Muscatine Municipal Airport

Pavement Management Report



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

JULY 2024







MUSCATINE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared For:



Iowa Department of Transportation
Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, Iowa 50010
515-239-1691
https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

Prepared By:



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
PAVEMENT INVENTORY	3
PAVEMENT EVALUATION	6
Pavement Evaluation Procedure	
Pavement Evaluation Results	
Inspection Comments	13
Runways	
Taxiway	
Apron	
T-Hangar	
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM	
Analysis Parameters	
Critical PCIs	
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs	
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs	
Budget and Inflation Rate	
Analysis Approach	
Analysis Results	
General Maintenance Recommendations	17
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)	17
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Prog	
(PMP)	
SUMMARY	25
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1. Devement condition versus cost of repair	1
Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repairFigure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Muscatine Municipal Airport	
Figure 3. Muscatine Municipal Airport network definition map	
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type	
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Muscatine Municipal Airport	
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Muscatine Municipal Airport	
Figure 8. Muscatine Municipal Airport PCI map.	
rigure of Museatine Municipal Aliport i of map.	10
LICT OF TABLES	
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results	11
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario	16
Table 3. Pavement inspection report	20

Table of Contents July 2024

APPENDIXES

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2024 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	F-1

Introduction July 2024

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers (Robinson), updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the State of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Muscatine Municipal Airport were visually assessed in November 2023 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present on the pavement surface are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

Introduction July 2024

The pavement evaluation results for Muscatine Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Muscatine Municipal Airport, the lowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the web-based interactive pavement data visualization tool IDEA, containing the information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the lowa DOT's website or directly (lowa APMS IDEA).

Pavement Inventory July 2024

PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Muscatine Municipal Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps, as necessary, to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2020.


The pavement network at Muscatine Municipal Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.


Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.


To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 1,616,200 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Muscatine Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age in years of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Muscatine Municipal Airport.

Pavement Inventory July 2024







PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech visually inspected the pavements at Muscatine Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, <u>Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements</u>.
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, <u>Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)</u>.
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

During the PCI inspection, a cursory inspection of the entirety of a pavement section was performed. Sample units identified for more detailed inspection were verified, and adjustments to the selected sample units for inspection were made as needed to ensure an accurate assessment of the pavement's condition. Data pertaining to the types, severities, and quantities of observed pavement distresses were then collected within each sample unit. These data were then used to calculate the composite PCI of each pavement section. The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition with no visible signs of deterioration. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

PCI: 100

PCI: 83

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.

Note: Photographs shown are not specific to Muscatine Municipal Airport.

PCI: 39

PCI: 66

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

 PCI Range
 Repair

 86-100
 Preventive Maintenance

 56-70
 Major Rehabilitation

 26-40
 Reconstruction

 0-10
 O-10

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which is useful when selecting M&R strategies. Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as:

- Load-related—These distress types are defined as being caused by aircraft or vehicular traffic and may indicate a structural deficiency. Examples of load-related distress include alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements and corner breaks on portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements.
- Climate/durability-related—These distress types often signify the presence of aged or environmentally susceptible (or both) material and include durability-related issues.
 Examples of climate/durability-related distress include weathering on asphalt-surfaced pavements, which is climate-related, and durability cracking on PCC pavements, which is durability-related.
- Other—Distress types that fall into this category cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability. Examples of this type of distress include depressions on asphaltsurfaced pavements and shrinkage cracking on PCC pavements.

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at Muscatine Municipal Airport were inspected in November 2023. The 2023 area-weighted condition of Muscatine Municipal Airport is 86, with conditions ranging from 3 to 100 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2020, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 83.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Muscatine Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.







Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Muscatine Municipal Airport.

(Values on chart are area-weighted)






Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results.

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2023 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress	
A01MU	01	PCC	177,979	9/2/1991	81	19	49	32	ASR, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Popouts, Scaling, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch	
A01MU	02	PCC	12,444	4/1/2016	88	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage	
A01MU	03	PCC	6,299	7/1/1991	59	19	19	62	ASR, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Small Patch	
R06MU	01	PCC	549,989	6/2/2015	87	0	78	22	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch	
R12MU	01	PCC	300,000	10/30/1999	85	0	68	32	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Small Patch	
TA1MU	01	PCC	13,758	7/3/2021	100	0	0	0	No Distress	
TA1MU	02	PCC	12,153	6/2/2015	88	0	97	3	Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage	
TAMU	01	PCC	9,803	6/2/2015	79	0	58	42	Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Scaling	
TAMU	02	PCC	8,903	7/3/2021	100	0	0	0	No Distress	
TAMU	03	PCC	191,022	7/3/2021	100	0	0	0	No Distress	
TAMU	04	PCC	8,168	7/3/2021	100	0	0	0	No Distress	
TAMU	05	PCC	9,798	6/2/2015	88	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage	
TB1MU	01	PCC	21,343	10/30/1999	86	0	82	18	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch	
TB2MU	01	PCC	12,059	7/2/2007	80	28	57	15	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Scaling, Small Patch	
TBMU	01	PCC	20,781	6/1/2000	78	0	50	50	ASR, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Small Patch	
TBMU	02	PCC	119,611	7/2/2007	81	52	45	3	Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking	
TBMU	03	PCC	7,683	10/30/1999	86	0	87	13	Faulting, Joint Seal Damage	
TBMU	04	PCC	11,638	6/2/2015	87	0	91	9	Faulting, Joint Seal Damage	

Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results (continued).

