Paullina Municipal Airport

PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT



PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

AUGUST 2019





PAULLINA MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

PREPARED FOR:

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AVIATION BUREAU

PREPARED BY:

APPLIED PAVEMENT TECHNOLOGY, INC.

IN ASSOCIATION WITH:

ROBINSON ENGINEERING COMPANY

August 2019

The preparation of this document was financed in part through an Airport Improvement Program grant from the Federal Aviation Administration (Project Number 3-19-0000-024-2018) as provided under Section 505 of the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended. The contents do not necessarily reflect the DOT's official views or the policy of the FAA. Acceptance of this report by the FAA does not in any way constitute a commitment on the part of the United States to participate in any development depicted therein nor does it indicate the proposed development is environmentally acceptable in accordance with appropriate public laws.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 1
PAVEMENT INVENTORY 2
PAVEMENT EVALUATION4
Pavement Evaluation Procedure 4
Pavement Evaluation Results
Inspection Comments
Runway
Taxiway9
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM
Analysis Parameters 10
Critical PCIs
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs 10
Budget and Inflation Rate 10
Analysis Approach10
Analysis Results
General Maintenance Recommendations11
SUMMARY13

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Pavement condition versus cost of repair.	1
Figure 2.	Pavement area by branch use.	2
Figure 3.	Paullina Municipal Airport network definition map.	3
Figure 4.	Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	4
Figure 5.	PCI versus repair type.	5
Figure 6.	Pavement area by PCI range at Paullina Municipal Airport.	6
Figure 7.	PCI by branch use at Paullina Municipal Airport.	6
Figure 8.	Paullina Municipal Airport PCI map	7

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	2018 pavement evaluation results	8
Table 2.	5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario	1

APPENDIXES

Appendix A.	Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
	Inspection Photographs	
	Inspection Report	
	Work History Report	
Appendix E.	Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	E-1
Appendix F.	Year 2019 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	F-1

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company, updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Aviation Bureau (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Paullina Municipal Airport were assessed in November 2018 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). The importance of identifying not only the type of repair but also the optimal time of repair is illustrated in Figure 1. This figure shows that there is a point in a pavement's life cycle where the rate of deterioration increases. The financial impact of delaying repairs beyond this point can be severe.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

The pavement evaluation results for Paullina Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by the Iowa DOT, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), and Paullina Municipal Airport to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the web-based Interactive Data Exchange Application (IDEA) containing the pavement management information collected during this project was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website.


PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The pavement network at Paullina Municipal Airport was divided into branches, sections, and sample units for pavement management purposes. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways are also separate branches.

Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, last construction date, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.

To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the condition of the section as a whole.

Approximately 91,453 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Paullina Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age in years of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Paullina Municipal Airport.








FIGURE 3. NETWORK DEFINITION MAP.







PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech inspected the pavements at Paullina Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements (https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory Circular/150-5380-6C.pdf).
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP) (https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory Circular/150-5380-7B.pdf).
- ASTM D5340-12, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0 (representing a pavement in a failed condition) to a value of 100 (representing a pavement in excellent condition).

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces¹.



PCI = 100





¹Photographs shown are not specific to Paullina Municipal Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

PCI Range	Repair			
86-100				
71-85	Preventive Maintenance			
56-70				
	Major Rehabilitation			
41-55				
26-40				
11-25	Reconstruction			
0-10				

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration. PCI distress types are characterized as load-related (such as alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on portland cement concrete [PCC] pavements), climate/durability-related (such as weathering [a climate-related distress type on asphalt-surfaced pavements] and durability cracking [a durability-related distress type on PCC pavements]), and other (distress types that cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability). Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates its recurrence.

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at Paullina Municipal Airport were inspected on November 18, 2018. The 2018 area-weighted condition of Paullina Municipal Airport is 51, with conditions ranging from 39 to 55 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2014, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 68.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Paullina Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distresses observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.







Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Paullina Municipal Airport.









