

# Perry Municipal Airport

## PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT



### PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc.  
115 West Main Street, Suite 400  
Urbana, Illinois 61801  
(217) 398-3977  
[www.appliedpavement.com](http://www.appliedpavement.com)

**JULY 2021**



The preparation of this document was financed in part through an Airport Improvement Program grant from the Federal Aviation Administration (Project Number 3-19-0000-027-2020) as provided under Section 505 of the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended. The contents do not necessarily reflect the DOT's official views or the policy of the FAA. Acceptance of this report by the FAA does not in any way constitute a commitment on the part of the United States to participate in any development depicted therein nor does it indicate the proposed development is environmentally acceptable in accordance with appropriate public laws.

# PERRY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

## Prepared For:

Iowa Department of Transportation  
Aviation Bureau  
800 Lincoln Way  
Ames, Iowa 50010  
515-239-1691



<https://iowadot.gov/aviation/>

## Prepared By:

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc.  
115 West Main Street, Suite 400  
Urbana, Illinois 61801  
217-398-3977



<https://www.appliedpavement.com>

## In Association With:

Robinson Engineering Company  
Consulting Engineers  
819 Second Street NE  
Independence, Iowa 50644  
319-334-7211



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION .....	1
PAVEMENT INVENTORY .....	3
PAVEMENT EVALUATION.....	6
Pavement Evaluation Procedure .....	6
Pavement Evaluation Results.....	7
Inspection Comments.....	12
Runway .....	12
Taxiway.....	12
Apron .....	12
T-Hangars .....	12
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM .....	13
Analysis Parameters.....	13
Critical PCIs.....	13
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs.....	13
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs .....	13
Budget and Inflation Rate .....	13
Analysis Approach.....	13
Analysis Results.....	14
General Maintenance Recommendations .....	15
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305).....	15
SUMMARY .....	20

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.....	1
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Perry Municipal Airport.....	4
Figure 3. Perry Municipal Airport network definition map.....	5
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.....	6
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.....	7
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Perry Municipal Airport.....	8
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Perry Municipal Airport.....	8
Figure 8. Perry Municipal Airport PCI map.....	9

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. 2020 pavement evaluation results.....	10
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario. ....	14
Table 3. Pavement inspection report.....	18

## APPENDIXES

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables .....	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs .....	B-1
Appendix C. Inspection Report.....	C-1
Appendix D. Work History Report.....	D-1
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables .....	E-1
Appendix F. Year 2021 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details .....	F-1


## INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company, updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Aviation Bureau (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Perry Municipal Airport were assessed in November 2020 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.

Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.



The pavement evaluation results for Perry Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Perry Municipal Airport, the Iowa DOT and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to

this report, the interactive pavement management data visualization tool IDEA, containing the pavement management information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website (<https://iowadot.gov/aviation>).

## PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Perry Municipal Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps as necessary to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2017.


The pavement network at Perry Municipal Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.

Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.


To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 430,700 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Perry Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age, in years of the pavements, at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Perry Municipal Airport.

Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Perry Municipal Airport.



# FIGURE 3. NETWORK DEFINITION MAP.



**NETWORK DEFINITION LEGEND**

	BRANCH IDENTIFIER
	SECTION IDENTIFIER
	PCI VALUE
	SECTION BREAK LINE
	SAMPLE UNIT BREAK LINE
	SLAB JOINT
	SAMPLE UNIT NUMBER
	SAMPLE UNIT INSPECTED
	ADDITIONAL SAMPLE UNIT

AGENCY: Iowa Department of Transportation Aviation Bureau			
LOCATION: Perry Municipal Airport Perry, Iowa			
PAGE TITLE: Network Definition Map			
PROJECT DATE: SEP. 2020	CREATION DATE: SEP. 2020	PROJECT MANAGER: LJR	JOB NUMBER: 2017-020-AM04
DRAWING SCALE: 1"=300'	LAST MODIFIED DATE: MAR. 2021	REVISED BY: MDK	DRAWN BY: DSP
FILENAME: Perry.dwg		LAYOUT NAME/NUMBER: NET. DEF.	PAGE NUMBER: 5

115 W. Main Street, Suite 400  
 Urbana, IL 61801  
 Tel: (217) 398-3977  
 Fax: (217) 398-4027

## PAVEMENT EVALUATION

### Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech inspected the pavements at Perry Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, *Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements* ([https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory\\_Circular/150-5380-6C.pdf](https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory_Circular/150-5380-6C.pdf)).
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, *Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)* ([https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory\\_Circular/150-5380-7B.pdf](https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory_Circular/150-5380-7B.pdf)).
- ASTM D5340-20, *Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys*.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.



Note: Photographs shown are not specific to Perry Municipal Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

PCI Range	Repair
86-100	Preventive Maintenance
71-85	
56-70	
41-55	Major Rehabilitation
26-40	Reconstruction
11-25	
0-10	

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration which in turn helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as load-related (such as alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on portland cement concrete [PCC] pavements), climate/durability-related (such as weathering [a climate-related distress type on asphalt-surfaced pavements] and durability cracking [a durability-related distress type on PCC pavements]), and other (distress types that cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability).

