### Pocahontas Municipal Airport

**Pavement Management Report** 



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

**JULY 2023** 







### POCAHONTAS MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

#### **Prepared For:**



Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

#### Prepared By:



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

#### In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION	
PAVEMENT INVENTORY	3
PAVEMENT EVALUATION	
Pavement Evaluation Procedure	
Pavement Evaluation Results	
Inspection Comments	
Runway	
Taxiways	
Apron	
T-Hangar	
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM	
Analysis Parameters	
Critical PCIs	
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs	
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs	14
Budget and Inflation Rate	
Analysis Approach	
Analysis Results	
General Maintenance Recommendations	
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)	16
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program	
(PMP)	
SUMMARY	22
LIST OF FIGURES  Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair	
Figure 3. Pocahontas Municipal Airport network definition map.	
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.	
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.	
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Pocahontas Municipal Airport.	
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Pocahontas Municipal Airport	
Figure 8. Pocahontas Municipal Airport PCI map	
Tigure 6. I deanontas Municipal Amport I et map	10
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results.	11
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.	
Table 3. Pavement inspection report	10

Table of Contents July 2023

#### **APPENDIXES**

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2023 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	

Introduction July 2023

#### INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers (Robinson), updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Pocahontas Municipal Airport were assessed in November 2022 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

Introduction July 2023

The pavement evaluation results for Pocahontas Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Pocahontas Municipal Airport, the Iowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the web-based interactive pavement data visualization tool IDEA, containing the information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website or directly (Iowa APMS IDEA).

Pavement Inventory July 2023

#### PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Pocahontas Municipal Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps, as necessary, to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2019.


The pavement network at Pocahontas Municipal Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.


Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.


To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 361,100 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Pocahontas Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age, in years, of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Pocahontas Municipal Airport.

Pavement Inventory July 2023







Pavement Evaluation July 2023

#### **PAVEMENT EVALUATION**

#### **Pavement Evaluation Procedure**

APTech inspected the pavements at Pocahontas Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, <u>Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements</u>.
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, *Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)*.
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.







Note: Photographs shown are not specific to Pocahontas Municipal Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

Pavement Evaluation July 2023

 PCI Range
 Repair

 86-100
 Preventive Maintenance

 56-70
 Major Rehabilitation

 26-40
 Reconstruction

 0-10
 0-10

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which is useful when selecting M&R strategies. Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as:

- Load-related—These distress types are defined as being caused by aircraft or vehicular traffic and may indicate a structural deficiency. Examples of load-related distress include alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements and corner breaks on portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements.
- Climate/durability-related—These distress types often signify the presence of aged or
  environmentally susceptible (or both) material and include durability-related issues.

  Examples of climate/durability-related distress include weathering on asphalt-surfaced
  pavements, which is climate-related, and durability cracking on PCC pavements, which is
  durability-related.
- Other—Distress types that fall into this category cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability. Examples of this type of distress include depressions on asphalt-surfaced pavements and shrinkage cracking on PCC pavements.

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

#### **Pavement Evaluation Results**


The pavements at Pocahontas Municipal Airport were inspected in November 2022. The 2022 area-weighted condition of Pocahontas Municipal Airport is 87, with conditions ranging from 42 to 100 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2019, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 92.

Pavement Evaluation July 2023

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Pocahontas Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.

Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Pocahontas Municipal Airport.






Pavement Evaluation July 2023

Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Pocahontas Municipal Airport.

(Values on chart are area-weighted)






Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results.

