Monticello Regional Airport

Pavement Management Report



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

JULY 2024







MONTICELLO REGIONAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared For:



Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

Prepared By:



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
PAVEMENT INVENTORY	3
PAVEMENT EVALUATION	6
Pavement Evaluation Procedure	6
Pavement Evaluation Results	
Inspection Comments	13
Runway	13
Taxiway	
Apron	
T-Hangar	
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM	
Analysis Parameters	14
Critical PCIs	
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs	14
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs	
Budget and Inflation Rate	
Analysis Approach	
Analysis Results	
General Maintenance Recommendations	15
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)	16
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Progra	
(PMP)	
SUMMARY	21
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair	1
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Monticello Regional Airport.	
Figure 3. Monticello Regional Airport network definition map.	
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type	
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Monticello Regional Airport	
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Monticello Regional Airport	
Figure 8. Monticello Regional Airport PCI map	
rigure of Montroello Regional Amport For map	10
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1, 2022 payament avaluation regults	11
Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results.	
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario	
Table 3. Pavement inspection report.	19

Table of Contents July 2024

APPENDIXES

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2024 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	F-1

Introduction July 2024

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers (Robinson), updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the State of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Monticello Regional Airport were visually assessed in November 2023 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present on the pavement surface are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

Introduction July 2024

The pavement evaluation results for Monticello Regional Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Monticello Regional Airport, the Iowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the web-based interactive pavement data visualization tool IDEA, containing the information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website or directly (Iowa APMS IDEA).

Pavement Inventory July 2024

PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Monticello Regional Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps, as necessary, to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2020.


The pavement network at Monticello Regional Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.


Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.


To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 814,600 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Monticello Regional Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age in years of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Monticello Regional Airport.

Pavement Inventory July 2024







PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech visually inspected the pavements at Monticello Regional Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, <u>Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of</u> Airport Pavements.
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, <u>Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)</u>.
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

During the PCI inspection, a cursory inspection of the entirety of a pavement section was performed. Sample units identified for more detailed inspection were verified, and adjustments to the selected sample units for inspection were made as needed to ensure an accurate assessment of the pavement's condition. Data pertaining to the types, severities, and quantities of observed pavement distresses were then collected within each sample unit. These data were then used to calculate the composite PCI of each pavement section. The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition with no visible signs of deterioration. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

PCI: 100

PCI: 83

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.

Note: Photographs shown are not specific to Monticello Regional Airport.

PCI: 39

PCI: 66

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

PCI Range
86-100
Preventive Maintenance

56-70
Major Rehabilitation

26-40
11-25
Reconstruction

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which is useful when selecting M&R strategies. Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as:


- Load-related—These distress types are defined as being caused by aircraft or vehicular traffic and may indicate a structural deficiency. Examples of load-related distress include alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements and corner breaks on portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements.
- Climate/durability-related—These distress types often signify the presence of aged or environmentally susceptible (or both) material and include durability-related issues. Examples of climate/durability-related distress include weathering on asphalt-surfaced pavements, which is climate-related, and durability cracking on PCC pavements, which is durability-related.
- Other—Distress types that fall into this category cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability. Examples of this type of distress include depressions on asphaltsurfaced pavements and shrinkage cracking on PCC pavements.

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at Monticello Regional Airport were inspected in November 2023. The 2023 area-weighted condition of Monticello Regional Airport is 79, with conditions ranging from 50 to 100 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2020, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 79.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Monticello Regional Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.







Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Monticello Regional Airport.

(Values on chart are area-weighted)






Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results.

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2023 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
A01MO	01	PCC	137,042	6/1/2000	77	27	37	36	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking
R15MO	01	PCC	330,000	6/1/2000	77	8	39	53	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking
T01MO	01	PCC	218,901	6/1/2000	77	57	38	5	Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
T01MO	02	PCC	47,134	6/3/2023	100	0	0	0	No Distress
TH01MO	01	PCC	10,560	6/3/2007	80	16	47	37	Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
TH01MO	02	PCC	11,364	6/3/2005	80	31	57	12	Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking
TH01MO	03	PCC	33,599	10/1/2000	77	7	43	50	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Scaling, Shrinkage Cracking
TH01MO	04	PCC	3,974	8/1/2003	50	80	18	2	Corner Break, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking
TH01MO	05	PCC	21,990	6/3/2016	98	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction

Pavement Evaluation

Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results (continued).

[ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.

- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Inspection Comments

Monticello Regional Airport was inspected on November 10, 2023. There were nine pavement sections defined during the inspection.

Runway

Runway 15/33 was defined by one section. Areas of low-severity faulting and large patching; low- and medium-severity corner spalling and joint spalling; medium-severity longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking; and high-severity joint seal damage were recorded in Section 01.

Taxiway

Taxiway 01 consisted of two sections that connected the apron area to Runway 15/33. Section 01 contained low-severity joint spalling, large patching, and small patching; low- and medium-severity LTD cracking; high-severity joint seal damage; and shrinkage cracking. Section 02 was in excellent condition with no distress observed.

Apron

The apron area contained one section. Areas of low-severity large patching; low- and medium-severity corner spalling, faulting, joint spalling, and LTD cracking; medium-severity corner break; high-severity joint seal damage; and shrinkage cracking were identified in Section 01.

T-Hangar

The T-hangar area consisted of five sections. Section 01 contained areas of high-severity joint seal damage and low-severity faulting and LTD cracking. Low-severity shattered slab, low- and medium-severity LTD cracking, medium-severity corner spalling and joint spalling, medium- and high-severity joint seal damage, and shrinkage cracking were recorded in Section 02. Areas of low-severity corner break, faulting, LTD cracking, and scaling; low- and medium-severity joint spalling; medium-severity corner spalling; high-severity joint seal damage; and shrinkage cracking were identified in Section 03. The distresses observed in Section 04 were low-severity corner break, LTD cracking, and shattered slab; high-severity joint seal damage; and shrinkage cracking. Section 05 was in excellent condition with only low-severity joint seal damage noted throughout.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Monticello Regional Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The lowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the lowa DOT considered appropriate to correct the different distress types and severities. The lowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire State. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Monticello Regional Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The lowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2024, and an inflation rate of 2.0 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2024) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2025 or 2026, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2024. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Monticello Regional Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2024 localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Monticello Regional Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2024 is provided in Appendix F.

Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost
2024	A01MO	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$76,630
2024	R15MO	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$166,109
2024	T01MO	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$97,149
2024	TH01MO	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$4,107
2024	TH01MO	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$5,822
2024	TH01MO	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$17,321
2024	TH01MO	04	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$38,491

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Total Estimated Cost: \$406,000

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Monticello Regional Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Monticello Regional Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Monticello Regional Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that State or Federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Monticello Regional Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A
 sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this
 report.
- Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur because of these inspections. This documentation needs to be reported to the FAA and the lowa DOT. This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in

- compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure all edges of pavement maintain the required 1.5-inch lip. This enables the water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, mowing, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Monticello Regional Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for Federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the Federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Monticello Regional Airport will also need to undertake monthly driveby inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized text is a direct quotation from the FAA Advisory Circular.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

A-1.0. An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at Monticello Regional Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the lowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map provided in Figure 3 is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at Monticello Regional Airport is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to the pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for Monticello Regional Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys," the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

A-1.3. Record Keeping. The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:

- a. Inspection date
- b. Location
- c. Distress types
- d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items A through C are satisfied by this inspection report. Item D is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

A-1.4. Information Retrieval. An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report.

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01MO	01					
R15MO	01					
T01MO	01					
T01MO	02					
TH01MO	01					
TH01MO	02					

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued)

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
TH01MO	03					
TH01MO	04					
TH01MO	05					

Table Note: See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

Summary July 2024

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Monticello Regional Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2023 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 79. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Monticello Regional Airport, which revealed that approximately \$406,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Monticello Regional Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

APPENDIX A CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Cause of Distress Tables July 2024

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Cause of Distress Tables July 2024

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

APPENDIX B INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01MO-01. Overview.



A01MO-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 32).



A01MO-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 16).



R15MO-01. Overview (1).



R15MO-01. Overview (2).



R15MO-01. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 25).



R15MO-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 75).




R15MO-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 05).



R15MO-01. Large Patching (Sample Unit No. 55).



T01MO-01. Overview.



T01MO-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 13).



T01MO-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 33).



T01MO-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 48).



T01MO-02. Overview (1).



T01MO-02. Overview (2).



TH01MO-01. Overview.



TH01MO-01. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 02).



