Use: TAXIWAY | Area: | 22,735.00SqFt | |
| Section: 01 of Surface: PCC Far | 2 From: T03MQ-01 nily: IowaPCCTWNCE | | To: APRON 01 | SECT 01 Zone: | Last Const.: Category: | 06/02/2005 Rank: P |
| Area: 9,948.00SqFt Slabs: 65 Slab Wic Shoulder: Street Type: | Length: 387.00Ft | Width Slab Length: Lanes: 0 | 2010011 | Joint Length | | |
| Section Comments: Old pavement was | removed. Pvmt is now PCC. | | | | | |
| - | I Samples: 3 Surv Type: R | reyed: 3 | 25.00Slabs | PCI = 64 | | |
| Sample Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING | | L | 1.00 Slabs | Comments | | |
| 75 CORNER SPALLING | | L | 1.00 Slabs | | | |
| 62 CORNER BREAK | | L | 1.00 Slabs | | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | H | 25.00 Slabs | | | |
| 74 JOINT SPALLING | | M | 1.00 Slabs | | | |
| 71 FAULTING | | L | 3.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | L | 2.00 Slabs | | : | |
| 72 SHATTERED SLAB | | L | 1.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 20.00Slabs | PCI = 88 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | Н | 20.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 20.00Slabs | PCI = 88 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | Н | 20.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |

| 14 2020 | Re-mspeci | tion Keport | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| IA 2020 Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021 | | | | | |
| Network: OQW Name: MAQUOKETA MU | NICIPAL AIRPORT | | | | |
| Branch: T04MQ Name: TAXIWAY 04 | | Use: TAXIWAY | Area: | 22,735.00SqFt | |
| Section: 02 of 2 From: SEE N | | To: SEE MAP | - | Last Const.: | 10/02/2006 |
| Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCTWNC | | 1 | Zone: | Category: | Rank: P |
| Area: 12,787.00SqFt Length: 287.0 Slabs: 76 Slab Width: 12.50Ft | | | Isint I snoth | 926 005 | |
| Slabs: 76Slab Width:12.50FtShoulder:Street Type:Grade:0.00 | Slab Lengtl Lanes: 0 | n: 12.50Ft | Joint Length | : 836.00Ft | |
| | Luies. 0 | | | | |
| Section Comments: | | | | | |
| Last Insp. Date: 11/20/2020 Total Samples: 5 Conditions: PCI: 84 Inspection Comments: | Surveyed: 4 | | | | |
| Sample Number: 02 Type: R Sample Comments: | Area: | 21.00Slabs | PCI = 87 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | Н | 21.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING | Ν | 1.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| Sample Number: 03 Type: R Sample Comments: | Area: | 21.00Slabs | PCI = 85 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | Н | 21.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| 75 CORNER SPALLING | L | 1.00 Slabs | Comments | | |
| 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING | N | 1.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| Sample Number: 04 Type: R Sample Comments: | Area: | 18.00Slabs | PCI = 83 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | Н | 18.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING | N | 1.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| 74 JOINT SPALLING | М | 1.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| Sample Number: 05 Type: R Sample Comments: | Area: | 16.00Slabs | PCI = 83 | | |
| * | | 1 6 0 0 0 1 | â | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | Н | 16.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |

| IA 2020 | | | ite inspe | cuon report | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | nerated Date: A | April 08, 2021 | | | | | |
| Network: | OQW | Name: MAQUOKETA M | UNICIPAL AIRPORT | | | | |
| Branch: | TH01MQ | Name: T-HANGAR 01 | | Use: T-HANGAR | Area: | 17,273.00SqFt | |
| Section: Surface: | 01 AC | of 3 From: TAX Family: IowaASPHAL | | To: SECTION | 02 Zone: | Last Const.: Category: | 03/01/1984 Rank: P |
| Area: Shoulder: | 1,604.00SqFt Street T | Length: 60 | 0.00Ft Wi | dth: 25.00Ft | Lone | eulegory. | Tunki I |
| Section Com Last Insp. I Conditions Inspection C | Date: 11/20/20 | 20 Total Samples: 1 | Surveyed: 1 | | | | |
| Sample Nu Sample Corr | | Type: R | Area: | 1,604.00SqFt | PCI = 6 | | |
| * | IGATOR CRA | ACKING | М | 1,604.00 SqFt | Comments | 3: | |
| | ELING | | Н | 20.00 SqFt | Comments | | |
| 57 WEAT | THERING | | Н | 120.00 SqFt | Comments | S:ST | |