	R171	Y-01 (40)	ļ	`		
		pplied pav	/ement	115 W. Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, IL 61801 Tei: (217) 398-3977 Fax: (217) 398-4027		
		son Engineer Company Consulting Engineers	ing	322 1st Street East Independence, IA 50644 Tel: (319) 334-7211		
CONDITION INDEX	AGENCY: Iowa Department of Transportation Office of Aviation					
86-100 71-85	LOCATION:		nicipal Airport			
56-70	PAGE TITLE: 2018		ondition Index	Мар		
41-55 26-40	PROJECT DATE: OCT. 2018	CREATION DATE: OCT. 2018	PROJECT MANAGER: LJR	JOB NUMBER: 17-020-AM02		
11-25	DRAWING SCALE: 1"=200'	LAST MODIFIED DATE: APR. 2019		DRAWN BY: DSP		
0-10	FILENAME: Paullin	a.dwg	LAYOUT NAME/NUMBER: PCI	PAGE NUMBER: 7		

 \sim

Branch ¹	Section ¹	Surface Type ²	Section Area (sf)	LCD ³	2018 PCI	% Distress due to Load ⁴	% Distress due to Climate/ Durability ⁵	% Distress due to Other ⁶	Type of Distresses ⁷	
R171Y	01	AAC	11,779	6/2/2009	40	63	37	0	Alligator Cracking, L&T Cracking, Rutting, Weathering	
R171Y	02	AAC	46,704	6/2/2009	55	35	65	0	Alligator Cracking, L&T Cracking, Weathering	
R171Y	03	AAC	24,970	6/2/2009	51	37	63	0	Alligator Cracking, L&T Cracking, Raveling, Weathering	
T011Y	01	AAC	4,000	6/2/2009	44	24	76	0	Alligator Cracking, L&T Cracking, Raveling, Weathering	
T011Y	02	AAC	2,000	6/2/2009	39	35	65	0	Alligator Cracking, L&T Cracking, Weathering	
T011Y	03	AAC	2,000	6/2/2009	43	34	66	0	Alligator Cracking, L&T Cracking, Raveling, Weathering	

Table 1. 2018 pavement evaluation results.

¹See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

 ^{2}AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.

 $^{3}LCD = last construction date.$

⁴Distress due to load includes those distresses attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on a PCC pavement.

⁵Distress due to climate or durability includes those distresses attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking in asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] in a PCC pavement). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.

⁶Other refers to distresses not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.

⁷Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-12. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Inspection Comments

Paullina Municipal Airport was inspected on November 18, 2018. There were six pavement sections defined during the inspection.

Runway

Runway 17/35 consisted of three sections. Section 01, located at the Runway 17 approach, was recorded with low- and medium-severity longitudinal and transverse (L&T) cracking and alligator cracking, medium-severity rutting, and low-severity weathering. The low-severity L&T cracking was unsealed, and the medium-severity L&T cracking was due to either unsatisfactory crack sealant or the development of secondary cracking. The low-severity alligator cracking was noted where pattern cracking had developed that was wider than 1 ft. Some of the medium-severity alligator cracking was observed at the edge of the pavement. Section 02 was identified with low-severity weathering throughout along with low- and medium-severity alligator cracking and L&T cracking. The low-severity L&T cracking was unsealed, and the medium-severity L&T cracking or unsatisfactory crack sealant. Section 03, located at the Runway 35 approach, had low- and medium-severity alligator cracking and L&T cracking and low-severity alligator cracking was unsealed, and the medium-severity raveling and weathering recorded throughout. The low-severity L&T cracking was unsealed, and the medium-severity L&T cracking was unsealed, and the medium-severity L&T cracking was unsealed, and the medium-severity L&T cracking and low-severity raveling and weathering recorded throughout. The low-severity L&T cracking was unsealed, and the medium-severity L&T cracking was due to failed crack sealant or the development of secondary cracking.

Taxiway

Taxiway 01 was defined by three sections. Sections 01 and 03 were in similar condition with medium-severity alligator cracking, low- and medium-severity L&T cracking and low-severity raveling and weathering observed. Section 02 was identified with low- and medium-severity L&T cracking, low-severity weathering, and low-severity alligator cracking located at the edge of the pavement. The low-severity L&T cracking in all three sections was unsealed, and the medium-severity L&T cracking was due to either crack sealant that was no longer performing satisfactorily or unsealed crack widths that exceeded 1/4 in.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Paullina Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways and 60 for taxiways.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Paullina Municipal Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to more accurately estimate the cost of such work.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2019, and an inflation rate of 1.5 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2019) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2020 or 2021, then localized maintenance was not recommended for 2019. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Paullina Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2019 localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Paullina Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2019 is contained in Appendix F.