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2023 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
TBMU	05	PCC	12,046	6/2/2015	86	0	80	20	Faulting, Joint Seal Damage
TBMU	06	PCC	14,056	7/3/2021	100	0	0	0	No Distress
TCMU	01	PCC	23,112	7/3/2021	100	0	0	100	Joint Spalling
TH01MU	01	AC	10,898	1/1/1991	3	45	55	0	Alligator Cracking, Block Cracking, Raveling, Rutting
TH01MU	02	AAC	9,904	1/1/2000	34	51	49	0	Alligator Cracking, L&T Cracking, Rutting, Weathering
TH01MU	03	PCC	26,178	6/3/2017	84	31	62	7	Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
TH01MU	04	PCC	13,604	6/3/2019	87	9	51	40	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking
TH01MU	05	PCC	13,004	7/3/2022	100	0	0	0	No Distress

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Inspection Comments

Muscatine Municipal Airport was inspected on November 8, 2023. There were twenty-six pavement sections defined during the inspection. Suspected alkali-silica reaction (ASR) was recorded at this airport in accordance with ASTM D5340. It should be noted that laboratory testing in the form of petrographic analysis is the only definitive way to validate the presence of ASR; however, the formation of a precipitate is evidence of a reaction consistent with this type of materials-related distress.

Runways

Runway 06/24 was defined by one section. Low-severity faulting and joint spalling, medium-severity corner spalling, and high-severity joint seal damage were recorded in Section 01. An atypical area of low-severity large patching was observed and recorded as an additional sample unit in accordance with ASTM D5340.

Runway 12/30 contained one section. Areas of low-severity faulting and joint spalling, low- and medium-severity small patching, medium-severity corner spalling, and high-severity joint seal damage were identified in Section 01 during the inspection.

Taxiway

Taxiway A consisted of five sections. Low-severity faulting, low- and medium-severity joint spalling, medium-severity scaling, and all severities of joint seal damage were recorded in Section 01. Sections 02, 03, and 04 were in excellent condition with no distress observed at the time of the inspection. High-severity joint seal damage was identified throughout Section 05.

Taxiway A1 was defined by two sections. Section 01 was in excellent condition with no distress noted at the time of the inspection. Section 02 was recorded with low-severity joint spalling and high-severity joint seal damage.

Taxiway B contained six sections. Section 01 had areas of low-severity ASR, faulting, and small patching and medium- and high-severity joint seal damage. Areas of low- and medium-severity longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking; medium-severity joint spalling; and high-severity joint seal damage were recorded in Section 02. Sections 03, 04, and 05 were in similar condition with low-severity faulting and high-severity joint seal damage observed in all three sections. Section 06 was in excellent condition with no distress recorded during the inspection.

Taxiway B1 was defined by one section. High-severity joint seal damage was identified throughout Section 01, along with areas of low-severity corner spalling, faulting, joint spalling, and large patching.

Taxiway B2 contained one section. Low-severity faulting, scaling, and small patching; medium-severity corner break, corner spalling, joint spalling, and LTD cracking; and medium- and high-severity joint seal damage were observed in Section 01.

Taxiway C was defined by one section. Section 01 was in excellent condition with only an isolated amount of low-severity joint spalling noted.

Apron

Apron 01 consisted of three sections. Section 01 contained areas of low-severity ASR, scaling, and small patching; low- and medium-severity joint spalling; medium-severity LTD cracking; high-severity joint seal damage; popouts; and shrinkage cracking. High-severity joint seal damage was identified throughout Section 02. Areas of low-severity faulting, low- and medium-

severity ASR and small patching, medium-severity joint spalling and LTD cracking, and high-severity joint seal damage were recorded in Section 03.

T-Hangar

The T-hangar area was defined by five sections. Section 01 was in poor condition with areas of low-severity rutting, medium-severity alligator cracking and block cracking, and high-severity raveling. Section 02 was also in poor condition. Areas of low-severity rutting, low- and medium-severity longitudinal and transverse (L&T) cracking, and medium-severity alligator cracking and weathering were recorded in the section. The low-severity L&T cracking was unsealed while the medium-severity L&T cracking was due to unsealed crack widths that exceeded 1/4 inch. Section 03 contained areas of medium-severity LTD cracking and joint spalling and high-severity joint seal damage. Low-severity corner spalling, faulting, and LTD cracking; low- and medium-severity joint spalling; medium-severity joint seal damage; and shrinkage cracking were identified in Section 04. Section 05 was in excellent condition with no distress recorded at the time of the inspection.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Muscatine Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The lowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the lowa DOT considered appropriate to correct the different distress types and severities. The lowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire State. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Muscatine Municipal Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The lowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2024, and an inflation rate of 2.0 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2024) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2025 or 2026, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2024. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Muscatine Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2024 localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Muscatine Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2024 is provided in Appendix F.

