### Shenandoah Municipal Airport

**PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT** 



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

**JULY 2021** 







### SHENANDOAH MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

#### **Prepared For:**



Iowa Department of Transportation Aviation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

#### **Prepared By:**



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

#### In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION	1
PAVEMENT INVENTORY	3
PAVEMENT EVALUATION	6
Pavement Evaluation Procedure	6
Pavement Evaluation Results	7
Inspection Comments	13
Runways	13
Taxiways	13
Apron	13
T-Hangar	14
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM	15
Analysis Parameters	15
Critical PCIs	15
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs	15
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs	15
Budget and Inflation Rate	15
Analysis Approach	15
Analysis Results	16
General Maintenance Recommendations	17
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)	17
SUMMARY	24
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.	
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Shenandoah Municipal Airport	
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	6
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.	
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Shenandoah Municipal Airport	
Figure 8. Shenandoah Municipal Airport PCI map.	9

#### **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1. 2020 pavement evaluation results.	
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario	16
Table 3. Pavement inspection report.	20
APPENDIXES	
Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	
Appendix C. Inspection Report	C-1
Appendix D. Work History Report	D-1
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	E-1
Appendix F. Year 2021 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	

Introduction July 2021

#### INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company, updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Aviation Bureau (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Shenandoah Municipal Airport were assessed in November 2020 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

The pavement evaluation results for Shenandoah Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Shenandoah Municipal Airport, the Iowa DOT and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at

Introduction July 2021

the airport. In addition to this report, the interactive pavement management data visualization tool IDEA, containing the pavement management information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website (<a href="https://iowadot.gov/aviation">https://iowadot.gov/aviation</a>).

Pavement Inventory July 2021

#### PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Shenandoah Municipal Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps as necessary to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2017.


The pavement network at Shenandoah Municipal Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.


Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.


To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 873,700 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Shenandoah Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age, in years of the pavements, at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Shenandoah Municipal Airport.

Pavement Inventory July 2021







#### **PAVEMENT EVALUATION**

#### **Pavement Evaluation Procedure**

APTech inspected the pavements at Shenandoah Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, *Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements* (<a href="https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory\_Circular/150-5380-6C.pdf">https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory\_Circular/150-5380-6C.pdf</a>).
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, *Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)* (https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory\_Circular/150-5380-7B.pdf).
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.







Note: Photographs shown are not specific to Shenandoah Municipal Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

 PCI Range
 Repair

 86-100
 Preventive Maintenance

 56-70
 Major Rehabilitation

 26-40
 Reconstruction

 0-10
 O-10

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration which in turn helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as load-related (such as alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on portland cement concrete [PCC] pavements), climate/durability-related (such as weathering [a climate-related distress type on asphalt-surfaced pavements] and durability cracking [a durability-related distress type on PCC pavements]), and other (distress types that cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability).

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

#### **Pavement Evaluation Results**

The pavements at Shenandoah Municipal Airport were inspected in November 2020. The 2020 area-weighted condition of Shenandoah Municipal Airport is 81, with conditions ranging from 41 to 97 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2017, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 78.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Shenandoah Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distresses observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.

Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Shenandoah Municipal Airport.





Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Shenandoah Municipal Airport. (Values on chart are area-weighted)






Table 1. 2020 pavement evaluation results.

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2020 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distresses
A02SH	01	PCC	19,086	6/3/1984	93	67	0	33	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking
A02SH	02	PCC	18,213	4/3/1999	77	28	0	72	ASR, Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
A02SH	03	PCC	23,683	6/3/2004	93	73	0	27	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
R04SH	01	PCC	317,137	6/3/1984	89	12	0	88	ASR, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
R04SH	02	PCC	68,338	9/3/1992	78	29	0	71	ASR, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking
R12SH	01	PCC	222,000	6/2/2002	64	48	21	31	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Scaling, Shrinkage Cracking
R12SH	02	PCC	10,839	6/3/1984	78	82	0	18	ASR, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Scaling
R12SH	03	PCC	14,212	6/3/1984	85	74	0	26	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Scaling
R12SH	04	PCC	10,543	9/3/2014	85	72	0	28	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking
T01SH	01	PCC	13,547	6/1/2002	86	0	87	13	Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling
T02SH	01	PCC	22,223	6/3/1984	93	0	0	100	ASR, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Small Patch

Table 1. 2020 pavement evaluation results (continued).