TH01MO-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).



TH01MO-02. Overview.



TH01MO-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 02).



TH01MO-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 04).




TH01MO-03. Overview.



TH01MO-03. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 08).



TH01MO-03. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 02).



TH01MO-04. Overview.



TH01MO-04. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01MO-04. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01MO-05. Overview.



TH01MO-05. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 06).



APPENDIX C INSPECTION REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MXO

Network ID: MXO			Page 1
	Branch - Section	ID: A01MO - 001	
Branch Name: APRON			Use: APRON
LCD: 6/1/2000 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 137,042.00 Length (ft): 530.00 Width (ft): 250.00 From: HANGARS To: TAXIWAY 01	PC	I Family: IowaPCCAP_NCE_General	
Slabs: 952 Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.00 Joint Length (ft): 22,033.60 Last Insp Date: 11/10/2023		ction Comments: pection Comments:	
PCI: 77 Total Samples: 49 Surveyed: 8			
Sample Number: 09			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 63 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sar	mple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	H L M L L	20.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 13			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG	Sar H	mple Comments: 20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 16			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 73 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	Sar M L H	nple Comments: 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L L	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 22			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 82	Sar	mple Comments:	

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 20.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 75 CORNER SPALL
 M
 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024 Network ID: MXO Page 2 Sample Number: 26 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 83 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 63 LINEAR CR L 1.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 32 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 20.00 Slabs 73 SHRINKAGE CR Ν 1.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL L 2.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL 1.00 Slabs Sample Number: 36 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 44 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 60

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

63 LINEAR CR	1	3.00 Slabs
**	L	
63 LINEAR CR	M	2.00 Slabs
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20.00 Slabs
67 LARGE PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING	L	3.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MXO Page 3

Network ID: MIXO			Page 3
Branch Name: RUNWAY 15/33	Branch - Section	on ID: R15MO - 001	Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 6/1/2000 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 330,000.01 Length (ft): 4,400.00 Width (ft): 75.00 From: RUNWAY 15 END To: RUNWAY 33 END		PCI Family: lowaPCCRW_NCE_General	
Slabs: 2,112 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 48,325.00		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/10/2023 PCI: 77 Total Samples: 89 Surveyed: 9		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 59 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR	M	2.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	6.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	L L	4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 15			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	4.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M L	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 25			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	4.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	L M	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 35	IVI	1.00 Glabs	
Sample Type: R		Sample Comments:	
Sample PCI: 83 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00		• •	
CE IT CEAL DAG	11	24.00 Slobe	

L

65 JT SEAL DMG 67 LARGE PATCH 24.00 Slabs

4.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023			Generate Date: 4/16/2024
Network ID: MXO			Page 4
Sample Number: 45			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 67 LARGE PATCH 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	H L L	24.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 55			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 67 LARGE PATCH 75 CORNER SPALL	H L L	24.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 65			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 76 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 67 LARGE PATCH 75 CORNER SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	H L L M	24.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 75			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 67 LARGE PATCH 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL	H L L M	24.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 85			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 81 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	

Н

L

65 JT SEAL DMG

67 LARGE PATCH

75 CORNER SPALL

24.00 Slabs

4.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MXO			Page :
	Branch - Section	on ID: T01MO - 001	
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01			Use: TAXIWA
LCD: 6/1/2000 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 218,901.00 Length (ft): 5,600.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: APRON To: RUNWAY 15/33		PCI Family: IowaPCCTW_NCE_GenBasicLo	ocal
Slabs: 1,502 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 11.66 Joint Length (ft): 29,992.35 Last Insp Date: 11/10/2023		Section Comments: Inspection Comments:	
PCI: 77 Total Samples: 66 Surveyed: 9			
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00 65 JT SEAL DMG	н	Sample Comments: 18.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 13			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 75 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 73 SHRINKAGE CR	L H N	7.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 23			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 67 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	L M H	Sample Comments: 6.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 31			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 70 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 67 LARGE PATCH	L H L L	8.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 33			

Sample Number: 33

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 86

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 18.00 Slabs Н 74 JOINT SPALL L 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Pavement Database. IA 2023		Generale Date. 4/10	3/2024
Network ID: MXO		P	Page 6
Sample Number: 43			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 76 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR	L	8.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 48			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 67 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR	L	6.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	M	2.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 53			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 63			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 82	Sample	Comments:	