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2022 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
A01PO	01	PCC	42,301	6/1/2004	67	59	25	16	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
R12PO	01	APC	180,000	6/2/2015	94	0	100	0	L&T Cracking
R12PO	02	APC	62,719	6/2/2015	95	0	100	0	L&T Cracking, Patching
R12PO	03	APC	12,000	6/2/2015	100	0	0	0	No distress
R12PO	04	PCC	2,871	6/1/1974	51	82	18	0	Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
T01PO	01	PCC	5,401	6/1/1968	44	59	15	26	Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
T01PO	02	PCC	7,603	5/1/2004	68	65	29	6	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
T01PO	03	APC	2,673	6/1/2015	90	0	100	0	L&T Cracking
T02PO	01	PCC	1,135	6/1/2004	42	37	14	49	Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
T02PO	02	PCC	5,547	5/1/2004	82	33	57	10	Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
T02PO	03	APC	2,547	6/1/2015	93	0	100	0	Joint Reflection Cracking
ТН01РО	01	PCC	12,194	6/1/2014	69	54	29	17	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking
ТН01РО	02	PCC	8,058	1/1/2011	64	56	21	23	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
TH01PO	03	PCC	1,398	1/1/1995	69	0	28	72	Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Popouts
TH01PO	04	PCC	14,690	8/3/2018	90	9	91	0	Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking

#### Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results (continued).

#### Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Pavement Evaluation July 2023

#### **Inspection Comments**

Pocahontas Municipal Airport was inspected on November 22, 2022. There were fifteen pavement sections defined during the inspection.

#### Runway

Runway 12/30 was defined by four sections. Areas of low-severity longitudinal and transverse (L&T) cracking were observed in Section 01. Section 02 was in excellent condition with low-severity L&T cracking and patching noted during the inspection. The low-severity L&T cracking in Sections 01 and 02 was sealed. Section 03 was in excellent condition with no distress noted at the time of inspection. High-severity joint seal damage and medium-severity shattered slab and longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking were identified in Section 04.

#### **Taxiways**

Taxiway 01 contained three sections. Areas of medium-severity shattered slab, joint spalling, and corner break; low-severity faulting; high-severity joint seal damage; and low- and medium-severity LTD cracking were observed in Section 01. Section 02 contained low- and medium-severity corner spalling and LTD cracking, medium-severity corner break, and high-severity joint seal damage at the time of inspection. Low-severity, unsealed L&T cracking was recorded in Section 03.

Taxiway 02 consisted of three sections. Section 01 contained areas of low- and medium-severity LTD cracking and faulting and high-severity joint seal damage and joint spalling. Areas of high-severity corner spalling and joint seal damage and low- and medium-severity LTD cracking were observed in Section 02. Low-severity joint reflection cracking was observed in Section 03.

#### **Apron**

The apron area contained one section. Areas of low- and medium-severity joint spalling, LTD cracking, corner break, and corner spalling; low-severity faulting; and high-severity joint seal damage were recorded in Section 01.

#### T-Hangar

The T-hangar area was defined by four sections. Section 01 contained areas of low- and medium-severity joint spalling, LTD cracking, and corner break; medium-severity corner spalling; high-severity joint seal damage; and low-severity large patching. Medium-severity corner spalling and shattered slab, low-severity faulting, high-severity joint seal damage, all severities of joint spalling, and low- and medium-severity LTD cracking were identified in Section 02. Section 03 contained low-severity faulting, high-severity joint seal damage, medium-severity joint spalling, and popouts. Areas of medium- and high-severity joint seal damage and low-severity LTD cracking were identified in Section 04.

#### PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Pocahontas Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

#### **Analysis Parameters**

#### Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

#### Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct the different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Pocahontas Municipal Airport.

#### Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

#### Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2023 and an inflation rate of 4.0 percent was used during the analysis.

#### **Analysis Approach**

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2023) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2024 or 2025, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2023. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Pocahontas Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2023

localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

#### **Analysis Results**

A summary of the M&R program for Pocahontas Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2023 is provided in Appendix F.

Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost
2023	A01PO	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$361,668
2023	R12PO	04	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$24,547
2023	T01PO	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$79,223
2023	T01PO	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$5,317
2023	T02PO	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$18,812
2023	T02PO	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$2,875
2023	TH01PO	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$7,090
2023	TH01PO	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$5,317
2023	TH01PO	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$722
2023	TH01PO	04	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$8,106

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

**Total Estimated Cost: \$514,000** 

#### Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Pocahontas Municipal Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Pocahontas Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Pocahontas Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Pocahontas Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

#### **General Maintenance Recommendations**

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- 1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this report.
- 2. Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur as a result of inspections. These need to be reported to the FAA and the Iowa DOT. This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a "bathtub" effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

#### FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Pocahontas Municipal Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Pocahontas Municipal Airport will also need to undertake monthly drive-by inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this Appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized words are direct quotations from the FAA Advisory Circular.

#### FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

**A-1.0.** An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

#### **A-1.1. Pavement Inventory.** The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at Pocahontas Municipal Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the Iowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map provided in Figure 3 is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at Pocahontas Municipal Airport is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to the pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for Pocahontas Municipal Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys, the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

- **A-1.3. Record Keeping.** The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:
  - a. Inspection date
  - b. Location
  - c. Distress types
  - d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items a through c are satisfied by this inspection report. Item d is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

**A-1.4. Information Retrieval.** An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report.

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01PO	01					
R12PO	01					
R12PO	02					
R12PO	03					
R12PO	04					
T01PO	01					

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued).

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
T01PO	02					
Т01РО	03					
Т02РО	01					
Т02РО	02					
Т02РО	03					
ТН01РО	01					

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued).

Inspected By: _	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
ТН01РО	02					
ТН01РО	03					
ТН01РО	04					

Table Note: See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

Summary July 2023

#### **SUMMARY**

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Pocahontas Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2022 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 87. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Pocahontas Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$514,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Pocahontas Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

# APPENDIX A CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Cause of Distress Tables July 2023

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Cause of Distress Tables July 2023

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

# APPENDIX B INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01PO-01. Overview.



A01PO-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 15).



R12PO-01. Overview.




R12PO-01. L&T Cracking (Sample Unit No. 04).



R12PO-02. Overview.



R12PO-03. Overview.



R12PO-04. Overview.



R12PO-04. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



T01PO-01. Overview.



T01PO-01. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 01).



T01PO-02. Overview.



T01PO-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 04).



T01PO-03. Overview.



T01PO-03. L&T Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



T02PO-01. Overview.



T02PO-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).



T02PO-02. Overview.



T02PO-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).



T02PO-03. Overview.



T02PO-03. Joint Reflection Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01PO-01. Overview.



TH01PO-01. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 03).



TH01PO-02. Overview.



TH01PO-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01PO-03. Overview.



TH01PO-03. Popouts (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01PO-04. Overview.



TH01PO-04. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 05).



# APPENDIX C INSPECTION REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

1 avenient batabase. I/1 2022			Ocherate Date. 0/14/2020
Network ID: POH			Page 1
	Branch - Section	on ID: A01PO - 001	
Branch Name: APRON 01			Use: APRON
LCD: 6/1/2004 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 42,301.00 Length (ft): 240.00 Width (ft): 150.00 From: To:		PCI Family: IowaPCCAPNC_BasicLocal	
Slabs: 322 Slab Length (ft): 11.80 Slab Width (ft): 11.00 Joint Length (ft): 6,883.44 Last Insp Date: 11/22/2022		Section Comments:  Inspection Comments:	
PCI: 67 Total Samples: 21 Surveyed: 7			
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 32 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING 75 CORNER SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	L M L M H L L	1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 64 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 62 CORNER BREAK	М	Sample Comments:  1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 75 CORNER SPALL	M H L	3.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	Н М М	21.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 10			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78		Sample Comments:	

Sample Area (Slabs): 12.00 63 LINEAR CRACKING L 2.00 Slabs 12.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALL 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: POH Page 2

Sample Number: 13

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 83

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 21.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 15

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 67

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING M 3.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 21.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 19

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 69

Sample Area (Slabs): 12.00

62 CORNER BREAK M 1.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CRACKING M 1.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 12.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: POH Page 3

Branch - Section ID: R12PO - 001

PCI Family: IowaAPCRWNorthern

Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30 Use: RUNWAY

LCD: 6/2/2015 Surface Type: APC

Surface Type: APC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 180,000.00

Length (ft): 3,000.00 Width (ft): 60.00 From: RUNWAY END 12 To: RUNWAY SECT 02

Slabs: Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): Slab Width (ft): Joint Length (ft):

Last Insp Date: 11/22/2022 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 94