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost
2024	A01MU	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$92,145
2024	A01MU	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$5,787
2024	A01MU	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$11,818
2024	R06MU	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$265,759
2024	R12MU	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$141,938
2024	TA1MU	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$7,371
2024	TAMU	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$6,713
2024	TAMU	05	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$5,785
2024	TB1MU	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$10,544
2024	TB2MU	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$6,324
2024	TBMU	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$8,719
2024	TBMU	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$55,513
2024	TBMU	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$3,309
2024	TBMU	04	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$6,869
2024	TBMU	05	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$7,305
2024	TH01MU	01	AC	Major Rehabilitation	\$120,280
2024	TH01MU	02	AAC	Major Rehabilitation	\$109,309
2024	TH01MU	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$14,234
2024	TH01MU	04	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$7,706

Total Estimated Cost: \$887,000

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Muscatine Municipal Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Muscatine Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Muscatine Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The

identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that State or Federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Muscatine Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this report.
- Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur because of these
 inspections. This documentation needs to be reported to the FAA and the lowa DOT.
 This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in
 compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further
 information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure all edges of pavement maintain the required 1.5-inch lip. This enables the water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, mowing, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Muscatine Municipal Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for Federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the Federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Muscatine Municipal Airport will also need to undertake monthly driveby inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this appendix, along with

instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized text is a direct quotation from the FAA Advisory Circular.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

A-1.0. An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at Muscatine Municipal Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the lowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map provided in Figure 3 is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at Muscatine Municipal Airport is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to the pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for Muscatine Municipal Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys," the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

A-1.3. Record Keeping. The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:

- a. Inspection date
- b. Location
- c. Distress types
- d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items A through C are satisfied by this inspection report. Item D is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

A-1.4. Information Retrieval. An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Table 3. Pavement inspection report.

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01MU	01					
A01MU	02					
A01MU	03					
R06MU	01					
R12MU	01					
TA1MU	01					

Inspected By: _	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
TA1MU	02					
TAMU	01					
TAMU	02					
TAMU	03					
TAMU	04					
TAMU	05					

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
TB1MU	01					
TB2MU	01					
ТВМИ	01					
ТВМИ	02					
TBMU	03					
TBMU	04					

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
TBMU	05					
TBMU	06					
TCMU	01					
TH01MU	01					
TH01MU	02					
TH01MU	03					

	Table 3.	Pavement	inspection	report ((continued))
--	----------	----------	------------	----------	-------------	---

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
TH01MU	04					
TH01MU	05					

Table Note: See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

Summary July 2024

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Muscatine Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2023 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 86. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Muscatine Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$887,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Muscatine Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

APPENDIX A CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Cause of Distress Tables July 2024

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Cause of Distress Tables July 2024

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

APPENDIX B INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01MU-01. Overview.



A01MU-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 47).



A01MU-02. Overview.



A01MU-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 02).



A01MU-03. Overview.



A01MU-03. ASR (Sample Unit No. 02).



R06MU-01. Overview.




R06MU-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 142).




R06MU-01. Large Patching (Additional Sample Unit No. 063).



R12MU-01. Overview.



R12MU-01. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 29).



TA1MU-01. Overview.



TA1MU-02. Overview.



TA1MU-02. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 04).



TAMU-01. Overview.



TAMU-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 04).



TAMU-02. Overview.



TAMU-03. Overview.



TAMU-04. Overview (1).



TAMU-04. Overview (2).



TAMU-05. Overview.



TAMU-05. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 04).



TB1MU-01. Overview.



TB1MU-01. Large Patching (Sample Unit No. 04).



TB2MU-01. Overview.



TB2MU-01. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 02).



TBMU-01. Overview.



TBMU-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 01).



TBMU-02. Overview.



TBMU-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 31).



TBMU-03. Overview.



TBMU-03. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 03).



TBMU-04. Overview.



TBMU-04. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 06).



TBMU-05. Overview.



TBMU-06. Overview.



TCMU-01. Overview.



TCMU-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 04).



TH01MU-01. Overview.



TH01MU-01. Alligator Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01MU-02. Overview.



TH01MU-02. Alligator Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).



TH01MU-03. Overview.



TH01MU-03. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 08).



TH01MU-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 10).



TH01MU-04. Overview.



TH01MU-04. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 04).



TH01MU-05. Overview.



APPENDIX C INSPECTION REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT		Page ²
	Branch - Section ID: A01MU - 001	
Branch Name: APRON 01	U	lse: APRON
LCD: 9/2/1991 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 177,979.30 Length (ft): 326.50 Width (ft): 519.00 From: SW END OF T02MU-01 To: SOUTH END OF A01MU-01	PCI Family: lowaPCCAP_SE_CommEnhanced	
Slabs: 1,167 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.20 Joint Length (ft): 27,938.77 Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 PCI: 81 Total Samples: 59 Surveyed: 8	Section Comments: Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 08		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 73 SHRINKAGE CR 74 JOINT SPALL	H 20.00 Slabs L 1.00 Slabs N 1.00 Slabs L 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 14		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 87 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH	Sample Comments: H 20.00 Slabs L 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 19	L 1.00 Glabs	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 70 SCALING	Sample Comments: H 20.00 Slabs L 1.00 Slabs L 1.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CR	N 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 26		
Sample PCI: 63	Sample Comments:	

M

Н

Ν

Μ

3.00 Slabs

20.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 63 LINEAR CR

68 POPOUTS

65 JT SEAL DMG

74 JOINT SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024 Network ID: MUT Page 2 Sample Number: 31 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 85 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 20.00 Slabs 73 SHRINKAGE CR Ν 3.00 Slabs Sample Number: 38 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 20.00 Slabs **68 POPOUTS** Ν 1.00 Slabs Sample Number: 47 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 83 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG 20.00 Slabs Н 76 ASR L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 49

