Oskaloosa Municipal Airport

PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

JULY 2022







OSKALOOSA MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared For:



Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

Prepared By:



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
PAVEMENT INVENTORY	3
PAVEMENT EVALUATION	6
Pavement Evaluation Procedure	6
Pavement Evaluation Results	7
Inspection Comments	12
Runways	12
Taxiways	12
T-Hangar	13
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM	14
Analysis Parameters	14
Critical PCIs	14
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs	14
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs	
Budget and Inflation Rate	
Analysis Approach	
Analysis Results	
General Maintenance Recommendations	
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)	
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)	
SUMMARY	21
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.	
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport	
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.	7
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport	
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport Figure 8. Oskaloosa Municipal Airport PCI map	8 9

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. 2021 pavement evaluation results.	10
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario	15
Table 3. Pavement inspection report.	19
APPENDIXES	
Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	B-1
Appendix C. Inspection Report	C-1
Appendix D. Work History Report	D-1
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2022 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	

Introduction July 2022

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company, updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport were assessed in November 2021 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

Introduction July 2022

The pavement evaluation results for Oskaloosa Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Oskaloosa Municipal Airport, the Iowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the interactive pavement management data visualization tool IDEA, containing the pavement management information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website (https://iowadot.gov/aviation).

Pavement Inventory July 2022

PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps as necessary to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2018.


The pavement network at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways and T-hangars are also separate branches.


Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.


To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 971,300 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age, in years, of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport.

Pavement Inventory July 2022







PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech inspected the pavements at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, *Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements* (https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory_Circular/150-5380-6C.pdf).
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, *Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)* (https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory_Circular/150-5380-7B.pdf).
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces¹.







¹Photographs shown are not specific to Oskaloosa Municipal Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

 PCI Range
 Repair

 86-100
 Preventive Maintenance

 56-70
 Major Rehabilitation

 26-40
 Reconstruction

 0-10
 O-10

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which in turn helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause, thus eliminating or delaying its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as load-related (such as alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on portland cement concrete [PCC] pavements), climate/durability-related (such as weathering [a climate-related distress type on asphalt-surfaced pavements] and durability cracking [a durability-related distress type on PCC pavements]), and other (distress types that cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability).

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport were inspected in November 2021. The 2021 area-weighted condition of Oskaloosa Municipal Airport is 61, with conditions ranging from 20 to 98 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2018, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 62.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.

Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport.





Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport. (Values on chart are area-weighted)






Table 1. 2021 pavement evaluation results.

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2021 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
R04OK	01	PCC	135,000	6/1/1944	66	30	16	54	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Popouts, Scaling, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
R13OK	01	PCC	300,239	6/2/1998	77	10	32	58	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
T01OK	01	PCC	246,348	6/1/1944	30	52	8	40	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Popouts, Scaling, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
T01OK	02	PCC	15,446	9/30/1998	80	38	28	34	Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking
T01OK	03	PCC	69,844	6/1/1944	24	45	7	48	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Popouts, Scaling, Shattered Slab, Small Patch
T02OK	01	PCC	24,038	6/30/1998	73	28	36	36	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking
Т03ОК	01	PCC	26,615	9/30/1998	77	0	44	56	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Small Patch
Т04ОК	01	PCC	9,759	6/1/1998	77	24	25	51	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking

Table 1. 2021 pavement evaluation results (continued).

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2021 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
T05OK	01	PCC	31,930	5/3/2007	96	0	87	13	Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
T05OK	02	PCC	62,588	5/3/2007	98	0	82	18	Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
T05OK	03	PCC	39,615	1/3/2005	85	0	26	74	ASR, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
TH01OK	01	PCC	9,924	1/1/1944	20	55	9	36	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Popouts, Scaling, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Inspection Comments

Oskaloosa Municipal Airport was inspected on November 21, 2021. There were twelve pavement sections defined during the inspection. Suspected alkali-silica reaction (ASR) was recorded at this airport in accordance with ASTM D5340-20. It should be noted that laboratory testing in the form of petrographic analysis is the only definitive way to validate the presence of ASR; however, the formation of a precipitate is evidence of a reaction consistent with this type of materials-related distress.

Runways

Runway 04/22 consisted of one section that had areas of low- and medium-severity corner break, scaling, and faulting; all severities of corner spalling and joint spalling; low-severity large patching; high-severity joint seal damage; popouts; shrinkage cracking; and medium-severity shattered slab, small patching, and longitudinal, transverse and diagonal (LTD) cracking noted throughout.

Runway 13/31 was defined by one section. Low- and medium-severity corner break and ASR, all severities of corner spalling and faulting, high-severity joint seal damage, medium-severity joint spalling, low-severity LTD cracking, and low- and high-severity small patching were recorded in Section 01.