Total Samples: 30 Surveyed: 6

Sample Number: 004

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 95

Sample Area (SF): 6,000.00

48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 58.00 Ft LS

Sample Number: 008

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (SF): 6,000.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 012

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (SF): 6,000.00

48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 120.00 Ft LS

Sample Number: 020

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 94

Sample Area (SF): 6,000.00

48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 90.00 Ft LS

Sample Number: 024

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 92

Sample Area (SF): 6,000.00

48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 137.00 Ft LS

Sample Number: 028

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (SF): 6,000.00

48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 120.00 Ft LS

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: POH Page 4

Branch - Section ID: R12PO - 002

PCI Family: IowaAPCRWNorthern

5.00 SF

Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30 Use: RUNWAY

LCD: 6/2/2015 Surface Type: APC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 62,719.00

Length (ft): 900.00 Width (ft): 60.00 From: RUNWAY SECT 01 To: RUNWAY END 30

Slabs: Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): Slab Width (ft): Joint Length (ft):

Last Insp Date: 11/22/2022 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 95 Total Samples: 11

Surveyed: 5

Sample Number: 001

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (SF): 6,000.00

48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 60.00 Ft LS

50 PATCHING L

Sample Number: 002

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 96

Sample Area (SF): 6,000.00

48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 26.00 Ft LS

Sample Number: 005

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 97

Sample Area (SF): 6,000.00

48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 9.00 Ft LS

Sample Number: 007

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 95

Sample Area (SF): 6,000.00

48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 60.00 Ft LS

Sample Number: 008

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 95

Sample Area (SF): 6,000.00

48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 60.00 Ft LS

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: POH Page 5

Branch - Section ID: R12PO - 003

PCI Family: IowaAPCRWNorthern

Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30 Use: RUNWAY

LCD: 6/2/2015

Surface Type: APC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 12,000.00

Length (ft): 200.00 Width (ft): 60.00

From: NE END OF R12PO-01

To: R12PO-03

Slabs: Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): Slab Width (ft): Joint Length (ft):

Last Insp Date: 11/22/2022

PCI: 100 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2

CI: 100

Sample Number: 001

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (SF): 6,000.00

**NO DISTRESS** 

Sample Number: 002

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (SF): 6,000.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Comments:

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: POH Page 6

Branch - Section ID: R12PO - 004

Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30 Use: RUNWAY

LCD: 6/1/1974 PCI Family: IowaPCCRWNC BasicLocal

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 2,871.00

Length (ft): 75.00 Width (ft): 40.00

From: . To: .

Slabs: 18 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 17.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 359.06

Last Insp Date: 11/22/2022

PCI: 51 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1 Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 51

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING M 5.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 18.00 Slabs 72 SHATTERED SLAB M 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: POH Page 7

Branch - Section ID: T01PO - 001

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/1/1968 PCI Family: lowaPCCTWNC\_Basic

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 5,401.00 Length (ft): 194.00 Width (ft): 30.00

From: APRON 01 SECTS 01 & 02

To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 27 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 20.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 602.28

Last Insp Date: 11/22/2022 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 44 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 44

Sample Area (Slabs): 27.00

62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	2.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	27.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING	L	7.00 Slabs
72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	2.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: POH Page 8

Branch - Section ID: 101PO - 002

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 5/1/2004 PCI Family: lowaPCCTWNC\_Basic

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 7,603.00 Length (ft): 160.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: T01PO-01 To: A01PO-01

Slabs: 76 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.80 Joint Length (ft): 1,298.77

Last Insp Date: 11/22/2022 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 68 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 59

Sample Area (Slabs): 12.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING
L 1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING
M 2.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE
H 12.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL
M 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 71

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING M 3.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 21.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 70

Sample Area (Slabs): 22.00

 62 CORNER BREAK
 M
 1.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CRACKING
 M
 1.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CRACKING
 M
 1.00 Slabs

 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE
 H
 22.00 Slabs

 75 CORNER SPALL
 L
 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: POH Page 9

Branch - Section ID: T01PO - 003

PCI Family: IowaAPCTWNorthern

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/1/2015

Surface Type: APC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 2,673.00

Length (ft): 55.00 Width (ft): 46.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 0.00 Slab Width (ft): 0.00 Joint Length (ft): 0.00