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 78

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 20.00 Slabs

 68 POPOUTS
 N
 5.00 Slabs

 73 SHRINKAGE CR
 N
 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 3

Branch - Section ID: A01MU - 002

PCI Family: IowaPCCAP SE CommEnhanced

Branch Name: APRON 01 Use: APRON

Surface Type: PCC

LCD: 4/1/2016

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 12,444.41

Length (ft): 150.00 Width (ft): 125.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 80 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 1,808.59

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 88 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 19.00

65 JT SEAL DMG Н 19.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 22.00

65 JT SEAL DMG Н 22.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 20.00 Slabs Н

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 4

Branch -	Section	ID:	Δ01ΜΠ	- 003
Dianti-	. Occion	10. /		- 003

Branch Name: APRON 01 Use: APRON

LCD: 7/1/1991 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

To: SEE MAP

Section Area (sf): 6,299.00 Length (ft): 100.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: SEE MAP

Slabs: 55 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.50 Slab Width (ft): 11.00 Joint Length (ft): 983.57

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 59 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2

Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCAP_SE_CommEnhanced

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 62

Sample Area (Slabs): 26.00

63 LINEAR CR	M	2.00 Slabs
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	26.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	M	2.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING	L	2.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
76 ASR	L	1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 56

Sample Area (Slabs): 29.00

63 LINEAR CR	M	1.00 Slabs
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	29.00 Slabs
76 ASR	L	9.00 Slabs
76 ASR	M	4.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 5

Branch - Section ID: R06MU - 001

Branch Name: RUNWAY 06/24 Use: RUNWAY

LCD: 6/2/2015 PCI Family: lowaPCCRW_SE_Enhanced

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 549,988.84

Length (ft): 5,500.00 Width (ft): 100.00 From: RUNWAY 06 END To: RUNWAY 24 END

Slabs: 3,520 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 82,398.33

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 87

Total Samples: 175 Surveyed: 19

Sample Number: 001

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 009

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 024

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 039

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 046

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 81

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 20.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING L 3.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 062

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 20.00 Slabs

RE-INSPECTION REPORT

MUSCATINE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024 Network ID: MUT Page 6 Sample Number: 063 Sample Type: A Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 85 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs **67 LARGE PATCH** L Sample Number: 071 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 087 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 103 Sample Comments: Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 83 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 20.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 2.00 Slabs Sample Number: 110 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 119 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 126 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 135 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 88

Sample Number: 142

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG

> 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 20.00 Slabs

Н

20.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 7

Sample Number: 151

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 158

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 167

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 174

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 78

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 20.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 L
 3.00 Slabs

 75 CORNER SPALL
 M
 2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

r avenient batabase. I/ 2020			Ochiciate Date. 4/10/2024
Network ID: MUT			Page 8
	Branch - Section II	D: R12MU - 001	
Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30			Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 10/30/1999 Surface Type: PCC Rank: S Section Area (sf): 300,000.01 Length (ft): 4,000.00 Width (ft): 75.00 From: RUNWAY 12 END To: RUNWAY 30 END	PCI	Family: lowaPCCRW_SE_Enhanced	
Slabs: 1,920 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 43,925.00	Sect	ion Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 PCI: 85 Total Samples: 80 Surveyed: 9	Insp	ection Comments:	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 65 JT SEAL DMG	Sam H	ple Comments: 24.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 11		Z1.00 Glabo	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 65 JT SEAL DMG		uple Comments:	
Sample Number: 20	Н	24.00 Slabs	
Sample Rumber: 20 Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sam	ple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	H L	24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 29			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 85 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sam	ple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 75 CORNER SPALL	H M	24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 38			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sam	ple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH	H L	24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	

M

L

L

1.00 Slabs

2.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

66 SMALL PATCH

74 JOINT SPALL

71 FAULTING

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 9

Sample Number: 47

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 84

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 24.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 56

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 80

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 24.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 4.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 65

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 76

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 24.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 10

Branch - Section ID: TA1MU - 001

Branch Name: TAXIWAY A1 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 7/3/2021

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 13,758.00

Length (ft): 119.00 Width (ft): 80.00 From: TAXIWAY 06 To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 157

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 2,660.55

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 100 Total Samples: 10 Surveyed: 5

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 19.00 **NO DISTRESS**

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 **NO DISTRESS**

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 23.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 07

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SE Enhanced

Section Comments: avg

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 11

Branch - Section ID: TA1MU - 002

Branch Name: TAXIWAY A1 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/2/2015

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 12,153.00

Length (ft): 210.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: RUNWAY 06/24

To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 139 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 2,303.28

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 88 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4 Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SE Enhanced

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 86

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 18.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 27.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 27.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 06

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 27.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 27.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 12

Network ID: MUT			Page 12
	Branch - Sec	tion ID: TAMU - 001	
Branch Name: TAXIWAY A			Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 6/2/2015 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 9,803.00 Length (ft): 210.00 Width (ft): 43.00 From: RUNWAY 06/24 To: SEE MAP		PCI Family: lowaPCCTW_SE_Enhanced	d
Slabs: 112 Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 1,825.99		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 PCI: 79 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 79 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	H L L	20.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 79 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	H L L	20.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 73 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 70 SCALING 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	L M L M	21.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
0 1 7 0		0 1 0 1	

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 15.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 15.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 13

Branch - Section ID: TAMU - 002

Branch Name: TAXIWAY A Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 7/3/2021