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

**Pavement Evaluation Results**

The pavements at Perry Municipal Airport were inspected in November 2020. The 2020 area-weighted condition of Perry Municipal Airport is 40, with conditions ranging from 16 to 99 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2017, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 50.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Perry Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distresses observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.

Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Perry Municipal Airport.




Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Perry Municipal Airport.  
(Values on chart are area-weighted)





FIGURE 8. PCI MAP.



		115 W. Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, IL 61801 Tel: (217) 398-3977 Fax: (217) 398-4027	
		322 1st Street East Independence, IA 50644 Tel: (319) 334-7211	
AGENCY: Iowa Department of Transportation Aviation Bureau			
LOCATION: Perry Municipal Airport Perry, Iowa			
PAGE TITLE: 2020 Pavement Condition Index Map			
PROJECT DATE: SEP. 2020	CREATION DATE: SEP. 2020	PROJECT MANAGER: LJR	JOB NUMBER: 2017-020-AM04
DRAWING SCALE: 1"=300'	LAST MODIFIED DATE: MAR. 2021	REVISED BY: ABF	DRAWN BY: DSP
FILENAME: Perry.dwg		LAYOUT NAME/NUMBER: PCI	PAGE NUMBER: 9

<b>LEGEND</b> BRANCH IDENTIFIER SECTION IDENTIFIER PCI VALUE SECTION BREAK LINE		<b>PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX</b> PCI <table border="1"> <tr><td>86-100</td></tr> <tr><td>71-85</td></tr> <tr><td>56-70</td></tr> <tr><td>41-55</td></tr> <tr><td>26-40</td></tr> <tr><td>11-25</td></tr> <tr><td>0-10</td></tr> </table>	86-100	71-85	56-70	41-55	26-40	11-25	0-10
86-100									
71-85									
56-70									
41-55									
26-40									
11-25									
0-10									

Table 1. 2020 pavement evaluation results.

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2020 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distresses
A01PR	01	PCC	33,305	6/3/2015	95	61	39	0	Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
A01PR	03	PCC	39,709	6/1/1991	16	32	4	64	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Small Patch
R14PR	01	PCC	175,175	6/1/1991	29	29	7	64	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
R14PR	02	PCC	132,589	7/1/1991	36	10	10	80	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Popouts, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
T01PR	01	PCC	5,543	6/1/1991	64	36	26	38	ASR, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking
T01PR	02	PCC	6,124	1/3/2016	95	0	40	60	Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling
TH01PR	01	PCC	11,352	2/3/2019	99	0	0	100	Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling
TH01PR	02	PCC	16,332	1/21/2009	61	74	11	15	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking
TH02PR	01	PCC	2,860	6/1/1991	30	66	11	23	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking
TH02PR	02	PCC	3,519	2/1/2013	88	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage

Table 1. 2020 pavement evaluation results (continued).

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2020 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distresses
TH02PR	03	PCC	4,173	9/1/2009	72	77	23	0	Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking

Table Notes:

1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
3. LCD = last construction date.
4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

## Inspection Comments

Perry Municipal Airport was inspected on November 16, 2020. There were eleven pavement sections defined during the inspection. Suspected alkali-silica reaction (ASR) was recorded in accordance with ASTM D5340-20 at this airport where evidence of a precipitate was observed within some of the cracking on the PCC surface. It should be noted that laboratory testing in the form of petrographic analysis is the only definitive way to validate the presence of ASR; however, the formation of a precipitate is evidence of a reaction consistent with this type of materials-related distress.

### *Runway*

Runway 14/32 was defined by two sections in poor condition. Low-severity large patching; high-severity joint seal damage; low- and medium-severity corner break; medium- and high-severity shattered slab; shrinkage cracking; and all severities of ASR, corner spalling, faulting, joint spalling, small patching, and longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking were recorded in Section 01. Low-severity small patching and faulting; low- and medium-severity large patching and joint spalling; medium-severity corner break; medium- and high-severity LTD cracking; high-severity joint seal damage; popouts; shrinkage cracking; and all severities of corner spalling and ASR were identified in Section 02.

### *Taxiway*

Taxiway 01 consisted of two sections. Section 01 was recorded with low-severity ASR and faulting; low- and medium-severity corner spalling; medium-severity joint spalling and LTD cracking; high-severity joint seal damage; and shrinkage cracking. Section 02 was in excellent condition with areas of low-severity joint seal damage; medium-severity joint spalling; and high-severity corner spalling recorded during the inspection.

### *Apron*

The apron area contained two sections. Section 01 was in excellent condition with low-severity joint seal damage and medium-severity LTD cracking identified. Section 03 was in poor condition. Low-severity corner break and small patching; low- and medium-severity corner spalling; medium-severity joint seal damage and shattered slab; medium- and high-severity joint spalling; and all severities of ASR and LTD cracking were recorded in this section.

### *T-Hangars*

T-Hangar 01 was defined by two sections. Section 01 was recently reconstructed and in excellent condition with only low-severity corner spalling and joint spalling recorded. In Section 02, low-severity corner break and corner spalling; low- and medium-severity faulting, joint spalling, LTD cracking, and shattered slab; medium-severity joint seal damage; and shrinkage cracking were observed during the inspection.