| | | ne-mspecu | on Keport | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| IA 2020 | 2021 | | | | | |
| Report Generated Date: April 08 | | | | | | |
| Network: OQW Nam | e: MAQUOKETA MUNICIP | AL AIRPORT | | | | |
| Branch: TH01MQ Nam | e: T-HANGAR 01 | | Use: T-HANGAR | Area: | 17,273.00SqFt | |
| Section: 02 of Surface: PCC Fa | 3 From: SEE MAP mily: IowaPCCTHnorthern | | To: SEE MAP | Zone: | Last Const.: Category: | 06/01/2000 Rank: P |
| Area: 7,718.00SqFt | Length: 225.00Ft | Width | 35.00Ft | 2010 | eurogery. | 100000 |
| Slabs: 45 Slab Wi Shoulder: Street Type: | | Slab Length: Lanes: 0 | 10.00Ft | Joint Length | : 1,315.00Ft | |
| Section Comments: | | | | | | |
| Last Insp. Date: 11/20/2020 Tota Conditions: PCI: 51 Inspection Comments: | | veyed: 3 | | | | |
| Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 15.00Slabs | PCI = 34 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | Н | 15.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | М | 7.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| 76 ASR | | L | 1.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | L | 2.00 Slabs | Comments | | |
| 62 CORNER BREAK | | М | 2.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 15.00Slabs | PCI = 50 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | Н | 15.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| 62 CORNER BREAK | | L | 2.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | L | 6.00 Slabs | | | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | М | 3.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 15.00Slabs | PCI = 69 | | |
| 1 | | | 4 00 01 1 | | | |
| 62 CORNER BREAK | | L | 1.00 Slabs | Comments | : | |
| 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | L L | 1.00 Slabs 6.00 Slabs | Comments | | |
| | | | | | : | |

| IA 2020 Report Generated Date: April 08 | 3. 2021 | I | I | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | e: MAQUOKETA MUNICIP | AL AIRPORT | | | | |
| Branch: TH01MQ Nam | e: T-HANGAR 01 | | Use: T-HANGAR | Area: 1 | 7,273.00SqFt | |
| Section: 03 of Surface: PCC Fa | 3 From: SEE MAP unily: IowaPCCTHnorthern | | To: see map | Zone: | Last Const.: Category: | 01/01/1999 Rank: P |
| Area: 7,951.00SqFt Slabs: 85 Slab Wi Shoulder: Street Type: | Length: 415.00Ft dth: 10.00Ft Grade: 0.00 | Width: Slab Length: Lanes: 0 | | Joint Length: | 1,225.00Ft | |
| Section Comments: | | | | | | |
| Last Insp. Date: 11/20/2020 Tot Conditions: PCI : 50 Inspection Comments: | al Samples: 4 Surv | veyed: 3 | | | | |
| Sample Number: 01 | Type: R | Area: | 23.00Slabs | PCI = 46 | | |
| Sample Comments: | | | 23.00 Slabs | Commontor | | |
| <pre>65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING</pre> | | H L | 23.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs | Comments: Comments: | | |
| 62 CORNER BREAK | | | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 72 SHATTERED SLAB | | M M | 2.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 74 JOINT SPALLING | | M | 2.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 74 JOINT SPALLING | | L | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | M | 2.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 22.00Slabs | PCI = 69 | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | Н | 22.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | L | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 74 JOINT SPALLING | | L | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 74 JOINT SPALLING | | М | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 62 CORNER BREAK | | М | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | М | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments: | Type: R | Area: | 20.00Slabs | PCI = 36 | | |
| 74 JOINT SPALLING | | М | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | М | 7.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE | | Н | 20.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 63 LINEAR CRACKING | | L | 2.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 62 CORNER BREAK | | М | 2.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |
| 72 SHATTERED SLAB | | М | 1.00 Slabs | Comments: | | |