Taxiways

Taxiway 01 contained three sections. Section 01 was in poor condition and had areas of low-severity ASR; all severities of corner break, corner spalling, small patching, and shattered slab; high-severity joint seal damage; low- and medium-severity joint spalling, LTD cracking, and scaling; popouts; shrinkage cracking; and low- and high-severity large patching noted at the time of inspection. Section 02 contained low- and medium-severity corner break, LTD cracking, faulting, and joint spalling; medium-severity joint seal damage; low-severity shattered slab; and shrinkage cracking. Section 03 was in poor condition and had low- and medium-severity ASR, corner break, LTD cracking, large patching, scaling, and shattered slab; all severities of corner spalling and joint spalling; high-severity joint seal damage and small patching; and popouts recorded throughout.

Taxiway 02 consisted of one section with areas of low- and medium-severity corner break and corner spalling; high-severity joint seal damage; medium-severity joint spalling; and low - severity LTD cracking, large patching, and faulting noted during the inspection.

Taxiway 03 contained one section. Medium- and high-severity corner spalling and joint spalling, low-severity faulting, high-severity joint seal damage, and medium- and high-severity small patching were recorded in Section 01.

Taxiway 04 was defined by one section that contained low-severity corner break and faulting, low- and medium-severity corner spalling and joint spalling, and medium-severity joint seal damage and LTD cracking.

Taxiway 05 consisted of three sections. Section 01 was in excellent condition with low-severity corner spalling and low- and medium-severity joint seal damage recorded during the inspection. Section 02 was also in excellent condition. Only low-severity corner spalling and joint seal damage were noted. Section 03 contained areas of low- and medium-severity ASR and corner spalling, low-severity faulting, medium-severity joint seal damage, and high-severity joint

spalling. An atypical area that contained high-severity ASR was recorded as an additional sample unit, in accordance with ASTM D5340-20.

T-Hangar

The T-hangar area contained one section that was in poor condition. Medium- and high-severity corner spalling and joint spalling; low-severity faulting, scaling, and large patching; high-severity joint seal damage; low- and medium-severity LTD cracking and small patching; popouts; medium-severity shattered slab; and shrinkage cracking were observed in Section 01.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Oskaloosa Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for T-hangars.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct for the different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2022 and an inflation rate of 4.0 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2022) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2023 or 2024, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2022. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2022

localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Oskaloosa Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2022 is provided in Appendix F.

Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost
2022	R13OK	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$179,322
2022	T01OK	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$4,282,060
2022	T01OK	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$7,890
2022	T01OK	03	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$1,214,035
2022	T02OK	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$13,925
2022	T03OK	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$14,975
2022	T04OK	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$4,867
2022	T05OK	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$2,272
2022	T05OK	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$24,621
2022	TH01OK	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$172,501
2024	R04OK	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$1,200,532

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Total Estimated Cost: \$7,117,000

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Oskaloosa Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Oskaloosa Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Oskaloosa Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- 1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this report.
- 2. Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur as a result of inspections. These need to be reported to the FAA and the Iowa DOT. This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a "bathtub" effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Oskaloosa Municipal Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Oskaloosa Municipal Airport will also need to undertake monthly drive-by inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this Appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized words are direct quotations from the FAA Advisory Circular.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

A-1.0. An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, and T-hangars at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the Iowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map (Figure 3) is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for Oskaloosa Municipal Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys, the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

- **A-1.3. Record Keeping.** The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:
 - a. Inspection date
 - b. Location
 - c. Distress types
 - d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items a through c are satisfied by this inspection report. Item d is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

A-1.4. Information Retrieval. An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report.

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
R04OK	01					
R13OK	01					
T01OK	01					
T01OK	02					
T01OK	03					
T02OK	01					

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
Т03ОК	01					
Т04ОК	01					
Т05ОК	01					
T05OK	02					
T05OK	03					
TH01OK	01					

Table Notes:

1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

Summary July 2022

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2021 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 61. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Oskaloosa Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$7,117,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Oskaloosa Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

APPENDIX A CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Cause of Distress Tables July 2022

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Cause of Distress Tables July 2022

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress			
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.			
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.			
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.			
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.			
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.			
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.			
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.			
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A			
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.			
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.			
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.			
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.			
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.			
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.			

APPENDIX B INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

R04OK-01. Overview.




R04OK-01. Large Patching (Sample Unit No. 16).



R04OK-01. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 03).



R13OK-01. Overview.



R13OK-01. Faulting (Additional Sample Unit No. 66).



R13OK-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 16).



R13OK-01. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 24).



T01OK-01. Overview.



T01OK-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).



T01OK-01. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 14).



T01OK-02. Overview.



T01OK-02. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 01).



T01OK-02. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 04).



T01OK-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



T01OK-03. Overview.




T02OK-01. Overview.



T02OK-01. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 04).