Year	Branch ¹	Section ¹	Surface Type ²	Type of Repair ³	Estimated Cost ⁴
2019	R171Y	01	AAC	Major Rehabilitation	\$114,256
2019	R171Y	02	AAC	Major Rehabilitation	\$214,371
2019	R171Y	03	AAC	Major Rehabilitation	\$123,672
2019	T011Y	01	AAC	Major Rehabilitation	\$34,140
2019	T011Y	02	AAC	Major Rehabilitation	\$19,400
2019	T011Y	03	AAC	Major Rehabilitation	\$18,092

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Total Estimated Cost: \$524,000

¹See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

 ^{2}AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.

³Major Rehabilitation: such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay. Localized Preventive Maintenance: such as crack sealing or patching.

⁴The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at the airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Paullina Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Paullina Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic and/or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Paullina Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies are considered for prolonging pavement life:

- 1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity.
- 2. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is very destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.

- 3. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 4. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a "bathtub" effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 5. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Paullina Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2018 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 51. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Paullina Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$524,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Paullina Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

APPENDIX A

CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Settlement	Upheaval or consolidation.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

APPENDIX B

INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

R171Y-01. Overview.



R171Y-01. L&T Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).



R171Y-02. Overview.



R171Y-02. L&T Cracking (Sample Unit No. 08).




R171Y-03. Overview.



R171Y-03. Alligator Cracking (Sample Unit No. 04).







T011Y-01. L&T Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



T011Y-02. Overview.



T011Y-02. L&T Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



T011Y-03. Overview.



T011Y-03. Alligator Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



APPENDIX C

INSPECTION REPORT

	Re-mspe	cuon Report			
IA2018ALL					
Report Generated Date: June 25, 2019					
Network: 1Y9 Name: PAULLINA MUNICIPAI	LAIRPORT				
Branch: R171Y Name: RUNWAY 17/35 AT PA	ULLINA	Use: RUNWAY	Area:	83,453.00SqFt	
Section: 01 of 3 From: RUNWAY	END 17	To: START C	F SECTION 002	Last Const.:	06/02/2009
Surface: AAC Family: IowaAACRWNC&N	CW		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 11,779.00SqFt Length: 330.00Ft	Wi	dth: 28.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
ast Insp. Date: 11/18/2018 Total Samples: 2 Su	rveyed: 2				
Conditions: PCI: 40					
nspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 001 Type: R	Area:	6,180.00SqFt	PCI = 36		
Sample Comments:		, I			
18 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	95.00 Ft	Comment	:s:u	
18 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	394.00 Ft	Comment	s:fs 2nd	
11 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	М	130.00 SqFt	Comment	s:	
11 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	L	25.00 SqFt	Comment	s:	
53 RUTTING	М	40.00 SqFt	Comment	s:	
57 WEATHERING	L	6,180.00 SqFt	Comment	s:	
Sample Number: 002 Type: R	Area:	5,599.00SqFt	PCI = 44		
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	339.00 Ft	Commont	s:fs with 2nd	
18 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	M L	16.00 Ft	Comment		L
1 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	L	28.00 SqFt	Comment		
1 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	ш М	20.00 SqFt 90.00 SqFt	Comment		
1 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	M M	25.00 SqFt	Comment	2	
57 WEATHERING					
)/ WEAIRERING	L	5,599.00 SqFt	Comment	.5:	

	Re-mspe	ction Repor	L			
IA2018ALL Report Generated Date: June 25, 2019						
Network: 1Y9 Name: PAULLINA MUNICIPAL	AIRPORT					
Branch: R171Y Name: RUNWAY 17/35 AT PAU	ULLINA	Use: RU	JNWAY	Area:	83,453.00SqFt	
Section: 02 of 3 From: END OF SE		To: s	START OF	SECTION 003	Last Const.:	06/02/2009
Surface: AAC Family: IowaAACRWNC&NO				Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 46,704.00SqFt Length: 1,668.00Ft	W	7 idth: 28.00	Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0					
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/18/2018 Total Samples: 8 Sur	rveyed: 4					
Conditions: PCI: 55	-					
Inspection Comments:						
Sample Number: 002 Type: R	Area:	5,600.00SqFt		PCI = 45		
Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING	L		C ~ ⊡+	Commonto		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L M	5,600.00 502.00	-	Comments	s:fs w 2ndy	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	75.00		Comments	-	
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	L	60.00		Comments		
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	М	6.00	SqFt	Comments	5:	
Sample Number: 004 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,600.00SqFt		PCI = 55		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	514.00	Ft	Comments	s:fs w 2ndy	
57 WEATHERING	L	5,600.00		Comments	-	
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	L	20.00	SqFt	Comments	3:	
Sample Number: 006 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,600.00SqFt		PCI = 57		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	458.00	Ft	Comments	s:fs w	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	87.00		Comments		
57 WEATHERING	L	5,600.00	SqFt	Comments	5:	
Sample Number: 008 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	7,504.00SqFt		PCI = 60		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	247.00	Ft	Comments	s:fs w 2ndy	
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	L	10.00	SqFt	Comments	-	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	88.00	Ft	Comments	s:u	
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	М	10.00	-	Comments		
57 WEATHERING	L	7,504.00	SqFt	Comments	5:	