Last Insp Date: 11/22/2022 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 90 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 90

Sample Area (SF): 2,673.00

48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 84.00 Ft LU

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: POH Page 10

Branch - Section ID: T02PO - 001

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 02 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/1/2004 PCI Family: lowaPCCTWNC\_Basic

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 1,135.00

Length (ft): 50.00 Width (ft): 20.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 10 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 11.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 137.23

Last Insp Date: 11/22/2022 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 42 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 42

Sample Area (Slabs): 10.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	2.00 Slat	bs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	М	1.00 Slat	bs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	10.00 Slat	bs
71 FAULTING	L	1.00 Slat	bs
71 FAULTING	М	1.00 Slat	bs
74 JOINT SPALL	Н	1.00 Slat	bs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: POH Page 11

Branch - Section ID: T02PO - 002

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 02 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 5/1/2004 PCI Family: IowaPCCTWNC\_Basic

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 5,547.00

Length (ft): 156.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: T02PO-01 To: A01PO-01

Slabs: 49 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 11.30 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 871.35

Last Insp Date: 11/22/2022 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 82 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 10.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 10.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 74

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING
L 1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING
M 1.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE
H 21.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL
H 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: POH Page 12

Branch - Section ID: T02PO - 003

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 02 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/1/2015 PCI Family: lowaAPCTWNorthern

Surface Type: APC Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 2,547.00

Length (ft): 50.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): Slab Width (ft): Joint Length (ft):

Last Insp Date: 11/22/2022 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 93 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (SF): 2,547.00

47 JOINT REFLECTION CRACKING L 71.00 Ft AT BREAK

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: POH			Page 13
	Branch - Section	on ID: TH01PO - 001	
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAF
LCD: 6/1/2014 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 12,194.00 Length (ft): 600.00 Width (ft): 20.00 From: . To: .		PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NC NCW	
Slabs: 83 Slab Length (ft): 15.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,430.83		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/22/2022 PCI: 69 Total Samples: 5 Surveyed: 4		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 76 Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 67 LARGE PATCH 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	H L L M	18.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 70 Sample Area (Slabs): 12.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL	M H L M	1.00 Slabs 12.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 69 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L M M H	2.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 21.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 63 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING	L L M	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	

Н

20.00 Slabs

2.00 Slabs

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

74 JOINT SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: POH Page 14

Network ID: POH			Page 14
	Branch - Section ID:	TH01PO - 002	
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 1/1/2011 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 8,058.00 Length (ft): 390.00 Width (ft): 20.00 From: . To: .	PCI Fa	mily: IowaPCCTH NC NCW	
Slabs: 54 Slab Length (ft): 14.50 Slab Width (ft): 10.30 Joint Length (ft): 914.49	Section	n Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/22/2022 PCI: 64 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3	Inspec	tion Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 60 Sample Area (Slabs): 22.00	Sample	e Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL	M H H L	4.00 Slabs 22.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 73 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	e Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALL	L M M	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 56 Sample Area (Slabs): 12.00	Sample	e Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L H	1.00 Slabs 12.00 Slabs	

L

M

Μ

2.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

71 FAULTING

72 SHATTERED SLAB

75 CORNER SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: POH Page 15

Branch - Section ID: TH01PO - 003

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR

LCD: 1/1/1995 PCI Family: lowaPCCTH NC NCW

Surface Type: PCC Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 1,398.00

Length (ft): 70.00 Width (ft): 20.00

From: . To: .