APPENDIX D

WORK HISTORY REPORT

| | Date:02/15/2021 Work History Report 1 of 3 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Network: O L.C.D.: 06/01 | QW Br 1/1986 Use: AF | | AT MAQUOKETA 185.00 Ft |) Width: | | tion: 01 Surface: PCC 00 Ft True Area: 28,682.00 SqF | | | | |
| Work Date | Work Code | Work Description | Cost | Thickness (in) | Major M&R | Comments | | | | |
| 06/01/1986 | NC-PC | New Construction - PCC | \$0 | 0.00 | True | - | | | | |
| Network: 00 L.C.D.: 06/02 | QW Br 2/2005 Use: Af | | AT MAQUOKETA 150.00 Ft |) Width: | | t ion: 02 Surface: PCC 00 Ft True Area: 14,000.00 SqF | | | | |
| Work Date | Work Code | Work Description | Cost | Thickness (in) | Major M&R | Comments | | | | |
| 06/02/2005 | CR-PC | Complete Reconstruction - PC | \$0 | 5.00 | True | " P-505 | | | | |
| 06/01/2005 | SB-AG | Subbase - Aggregate | \$0 - | 12.00 | | 2" P-154/RAP | | | | |
| 10/01/1998 06/01/1972 | SS-FS NC-AC | Surface Seal - Fog Seal (Globa New Construction - AC | | - | False | | | | | |
| Network: O | 1 | ranch: R15MQ (RUNWA) | Y 15/33 AT MAQU 3,278.00 Ft | JOKETA) Width: Thickness | Sec 60.0 | tion: 01 Surface: PCC 00 Ft True Area:198,248.00 SqF | | | | |
| Date | Code | Description | Cost | (in) | M&R | Comments | | | | |
| 01/01/2016 | PA-PP | Patching - PCC Partial Depth | \$0 | 0.00 | False | IELD ESTIMATE | | | | |
| 10/15/2006 | SU-PC | Surface Course - PCC | \$0 | 0.00 | True | | | | | |
| 10/01/1998 | SS-FS | Surface Seal - Fog Seal (Globa | - | - | False | - | | | | |
| 06/01/1982 | NC-AC | New Construction - AC | - | - | True | - | | | | |
| Network: O L.C.D.: 10/01 | QW Br 1/2006 Use: TA | | Y 01 AT MAQUOI 264.00 Ft | <eta) Width:</eta) | | tion: 01 Surface: PCC 00 Ft True Area: 12,603.00 SqF | | | | |
| Work | Work | Work | Cast | Thickness | | Comments | | | | |
| Date | Code | Description | Cost | (in) | M&R | Comments | | | | |
| 10/01/2006 10/01/1998 | CR-PC SS-FS | Complete Reconstruction - PC Surface Seal - Fog Seal | \$0 | 0.00 | True False | | | | | |
| 06/01/1982 | OL-AS | Overlay - AC Structural | - | _ | True | - | | | | |
| Network: O | QW Br 1/1982 Use: TA | | Y 02 AT MAQUOI | <eta) Width:</eta) | | tion: 01 Surface: AC 00 Ft True Area: 5,200.00 SqF | | | | |
| | 1 | | 140.00 Ft | | | | | | | |
| Work Date | Work Code | Work Description | 140.00 Ft Cost | Thickness (in) | | Comments | | | | |
| Work Date 10/01/1998 | Code ST-SS | Work Description Surface Treatment - Slurry Sea | Cost - | Thickness (in) | Major M&R False | | | | | |
| Work Date 10/01/1998 06/01/1982 Network: O | Code ST-SS NC-AC | Work Description Surface Treatment - Slurry Sea New Construction - AC ranch: T02MQ | | Thickness (in) - 0.00 | Major M&R False True | | | | | |
| Work Date 10/01/1998 06/01/1982 Network: O | Code ST-SS NC-AC QW Br | Work Description Surface Treatment - Slurry Sea New Construction - AC ranch: T02MQ (TAXIWA) | Cost - \$0 Y 02 AT MAQUO | Thickness (in) - 0.00 (ETA) | Major M&R False True Sec 30.0 | Comments | | | | |