T03OK-01. Overview.



T03OK-01. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 05).



T03OK-01. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 02).



T04OK-01. Overview.



T04OK-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



T05OK-01. Overview.



T05OK-01. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 06).



T05OK-02. Overview.



T05OK-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 15).



T05OK-03. Overview.



T05OK-03. ASR (Additional Sample Unit No. 01).



T05OK-03. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 07).



T05OK-03. Joint Spalling (Additional Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01OK-01. Overview.



TH01OK-01. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 01).



APPENDIX C INSPECTION REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 1

Network ID: OOA			Page
Branch Name: RUNWAY 04/22	Branch - Section ID): R04OK - 01	Use: RUNWA
LCD: 6/1/1944 Surface Type: PCC Rank: S Section Area (sf): 135,000.00 Length (ft): 1,800.00 Width (ft): 75.00 From: RUNWAY END 04 To: RUNWAY END 22	PCI F	amily: lowaPCCRWSE_General	
Slabs: 540 Slab Length (ft): 20.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 15,675.00	Section	on Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 66 Total Samples: 29 Surveyed: 7	Inspe	ction Comments:	
Sample Number: 003			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 33 Sample Area (Slabs): 15	Samp	le Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 67 LARGE PATCH 68 POPOUTS 70 SCALING 71 FAULTING 72 SHAT. SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	L M H L N L L M M	1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 5 Slabs 3 Slabs 3 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 007			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100 Sample Area (Slabs): 25 NO DISTRESS	Samp	le Comments:	
Sample Number: 011			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 34 Sample Area (Slabs): 15	Samp	le Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 68 POPOUTS 70 SCALING 74 JOINT SPALL	M H N M H	3 Slabs 15 Slabs 5 Slabs 2 Slabs 1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M H	3 Slabs 1 Slabs	

L

1 Slabs

D			0 , 5 , 4/97/9999
Pavement Database: IA 2021			Generate Date: 4/27/2022
Network ID: OOA			Page 2
Sample Number: 016			
Sample Type: R	Sample C	comments:	
Sample PCI: 46			
Sample Area (Slabs): 15			
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	15 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	2 Slabs	
68 POPOUTS	N	6 Slabs	
70 SCALING	M	1 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	M	2 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	3 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 018			
Sample Type: R	Sample C	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 100	·		
Sample Area (Slabs): 29			
NO DISTRESS			
Sample Number: 023			
Sample Type: R	Sample C	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 29	Campic C	omments.	
Sample Area (Slabs): 15			
	N4	4 Claha	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR	M M	1 Slabs 3 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	H	15 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	П М	1 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	2 Slabs	
68 POPOUTS	N	5 Slabs	
70 SCALING	M	4 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	3 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	 Н	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 026			
Sample Type: R	Sample C	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 63	Sample S		
Sample Area (Slabs): 18			
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	18 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	1 Slabs	
68 POPOUTS	N	1 Slabs	
70 SCALING	M	1 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CR	N	1 Slabs	
73 STRINKAGE CR 74 JOINT SPALL	L	1 Slabs	
14 JUINT SPALL	L	i Siaus	

M

Μ

74 JOINT SPALL

75 CORNER SPALL

1 Slabs

1 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 3

Network ID: OOA			Page 3
Branch Name: RUNWAY 13/31	Branch - Section ID: F	R13OK - 01	Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 6/2/1998 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 300,239.00 Length (ft): 4,011.00 Width (ft): 75.00 From: RWY END 13 To: RWY END 31	PCI Fami	ily: lowaPCCRWSE_General	
Slabs: 1,922 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 43,960.20	Section C	Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 77 Total Samples: 80 Surveyed: 10	Inspectio	n Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 63 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample 0	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 62 CORNER BREAK 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	L M H L M	2 Slabs 1 Slabs 24 Slabs 3 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs	
Sample Number: 16			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 87 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample 0	Comments:	
71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	L M M	1 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs	
Sample Number: 24			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 73 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample 0	Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	Н Н М Н	24 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs	
Sample Number: 32			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 85 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample 0	Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	H	24 Slabs	