T-Hangar 02 contained three sections. Section 01 was in poor condition with low-severity corner spalling; low- and medium-severity corner break; medium-severity faulting, joint spalling, and LTD cracking; and high-severity joint seal damage noted. In Section 02, high-severity joint seal damage was identified throughout. Medium-severity joint seal damage and LTD cracking were observed in Section 03.

## PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Perry Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

### Analysis Parameters

#### *Critical PCIs*

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

#### *Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs*

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct for the different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Perry Municipal Airport.

#### *Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs*

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to more accurately estimate the cost of such work.

#### *Budget and Inflation Rate*

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2021 and an inflation rate of 1.4 percent was used during the analysis.

### Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2021) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2022 or 2023, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2021. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Perry Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2021 localized

preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

## Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Perry Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2021 is provided in Appendix F.

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost
2021	A01PR	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$107
2021	A01PR	03	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$663,537
2021	R14PR	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$2,927,174
2021	R14PR	02	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$2,215,562
2021	T01PR	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$2,237
2021	T01PR	02	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$330
2023	TH01PR	02	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$132,661
2021	TH02PR	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$47,791
2021	TH02PR	02	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$1,169
2021	TH02PR	03	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$1,333

**Total Estimated Cost: \$5,992,000**

### Table Notes:

1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Perry Municipal Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Perry Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Perry Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Perry Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

## General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this report.
2. Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur as a result of inspections. These need to be reported to the FAA and the Iowa DOT. This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further information on this law).
3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
5. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a “bathtub” effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

## FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Perry Municipal Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Perry Municipal Airport will also need to undertake monthly drive-by inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. Following is a copy of this Appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized words are direct quotations from the FAA Advisory Circular.

**FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)**

**A-1.0.** *An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:*

**A-1.1. Pavement Inventory.** *The following must be depicted:*

- a. *Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.*

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at *Perry Municipal Airport*. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the Iowa DOT after project completion.

- b. *Dimensions of pavement sections.*

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map (Figure 3) is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

- c. *Type of pavement surface.*

The type of pavement for each section at *Perry Municipal Airport* is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

- d. *Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.*

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for *Perry Municipal Airport* is provided in Appendix D of this report.

- e. *Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.*

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

**A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule.** *Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys, the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.*

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

**A-1.3. Record Keeping.** *The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:*

- a. *Inspection date*
- b. *Location*
- c. *Distress types*
- d. *Maintenance scheduled or performed*

Items a through c are satisfied by this inspection report. Item d is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

**A-1.4. Information Retrieval.** *An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.*

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Table 3. Pavement inspection report.

Inspected By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Inspected: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Branch</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action</b>	<b>Description of Repair</b>	<b>Date Performed</b>	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Funding Source</b>
A01PR	01					
A01PR	03					
R14PR	01					
R14PR	02					
T01PR	01					
T01PR	02					

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued).

Inspected By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Inspected: \_\_\_\_\_

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
TH01PR	01					
TH01PR	02					
TH02PR	01					
TH02PR	02					
TH02PR	03					

Table Notes:

1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

## **SUMMARY**

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Perry Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2020 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 40. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Perry Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$5,992,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Perry Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

## **APPENDIX A**

### **CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES**

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

<b>Distress Type</b>	<b>Probable Cause of Distress</b>
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be “built up” during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC “growth” may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

<b>Distress Type</b>	<b>Probable Cause of Distress</b>
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

## **APPENDIX B**

# **INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS**

A01PR-01. Overview.



A01PR-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 02).



A01PR-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 05).



A01PR-03. Overview.



A01PR-03. ASR (Sample Unit No. 04).



A01PR-03. ASR (Sample Unit No. 09).



A01PR-03. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 11).



R14PR-01. Overview.



R14PR-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 22).



R14PR-01. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 04).



R14PR-01. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 15).



R14PR-01. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 22).



R14PR-02. Overview.



R14PR-02. ASR (Sample Unit No. 04).



R14PR-02. Large Patching (Sample Unit No. 01).



R14PR-02. Popouts (Sample Unit No. 01).



T01PR-01. Overview.



T01PR-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).



T01PR-02. Overview.



T01PR-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01PR-01. Overview.



TH01PR-01. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 04).



TH01PR-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 04).



TH01PR-02. Overview.



TH01PR-02. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01PR-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH02PR-01. Overview.



TH02PR-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH02PR-02. Overview.



TH02PR-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH02PR-03. Overview.