| Work Date 10/01/1998 06/01/1982 Network: O L.C.D.: 10/07 Work | Code ST-SS NC-AC QW Br 1/2006 Use: T/ Work | Work Description Surface Treatment - Slurry Sea New Construction - AC ranch: T02MQ (TAXIWAY AXIWAY Rank P Length: Work Work | Cost | Thickness (in) - 0.00 (ETA) Width: Thickness | Major M&R False True Sec 30.0 | Comments | | | | |
| Work Date 10/01/1998 06/01/1982 Network: O L.C.D.: 10/01 Work Date 10/01/2006 Network: O | Code ST-SS NC-AC QW Br 1/2006 Use: TA Work Code NC-AC | Work Description Surface Treatment - Slurry Sea New Construction - AC ranch: T02MQ (TAXIWA) AXIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - AC New Construction - AC Tranch: T03MQ (TAXIWA) | Cost - \$0 Y 02 AT MAQUOI 60.00 Ft Cost | Thickness (in) - 0.00 (ETA) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 (ETA) Width: | Major M&R False True 30.0 Major M&R True Sec 25.0 | Comments | | | | |
| Work Date 10/01/1998 06/01/1982 Network: O L.C.D.: 10/01 Work Date 10/01/2006 Network: O | Code ST-SS NC-AC QW Br 1/2006 Use: TA Work Code NC-AC QW Br | Work Description Surface Treatment - Slurry Sea New Construction - AC ranch: T02MQ (TAXIWA) AXIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - AC New Construction - AC Tranch: T03MQ (TAXIWA) | Cost \$0 Y 02 AT MAQUOI 60.00 Ft Cost \$0 Y 03 AT MAQUOI | Thickness (in) - 0.00 (ETA) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 (ETA) | Major M&R False True 30.0 Major M&R True Sec 25.0 | Comments etion: 02 Surface: AC 00 Ft True Area: 2,748.00 SqF Comments | | | | |
| Work Date 10/01/1998 06/01/1982 Network: 0L.C.D.: 10/01/2006 Network: 00/01/2006 Network: 00/01/2006 Network: 00/01/2006 Network: 0/01/2006 Network: 0/01/2006 | Code ST-SS NC-AC QW Br 1/2006 Use: T/ Work Code NC-AC QW Br 1/2006 Use: T/ Work | Work Description Surface Treatment - Slurry Sea New Construction - AC ranch: T02MQ (TAXIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - AC New Construction - AC ranch: T03MQ (TAXIWAY AXIWAY New Construction - AC ranch: T03MQ (TAXIWAY AXIWAY Work Length: Work Work | Cost \$0 Y 02 AT MAQUOI 60.00 Ft Cost \$0 Y 03 AT MAQUOI 82.00 Ft | Thickness (in) - 0.00 (ETA) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 (ETA) Width: Thickness | Major M&R False True 30.0 Major M&R True Sec 25.0 Major | Comments tion: 02 Surface: AC 00 Ft True Area: 2,748.00 SqF Comments tion: 01 Surface: AAC 00 Ft True Area: 1,592.00 SqF | | | | |