Μ

1 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021			Generate Date: 4/27/2022
Network ID: OOA			Page 4
Sample Number: 40			
Sample Type: R	Sample Com	ments:	
Sample PCI: 78	·		
Sample Area (Slabs): 24			
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	2 Slabs	
Sample Number: 48			
Sample Type: R	Sample Com	ments:	
Sample PCI: 74			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24			
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	1 Slabs	
76 ASR	M	1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 56			
Sample Type: R	Sample Com	ments:	
Sample Area (Slaha): 34			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24			
65 JT SEAL DMG	H	24 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Н	1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 64			
Sample Type: R	Sample Com	ments:	
Sample PCI: 77	Sample Com	ments:	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	·		
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	H L	24 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	H L M	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR	H L	24 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66	H L M L	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A	H L M	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42	H L M L	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	H L M L Sample Com	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs ments:	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 63 LINEAR CR	H L M L Sample Com	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs ments:	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	H L M L Sample Com	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs ments: 2 Slabs 24 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH	H L M L Sample Com	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs ments: 2 Slabs 24 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING	H L M L Sample Com	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 24 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING	H L M L Sample Com	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 24 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING	H L M L Sample Com	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 24 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING	H L M L Sample Com	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 24 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	H L M L Sample Com	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 24 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 3 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	H L M L Sample Com	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs Ments: 2 Slabs 24 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 72 Sample Type: R	H L M L Sample Com	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 72 Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 80	H L M L Sample Com L H L H L M M M M L	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 72 Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	H L M L Sample Com L H L H L M M M M L Sample Com	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 72 Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG	H L M L Sample Com L H L H L M M M L Sample Com	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 4 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 4 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 4 Slabs	
Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 66 Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL 76 ASR Sample Number: 72 Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	H L M L Sample Com L H L H L M M M M L Sample Com	24 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 2 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 5

Network ID: OOA			Page :
D. J. N. TAYIMAY O.	Branch - Section ID: T	Г01OK - 01	11 TAX/04/AX
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01			Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 6/1/1944 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 246,348.00 Length (ft): 1,373.00 Width (ft): 195.00 From: T01OK-02 To: T01OK-03	PCI Famil	ly: IowaPCCTWSE_General	
Slabs: 985 Slab Length (ft): 20.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 30,582.49	Section C	omments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 30 Total Samples: 52 Surveyed: 8	Inspection	n Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 19 Sample Area (Slabs): 21	Sample C	comments:	
63 LINEAR CR	M	5 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	21 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	Н	1 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	M	2 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	2 Slabs	
68 POPOUTS	N	8 Slabs	
72 SHAT. SLAB	Н	1 Slabs	
72 SHAT. SLAB	M	1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	4 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 39 Sample Area (Slabs): 21	Sample C	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	Ĺ	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	M	1 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	 Н	21 Slabs	
68 POPOUTS	N	8 Slabs	
70 SCALING	Ĺ	9 Slabs	
70 SCALING	M	1 Slabs	
72 SHAT. SLAB	L.	1 Slabs	
72 SHAT. SLAB	M	1 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CR	N	1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	4 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L L	2 Slabs	
75 CODNED CDALL		2 Clabs	

75 CORNER SPALL

2 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 6

Sample Number: 14			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 13	Sample Co	omments:	
Sample Area (Slabs): 20			
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	L	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	M	4 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	Н	4 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	1 Slabs	
68 POPOUTS	N	5 Slabs	
72 SHAT. SLAB	Н	2 Slabs	
72 SHAT. SLAB	M	2 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	2 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	2 Slabs	

Sample Number: 20

Sample Type: R	Sample Comments:
----------------	------------------

Sample PCI: 14

Sample Area (Slabs): 20

` ,		
62 CORNER BREAK	Н	1 Slabs
63 LINEAR CR	L	2 Slabs
63 LINEAR CR	M	10 Slabs
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	Н	1 Slabs
67 LARGE PATCH	L	1 Slabs
68 POPOUTS	N	1 Slabs
70 SCALING	L	2 Slabs
72 SHAT. SLAB	M	3 Slabs
73 SHRINKAGE CR	N	1 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	3 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	3 Slabs
76 ASR	L	2 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 7

Network ID: OOA			Page /
Sample Number: 23			
Sample Type: R	Sample (Comments:	
Sample PCI: 37			
Sample Area (Slabs): 22			
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	L	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	M	4 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	22 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	Н	1 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	M	2 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	Н	1 Slabs	
68 POPOUTS	N	2 Slabs	
70 SCALING	L	2 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	2 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Н	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Н	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 25			
Sample Type: R	Sample (Comments:	
Sample PCI: 27			
Sample Area (Slabs): 22			
63 LINEAR CR	M	8 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	22 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	Н	2 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	1 Slabs	
68 POPOUTS	N	8 Slabs	
70 SCALING	L	2 Slabs	
70 SCALING	M	4 Slabs	
72 SHAT. SLAB	M	1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	2 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Н	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	4 Slabs	
Sample Number: 40			
Sample Type: R	Sample (Comments:	
Sample PCI: 43			
Sample Area (Slabs): 20			
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	L	2 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	Н	1 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	3 Slabs	
70 SCALING	L	12 Slabs	
70 SCALING	M	1 Slabs	
72 SHAT. SLAB	M	1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	2 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Н	2 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 8

Sample Number: 45

Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 43

Sample Area (Slabs): 23

M	5 Slabs
Н	23 Slabs
Н	2 Slabs
M	1 Slabs
N	4 Slabs
N	1 Slabs
Н	1 Slabs
M	1 Slabs
M	1 Slabs
	H H M N N H