TH02PR-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



## **APPENDIX C**

### **INSPECTION REPORT**

# Re-inspection Report

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

Network: PRO Name: PERRY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch: A01PR Name: APRON Use: APRON Area: 43,014.00SqFt

Section: 01 of 2 From: T-HANGAR 01 To: TAXIWAY 01 Last Const.: 06/03/2015  
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCAPSC Zone: Category: Rank: P  
Area: 33,305.00SqFt Length: 257.00Ft Width: 150.00Ft  
Slabs: 182 Slab Width: 12.50Ft Slab Length: 20.00Ft Joint Length: 4,604.50Ft  
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/16/2020 Total Samples: 7 Surveyed: 4

Conditions: PCI : 95

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 02 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 98  
Sample Comments:  
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs Comments:

Sample Number: 03 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 98  
Sample Comments:  
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs Comments:

Sample Number: 04 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 98  
Sample Comments:  
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs Comments:

Sample Number: 05 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 87  
Sample Comments:  
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs Comments:  
63 LINEAR CRACKING M 1.00 Slabs Comments:

# Re-inspection Report

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

Network: PRO Name: PERRY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch: A01PR Name: APRON Use: APRON Area: 43,014.00SqFt

Section: 03 of 2 From: A01PR-01 To: SEE MAP Last Const.: 06/01/1991  
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCAPSC Zone: Category: Rank: P  
Area: 39,709.00SqFt Length: 400.00Ft Width: 75.00Ft  
Slabs: 190 Slab Width: 15.00Ft Slab Length: 24.00Ft Joint Length: 2,775.00Ft  
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/16/2020 Total Samples: 11 Surveyed: 6

Conditions: PCI: 16

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 02 Type: R Area: 12.00Slabs PCI = 10

Sample Comments:

63 LINEAR CRACKING L 1.00 Slabs Comments:LU  
63 LINEAR CRACKING M 1.00 Slabs Comments:FS  
74 JOINT SPALLING M 1.00 Slabs Comments:  
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE M 12.00 Slabs Comments:  
76 ASR H 4.00 Slabs Comments:  
75 CORNER SPALLING L 1.00 Slabs Comments:  
76 ASR M 3.00 Slabs Comments:

Sample Number: 03 Type: R Area: 12.00Slabs PCI = 14

Sample Comments:

63 LINEAR CRACKING M 5.00 Slabs Comments:FS  
76 ASR L 2.00 Slabs Comments:  
75 CORNER SPALLING L 1.00 Slabs Comments:  
74 JOINT SPALLING H 2.00 Slabs Comments:  
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE M 12.00 Slabs Comments:  
76 ASR H 1.00 Slabs Comments:

Sample Number: 04 Type: R Area: 12.00Slabs PCI = 9

Sample Comments:

63 LINEAR CRACKING M 4.00 Slabs Comments:FS  
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE M 12.00 Slabs Comments:  
63 LINEAR CRACKING H 1.00 Slabs Comments:  
76 ASR L 3.00 Slabs Comments:  
76 ASR H 3.00 Slabs Comments:

Sample Number: 06 Type: R Area: 16.00Slabs PCI = 21

Sample Comments:

75 CORNER SPALLING L 3.00 Slabs Comments:  
63 LINEAR CRACKING L 1.00 Slabs Comments:LU  
76 ASR L 2.00 Slabs Comments:  
62 CORNER BREAK L 2.00 Slabs Comments:  
75 CORNER SPALLING M 1.00 Slabs Comments:  
74 JOINT SPALLING M 2.00 Slabs Comments:  
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE M 16.00 Slabs Comments:  
63 LINEAR CRACKING M 2.00 Slabs Comments:  
76 ASR H 3.00 Slabs Comments:

Sample Number: 09 Type: R Area: 16.00Slabs PCI = 24

Sample Comments:

75 CORNER SPALLING L 1.00 Slabs Comments:

# Re-inspection Report

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

---

76 ASR	M	8.00	Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	H	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	16.00	Slabs	Comments:

---

Sample Number: 11      Type: R      Area: 16.00Slabs      PCI = 13

Sample Comments:

72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	5.00	Slabs	Comments:FS
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	16.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	M	3.00	Slabs	Comments:

# Re-inspection Report

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

Network: PRO Name: PERRY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch: R14PR Name: RUNWAY 14/32 Use: RUNWAY Area: 233,589.00SqFt

Section: 01 of 2 From: RUNWAY END 14 To: SECTION 02 Last Const.: 06/01/1991  
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCRWSC\_General Zone: Category: Rank: P  
Area: 175,175.00SqFt Length: 2,270.00Ft Width: 75.00Ft  
Slabs: 701 Slab Width: 12.50Ft Slab Length: 20.00Ft Joint Length: 19,787.50Ft  
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/16/2020 Total Samples: 29 Surveyed: 8

Conditions: PCI: 29

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 04 Type: R Area: 24.00Slabs PCI = 22

Sample Comments:

62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	24.00 Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	3.00 Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	M	4.00 Slabs	Comments:
66 SMALL PATCH	H	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING	M	8.00 Slabs	Comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	3.00 Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 07 Type: R Area: 24.00Slabs PCI = 43

Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	24.00 Slabs	Comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	H	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING	M	8.00 Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 11 Type: R Area: 24.00Slabs PCI = 54

Sample Comments:

75 CORNER SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING	L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	24.00 Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING	M	4.00 Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 15 Type: R Area: 24.00Slabs PCI = 11

Sample Comments:

63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	4.00 Slabs	Comments:
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
72 SHATTERED SLAB	H	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:

# Re-inspection Report

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

---

74	JOINT SPALLING	M	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
75	CORNER SPALLING	H	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
62	CORNER BREAK	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
75	CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
63	LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
75	CORNER SPALLING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
73	SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
71	FAULTING	M	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
71	FAULTING	H	3.00	Slabs	Comments:

---

Sample Number: 18      Type: R      Area: 24.00Slabs      PCI = 19

Sample Comments:

65	JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	24.00	Slabs	Comments:
75	CORNER SPALLING	M	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
66	SMALL PATCH	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
75	CORNER SPALLING	L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
76	ASR	M	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
76	ASR	H	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
71	FAULTING	H	6.00	Slabs	Comments:
71	FAULTING	M	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
75	CORNER SPALLING	H	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
62	CORNER BREAK	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
73	SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00	Slabs	Comments:73
76	ASR	L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:
67	LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:

---

Sample Number: 22      Type: R      Area: 24.00Slabs      PCI = 15

Sample Comments:

72	SHATTERED SLAB	M	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
63	LINEAR CRACKING	M	6.00	Slabs	Comments:
74	JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
63	LINEAR CRACKING	H	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
62	CORNER BREAK	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
75	CORNER SPALLING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
63	LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
73	SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
67	LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
75	CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
74	JOINT SPALLING	H	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
71	FAULTING	M	7.00	Slabs	Comments:
71	FAULTING	H	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
71	FAULTING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:AT CRK
76	ASR	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:

---

Sample Number: 25      Type: R      Area: 24.00Slabs      PCI = 21

Sample Comments:

74	JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
75	CORNER SPALLING	L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:
67	LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
63	LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
74	JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
71	FAULTING	M	7.00	Slabs	Comments:
76	ASR	H	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
76	ASR	L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
76	ASR	M	4.00	Slabs	Comments:
66	SMALL PATCH	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
75	CORNER SPALLING	H	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
75	CORNER SPALLING	M	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
65	JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	24.00	Slabs	Comments:
74	JOINT SPALLING	H	1.00	Slabs	Comments:

# Re-inspection Report

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

---

Sample Number:	28	Type:	R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI =	45
Sample Comments:							
62	CORNER BREAK			M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
66	SMALL PATCH			L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
75	CORNER SPALLING			L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
75	CORNER SPALLING			M	5.00 Slabs	Comments:	
71	FAULTING			L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
71	FAULTING			M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
65	JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			H	24.00 Slabs	Comments:	
74	JOINT SPALLING			H	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
66	SMALL PATCH			M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
67	LARGE PATCH/UTILITY			L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
62	CORNER BREAK			L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
63	LINEAR CRACKING			L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
76	ASR			M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
76	ASR			L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	

# Re-inspection Report

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

Network: PRO Name: PERRY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch: R14PR Name: RUNWAY 14/32 Use: RUNWAY Area: 233,589.00SqFt

Section: 02 of 2 From: RUNWAY 32 END To: R14PR-01 Last Const.: 07/01/1991  
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCRWSC\_General Zone: Category: Rank: P  
Area: 132,589.00SqFt Length: 1,730.00Ft Width: 75.00Ft  
Slabs: 530 Slab Width: 12.50Ft Slab Length: 20.00Ft Joint Length: 15,062.50Ft  
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/16/2020 Total Samples: 24 Surveyed: 7

Conditions: PCI: 36

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01 Type: R Area: 24.00Slabs PCI = 23

Sample Comments:

75 CORNER SPALLING	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
66 SMALL PATCH	L	13.00 Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	H	1.00 Slabs	Comments:1" W/ FS
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	13.00 Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	L	11.00 Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:FS
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	24.00 Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
68 POPOUTS	N	22.00 Slabs	Comments:
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 04 Type: R Area: 24.00Slabs PCI = 21

Sample Comments:

66 SMALL PATCH	L	9.00 Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	L	11.00 Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	24.00 Slabs	Comments:
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	14.00 Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	M	5.00 Slabs	Comments:
68 POPOUTS	N	24.00 Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	H	1.00 Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 08 Type: R Area: 24.00Slabs PCI = 26

Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	24.00 Slabs	Comments:
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	13.00 Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	L	11.00 Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	6.00 Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
66 SMALL PATCH	L	8.00 Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	M	5.00 Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:

# Re-inspection Report

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

---

62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
68 POPOUTS	N	24.00	Slabs	Comments:

---

Sample Number: 13      Type: R      Area: 24.00Slabs      PCI = 43

Sample Comments:

76 ASR	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
66 SMALL PATCH	L	13.00	Slabs	Comments:
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	8.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	H	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	24.00	Slabs	Comments:
68 POPOUTS	N	24.00	Slabs	Comments:

---

Sample Number: 17      Type: R      Area: 24.00Slabs      PCI = 55

Sample Comments:

67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	5.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	24.00	Slabs	Comments:
66 SMALL PATCH	L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
68 POPOUTS	N	24.00	Slabs	Comments:

---

Sample Number: 20      Type: R      Area: 24.00Slabs      PCI = 42

Sample Comments:

74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	9.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	2.00	Slabs	Comments:W; FS
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	24.00	Slabs	Comments:
66 SMALL PATCH	L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	M	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	H	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
68 POPOUTS	N	24.00	Slabs	Comments:

---

Sample Number: 22      Type: R      Area: 15.00Slabs      PCI = 42

Sample Comments:

74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
68 POPOUTS	N	15.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	15.00	Slabs	Comments:
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:FS

# Re-inspection Report

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

Network: PRO Name: PERRY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch: T01PR Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY Area: 3,567.00SqFt

Section: 01 of 2 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP Last Const.: 06/01/1991  
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCTWSC\_General Zone: Category: Rank: P  
Area: 5,543.00SqFt Length: 110.00Ft Width: 40.00Ft  
Slabs: 45 Slab Width: 10.00Ft Slab Length: 13.75Ft Joint Length: 610.00Ft  
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/16/2020 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2

Conditions: PCI : 64

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01 Type: R Area: 29.00Slabs PCI = 66

Sample Comments:

74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	29.00 Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING	L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 02 Type: R Area: 16.00Slabs PCI = 60

Sample Comments:

71 FAULTING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	3.00 Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	16.00 Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:

# Re-inspection Report

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

Network: PRO Name: PERRY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch: T01PR Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY Area: 3,567.00SqFt

Section: 02 of 2 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP Last Const.: 01/03/2016  
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCTWSC\_General Zone: Category: Rank: P  
Area: 6,124.00SqFt Length: 150.00Ft Width: 40.00Ft  
Slabs: 60 Slab Width: 10.00Ft Slab Length: 10.00Ft Joint Length: 1,010.00Ft  
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/16/2020 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3

Conditions: PCI : 95

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 98

Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs Comments:

Sample Number: 02 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 98

Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs Comments:

Sample Number: 03 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 89

Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs Comments:

74 JOINT SPALLING M 1.00 Slabs Comments:

75 CORNER SPALLING H 1.00 Slabs Comments:

# Re-inspection Report

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

Network: PRO Name: PERRY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch: TH01PR Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR Area: 27,684.00SqFt

Section: 01 of 2 From: APRON To: SEE MAP Last Const.: 02/03/2019  
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCTHsouthern Zone: Category: Rank: P  
Area: 11,352.00SqFt Length: 295.00Ft Width: 52.00Ft  
Slabs: 118 Slab Width: 10.00Ft Slab Length: 12.25Ft Joint Length: 2,439.24Ft  
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/16/2020 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4

Conditions: PCI : 99

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01 Type: R Area: 14.00Slabs PCI = 100

Sample Comments:  
<NO DISTRESSES>

Sample Number: 04 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 96

Sample Comments:  
75 CORNER SPALLING L 1.00 Slabs Comments:  
74 JOINT SPALLING L 1.00 Slabs Comments:

Sample Number: 05 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 100

Sample Comments:  
<NO DISTRESSES>

Sample Number: 06 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 100

Sample Comments:  
<NO DISTRESSES>

# Re-inspection Report

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

Network: PRO Name: PERRY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch: TH01PR Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR Area: 27,684.00SqFt

Section: 02 of 2 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP Last Const.: 01/21/2009  
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCTHsouthern Zone: Category: Rank: P  
Area: 16,332.00SqFt Length: 610.00Ft Width: 25.00Ft  
Slabs: 86 Slab Width: 12.50Ft Slab Length: 15.00Ft Joint Length: 1,601.67Ft  
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/16/2020 Total Samples: 5 Surveyed: 4

Conditions: PCI : 61

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01 Type: R Area: 24.00Slabs PCI = 48

Sample Comments:

63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	6.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 02 Type: R Area: 22.00Slabs PCI = 83

Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	22.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 04 Type: R Area: 18.00Slabs PCI = 27

Sample Comments:

63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
62 CORNER BREAK	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	18.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
72 SHATTERED SLAB	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING	M	2.00	Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 05 Type: R Area: 14.00Slabs PCI = 92

Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	14.00	Slabs	Comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00	Slabs	Comments:

# Re-inspection Report

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

Network: PRO Name: PERRY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch: TH02PR Name: T-HANGAR 02 Use: T-HANGAR Area: 10,552.00SqFt

Section: 01 of 3 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP Last Const.: 06/01/1991  
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCTHsouthern Zone: Category: Rank: P  
Area: 2,860.00SqFt Length: 140.00Ft Width: 20.00Ft  
Slabs: 16 Slab Width: 10.00Ft Slab Length: 20.00Ft Joint Length: 260.00Ft  
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/16/2020 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1

Conditions: PCI : 30

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01 Type: R Area: 16.00Slabs PCI = 30

Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	16.00 Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	7.00 Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
62 CORNER BREAK	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
62 CORNER BREAK	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:

# Re-inspection Report

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

---

Network: PRO Name: PERRY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

---

Branch: TH02PR Name: T-HANGAR 02 Use: T-HANGAR Area: 10,552.00SqFt

---

Section: 02 of 3 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP Last Const.: 02/01/2013  
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCTHsouthern Zone: Category: Rank: P  
Area: 3,519.00SqFt Length: 140.00Ft Width: 22.00Ft  
Slabs: 26 Slab Width: 11.00Ft Slab Length: 10.80Ft Joint Length: 403.19Ft  
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