	e-inspe	ection Report			
IA2018ALL Report Generated Date: June 25, 2019					
Network: 1Y9 Name: PAULLINA MUNICIPAL AI	RPORT				
Branch: R171Y Name: RUNWAY 17/35 AT PAULL	INA	Use: RUNWAY	Area:	83,453.00SqFt	
Section: 03 of 3 From: END OF SECT	ION 002	To: RUNWAY	YEND 35	Last Const.:	06/02/2009
Surface: AAC Family: IowaAACRWNC&NCW			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 24,970.00SqFt Length: 800.00Ft	W	idth: 28.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
	yed: 4				
Conditions: PCI: 51 Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 001 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,600.00SqFt	PCI = 48		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	41.00 Ft	Comments	s:u	
18 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	324.00 Ft	Comments	s:fs	
1 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	L	12.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	М	12.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	
57 WEATHERING	L	5,600.00 SqFt	Comments	3:	
52 RAVELING	L	4,600.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	
Sample Number: 002 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,600.00SqFt	PCI = 55		
57 WEATHERING	L	5,600.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	
52 RAVELING	L	4,600.00 SqFt	Comments	3:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	164.00 Ft	Comments	s:fs	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	174.00 Ft	Comments		
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	L	48.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	
Sample Number: 003 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,600.00SqFt	PCI = 55		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	118.00 Ft	Comments	s:fs 2nd	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	\mathbf{L}	74.00 Ft	Comments	s:u	
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	L	16.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	
52 RAVELING	L	4,600.00 SqFt	Comments		
57 WEATHERING	L	5,600.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	
Sample Number: 004 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4,200.00SqFt	PCI = 44		
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	М	25.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	130.00 Ft	Comments	5:	
52 RAVELING	L	3,450.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	
57 WEATHERING	L	4,200.00 SqFt	Comments		
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	L	115.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	

IA2018A	тт			ite insp		Report			
	nerated Date: J	une 25, 2	019						
Network:	1Y9			NICIPAL AIRPORT		,			
Branch:	T011Y	Name:	TAXIWAY 01 A	T PAULLINA		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	8,000.00SqFt	
Section:	01	of 3	From: RU	NWAY END 35 - CEN	VTER	To: HANGAI	RS - CENTER	Last Const.:	06/02/2009
Surface:	AAC	Fami	ly: IowaAACTW	NC&NCW			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	4,000.00SqFt	I	Length: 20	00.00Ft	Width:	20.00Ft			
Shoulder:	Street T		Grade: 0.0	00 Lanes: 0	0				
•	Date: 11/18/20 s: PCI : 44 Comments:	18 Total S	Samples: 1	Surveyed: 1					
Sample Nu		Т	ype: R	Area:	4,000.0	0SqFt	PCI = 44		
Sample Con	nments: FHERING			I)00.00 SqFt	Comments		
	ELING			I		000.00 SqFt		-	
	IGATOR CRA	CKING		- M		16.00 SqFt		-	
48 LONG	GITUDINAL/	TRANSV	ERSE CRACK	ING M	1 3	386.00 Ft	Comments	fs w	
48 LONG	GITUDINAL/	TRANSV	ERSE CRACK	ING I	L	50.00 Ft	Comments	u	