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 8,903.00

Length (ft): 120.00 Width (ft): 60.00 From: TAXIWAY 03 To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 102 Section Comments: avg slab sizes

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 1,685.21

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 100 Total Samples: 5 Surveyed: 3 Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SE Enhanced

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 26.00 NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 14

Branch - Section ID: TAMU - 003

Use: TAXIWAY Branch Name: TAXIWAY A

LCD: 7/3/2021

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 191,022.00

Length (ft): 5,458.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 2.183

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 35,440.52

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 100

Total Samples: 111 Surveyed: 11

Sample Number: 06

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 106

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 16

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 26

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 36

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 46

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SE Enhanced

Section Comments:

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 15

Sample Number: 56

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 66

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 76

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 86

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 96

Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 16

Branch - Section ID: TAMU - 004

Branch Name: TAXIWAY A Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 7/3/2021

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 8,168.00

Length (ft): 117.00 Width (ft): 37.50 From: TAXIWAY 06 To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 93

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 1,462.66

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 **Inspection Comments:**

PCI: 100 Total Samples: 5 Surveyed: 4

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 23.00

NO DISTRESS

Section Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SE Enhanced

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 17

Branch - Section ID: TAMU - 005

Branch Name: TAXIWAY A Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/2/2015

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 9,798.00 Length (ft): 210.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: RUNWAY 24 END

To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 112 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 1,807.96

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 88 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4 Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SE Enhanced

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 15.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 15.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 06

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 21.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 18

Branch - Section ID: TB1MU - 001

Branch Name: TAXIWAY B1

LCD: 10/30/1999

PCI Family: lowaPCCTW_SE_Enhanced
Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P Section Area (sf): 21,343.00

Length (ft): 510.00 Width (ft): 37.50 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 137 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 2,803.88

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 86 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 83

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 24.00 Slabs

 71 FAULTING
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 L
 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 85

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 24.00 Slabs 67 LARGE PATCH L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 86

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 24.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL L 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 19

Network ID: MOT			Page 19
	Branch - Section ID:	ГВ2MU - 001	
Branch Name: TAXIWAY B2			Use: TAXIWA
LCD: 7/2/2007 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 12,059.00 Length (ft): 250.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: TAXIWAY 08 To: RUNWAY 12/30	PCI Fam	nily: IowaPCCTW_SE_Enhanced	
Slabs: 83 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 11.67 Joint Length (ft): 1,605.27		Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 PCI: 80 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3	Inspection	on Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 82 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	M H	1.00 Slabs 21.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 72 Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	M	1.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	H	25.00 Slabs	
70 SCALING	L	2.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03		•	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 86	Sample	Comments:	

Sample PCI: 86

Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 M
 25.00 Slabs

 66 SMALL PATCH
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 M
 1.00 Slabs

 75 CORNER SPALL
 M
 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 20

Network ID: MUT			Page 20
5	Branch - Section I	ID: TBMU - 001	
Branch Name: TAXIWAY B			Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 6/1/2000 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 20,781.00 Length (ft): 450.00 Width (ft): 37.50 From: RUNWAY 12 END To: SEE MAP	PCI F	Family: lowaPCCTW_SE_Enhanced	
Slabs: 133 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 2,724.62	Section	on Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 PCI: 78 Total Samples: 7 Surveyed: 4	Inspe	ection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	Samp M L	ole Comments: 16.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 83 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	Samp H L	ole Comments: 16.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 69 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00	Samp	ole Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 76 ASR	H L L L	16.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 06			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 81 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Samp	ole Comments:	

Н

24.00 Slabs

5.00 Slabs

65 JT SEAL DMG

76 ASR

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 21

Branch - Section ID: TBMU - 002

Branch Name: TAXIWAY B Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 7/2/2007

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 119,611.00

Length (ft): 3,389.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: T07MU-01 To: T08MU-01

Slabs: 820

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 11.67 Joint Length (ft): 16,365.57

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 81

Total Samples: 39 Surveyed: 8

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

65 JT SEAL DMG

Sample Number: 06

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

65 JT SEAL DMG

Sample Number: 11

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

65 JT SEAL DMG

Sample Number: 16

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

65 JT SEAL DMG

Sample Number: 24

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

65 JT SEAL DMG

Sample Number: 28

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 56

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

63 LINEAR CR

63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG

2.00 Slabs 6.00 Slabs

21.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

21.00 Slabs

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SE Enhanced

Sample Comments:

Н

Н

Н

Н

L

Μ

Н

Section Comments:

Inspection Comments:

Н 21.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

21.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

21.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

21.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 22

Sample Number: 31

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 67

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

63 LINEAR CR M 4.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG H 21.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 38

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 84

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 21.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 M
 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 23

Branch - Section ID: TBMU - 003

Branch Name: TAXIWAY B Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 10/30/1999

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 7,683.00

Length (ft): 220.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 54 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 11.40 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 1,034.15

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 86 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3 Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SE Enhanced

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 84

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 21.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 15.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 15.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 24

Branch - Section ID: TBMU - 004

Branch Name: TAXIWAY B Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/2/2015 PCI Family: lowaPCCTW_SE_Enhanced

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 11,638.00

Length (ft): 206.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 133 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 2,146.41

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 87 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 27.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 27.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 06

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 83

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 18.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 25

Branch - Section ID: TBMU - 005

Branch Name: TAXIWAY B Use: TAXIWAY

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

LCD: 6/2/2015 PCI Family: lowaPCCTW_SE_Enhanced

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 12,045.78

Length (ft): 210.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: RUNWAY 06/24