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA			Page 9
	Branch - Secti	on ID: T01OK - 02	
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01			Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 9/30/1998 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 15,446.00 Length (ft): 348.00 Width (ft): 36.00 From: RWY 13/31 To: T010K-01		PCI Family: lowaPCCTWSE_General	
Slabs: 103 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.00 Joint Length (ft): 2,049.41		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 80 Total Samples: 5 Surveyed: 4		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 24 62 CORNER BREAK	L	Sample Comments: 1 Slabs	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	M L M	1 Slabs 1 Slabs 24 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 92 Sample Area (Slabs): 24		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL	M L	24 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 76 Sample Area (Slabs): 24		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 73 SHRINKAGE CR 74 JOINT SPALL	L M M N M	1 Slabs 1 Slabs 24 Slabs 1 Slabs 3 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 73 Sample Area (Slabs): 28		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING	M L	28 Slabs 3 Slabs	

M

L

Μ

1 Slabs

1 Slabs

1 Slabs

71 FAULTING

72 SHAT. SLAB

74 JOINT SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 10

Network ID. OOA			rage it
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01	Branch - Section ID: T	01OK - 03	Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 6/1/1944 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 69,844.00 Length (ft): 1,863.00 Width (ft): 38.00 From: T01OK-02 To: RWY 4/22	PCI Family	y: lowaPCCTWSE_General	
Slabs: 279 Slab Length (ft): 20.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 7,179.69	Section Co	omments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 24 Total Samples: 22 Surveyed: 7	Inspection	Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 17 Sample Area (Slabs): 13	Sample Co	omments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 67 LARGE PATCH 68 POPOUTS 72 SHAT. SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL 76 ASR	M H L N M M M	5 Slabs 13 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 26 Sample Area (Slabs): 16	Sample Co	omments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR 67 LARGE PATCH 74 JOINT SPALL	M L M L	1 Slabs 4 Slabs 3 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs	
TE CORNER ORALL	IVI	1 Olabo	

Μ

L

4 Slabs

1 Slabs

3 Slabs

75 CORNER SPALL

76 ASR

76 ASR

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 11

Sample Number: 08			
Sample Type: R	Sample Comments:		
Sample PCI: 21			
Sample Area (Slabs): 12			
62 CORNER BREAK	L	3 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	L	2 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	M	3 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	H 	12 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	H	1 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	2 Slabs	
70 SCALING	L	3 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	7 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	1 Slabs	
76 ASR	M	1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 12			
Sample Type: R	Sample C	comments:	
Sample PCI: 27			
Sample Area (Slabs): 15			
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	L	1 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	M	2 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	15 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	2 Slabs	
70 SCALING	M	2 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	Н	1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	2 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Н	1 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	3 Slabs	
76 ASR	M	5 Slabs	
Sample Number: 15			
Sample Type: R	Sample C	comments:	
Sample PCI: 41			
Sample Area (Slabs): 12			
63 LINEAR CR	M	2 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	12 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	3 Slabs	
70 SCALING	L	1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	2 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	5 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	2 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 12

Sample Number: 18			
Sample Type: R	Sample C	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 25			
Sample Area (Slabs): 12			
63 LINEAR CR	M	2 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	12 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	M	2 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	4 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	4 Slabs	
76 ASR	M	1 Slabs	

Sample Number: 21

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 12

Sample Area (Slabs): 13

62 CORNER BREAK	L	1 Slabs
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	13 Slabs
67 LARGE PATCH	L	1 Slabs
68 POPOUTS	N	1 Slabs
70 SCALING	L	3 Slabs
72 SHAT. SLAB	L	3 Slabs
72 SHAT. SLAB	M	8 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1 Slabs
76 ASR	L	2 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 13

Network ID. OOA			r age 13
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 02	Branch - Section I	D: T02OK - 01	Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 6/30/1998 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 24,038.00 Length (ft): 545.00 Width (ft): 37.00 From: END OF RWY 31 To: END OF TAXIWAY	PCI	Family: IowaPCCTWSE_General	
Slabs: 163 Slab Length (ft): 12.30 Slab Width (ft): 12.00 Joint Length (ft): 3,272.36	Sect	ion Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 73 Total Samples: 9 Surveyed: 5	Insp	ection Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 15	Sam	ple Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL	L H M	1 Slabs 15 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sam	ple Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 65 JT SEAL DMG 75 CORNER SPALL	L H M	1 Slabs 20 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 69 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sam	ple Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M L H M M	1 Slabs 1 Slabs 20 Slabs 2 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 07			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sam	ple Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 65 JT SEAL DMG	L H	1 Slabs 20 Slabs	