IA2018AI	LL									
Report Gei	nerated Date: J	une 25, 20)19							
Network:	1Y9		PAULLINA M		PDOPT					
Network.	119	Iname.	PAULLINA M	UNICIPAL AI	KPUKI					
Branch:	T011Y	Name:	TAXIWAY 01	AT PAULLIN	A	Use: TA	AXIWAY	Area:	8,000.00SqFt	
Section:	02	of 3	From: I	RUNWAY EN	D 3 - N EDGI	E To:	HANGARS -	N EDGE	Last Const.:	06/02/2009
Surface:	AAC	Famil	y: IowaAACT	WNC&NCW				Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	2,000.00SqFt	L	ength:	200.00Ft	W	dth: 10.00	0Ft			
Shoulder:	Street T	ype:	Grade:	0.00	Lanes: 0					
		51								
Section Con		51								
Section Con	nments:		amples: 1	Survey	ved: 1					
Section Con	nments: Date: 11/18/20		amples: 1	Surve	yed: 1					
Section Con	nments: Date: 11/18/20 :: PCI: 39		amples: 1	Surve	yed: 1					
Section Con Last Insp. 1 Conditions	nments: Date: 11/18/20 :: PCI: 39		amples: 1	Surve	yed: 1					
Section Con Last Insp. 1 Conditions Inspection C	nments: Date: 11/18/20 :: PCI : 39 Comments:)18 Total S	amples: 1 7pe: R		yed: 1 Area:	2,000.00SqFt		PCI = 39		
Section Con Last Insp. 1 Conditions Inspection C Sample Nu Sample Con	nments: Date: 11/18/20 :: PCI : 39 Comments: umber: 001 nments:)18 Total S Ty	/pe: R		Area:	, 1				
Section Con Last Insp. 1 Conditions Inspection C Sample Nu Sample Con 48 LONG	nments: Date: 11/18/20 :: PCI: 39 Comments: umber: 001 nments: GITUDINAL/)18 Total S Ty Transvi	pe: R ERSE CRAC	KING	Area: M	333.00	Ft	Comment		
Section Con Last Insp. 1 Conditions Inspection C Sample Nu Sample Con 48 LONG 48 LONG	nments: Date: 11/18/20 :: PCI: 39 Comments: umber: 001 nments: GITUDINAL/ GITUDINAL/)18 Total S Ty Transvi Transvi	pe: R ERSE CRAC	KING	Area:	333.00 47.00	Ft Ft			
Section Con Last Insp. 1 Conditions Inspection C Sample Nu Sample Con 48 LONG 48 LONG	nments: Date: 11/18/20 :: PCI: 39 Comments: umber: 001 nments: GITUDINAL/)18 Total S Ty Transvi Transvi	pe: R ERSE CRAC	KING	Area: M	333.00	Ft Ft	Comment	s:u	

IA2018A Report Ger	LL nerated Date: Ju	une 25. 20	019	ite-msp	cetion repo	Ĩ			
Network:	1Y9	Name:	PAULLINA MUNICIPAI	LAIRPORT					
Branch:	T011Y	Name:	TAXIWAY 01 AT PAUL	LINA	Use: T	AXIWAY	Area:	8,000.00SqFt	
Section:	03	of 3	From: RUNWAY	END 35 - S ED	GE To:	HANGARS	S - S EDGE	Last Const.:	06/02/2009
Surface:	AAC	Fami	ly: IowaAACTWNC&NG	CW			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	2,000.00SqFt	Ι	Length: 200.00Ft	V	Vidth: 10.0	0Ft			
Shoulder:	Street T		Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0					
-	Date: 11/18/20 s: PCI:43 Comments:	18 Total S	Samples: 1 Su	rveyed: 1					
Sample Nu		T	ype: R	Area:	2,000.00SqFt		PCI = 43		
Sample Con 48 LONC		TRANSV	ERSE CRACKING	L	116.00	Ft	Comments:	L	
			ERSE CRACKING	M	90.00		Comments:		
57 WEAT	THERING			L	2,000.00	SqFt	Comments:		
52 RAVE	ELING			L	1,000.00	SqFt	Comments:		
41 ALLI	IGATOR CRA	CKING		М	35.00	SqFt	Comments:		