Н

Ν

1.00 Slabs

18.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00 63 LINEAR CR

65 JT SEAL DMG

73 SHRINKAGE CR

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MXO Page 7

Branch - Section ID: T01MO - 002

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/3/2023

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 47,134.00

Length (ft): 942.00 Width (ft): 10.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 539 Section Comments: VARIABLE SLAB SIZES

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 5,336.71

Last Insp Date: 11/10/2023

PCI: 100 Total Samples: 20 Surveyed: 7 Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW NCE GenBasicLocal

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00 NO DISTRESS Sample Comments:

Sample Number: 06

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 NO DISTRESS Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Number: 08

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 .

Sample Number: 09

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

NO DISTRESS

Sample Comments:

Sample Number: 12

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100 Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

NO DISTRESS

NO DISTRES

Sample Number: 15

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 26.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MXO Page 8

Sample Number: 18

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MXO Page 9

Branch - Section ID: TH01MO - 001

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR

LCD: 6/3/2007 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 10,560.00

Length (ft): 400.00 Width (ft): 25.00 From: APRON To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 71 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 11.90 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 1,283.39

Last Insp Date: 11/10/2023

PCI: 80 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NE&NCE

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 83

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

24.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 2.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 80

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG Н 24.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING 4.00 Slabs L

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 78

Sample Area (Slabs): 23.00

63 LINEAR CR 3.00 Slabs L 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 23.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MXO Page 10

Network ID: MXO			Page 10
	Branch - Section I	ID: TH01MO - 002	
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 6/3/2005 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 11,364.00 Length (ft): 231.70 Width (ft): 50.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP	P	CI Family: lowaPCCTH_NE&NCE	
Slabs: 75 Slab Length (ft): 12.20 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 1,564.27	S	ection Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/10/2023 PCI: 80 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 4	In	spection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 74 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	S	ample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 72 SHAT. SLAB	L M M L	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG	S. H	ample Comments: 20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03	П	20.00 Slaps	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	S	ample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 73 SHRINKAGE CR 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	H N M M	20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 81 Sample Area (Slabs): 15.00	S	ample Comments:	

L

Ν

2.00 Slabs

15.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs

63 LINEAR CR

65 JT SEAL DMG

73 SHRINKAGE CR

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MXO Page 11

Network ID: MXO			Page 1
	Branch - Section I	D: TH01MO - 003	
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAF
LCD: 10/1/2000 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 33,599.00 Length (ft): 562.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: APRON To: SEE MAP	P(CI Family: IowaPCCTH_NE&NCE	
Slabs: 220 Slab Length (ft): 12.20 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 4,710.17	Se	ection Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/10/2023 PCI: 77 Total Samples: 9 Surveyed: 5	ln:	spection Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 75 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sa	imple Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR	L	1.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
70 SCALING	L	1.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	2.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 69 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sa	imple Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	2.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CR	N	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M M	2.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 06			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 73 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sa	imple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
70 SCALING	L	1.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	5.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L 	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 07	-		
Sample PCI: 88	Sa	imple Comments:	
Sample PCI: 88			

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 24.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MXO Page 12

Sample Number: 08

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 79

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 24.00 Slabs

 71 FAULTING
 L
 2.00 Slabs

 73 SHRINKAGE CR
 N
 1.00 Slabs

 75 CORNER SPALL
 M
 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MXO Page 13

Branch - Section ID: TH01MO - 004

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR

LCD: 8/1/2003

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 3,974.00

Length (ft): 80.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 12 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 20.00 Slab Width (ft): 16.60 Joint Length (ft): 308.94

Last Insp Date: 11/10/2023

PCI: 50 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1

Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NE&NCE

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 50

Sample Area (Slabs): 12.00

 62 CORNER BREAK
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CR
 L
 4.00 Slabs

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 12.00 Slabs

 72 SHAT. SLAB
 L
 3.00 Slabs

 73 SHRINKAGE CR
 N
 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MXO Page 14

Branch - Section ID: TH01MO - 005

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR

PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NE&NCE

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

LCD: 6/3/2016

Section Area (sf): 21,990.00

Length (ft): 600.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 141 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 2,853.46

Last Insp Date: 11/10/2023 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 98 Total Samples: 8 Surveyed: 5