To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 138 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 2,282.96

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 86 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4

CI: 86

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 83

Sample Area (Slabs): 26.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 26.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 84

Sample Area (Slabs): 23.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 23.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 26

Branch - Section ID: TBMU - 006

Branch Name: TAXIWAY B Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 7/3/2021

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 14,056.00

Length (ft): 158.00 Width (ft): 85.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 161

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.75

Joint Length (ft): 2,757.67

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 100 Total Samples: 10 Surveyed: 5

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 07

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

NO DISTRESS

 ${\sf PCI\ Family:\ IowaPCCTW_SE_Enhanced}$

Section Comments:

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 27

Branch - Section ID: TCMU - 001

Use: TAXIWAY Branch Name: TAXIWAY C

LCD: 7/3/2021

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 23,112.00

Length (ft): 282.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 264 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 4,408.37

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 100 Total Samples: 16 Surveyed: 7

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 15.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

74 JOINT SPALL

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 23.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 09

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 12

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100 Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 13

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

NO DISTRESS

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SE Enhanced

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

L

1.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 28

Sample Number: 16

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 29

Branch - Section ID: TH01MU - 001

PCI Family: IowaAsphaltTH Southern

Inspection Comments:

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR

LCD: 1/1/1991 Surface Type: AC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 10,898.00

Length (ft): 380.00 Width (ft): 25.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): Slab Width (ft): Joint Length (ft):

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 3

Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 2

Sample Area (SF): 6,254.00

> 41 ALLIGATOR CR Μ 2,502.00 SF 43 BLOCK CR Μ 3,752.00 SF 52 RAVELING Н 6,254.00 SF 53 RUTTING L 200.00 SF

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 4

Sample Area (SF): 4,644.00

> 41 ALLIGATOR CR Μ 1,393.00 SF 43 BLOCK CR Μ 3,251.00 SF 52 RAVELING 4,644.00 SF Н 53 RUTTING L 200.00 SF

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 30

Network ID: MUT				Page 30
	Branch - Section	ID: TH01MU - 002		
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01				Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 1/1/2000 Surface Type: AAC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 9,904.00 Length (ft): 395.00 Width (ft): 25.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP	P	CI Family: IowaAsphaltTH_Sou	thern	
Slabs: Slab Length (ft): Slab Width (ft): Joint Length (ft):	Si	ection Comments:		
Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 PCI: 34 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3	In	spection Comments:		
Sample Number: 01				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 53 Sample Area (SF): 3,029.00	S	ample Comments:		
41 ALLIGATOR CR 48 L & T CR	M L	28.00 SF 85.00 Ft	LU	
48 L & T CR 57 WEATHERING	M M	120.00 Ft 3,029.00 SF	W	
Sample Number: 02				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 23 Sample Area (SF): 3,750.00	S	ample Comments:		
41 ALLIGATOR CR	M	400.00 SF		
48 L & T CR	L	150.00 Ft	LU	
48 L & T CR 53 RUTTING	M	315.00 Ft	W	
57 WEATHERING	L M	20.00 SF 3,750.00 SF		
Sample Number: 03		0,1 00:00 01		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 29	S	ample Comments:		
Sample Area (SF): 3,125.00		050.00.05		
41 ALLIGATOR CR	M	350.00 SF		

L

M

M

85.00 Ft

250.00 Ft

3,125.00 SF

LU

W

48 L & T CR

48 L & T CR

57 WEATHERING

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Pavement Database: IA 2023			Generate Date: 4/16/2024
Network ID: MUT			Page 3 ²
	Branch - Section	n ID: TH01MU - 003	
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAF
LCD: 6/3/2017 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 26,178.00 Length (ft): 670.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: TH01MU-01 To: SEE MAP		PCI Family: lowaPCCTH_SE	
Slabs: 227 Slab Length (ft): 10.50 Slab Width (ft): 11.00 Joint Length (ft): 4,085.95		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 PCI: 84 Total Samples: 11 Surveyed: 6		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 81 Sample Area (Slabs): 12.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL	H M	12.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	18.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 06			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	25.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08 Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	21.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 10			

Sample Comments:

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00 63 LINEAR CR 3.00 Slabs Μ 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 21.00 Slabs

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 71

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 32

	-				
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01	Branch - Section ID: TH	01MU - 004	Use: T-HANGAR		
LCD: 6/3/2019 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 13,604.00 Length (ft): 242.00 Width (ft): 55.00 From: TH01MU-03 To: SEE MAP	PCI Famil	y: lowaPCCTH_SE			
Slabs: 124 Slab Length (ft): 11.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 2,293.57	Section Co	omments:			
Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 PCI: 87 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4	Inspection	Comments:			
Sample Number: 01					
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:			
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	21.00 Slabs			
Sample Number: 03 Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 86 Sample Area (Slabs): 26.00	Sample Co	omments:			
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 73 SHRINKAGE CR 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M L N L	26.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs			
Sample Number: 04					
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample Co	omments:			
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL	L M M	1.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs			
Sample Number: 05					
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 87 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample Co	omments:			

Μ

65 JT SEAL DMG

71 FAULTING

20.00 Slabs

2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 33

Branch - Section ID: TH01MU - 005

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR

LCD: 7/3/2022 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 13,004.00

Length (ft): 280.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 93

Slab Length (ft): 11.90 Slab Width (ft): 11.70 Joint Length (ft): 1,897.70

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 100 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