Μ

1 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 14

Sample Number: 08

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 54

Sample Area (Slabs): 15

62 CORNER BREAK	L	1 Slabs
63 LINEAR CR	L	2 Slabs
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	15 Slabs
67 LARGE PATCH	L	1 Slabs
71 FAULTING	L	2 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	2 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	L	2 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 15

Network ID: OOA			Page 15
D	Branch - Section	ID: T03OK - 01	
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 03			Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 9/30/1998 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 26,615.00 Length (ft): 545.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: END OF RWY 13 To: END OF TWY Slabs: 177		Family: lowaPCCTWSE_General	
Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.00 Joint Length (ft): 3,765.98	Jec	don comments.	
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 77 Total Samples: 9 Surveyed: 5	Insp	pection Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 70 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sar	nple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	Н	2 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH 74 JOINT SPALL	M L	1 Slabs 1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 70 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sar	nple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	H	20 Slabs	
71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	L M	1 Slabs 5 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M	1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sar	nple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	1 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Н	1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 07			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 23	Sar	nple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	23 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	1 Slabs	
75 CODNED CDALL	B.4	4 Claha	

Μ

1 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 16

Sample Number: 09

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 15

65 JT SEAL DMG H 15 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 17

Network ID: OOA			Page 17
	Branch - Section ID: TO)4OK - 01	
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 04			Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 6/1/1998 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 9,759.00 Length (ft): 128.00 Width (ft): 75.00 From: R04OK-01 To: R13OK-01	PCI Family:	lowaPCCTWSE_General	
Slabs: 62 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 1,355.08	Section Cor		
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 77 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3	Inspection (Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 68 Sample Area (Slabs): 20	Sample Co	mments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	2 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	M	1 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	20 Slabs	
71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	L L	1 Slabs 1 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	2 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 86 Sample Area (Slabs): 18	Sample Cor	mments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	18 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	2 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 79 Sample Area (Slabs): 24	Sample Cor	mments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	24 Slabs	
OU OI OI AL DIVIO	141	21 31000	

L

L

Μ

2 Slabs

2 Slabs

2 Slabs

71 FAULTING

75 CORNER SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 18

Network ID: OOA			Page 18
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 05	Branch - Section	ID: T05OK - 01	Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 5/3/2007 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 31,930.00 Length (ft): 826.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: T03OK-01 To: R04OK-01	PC	CI Family: lowaPCCTWSE_General	
Slabs: 234 Slab Length (ft): 11.70 Slab Width (ft): 11.67 Joint Length (ft): 4,514.19	Se	ection Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 96 Total Samples: 12 Surveyed: 6	Ins	spection Comments:	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 96 Sample Area (Slabs): 21		ample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 75 CORNER SPALL	L L	21 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 21	Sa	imple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	21 Slabs	
Sample Number: 06			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 96 Sample Area (Slabs): 21	Sa	ample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 75 CORNER SPALL	L L	21 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 07		i Glabs	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 96 Sample Area (Slabs): 21	Sa	ample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	21 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 09	_		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 91 Sample Area (Slabs): 21	Sa	ample Comments:	
05 IT 05 AL DA40			

M

L

21 Slabs

1 Slabs

65 JT SEAL DMG

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 19

Sample Number: 10

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 21

65 JT SEAL DMG L 21 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 20

Branch - Section ID: T05OK - 02 **Branch Name: TAXIWAY 05** Use: TAXIWAY LCD: 5/3/2007 PCI Family: IowaPCCTWSE General Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 62,588.00 Length (ft): 1,700.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: R04OK-01 To: T010K-02 Slabs: 447 Section Comments: Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 11.67 Joint Length (ft): 8,753.78 Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 Inspection Comments: PCI: 98 Total Samples: 21 Surveyed: 7 Sample Number: 03 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 96 Sample Area (Slabs): 21 65 JT SEAL DMG L 21 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL L 1 Slabs Sample Number: 04 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 21 65 JT SEAL DMG L 21 Slabs Sample Number: 06 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 21 65 JT SEAL DMG L 21 Slabs Sample Number: 09 Sample Comments: Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 21 65 JT SEAL DMG L 21 Slabs Sample Number: 12 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 21 65 JT SEAL DMG L 21 Slabs

Sample Number: 15

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 21

65 JT SEAL DMG L 21 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 21

Sample Number: 18

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 21

65 JT SEAL DMG L 21 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA			Page 22
	Branch - Sect	ion ID: T05OK - 03	
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 05			Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 1/3/2005 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 39,615.00 Length (ft): 1,103.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: T01OK-02 To: T02OK-01		PCI Family: lowaPCCTWSE_General	
Slabs: 272 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 11.67 Joint Length (ft): 5,396.03		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 85 Total Samples: 13 Surveyed: 7		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 57 Sample Area (Slabs): 26		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR 76 ASR	M H H L	26 Slabs 3 Slabs 1 Slabs 3 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 21		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 76 ASR	M L	21 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 21		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	21 Slabs	
Sample Number: 07			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 89 Sample Area (Slabs): 21		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 75 CORNER SPALL	M M	21 Slabs 1 Slabs	
Sample Number: 09		, 0,000	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93		Sample Comments:	