| Work Date 10/01/1998 06/01/1982 Network: 0 L.C.D.: 10/01/2006 Network: 00/01/2006 Network: 00/01/2006 Network: 00/01/2006 Network: 00/01/2006 Network: 00/01/2006 | Code ST-SS NC-AC QW Br 1/2006 Use: TA Work Code NC-AC QW Br 1/2006 Use: TA Work Code | Work Description Surface Treatment - Slurry Sea New Construction - AC ranch: T02MQ (TAXIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - AC ranch: T03MQ (TAXIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - AC ranch: T03MQ (TAXIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description Work Description | Cost \$0 Y 02 AT MAQUOI 60.00 Ft Cost \$0 Y 03 AT MAQUOI 82.00 Ft Cost | Thickness (in) - 0.00 (ETA) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 (ETA) Width: Thickness (in) | Major M&R False True 30.0 Major M&R True 25.0 Major M&R | Comments tion: 02 Surface: AC 00 Ft True Area: 2,748.00 SqF Comments tion: 01 Surface: AAC 00 Ft True Area: 1,592.00 SqF | | | | |
| Work Date 10/01/1998 06/01/1982 Network: OL.C.D.: 10/01/2006 Network: OL.C.D.: 10/01/2006 Work Date 10/01/2006 10/01/2006 10/01/2006 10/01/1998 06/01/1982 Network: O | Code ST-SS NC-AC QW Br 1/2006 Use: TA Code NC-AC QW Br 1/2006 Use: TA Work Code OL-AS ST-SS NC-AC | Work Description Surface Treatment - Slurry Sea New Construction - AC ranch: T02MQ (TAXIWAY AXIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - AC Work Description New Construction - AC ranch: T03MQ (TAXIWAY AXIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description Overlay - AC Structural Surface Treatment - Slurry Sea New Construction - AC New Construction - AC | Cost \$0 Y 02 AT MAQUOI 60.00 Ft Cost \$0 Y 03 AT MAQUOI 82.00 Ft Cost \$0 - Y 04 AT MAQUOI | Thickness (in) - 0.00 (ETA) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 (ETA) Width: | Major M&R False True Sec 30.0 Major M&R True Sec True False True False True | Comments etion: 02 Surface: AC 00 Ft True Area: 2,748.00 SqF Comments etion: 01 Surface: AAC 00 Ft True Area: 1,592.00 SqF Comments etion: 01 Surface: PCC | | | | |
| Work Date 10/01/1998 06/01/1982 Network: OL.C.D.: 10/01/2006 Network: OL.C.D.: 10/01/2006 Work Date 10/01/2006 10/01/2006 10/01/2006 10/01/1998 06/01/1982 Network: O | Code ST-SS NC-AC QW Br 1/2006 Use: TA Code NC-AC QW Br 1/2006 Use: TA Work Code OL-AS ST-SS NC-AC | Work Description Surface Treatment - Slurry Sea New Construction - AC ranch: T02MQ (TAXIWAY AXIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - AC Work Mork Description New Construction - AC Mork Pascription New Construction - AC Yanch: T03MQ (TAXIWAY AXIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description Overlay - AC Structural Surface Treatment - Slurry Sea New Construction - AC New Construction - AC | Cost \$0 Y 02 AT MAQUOI 60.00 Ft Cost \$0 Y 03 AT MAQUOI 82.00 Ft Cost \$0 - - | Thickness (in) 0.00 ⟨ETA⟩ Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 ⟨ETA⟩ Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 ⟨ETA⟩ Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 - - | Major M&R False True Sec 30.0 Major M&R True Sec 25.0 Major M&R True False True True Sec 25.0 Major M&R True Sec 25.0 Major M&R True Sec 25.0 | Comments etion: 02 Surface: AC 00 Ft True Area: 2,748.00 SqF Comments etion: 01 Surface: AAC 00 Ft True Area: 1,592.00 SqF Comments | | | | |