---

Last Insp. Date: 11/16/2020 Total Samples: 26 Surveyed: 1

Conditions: PCI : 88

Inspection Comments:

---

Sample Number: 01 Type: R Area: 24.00Slabs PCI = 88

Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 24.00 Slabs Comments:

# Re-inspection Report

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

Network: PRO Name: PERRY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch: TH02PR Name: T-HANGAR 02 Use: T-HANGAR Area: 10,552.00SqFt

Section: 03 of 3 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP Last Const.: 09/01/2009  
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCTHsouthern Zone: Category: Rank: P  
Area: 4,173.00SqFt Length: 155.00Ft Width: 25.00Ft  
Slabs: 28 Slab Width: 12.50Ft Slab Length: 14.00Ft Joint Length: 406.79Ft  
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/16/2020 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1

Conditions: PCI : 72

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01 Type: R Area: 28.00Slabs PCI = 72

Sample Comments:

63 LINEAR CRACKING M 4.00 Slabs Comments:  
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE M 28.00 Slabs Comments:

## **APPENDIX D**

### **WORK HISTORY REPORT**

Date:02/15/2021

**Work History Report**

1 of 3

Pavement Database:IA 2020

**Network:** PRO **Branch:** A01PR (APRON AT PERRY) **Section:** 01 **Surface:** PCC  
**L.C.D.:** 06/03/2015 **Use:** APRON **Rank P Length:** 257.00 Ft **Width:** 150.00 Ft **True Area:** 33,305.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/03/2015	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PC	\$0	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC
06/02/2015	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0	6.00	False	6" P209 CABG
06/01/2015	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0	12.00	False	12" P-158 FLYASH TREATED SUBGRADE
06/03/1966	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True	6" P-501
06/02/1966	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	6.00	False	6" P-154
06/01/1966	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	6.00	False	6" P-152

**Network:** PRO **Branch:** A01PR (APRON AT PERRY) **Section:** 03 **Surface:** PCC  
**L.C.D.:** 06/01/1991 **Use:** APRON **Rank P Length:** 400.00 Ft **Width:** 75.00 Ft **True Area:** 39,709.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/01/1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	0.00	True	-

**Network:** PRO **Branch:** R14PR (RUNWAY 14/32 AT PERRY) **Section:** 01 **Surface:** PCC  
**L.C.D.:** 06/01/1991 **Use:** RUNWAY **Rank P Length:** 2,270.00 Ft **Width:** 75.00 Ft **True Area:**175,175.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
08/01/2016	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0	0.00	False	-
08/01/2016	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/2008	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/2008	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	0.00	True	UNKNOWN THICKNESS, RW EXTENSION
06/03/1966	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True	6" P-501
06/02/1966	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	6.00	False	6" P-154
06/01/1966	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	6.00	False	6" P-152

**Network:** PRO **Branch:** R14PR (RUNWAY 14/32 AT PERRY) **Section:** 02 **Surface:** PCC  
**L.C.D.:** 07/01/1991 **Use:** RUNWAY **Rank P Length:** 1,730.00 Ft **Width:** 75.00 Ft **True Area:**132,589.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/01/2018	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	FIELD EST
08/01/2016	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0	0.00	False	-
08/01/2016	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/2008	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/2008	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/2008	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
07/01/1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	0.00	True	-
06/03/1966	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True	6" P-501
06/02/1966	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	6.00	False	6" P-154
06/01/1966	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	6.00	False	6" P-152

**Network:** PRO **Branch:** T01PR (TAXIWAY 01 AT PERRY) **Section:** 01 **Surface:** PCC  
**L.C.D.:** 06/01/1991 **Use:** TAXIWAY **Rank P Length:** 110.00 Ft **Width:** 40.00 Ft **True Area:** 5,543.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/01/2005	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	0.00	True	-
06/03/1966	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True	6" P-501
06/02/1966	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	6.00	False	6" P-154
06/01/1966	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	6.00	False	6" P-152

Date:02/15/2021

**Work History Report**

2 of 3

Pavement Database:IA 2020

**Network:** PRO **Branch:** T01PR (TAXIWAY 01 AT PERRY) **Section:** 02 **Surface:** PCC  
**L.C.D.:** 01/03/2016 **Use:** TAXIWAY **Rank P Length:** 150.00 Ft **Width:** 40.00 Ft **True Area:** 6,124.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
01/03/2016	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PC	\$0	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC COMPLETE RECONSTRUCTION
01/02/2016	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0	8.00	False	8" P-209 GRANULAR SUBBASE
01/01/2016	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0	12.00	False	12" P-158 FLYASH TREATED COMP. SUBGRADE
06/03/1966	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True	6" P-501
06/02/1966	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	6.00	False	6" P-154
06/01/1966	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	6.00	False	6" P-152