Slabs: 10 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 14.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 149.79

Last Insp Date: 11/22/2022 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 69 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 69

Sample Area (Slabs): 10.00

 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE
 H
 10.00 Slabs

 68 POPOUTS
 N
 3.00 Slabs

 71 FAULTING
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 M
 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: POH

Network ID: POH			Page 16
	Branch - Sectio	n ID: TH01PO - 004	
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 8/3/2018 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 14,690.00 Length (ft): 730.00 Width (ft): 30.00 From: . To: .		PCI Family: lowaPCCTH NC No	CW
Slabs: 154 Slab Length (ft): 10.30 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 2,581.42		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/22/2022 PCI: 90 Total Samples: 9 Surveyed: 5		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	21.00 Slab	S
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	21.00 Slab	S
Sample Number: 04  Sample Type: R  Sample PCI: 88  Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slab	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	21.00 Slab	S
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L M	1.00 Slab 21.00 Slab	
Sample Number: 06		255 0100	

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 21.00 Slabs Μ

# APPENDIX D WORK HISTORY REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/25/2023

Network ID: POH Page 1

#### **Network: POCAHONTAS MUNICIPAL AIRPORT**

Branch - Section ID: A01PO - 001

 LCD: 6/1/2004
 Length (ft):
 240.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 150.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 42,301.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2004	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-
07-01-1968	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC

#### Branch - Section ID: R12PO - 001

 LCD: 6/2/2015
 Length (ft):
 3,000.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 60.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 180,000.00

Surface: APC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2021	ST-SS	Surface Treatment - Slurry Seal	\$14,400.00	0.00	False	SLURRY SEAL
06-01-2021	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	\$0.00	0.00	False	CRACK SEAL (PRE-SLURRY SEAL)
06-02-2015	OL-AC	Overlay - AC	\$0.00	4.00	True	4" P-401 OVERLAY
06-01-2015	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	PRE-OVERLAY
06-18-2009	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-18-2009	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-18-2009	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-18-2009	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
05-02-1968	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC
05-01-1968	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" Compacted SG

#### Branch - Section ID: R12PO - 002

 LCD: 6/2/2015
 Length (ft):
 900.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 60.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 62,719.00

Surface: APC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2021	ST-SS	Surface Treatment - Slurry Seal	\$5,017.52	0.00	False	SLURRY SEAL
06-01-2021	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	\$0.00	0.00	False	CRACK SEAL (PRE-SLURRY SEAL)
06-02-2015	OL-AC	Overlay - AC	\$0.00	4.00	True	4" P-401 OVERLAY
06-01-2015	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	PRE-OVERLAY
06-18-2009	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-18-2009	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-18-2009	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-18-2009	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
05-02-1974	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC
05-01-1974	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" Compacted SG

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/25/2023

Network ID: POH Page 2

Branch - Section ID: R12PO - 003

 LCD: 6/2/2015
 Length (ft):
 200.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 60.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 12,000.00

Surface: APC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2021	ST-SS	Surface Treatment - Slurry Seal	\$960.00	0.00	False	SLURRY SEAL
06-01-2021	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	\$0.00	0.00	False	CRACK SEAL (PRE-SLURRY SEAL)
06-02-2015	OL-AC	Overlay - AC	\$0.00	4.00	True	4" P-401 OVERLAY
06-01-2015	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	PRE OVERLAY
06-18-2009	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-18-2009	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-02-1994	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC
06-01-1994	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" Compacted SG

Branch - Section ID: R12PO - 004

 LCD: 6/1/1974
 Length (ft):
 75.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 40.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 2,871.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2021	ST-SS	Surface Treatment - Slurry Seal	\$238.40	0.00	False	PARTIAL SLURRY SEAL ON FEW PCC SLABS
06-01-2021	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	\$0.00	0.00	False	PARTIAL CRACK SEAL REPAIR UNDER SLURRY SEAL COAT NEAR RUNWAY
06-01-1974	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T01PO - 001

 LCD: 6/1/1968
 Length (ft):
 194.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 30.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 5,401.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-18-2009	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-18-2009	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-18-2009	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1968	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC

Branch - Section ID: T01PO - 002

 LCD: 5/1/2004
 Length (ft):
 160.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 7,603.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
05-01-2004	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/25/2023

Network ID: POH Page 3

Branch - Section ID: T01PO - 003

 LCD: 6/1/2015
 Length (ft):
 55.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 46.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 2,673.00