Sample Area (Slabs): 21

65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 21 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 23

Sample Number: 11

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 21

65 JT SEAL DMG M 21 Slabs

Sample Number: 12

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 69

Sample Area (Slabs): 21

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 M
 21 Slabs

 71 FAULTING
 L
 3 Slabs

 75 CORNER SPALL
 L
 1 Slabs

 76 ASR
 L
 3 Slabs

 76 ASR
 M
 1 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2021 Generate Date: 4/27/2022

Network ID: OOA Page 24

	Branch - Section ID: TH01	OK - 01	
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 1/1/1944 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 9,924.00 Length (ft): 350.00 Width (ft): 25.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP		waPCCTH_SE	
Slabs: 35 Slab Length (ft): 22.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 809.67	Section Comm		
Last Insp Date: 11/21/2021 PCI: 20 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2	Inspection Cor	mments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 15 Sample Area (Slabs): 17	Sample Comn	nents:	
63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 67 LARGE PATCH 68 POPOUTS 72 SHAT. SLAB 72 SHAT. SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M M H L N M M H H	5 Slabs 2 Slabs 17 Slabs 2 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs 3 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 24 Sample Area (Slabs): 18	Sample Comn	nents:	
63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 66 SMALL PATCH 70 SCALING 71 FAULTING 73 SHRINKAGE CR 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	L M H L M L L N M H	3 Slabs 11 Slabs 18 Slabs 1 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 2 Slabs 3 Slabs 3 Slabs 1 Slabs 1 Slabs	

APPENDIX D WORK HISTORY REPORT

Network: OSKALOOSA MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch - Section ID: R04OK - 01

 LCD: 6/1/1944
 Length (ft):
 1,800.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 75.00

 Rank: S
 True Area (sf):
 135,000.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2019	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	NO JOINT SEAL
06-01-2019	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2019	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	6" PCC on subgrade
06-01-2019	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2016	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	FIELD ESTIMATE
06-01-2006	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	FIELD ESTIMATE
06-01-1944	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: R13OK - 01

 LCD: 6/2/1998
 Length (ft):
 4,011.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 75.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 300,239.00

Surface: PCC

Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major	Comments
Date	Code	Description		(in)	MR	
06-01-2019	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	6" PCC, bond break, 6" PCC
06-02-1998	OL-PU	Overlay - PCC Unbonded	\$0.00	6.00	True	NW & SE END: 6" P-501 PCC
06-01-1998	BA-BI	Base Course - Bituminous	\$0.00	1.00	False	NW END: 1" IDOT TYPE B AC DEBONDING LAY
06-03-1963	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	SE END: 6-7" VAR. P-401 AC SURFACE, NW EN
06-02-1963	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	SE END: 6" P209 CABC
06-01-1963	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	5.00	False	SE END: 5" P154 SUBBASE

Branch - Section ID: T010K - 01

 LCD: 6/1/1944
 Length (ft):
 1,373.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 195.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 246,348.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
03-09-2017	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	Route and seal
03-09-2017	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	6.00	False	-
04-08-2015	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	6.00	False	-
04-08-2015	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	Route and seal
06-01-2009	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST
06-01-2009	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST
06-01-1944	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T010K - 02

 LCD: 9/30/1998
 Length (ft):
 348.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 36.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 15,446.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2019	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	6" PCC on subgrade
09-30-1998	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T010K - 03

 LCD: 6/1/1944
 Length (ft):
 1,862.50

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 37.50

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 69,843.75

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
03-09-2017	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	6.00	False	-
04-08-2015	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	6.00	False	-
04-08-2015	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	Route and seal
06-01-2013	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST
06-01-2013	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST
06-01-1944	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T02OK - 01

 LCD: 6/30/1998
 Length (ft):
 545.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 37.50

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 24,038.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-30-1998	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC SURFACE
06-29-1998	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P154 SUBBASE
06-28-1998	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P152 SUBGRADE

Branch - Section ID: T03OK - 01

 LCD: 9/30/1998
 Length (ft):
 545.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 26,615.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
09-30-1998	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC SURFACE
09-29-1998	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P154 SUBBASE
09-28-1998	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE

Branch - Section ID: T04OK - 01

 LCD: 6/1/1998
 Length (ft):
 128.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 75.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 9,759.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2019	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	6" PCC on subgrade
06-01-1998	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC SURFACE
05-02-1998	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P154 SUBBASE
05-01-1998	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE

Branch - Section ID: T05OK - 01

 LCD: 5/3/2007
 Length (ft):
 826.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 31,930.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
05-03-2007	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$255,170.00	6.00	True	Total Project Cost: \$ 750,500
05-02-2007	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	Modified Subbase IDOT 2115
05-01-2007	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	9.00	False	P-155 Fly Ash Modified

Branch - Section ID: T05OK - 02

 LCD: 5/3/2007
 Length (ft):
 1,700.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 62,588.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
04-08-2015	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	6.00	False	-
05-03-2007	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$495,330.00	6.00	True	Total Project Cost: \$ 750,500
05-02-2007	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	Modified Subbase IDOT 2115
05-01-2007	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	9.00	False	P-155 Fly Ash Modified Subbase

Branch - Section ID: T05OK - 03

 LCD: 1/3/2005
 Length (ft):
 1,103.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 39,615.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-03-2005	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC SURFACE
01-02-2005	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" IDOT 2115 MOD. BASE
01-01-2005	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	9.00	False	9" P155 MOD. SUBGRADE

Branch - Section ID: TH01OK - 01

 LCD: 1/1/1944
 Length (ft):
 350.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 25.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 9,924.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-1944	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	DATE UNKNOWN; CONSTRUCTED PRIOR TO 1

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
	Low	Monitor Monitor
Alligator Cracking Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	+	*
	High N/A	Asphalt Patch Monitor
Bleeding Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
•	Medium	
Block Cracking		Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low Medium	Monitor
Corrugation		Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium	Monitor
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

Severity							
Distress Type	Level	Maintenance Action					
ASR	Low	Monitor					
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement					
ASR	High	Slab Replacement					
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement					
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement					
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement					
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC					
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor					
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch					
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement					
Faulting	Low	Monitor					
Faulting	Medium	Grinding					
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement					
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor					
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal					
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal					
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor					
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC					
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement					
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor					
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Popouts	N/A	Monitor					
Pumping	N/A	Monitor					
Scaling	Low	Monitor					
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch					
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement					
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC					
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement					
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement					
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor					
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor					
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch					
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch					

Table E-3. 2022 unit costs for preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$14.66/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.51/lf
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$37.54/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$16.76/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.02/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.02/lf
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.36/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$16.76/sf

Table E-4. 2022 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0-40	PCI Range 40–50	PCI Range 50–60	PCI Range 60-70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80–90	PCI Range 90–100
AC	\$10.41	\$4.93	\$4.93	\$4.93	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$17.38	\$8.22	\$8.22	\$8.22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

APPENDIX F

YEAR 2022 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Table F-1. Year 2022 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2022 Estimated Cost
R13OK	01	ASR	Medium	9	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$16.76	\$23,011
R13OK	01	Corner Break	Low	18	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$435
R13OK	01	Corner Break	Medium	9	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.76	\$4,756
R13OK	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	55	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$5,528
R13OK	01	Corner Spalling	High	35	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$3,551
R13OK	01	Faulting	Medium	11	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.36	\$49
R13OK	01	Faulting	High	2	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$16.76	\$5,238
R13OK	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	1,711	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$118,192
R13OK	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	73	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$17,770
R13OK	01	Small Patch	High	18	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.76	\$793
T01OK	02	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$26
T01OK	02	Corner Break	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.76	\$557
T01OK	02	Faulting	Medium	1	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.36	\$4
T01OK	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	103	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$6,189
T01OK	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	4	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$999
T01OK	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$38
T01OK	02	Shattered Slab	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$76
T02OK	01	Corner Break	Low	5	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$135
T02OK	01	Corner Break	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.76	\$980

Table F-1. Year 2022 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2022 Estimated Cost
T02OK	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	7	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$732
T02OK	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	163	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$9,882
T02OK	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	9	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$2,195
T03OK	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	5	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$547
T03OK	01	Corner Spalling	High	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$182
T03OK	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	177	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$11,373
T03OK	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	11	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$2,627
T03OK	01	Small Patch	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.76	\$81
T03OK	01	Small Patch	High	4	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.76	\$163
T04OK	01	Corner Break	Low	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$50
T04OK	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$202
T04OK	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	62	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$4,092
T04OK	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$485
T04OK	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.02	\$38
T05OK	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	39	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$2,272
T05OK	03	ASR	Medium	2	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$16.76	\$4,773
T05OK	03	ASR	High	1	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$16.76	\$2,445

Year 2022 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details

Table F-1. Year 2022 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2022 Estimated Cost
T05OK	03	Corner Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$197
T05OK	03	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	272	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.02	\$16,296
T05OK	03	Joint Spalling	High	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$37.54	\$909

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Oskaloosa Municipal Airport.



PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation
Modal Transportation Bureau — Aviation
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, Iowa 50010
515-239-1691
iowadot.gov/aviation

JULY 2022