**Network:** PRO **Branch:** TH01PR (T-HANGAR 01 AT PERRY) **Section:** 01 **Surface:** PCC  
**L.C.D.:** 02/03/2019 **Use:** T-HANGAR **Rank P Length:** 295.00 Ft **Width:** 52.00 Ft **True Area:** 11,352.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
02/03/2019	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PC	\$0	6.00	True	6" PCC
02/02/2019	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	6.00	False	6" MODIFIED GRANULAR SUBBASE
02/01/2019	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	12.00	False	12" COMPACTED SUBGRADE
06/01/2006	OL-AC	Overlay - AC	\$0	0.00	True	EST VIA GOOGLE EARTH
01/01/1995	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0	0.00	True	JNKNOWN, PRE 1996

**Network:** PRO **Branch:** TH01PR (T-HANGAR 01 AT PERRY) **Section:** 02 **Surface:** PCC  
**L.C.D.:** 01/21/2009 **Use:** T-HANGAR **Rank P Length:** 610.00 Ft **Width:** 25.00 Ft **True Area:** 16,332.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
01/21/2009	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PC	\$0	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC COMPLETE RECONSTRUCTION
01/20/2009	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	4.00	False	4" P-154 GRANULAR SUBBASE
01/19/2009	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	12.00	False	12" P-152 COMP. SUBGRADE

**Network:** PRO **Branch:** TH02PR (T-HANGAR 02 AT PERRY) **Section:** 01 **Surface:** PCC  
**L.C.D.:** 06/01/1991 **Use:** T-HANGAR **Rank P Length:** 140.00 Ft **Width:** 20.00 Ft **True Area:** 2,860.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/01/1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	0.00	True	JNKNOWN; CONSTRUCTED PRIOR TO 1995 PER GOOGLE EARTH

**Network:** PRO **Branch:** TH02PR (T-HANGAR 02 AT PERRY) **Section:** 02 **Surface:** PCC  
**L.C.D.:** 02/01/2013 **Use:** T-HANGAR **Rank P Length:** 140.00 Ft **Width:** 22.00 Ft **True Area:** 3,519.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
02/01/2013	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	0.00	True	ESTIMATED FROM AERIAL

**Network:** PRO **Branch:** TH02PR (T-HANGAR 02 AT PERRY) **Section:** 03 **Surface:** PCC  
**L.C.D.:** 09/01/2009 **Use:** T-HANGAR **Rank P Length:** 155.00 Ft **Width:** 25.00 Ft **True Area:** 4,173.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
09/01/2009	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	0.00	True	ESTIMATED FROM AERIAL

**Summary:**

<b>Work Description</b>	<b>Section Count</b>	<b>Area Total (SqFt)</b>	<b>Thickness Avg (in)</b>	<b>Thickness STD (in)</b>
Base Course - Aggregate	2	39,429.00	7.00	1.41
Complete Reconstruction - PCC	4	67,113.00	6.00	.00
Crack Sealing - PCC	2	307,764.00	.00	.00
Joint Seal (Localized)	2	307,764.00	.00	.00
New Construction - AC	1	11,352.00	.00	-
New Construction - PCC	12	716,304.00	2.50	3.09
Overlay - AC	1	11,352.00	.00	-
Patching - PCC Full Depth	4	615,528.00	.00	.00
Patching - PCC Partial Depth	2	307,764.00	.00	.00
Slab Replacement - PCC	2	138,132.00	.00	.00
Subbase - Aggregate	7	380,420.00	5.71	.76
Subgrade - Compacted	7	380,420.00	7.71	2.93
Subgrade - Stabilized	2	39,429.00	12.00	.00

## **APPENDIX E**

### **LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES**

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

<b>Distress Type</b>	<b>Severity Level</b>	<b>Maintenance Action</b>
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low	Monitor
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium	Monitor
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

<b>Distress Type</b>	<b>Severity Level</b>	<b>Maintenance Action</b>
ASR	Low	Monitor
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement
ASR	High	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Faulting	Low	Monitor
Faulting	Medium	Grinding
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Popouts	N/A	Monitor
Pumping	N/A	Monitor
Scaling	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch

Table E-3. 2021 unit costs for preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$14.10/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.41/lf
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$36.10/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$16.12/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$2.90/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$2.90/lf
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.35/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$16.12/sf

Table E-4. 2021 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0-40	PCI Range 40-50	PCI Range 50-60	PCI Range 60-70	PCI Range 70-80	PCI Range 80-90	PCI Range 90-100
AC	\$10.01	\$4.74	\$4.74	\$4.74	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$16.71	\$7.90	\$7.90	\$7.90	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

## **APPENDIX F**

# **YEAR 2021 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS**

Table F-1. Year 2021 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2021 Estimated Cost
A01PR	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$107
T01PR	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$97
T01PR	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	45	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$1,769
T01PR	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$233
T01PR	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	4	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$138
T01PR	02	Corner Spalling	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$97
T01PR	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$233
TH02PR	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	26	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$1,169
TH02PR	03	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	28	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$1,180
TH02PR	03	LTD Cracking	Medium	4	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$154

## Table Notes:

1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Perry Municipal Airport.



**PREPARED FOR**

Iowa Department of Transportation  
Aviation Bureau  
800 Lincoln Way  
Ames, Iowa 50010  
515-239-1691  
<https://iowadot.gov/aviation>

**JULY 2021**