| Date:02/ | /15/2021 | Work Hi | story Re | port | 2 of 3 |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | Pavement | Database:IA 2 | 020 | |
| 06/02/2005 06/01/2005 10/01/1998 06/01/1982 | CR-PC SB-AG SS-FS NC-AC | Complete Reconstruction - PC Subbase - Aggregate Surface Seal - Fog Seal (Globa New Construction - AC | \$0 \$0 - | 5.00 12.00 - - | True 5" P-505 False 12" P-154/RAP False - True - |
| Network: O L.C.D.: 10/02 | QW Br 2/2006 Use: TA | · · | Y 04 AT MAQUOI 287.00 Ft | KETA) Width: | Section: 02 Surface: PCC 25.00 Ft True Area: 12,787.00 SqF |
| Work Date | Work Code | Work Description | Cost | Thickness (in) | Major M&R Comments |
| 10/02/2006 10/01/2006 | CR-PC SB-AG | Complete Reconstruction - PC Subbase - Aggregate | \$0 \$0 | 5.00 12.00 | True 5" P-505 False 12" P-154/RAP |
| Network: O L.C.D .: 03/07 | QW Br 1/1984 Use: T- | | AR 01 AT MAQUO 60.00 Ft | OKETA) Width: | Section: 01 Surface: AC 25.00 Ft True Area: 1,604.00 SqF |
| Work Date | Work Code | Work Description | Cost | Thickness (in) | Major M&R Comments |
| 06/01/1998 | 07.00 | | | | |
| 03/01/1984 | ST-SS BCALC | Surface Treatment - Slurry Sea Back-calculated Construction | \$0 \$0 | 0.00 0.00 | False - True UNKNOWN; CONSTRUCTED PRIOR TO 1994 PER GOOGLE EARTH |
| Network: O | BCALC | Back-calculated Construction anch: TH01MQ (T-HANG) | + - | 0.00 | True UNKNOWN; CONSTRUCTED PRIOR TO |
| Network: O | BCALC QW Br | Back-calculated Construction anch: TH01MQ (T-HANG) | \$0 AR 01 AT MAQUO | 0.00 DKETA) | True UNKNOWN; CONSTRUCTED PRIOR TO 1994 PER GOOGLE EARTH Section: 02 Surface: PCC |
| Network: O L.C.D.: 06/0 ⁷ Work | BCALC QW Br 1/2000 Use: T- Work | Back-calculated Construction anch: TH01MQ (T-HANG, HANGAR Rank P Length: Work | \$0 AR 01 AT MAQUO 225.00 Ft | 0.00 DKETA) Width: Thickness | True JNKNOWN; CONSTRUCTED PRIOR TO 1994 PER GOOGLE EARTH Section: 02 Surface: PCC 35.00 Ft True Area: 7,718.00 SqF Major Comments |
| Network: O L.C.D.: 06/0 Work Date 06/01/2000 Network: O | BCALC QW Br 1/2000 Use: T- Work Code NC-PC | Back-calculated Construction anch: TH01MQ (T-HANG, HANGAR Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - PCC anch: TH01MQ (T-HANG, | \$0 AR 01 AT MAQUO 225.00 Ft Cost | 0.00 DKETA) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 | True JNKNOWN; CONSTRUCTED PRIOR TO 1994 PER GOOGLE EARTH Section: 02 Surface: PCC 35.00 Ft True Area: 7,718.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True ESTIMATED VIA AERIAL, BETWEEN |
| Network: O L.C.D.: 06/01 Work Date 06/01/2000 Network: O | BCALC QW Br 1/2000 Use: T- Work Code NC-PC QW Br | Back-calculated Construction anch: TH01MQ (T-HANG, HANGAR Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - PCC anch: TH01MQ (T-HANG, | \$0 AR 01 AT MAQUO 225.00 Ft Cost \$0 AR 01 AT MAQUO | 0.00 DKETA) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 DKETA) | True JNKNOWN; CONSTRUCTED PRIOR TO 1994 PER GOOGLE EARTH Section: 02 Surface: PCC 35.00 Ft True Area: 7,718.00 SqF Major M&R Comments Estimated via Aerial, Between 1994-2000 Surface: PCC Section: 03 Surface: PCC |