Surface: APC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2021	ST-SS	Surface Treatment - Slurry Seal	\$199.52	0.00	False	SLURRY SEAL
06-01-2021	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	\$0.00	0.00	False	CRACK SEAL (PRE-SLURRY SEAL)
06-01-2015	OL-AC	Overlay - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	0-2" VARIABLE DEPTH P-401 OVERLAY
06-18-2009	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-18-2009	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-18-2009	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1968	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T02PO - 001

 LCD: 6/1/2004
 Length (ft):
 50.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 20.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 1,135.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-18-2009	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2004	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-
07-01-1995	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T02PO - 002

 LCD: 5/1/2004
 Length (ft):
 156.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 40.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 5,547.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
05-01-2004	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T02PO - 003

 LCD: 6/1/2015
 Length (ft):
 50.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 40.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 2,547.00

Surface: APC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2021	ST-SS	Surface Treatment - Slurry Seal	\$203.76	0.00	False	SLURRY SEAL
06-01-2021	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	\$0.00	0.00	False	CRACK SEAL (PRE-SLURRY SEAL)
06-01-2015	OL-AC	Overlay - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	0-2" VARIABLE DEPTH P-401 OVERLAY
06-18-2009	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2004	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-
07-01-1995	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/25/2023

Network ID: POH Page 4

Branch - Section ID: TH01PO - 001

 LCD: 6/1/2014
 Length (ft):
 600.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 20.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 12,194.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2014	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST

#### Branch - Section ID: TH01PO - 002

 LCD: 1/1/2011
 Length (ft):
 390.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 20.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 8,058.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-2011	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	

#### Branch - Section ID: TH01PO - 003

 LCD: 1/1/1995
 Length (ft):
 70.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 20.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 1,398.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-1995	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	UNKNOWN, PRE 1995

#### Branch - Section ID: TH01PO - 004

 LCD: 8/3/2018
 Length (ft):
 730.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 30.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 14,690.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
08-03-2018	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501
08-02-2018	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" P-154 AGG SUBBASE
08-01-2018	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P-152 SUBGRADE
01-01-1980	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST

#### **APPENDIX E**

## LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low	Monitor
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium	Monitor
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
ASR	Low	Monitor
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement
ASR	High	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking  Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Faulting	Low	Monitor
Faulting	Medium	Grinding
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Popouts	N/A	Monitor
Pumping	N/A	Monitor
Scaling	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch

Table E-3. 2023 unit costs for localized preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost		
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$15.24/sf		
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.61/lf		
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$39.04/sf		
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$17.43/sf		
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.14/lf		
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.14/lf		
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.37/sf		
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$17.43/sf		

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

Table E-4. 2023 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0-40	PCI Range 40–50	PCI Range 50–60	PCI Range 60–70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80–90	PCI Range 90–100
AC	\$10.82	\$5.12	\$5.12	\$5.12	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$18.08	\$8.55	\$8.55	\$8.55	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

# APPENDIX F YEAR 2023 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Table F-1. Year 2023 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2023 Estimated Cost
T01PO	02	Corner Break	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$778
T01PO	02	Corner Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$145
T01PO	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	76	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$4,078
T01PO	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	10	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$316
T02PO	02	Corner Spalling	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$105
T02PO	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	49	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$2,736
T02PO	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$33
TH01PO	01	Corner Break	Low	4	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$90
TH01PO	01	Corner Break	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$1,316
TH01PO	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$123
TH01PO	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	83	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$4,493
TH01PO	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	4	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$884
TH01PO	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	5	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$184
TH01PO	02	Corner Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$105
TH01PO	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	34	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$1,808
TH01PO	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$252
TH01PO	02	Joint Spalling	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$315
TH01PO	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	6	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$234
TH01PO	02	Shattered Slab	Medium	1	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$17.43	\$2,603
TH01PO	03	Joint Seal Damage	High	10	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$470
TH01PO	03	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$252

Year 2023 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details

Table F-1. Year 2023 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2023 Estimated Cost
TH01PO	04	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	123	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$6,485
TH01PO	04	Joint Seal Damage	High	31	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$1,621

#### Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Pocahontas Municipal Airport.



#### PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

**JULY 2023**