APPENDIX D

WORK HISTORY REPORT

Date:07/	Date:07/01/2019 Work History Report 1 of 2								
Network: 11 L.C.D.: 06/02	/9 Br; 2/2009 Use: RL		Y 17/35 AT PAULI th: 330.00 Ft	LINA) Width:		ction: 01 Surface: AAC 00 Ft True Area: 11,779.00 SqF			
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments			
06/02/2009 06/01/2009 06/30/1991	OL-AS CS-AC NC-AC	Overlay - AC Structural Crack Sealing - AC New Construction - AC	\$0 \$0 -	2.00 0.00 -	True False True	- CRACK REPAIR -			
	Network:1Y9Branch:R171Y(RUNWAY 17/35 AT PAULLINA)Section:02Surface:AACL.C.D.:06/02/2009Use:RUNWAYRank:PLength:1,668.00FtWidth:28.00FtTrue Area:46,704.00SqF								
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments			
06/02/2009 06/01/2009 06/30/1993	OL-AS CS-AC NC-AC	Overlay - AC Structural Crack Sealing - AC New Construction - AC	\$0 \$0 -	2.00 0.00 -	True False True	- CRACK REPAIR -			
Network: 1) L.C.D.: 06/02	/9 Bra 2/2009 Use: RL		Y 17/35 AT PAULI th: 800.00 Ft	LINA) Width:		ction: 03 Surface: AAC 00 Ft True Area: 24,970.00 SqF			
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments			
06/02/2009 06/01/2009 06/30/1991	OL-AS CS-AC NC-AC	Overlay - AC Structural Crack Sealing - AC New Construction - AC	\$0 \$0 -	2.00 0.00 -	True False True	- CRACK REPAIR -			
Network: 1) L.C.D.: 06/02	/9 Bra 2/2009 Use: TA	· ·	AY 01 AT PAULL h: 200.00 Ft	INA) Width:		ction: 01 Surface: AAC 00 Ft True Area: 4,000.00 SqF			
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments			
06/02/2009 06/01/2009 06/30/1991	OL-AS CS-AC NC-AC	Overlay - AC Structural Crack Sealing - AC New Construction - AC	\$0 \$0 -	2.00 0.00 -	True False True	- CRACK REPAIR -			
Network: 1) L.C.D.: 06/02	/9 Bra 2/2009 Use: TA	XIWAY Rank: P Leng	AY 01 AT PAULL th: 200.00 Ft	Width:	10.	ction: 02 Surface: AAC 00 Ft True Area: 2,000.00 SqF			
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments			
06/02/2009 06/01/2009 06/30/1993	OL-AS CS-AC NC-AC	Overlay - AC Structural Crack Sealing - AC New Construction - AC	\$0 \$0 -	2.00 0.00 -	True False True	- CRACK REPAIR -			
Network: 11 L.C.D.: 06/02	/9 Bra 2/2009 Use: TA		AY 01 AT PAULL th: 200.00 Ft	INA) Width:		ction: 03 Surface: AAC 00 Ft True Area: 2,000.00 SqF			
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments			
06/02/2009 06/01/2009 06/30/1993	OL-AS CS-AC NC-AC	Overlay - AC Structural Crack Sealing - AC New Construction - AC	\$0 \$0 -	2.00 0.00 -	True False True	- CRACK REPAIR -			

Pavement Database:IA2018All

Summary:

Work Description	Section Count	Area Total (SqFt)	Thickness Avg (in)	Thickness STD (in)
Crack Sealing - AC	6	91,453.00	.00	.00
New Construction - AC	6	91,453.00	-	-
Overlay - AC Structural	6	91,453.00	2.00	.00

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action		
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch		
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor		
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Corrugation	Low	Monitor		
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch		
Depression	Low	Monitor		
Depression	Medium	Monitor		
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch		
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch		
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor		
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch		
Patching	Low	Monitor		
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch		
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor		
Raveling	Low	Monitor		
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch		
Rutting	Low	Monitor		
Rutting	Medium	Monitor		
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch		
Shoving	Low	Monitor		
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch		
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch		
Swelling	Low	Monitor		
Swelling	Medium	Monitor		
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch		
Weathering	Low	Monitor		
Weathering	Medium	Monitor		
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch		

Localized preventive	maintenance policy,	asphalt-surfaced pavements.
1	1 .	1 1
	Localized preventive	Localized preventive maintenance policy,

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
ASR	Low	Monitor
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement
ASR	High	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Popouts	N/A	Monitor
Pumping	N/A	Monitor
Scaling	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement
Settlement	Low	Monitor
Settlement	Medium	Grinding
Settlement	High	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements	Table E-2.	Localized	preventive	maintenance	policy,	PCC	pavements.
---	------------	-----------	------------	-------------	---------	-----	------------

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$13.66/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.34/lf
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$34.97/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$15.62/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$2.81/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$2.81/lf
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.34/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$15.62/sf

Table E-3. 2019 unit costs for preventive maintenance actions.

Table E-4. 2019 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0–40	PCI Range 40–50	PCI Range 50–60	PCI Range 60–70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80–90	PCI Range 90–100
AC	\$9.70	\$4.59	\$4.59	\$4.59	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$16.19	\$7.65	\$7.65	\$7.65	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

APPENDIX F

YEAR 2019 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

No localized preventive maintenance is recommended for Paullina Municipal Airport in 2019.



lowa Department of Transportation Aviation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

AUGUST 2019

a 40. 22