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 06

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 08

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 16.00 Slabs

APPENDIX D WORK HISTORY REPORT

WORK HISTORY

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: MXO Page 1

Network: MONTICELLO REGIONAL AIRPORT

Branch - Section ID: A01MO - 001

 LCD: 6/1/2000
 Length (ft):
 530.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 250.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 137,042.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2017	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST DATE
06-01-2000	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-
06-01-1977	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: R15MO - 001

 LCD: 6/1/2000
 Length (ft):
 4,400.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 75.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 330,000.01

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-01-2006	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	Full length of NE joint (2' either side)
06-01-2000	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-
06-02-1964	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0.00	0.50	True	0.5" P-609 BIT SURFACE TREATMENT
06-01-1964	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	8.00	False	8" P-209

Branch - Section ID: T01MO - 001

 LCD: 6/1/2000
 Length (ft):
 5,600.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 218,901.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2017	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST DATE
06-01-2000	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-
06-01-1977	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T01MO - 002

 LCD: 6/3/2023
 Length (ft):
 942.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 10.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 47,134.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2023	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501 CEMENT CONCRETE PAVEMENT
06-02-2023	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-154 SUBBASE
06-01-2023	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	0.00	False	P-152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE

WORK HISTORY

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: MXO Page 2

Branch - Section ID: TH01MO - 001

 LCD: 6/3/2007
 Length (ft):
 400.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 25.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 10,560.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2007	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC
06-02-2007	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-208
06-01-2007	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P-152

Branch - Section ID: TH01MO - 002

 LCD: 6/3/2005
 Length (ft):
 231.70

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 11,364.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2005	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	P-505
06-02-2005	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	P-208 GRANULAR SUBBASE
06-01-2005	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	COMPACTED P-152

Branch - Section ID: TH01MO - 003

 LCD: 10/1/2000
 Length (ft):
 562.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 33,599.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-01-2000	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	_

Branch - Section ID: TH01MO - 004

 LCD: 8/1/2003
 Length (ft):
 80.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 3,974.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2017	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST DATE
08-01-2003	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: TH01MO - 005

 LCD: 6/3/2016
 Length (ft):
 600.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 21,990.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2016	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC
06-02-2016	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" AGG SUBBASE
06-01-2016	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" SUBGRADE

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low	Monitor
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium	Monitor
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
ASR	Low	Monitor
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement
ASR	High	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Faulting	Low	Monitor
Faulting	Medium	Grinding
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Popouts	N/A	Monitor
Pumping	N/A	Monitor
Scaling	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch

Table E-3. 2024 unit costs for localized preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$15.54/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.66/If
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$39.82/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$17.78/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.20/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.20/If
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.38/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$17.78/sf

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

Table E-4. 2024 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0-40	PCI Range 40-50	PCI Range 50-60	PCI Range 60-70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80-90	PCI Range 90-100
AC	\$11.04	\$5.22	\$5.22	\$5.22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$18.44	\$8.72	\$8.72	\$8.72	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

APPENDIX F

YEAR 2024 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Table F-1. Year 2024 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2024 Estimated Cost
A01MO	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	6	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$638
A01MO	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	6	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$1,530
A01MO	01	Faulting	Medium	18	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.38	\$81
A01MO	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	952	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$70,508
A01MO	01	Corner Break	Medium	6	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.78	\$3,416
A01MO	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	12	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$457
R15MO	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	2,112	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$154,640
R15MO	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	20	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$782
R15MO	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	29	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$7,544
R15MO	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	29	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$3,143
T01MO	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	30	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$1,173
T01MO	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	1,502	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$95,976
TH01MO	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	71	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$4,107
TH01MO	02	Shattered Slab	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$80
TH01MO	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	56	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$3,720
TH01MO	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	20	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$1,353
TH01MO	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$521
TH01MO	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$40
TH01MO	02	Corner Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$109
TH01MO	03	Corner Spalling	Medium	7	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$786
TH01MO	03	Corner Break	Low	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$48
TH01MO	03	Joint Spalling	Medium	6	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$1,414

Year 2024 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details

Table F-1. Year 2024 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2024 Estimated Cost
TH01MO	03	Joint Seal Damage	High	220	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$15,073

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Monticello Regional Airport.



PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

JULY 2024