Pavement Database:IA 2020

Summary:

| Work Description | Section Count | Area Total (SqFt) | Thickness Avg (in) | Thickness STD (in) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Back-calculated Construction | 1 | 1,604.00 | .00 | - |
| Complete Reconstruction - PCC | 4 | 49,338.00 | 3.75 | 2.50 |
| New Construction - AC | 6 | 231,736.00 | .00 | .00 |
| New Construction - PCC | 3 | 44,351.00 | .00 | .00 |
| Overlay - AC Structural | 2 | 14,195.00 | .00 | - |
| Patching - PCC Partial Depth | 1 | 198,248.00 | .00 | - |
| Subbase - Aggregate | 3 | 36,735.00 | 12.00 | .00 |
| Surface Course - PCC | 1 | 198,248.00 | .00 | - |
| Surface Seal - Fog Seal | 1 | 12,603.00 | - | - |
| Surface Seal - Fog Seal (Global MR) | 3 | 222,196.00 | - | - |
| Surface Treatment - Slurry Seal | 3 | 8,396.00 | .00 | - |

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

| Distress Type | Severity Level | Maintenance Action | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Alligator Cracking | Low | Monitor | | |
| Alligator Cracking | Medium | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Alligator Cracking | High | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Bleeding | N/A | Monitor | | |
| Block Cracking | Low | Monitor | | |
| Block Cracking | Medium | Crack Seal—Asphalt | | |
| Block Cracking | High | Crack Seal—Asphalt | | |
| Corrugation | Low | Monitor | | |
| Corrugation | Medium | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Corrugation | High | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Depression | Low | Monitor | | |
| Depression | Medium | Monitor | | |
| Depression | High | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Jet-Blast Erosion | N/A | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Joint Reflection Cracking | Low | Monitor | | |
| Joint Reflection Cracking | Medium | Crack Seal—Asphalt | | |
| Joint Reflection Cracking | High | Crack Seal—Asphalt | | |
| L&T Cracking | Low | Monitor | | |
| L&T Cracking | Medium | Crack Seal—Asphalt | | |
| L&T Cracking | High | Crack Seal—Asphalt | | |
| Oil Spillage | N/A | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Patching | Low | Monitor | | |
| Patching | Medium | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Patching | High | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Polished Aggregate | N/A | Monitor | | |
| Raveling | Low | Monitor | | |
| Raveling | Medium | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Raveling | High | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Rutting | Low | Monitor | | |
| Rutting | Medium | Monitor | | |
| Rutting | High | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Shoving | Low | Monitor | | |
| Shoving | Medium | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Shoving | High | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Slippage Cracking | N/A | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Swelling | Low | Monitor | | |
| Swelling | Medium | Monitor | | |
| Swelling | High | Asphalt Patch | | |
| Weathering | Low | Monitor | | |
| Weathering | Medium | Monitor | | |
| Weathering | High | Asphalt Patch | | |

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

| Distress Type | Severity Level | Maintenance Action | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| ASR | Low | Monitor | | | | | |
| ASR | Medium | Slab Replacement | | | | | |
| ASR | High | Slab Replacement | | | | | |
| Blowup | Low | Slab Replacement | | | | | |
| Blowup | Medium | Slab Replacement | | | | | |
| Blowup | High | Slab Replacement | | | | | |
| Corner Break | Low | Crack Seal—PCC | | | | | |
| Corner Break | Medium | Full Depth PCC Patch | | | | | |
| Corner Break | High | Full Depth PCC Patch | | | | | |
| Durability Cracking | Low | Monitor | | | | | |
| Durability Cracking | Medium | Full Depth Patch | | | | | |
| Durability Cracking | High | Slab Replacement | | | | | |
| Faulting | Low | Monitor | | | | | |
| Faulting | Medium | Grinding | | | | | |
| Faulting | High | Slab Replacement | | | | | |
| Joint Seal Damage | Low | Monitor | | | | | |
| Joint Seal Damage | Medium | Joint Seal | | | | | |
| Joint Seal Damage | High | Joint Seal | | | | | |
| LTD Cracking | Low | Monitor | | | | | |
| LTD Cracking | Medium | Crack Seal—PCC | | | | | |
| LTD Cracking | High | Slab Replacement | | | | | |
| Patching (Small and Large) | Low | Monitor | | | | | |
| Patching (Small and Large) | Medium | Full Depth PCC Patch | | | | | |
| Patching (Small and Large) | High | Full Depth PCC Patch | | | | | |
| Popouts | N/A | Monitor | | | | | |
| Pumping | N/A | Monitor | | | | | |
| Scaling | Low | Monitor | | | | | |
| Scaling | Medium | Partial Depth PCC Patch | | | | | |
| Scaling | High | Slab Replacement | | | | | |
| Shattered Slab | Low | Crack Seal—PCC | | | | | |
| Shattered Slab | Medium | Slab Replacement | | | | | |
| Shattered Slab | High | Slab Replacement | | | | | |
| Shrinkage Cracking | N/A | Monitor | | | | | |
| Spalling (Joint and Corner) | Low | Monitor | | | | | |
| Spalling (Joint and Corner) | Medium | Partial Depth PCC Patch | | | | | |
| Spalling (Joint and Corner) | High | Partial Depth PCC Patch | | | | | |

| Maintenance Action | Unit Cost |
|---|------------|
| Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement | \$14.10/sf |
| Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement | \$2.41/lf |
| Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement | \$36.10/sf |
| Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement | \$16.12/sf |
| Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement | \$2.90/lf |
| Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement | \$2.90/lf |
| Grinding—PCC Pavement | \$0.35/sf |
| Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement | \$16.12/sf |

Table E-3. 2021 unit costs for preventive maintenance actions.