PCI Family: IowaPCCTH SE

Section Comments: Slab Size Varies

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

APPENDIX D WORK HISTORY REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 1

Network: MUSCATINE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch - Section ID: A01MU - 001

 LCD: 9/2/1991
 Length (ft):
 326.50

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 519.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 177,979.30

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
09-02-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" P-501 PCC
09-01-1991	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209/P219 CRUSHED AGGREGATE BASE
06-01-1945	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: A01MU - 002

 LCD: 4/1/2016
 Length (ft):
 150.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 125.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 12,444.41

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
04-01-2016	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	Estimated Date
09-02-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" P-501 PCC
09-01-1991	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209/P219 CRUSHED AGGREGATE BASE
06-01-1945	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: A01MU - 003

 LCD: 7/1/1991
 Length (ft):
 100.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 6,299.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2012	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
07-01-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" P-501 PCC
07-01-1991	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209 CRUSHED AGGREGATE BASE

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 2

Branch - Section ID: R06MU - 001

 LCD: 6/2/2015
 Length (ft):
 5,500.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 100.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 549,988.84

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2015	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	8.00	True	8" P501 PCC SURFACE
06-01-2015	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P209/P219 CRUSHED AGGREGATE BASE
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
09-01-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: R12MU - 001

 LCD: 10/30/1999
 Length (ft):
 4,000.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 75.00

 Rank: S
 True Area (sf):
 300,000.01

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
10-30-1999	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC SURFACE
10-29-1999	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P209 CRUSHED AGGREGATE BASE

Branch - Section ID: TA1MU - 001

 LCD: 7/3/2021
 Length (ft):
 119.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 80.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 13,758.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
07-03-2021	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC CONCRETE PAVEMENT
07-02-2021	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209/P-219 CRUSHED AGGREGATE BASE COURSE W/ SEPERATION GEOTEXTILE
07-01-2021	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P-152 SUBGRADE PREPARATION
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
07-01-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" P-501
07-01-1991	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 3

Branch - Section ID: TA1MU - 002

 LCD: 6/2/2015
 Length (ft):
 210.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 12,153.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2015	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	8.00	True	PCC Pavement
06-01-2015	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	Rock Base
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
07-01-1991	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209
07-01-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" P-501

Branch - Section ID: TAMU - 001

 LCD: 6/2/2015
 Length (ft):
 210.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 43.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 9,803.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2015	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	8.00	True	PCC Pavement
06-01-2015	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	Rock Base
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
09-01-1991	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209
09-01-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" P-501

Branch - Section ID: TAMU - 002

 LCD: 7/3/2021
 Length (ft):
 120.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 60.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 8,903.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
07-03-2021	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC CONCRETE PAVEMENT
07-02-2021	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209/P-219 CRUSHED AGGREGATE BASE COURSE W/ SEPERATION GEOTEXTILE
07-01-2021	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P-152 SUBGRADE PREPARATION
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
09-01-1991	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209
09-01-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" P-501

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 4

Branch - Section ID: TAMU - 003

 LCD: 7/3/2021
 Length (ft):
 5,458.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 191,022.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
07-03-2021	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC CONCRETE PAVEMENT
07-02-2021	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209/P-219 CRUSHED AGGREGATE BASE COURSE W/ SEPERATION GEOTEXTILE
07-01-2021	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P-152 SUBGRADE PREPARATION
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
07-01-1991	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209
07-01-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" P-501

Branch - Section ID: TAMU - 004

 LCD: 7/3/2021
 Length (ft):
 117.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 37.50

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 8,168.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
07-03-2021	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC CONCRETE PAVEMENT
07-02-2021	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209/P-219 CRUSHED AGGREGATE BASE COURSE W/ SEPERATION GEOTEXTILE
07-01-2021	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P-152 SUBGRADE PREPARATION
06-01-2012	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
07-01-1991	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209
07-01-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" P-501

Branch - Section ID: TAMU - 005

 LCD: 6/2/2015
 Length (ft):
 210.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 40.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 9,798.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2015	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	8.00	True	PCC Pavement
06-01-2015	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	Rock Base
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
07-01-1991	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209
07-01-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" P-501

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 5

Branch - Section ID: TB1MU - 001

 LCD: 10/30/1999
 Length (ft):
 510.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 37.50

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 21,343.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2016	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST DATE
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
10-30-1999	NC-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: TB2MU - 001

 LCD: 7/2/2007
 Length (ft):
 250.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 12,059.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
07-02-2007	NC-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC
07-01-2007	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" ROCK

Branch - Section ID: TBMU - 001

 LCD: 6/1/2000
 Length (ft):
 450.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 37.50

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 20,781.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2000	NC-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	6.00	True	PCC

Branch - Section ID: TBMU - 002

 LCD: 7/2/2007
 Length (ft):
 3,389.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 119,611.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
07-02-2007	NC-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC
07-01-2007	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" ROCK

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: MUT

Branch - Section ID: TBMU - 003

 LCD: 10/30/1999
 Length (ft):
 220.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 7,683.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
10-30-1999	NC-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: TBMU - 004

 LCD: 6/2/2015
 Length (ft):
 206.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 40.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 11,638.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2015	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC SURFACE
06-01-2015	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P209/P219 CRUSHED AGGREGATE BASE
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
10-30-1999	NC-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: TBMU - 005