Table E-4. 2021 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

| Pavement Type | PCI Range 0–40 | PCI Range 40–50 | PCI Range 50–60 | PCI Range 60–70 | PCI Range 70–80 | PCI Range 80–90 | PCI Range 90–100 |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| AC | \$10.01 | \$4.74 | \$4.74 | \$4.74 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| PCC | \$16.71 | \$7.90 | \$7.90 | \$7.90 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |

APPENDIX F

YEAR 2021 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

| Branch | Section | Distress Type | Severity | Distress Quantity | Distress Unit | Maintenance Action | Unit Cost | 2021 Estimated Cost |
|--------|---------|-------------------|----------|----------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| A01MQ | 01 | Corner Break | Low | 4 | Slabs | Crack Sealing - PCC | \$2.90 | \$99 |
| A01MQ | 01 | Faulting | Medium | 2 | Slabs | Grinding (Localized) | \$0.35 | \$7 |
| A01MQ | 01 | Joint Seal Damage | High | 301 | Slabs | Joint Seal (Localized) | \$2.90 | \$16,167 |
| A01MQ | 01 | Joint Spalling | Medium | 6 | Slabs | Patching - PCC Partial Depth | \$36.10 | \$1,462 |
| A01MQ | 01 | LTD Cracking | Medium | 2 | Slabs | Crack Sealing - PCC | \$2.90 | \$61 |
| A01MQ | 02 | Joint Seal Damage | High | 150 | Slabs | Joint Seal (Localized) | \$2.90 | \$7,772 |
| A01MQ | 02 | Joint Spalling | Medium | 1 | Slabs | Patching - PCC Partial Depth | \$36.10 | \$319 |
| A01MQ | 02 | LTD Cracking | Medium | 1 | Slabs | Crack Sealing - PCC | \$2.90 | \$28 |
| R15MQ | 01 | Joint Seal Damage | Medium | 631 | Slabs | Joint Seal (Localized) | \$2.90 | \$35,015 |
| R15MQ | 01 | Joint Seal Damage | High | 1,157 | Slabs | Joint Seal (Localized) | \$2.90 | \$64,194 |
| R15MQ | 01 | Joint Spalling | Medium | 18 | Slabs | Patching - PCC Partial Depth | \$36.10 | \$4,087 |
| R15MQ | 01 | Small Patch | Medium | 18 | Slabs | Patching - PCC Full Depth | \$16.12 | \$760 |
| R15MQ | 01 | Small Patch | High | 9 | Slabs | Patching - PCC Full Depth | \$16.12 | \$380 |
| T01MQ | 01 | Corner Spalling | Medium | 1 | Slabs | Patching - PCC Partial Depth | \$36.10 | \$124 |
| T01MQ | 01 | Joint Seal Damage | Medium | 36 | Slabs | Joint Seal (Localized) | \$2.90 | \$1,433 |
| T01MQ | 01 | Joint Seal Damage | High | 52 | Slabs | Joint Seal (Localized) | \$2.90 | \$2,099 |
| T04MQ | 01 | Corner Break | Low | 1 | Slabs | Crack Sealing - PCC | \$2.90 | \$24 |
| T04MQ | 01 | Joint Seal Damage | High | 65 | Slabs | Joint Seal (Localized) | \$2.90 | \$3,294 |
| T04MQ | 01 | Joint Spalling | Medium | 1 | Slabs | Patching - PCC Partial Depth | \$36.10 | \$233 |
| T04MQ | 01 | Shattered Slab | Low | 1 | Slabs | Crack Sealing - PCC | \$2.90 | \$73 |
| T04MQ | 02 | Joint Seal Damage | High | 76 | Slabs | Joint Seal (Localized) | \$2.90 | \$2,424 |

Table F-1. Year 2021 localized preventive maintenance details.

₽ -

| | | | | • | | × , | | |
|--------|---------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| | | | | | | | | 2021 |
| | | | | Distress | Distress | | Unit | Estimated |
| Branch | Section | Distress Type | Severity | Quantity | Unit | Maintenance Action | Cost | Cost |
| T04MQ | 02 | Joint Spalling | Medium | 3 | Slabs | Patching - PCC Partial Depth | \$36.10 | \$699 |

Table F-1. Year 2021 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Maquoketa Municipal Airport.

PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation Aviation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

JULY 2021