 LCD: 6/2/2015
 Length (ft):
 210.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 12,045.78

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2015	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	8.00	True	PCC Pavement
06-01-2015	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	Rock Base
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
07-01-1991	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209
07-01-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" P-501

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 7

Branch - Section ID: TBMU - 006

 LCD: 7/3/2021
 Length (ft):
 158.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 85.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 14,056.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
07-03-2021	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC CONCRETE PAVEMENT
07-02-2021	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209/P-219 CRUSHED AGGREGATE BASE COURSE W/ SEPERATION GEOTEXTILE
07-01-2021	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P-152 SUBGRADE PREPARATION
06-01-2012	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
07-01-1991	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209 CRUSHED AGGREGATE BASE
07-01-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" P-501 PCC

Branch - Section ID: TCMU - 001

 LCD: 7/3/2021
 Length (ft):
 282.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 23,112.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
07-03-2021	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC CONCRETE PAVEMENT
07-02-2021	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209/P-219 CRUSHED AGGREGATE BASE COURSE W/ SEPERATION GEOTEXTILE
07-01-2021	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P-152 SUBGRADE PREPARATION

Branch - Section ID: TH01MU - 001

 LCD: 1/1/1991
 Length (ft):
 380.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 25.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 10,898.00

Surface: AC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-1991	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST; CONSTRUCTED PRIOR TO 1994 PER GOOGLE EARTH

Branch - Section ID: TH01MU - 002

 LCD: 1/1/2000
 Length (ft):
 395.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 25.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 9,904.00

 Surface: AAC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-2000	OL-AS	Overlay - AC Structural	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST USING GOOGLE EARTH
06-01-1991	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	UNKNOWN, CONSTRUCTED PRIOR TO 1994

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: MUT Page 8

Branch - Section ID: TH01MU - 003

 LCD: 6/3/2017
 Length (ft):
 670.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 26,178.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2017	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC
06-02-2017	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" Granular Subbase
06-01-2017	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" Prepared Subgrade

Branch - Section ID: TH01MU - 004

 LCD: 6/3/2019
 Length (ft):
 242.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 55.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 13,604.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2019	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC
06-02-2019	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" Modified Granular Subbase
06-01-2019	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" Compacted Subgrade

Branch - Section ID: TH01MU - 005

 LCD: 7/3/2022
 Length (ft):
 280.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 13,004.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
07-03-2022	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC
07-02-2022	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	0.00	False	6" MODIFIED SUBBASE W/ ENGINEERING FABRIC
07-01-2022	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" SUBGRADE PREPARATION

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low	Monitor
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium	Monitor
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
ASR	Low	Monitor
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement
ASR	High	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Faulting	Low	Monitor
Faulting	Medium	Grinding
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Popouts	N/A	Monitor
Pumping	N/A	Monitor
Scaling	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch

Table E-3. 2024 unit costs for localized preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$15.54/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.66/If
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$39.82/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$17.78/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.20/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.20/If
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.38/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$17.78/sf

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

Table E-4. 2024 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0–40	PCI Range 40-50	PCI Range 50-60	PCI Range 60-70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80-90	PCI Range 90-100
AC	\$11.04	\$5.22	\$5.22	\$5.22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$18.44	\$8.72	\$8.72	\$8.72	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

APPENDIX F

YEAR 2024 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Year 2024 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details

Table F-1. Year 2024 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2024 Estimated Cost
A01MU	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	1,167	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$89,404
A01MU	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	7	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$1,876
A01MU	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	22	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$865
A01MU	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	80	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$5,787
A01MU	03	LTD Cracking	Medium	3	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$103
A01MU	03	Joint Seal Damage	High	55	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$3,147
A01MU	03	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$257
A01MU	03	Small Patch	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.78	\$96
A01MU	03	ASR	Medium	4	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$17.78	\$8,214
R06MU	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	3,520	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$263,675
R06MU	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	19	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$2,084
R12MU	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	9	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$952
R12MU	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	1,920	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$140,560
R12MU	01	Small Patch	Medium	9	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.78	\$425
TA1MU	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	139	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$7,371
TAMU	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$379
TAMU	01	Scaling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$2,106
TAMU	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	22	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$1,153
TAMU	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	59	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$3,075
TAMU	05	Joint Seal Damage	High	112	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$5,785
TB1MU	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	161	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$10,544
TB2MU	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$125

Table F-1. Year 2024 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2024 Estimated Cost
TB2MU	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$90
TB2MU	01	Corner Break	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.78	\$671
TB2MU	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	29	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$1,809
TB2MU	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	54	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$3,328
TB2MU	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$301
TBMU	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	103	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$6,781
TBMU	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	30	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$1,938
TBMU	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	5	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$1,255
TBMU	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	49	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$1,888
TBMU	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	820	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$52,370
TBMU	03	Joint Seal Damage	High	54	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$3,309
TBMU	04	Joint Seal Damage	High	133	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$6,869
TBMU	05	Joint Seal Damage	High	138	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$7,305
TH01MU	03	LTD Cracking	Medium	6	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$194
TH01MU	03	Joint Seal Damage	High	227	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$13,075
TH01MU	03	Joint Spalling	Medium	4	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$965
TH01MU	04	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$367
TH01MU	04	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	124	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$7,339

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Muscatine Municipal Airport.



PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

JULY 2024