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2020 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distresses
T03SH	01	PCC	31,493	10/3/2010	92	0	80	20	Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, Shrinkage Cracking
T03SH	02	PCC	47,709	6/3/2014	97	0	63	37	Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling
T03SH	03	PCC	12,097	6/1/1996	78	32	0	68	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Scaling, Small Patch
T04SH	01	PCC	15,522	6/1/1996	72	36	0	64	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
TH01SH	01	AAC	11,322	1/1/2010	66	23	76	1	Alligator Cracking, Depression, L&T Cracking, Weathering
TH01SH	02	PCC	7,159	1/1/2012	83	28	62	10	Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking
TH01SH	03	AC	4,700	1/1/2000	53	37	63	0	Alligator Cracking, L&T Cracking, Weathering
TH01SH	04	AC	3,866	9/1/2007	41	42	58	0	Alligator Cracking, L&T Cracking, Raveling, Weathering

#### Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.

Pavement Evaluation

#### Table 1. 2020 pavement evaluation results (continued).

- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

#### **Inspection Comments**

Shenandoah Municipal Airport was inspected on November 13, 2020. There were nineteen pavement sections defined during the inspection. Suspected alkali-silica reaction (ASR) was recorded in accordance with ASTM D5340-20 at this airport where evidence of a precipitate was observed within some of the cracking on the PCC surface. It should be noted that laboratory testing in the form of petrographic analysis is the only definitive way to validate the presence of ASR; however, the formation of a precipitate is evidence of a reaction consistent with this type of materials-related distress.

#### Runways

Runway 04/22 consisted of two sections. Low-severity ASR, corner spalling, joint spalling, large patching, and longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking; low- and medium-severity faulting; low- and high-severity small patching; and shrinkage cracking were identified in Section 01. In Section 02, areas of low-severity corner spalling, faulting, joint spalling, LTD cracking, and shattered slab; low- and medium-severity ASR; and shrinkage cracking were recorded during the inspection.

Runway 12/30 contained four sections. In Section 01, low-severity ASR, corner break, corner spalling, faulting, and scaling; low- and medium-severity LTD cracking; high-severity joint seal damage; and shrinkage cracking were recorded. Section 02 had low-severity ASR, corner spalling, and scaling identified along with low- and medium-severity joint spalling and LTD cracking. Medium-severity joint spalling and low-severity corner break, corner spalling, faulting, LTD cracking, and scaling were recorded in Section 03. Low-severity corner break, corner spalling, and LTD cracking and low- and medium-severity joint spalling were observed in Section 04.

#### Taxiways

Taxiway 01 was defined by one section. High-severity joint seal damage was recorded throughout Section 01, along with smaller amounts of low-severity corner spalling and medium-severity joint spalling.

Taxiway 02 consisted of one section. Low- and medium-severity ASR and low-severity faulting, joint spalling, and small patching were identified in Section 01.

Taxiway 03 contained three sections. Low- and medium-severity joint spalling, medium-severity joint seal damage, and shrinkage cracking were recorded in Section 01. Section 02 was in excellent condition with medium-severity joint spalling and low-severity joint seal damage identified at the time of inspection. Low-severity ASR, corner spalling, faulting, joint spalling, LTD cracking, and scaling; low- and medium-severity corner break; and high-severity small patching were recorded in Section 03.

Taxiway 04 was defined by one section. Low-severity ASR, corner break, corner spalling, and faulting; low- and medium-severity LTD cracking; medium-severity large patching; and low- and high-severity small patching were identified in Section 01.

#### **Apron**

The apron area consisted of three sections. Low-severity corner break and LTD cracking; lowand medium-severity joint spalling; high-severity corner spalling; and shrinkage cracking were

recorded in Section 01. In Section 02, low-severity corner break, faulting, large patching, LTD cracking, and small patching; low- and medium-severity ASR; and high-severity joint spalling were identified. Low-severity corner break, corner spalling, joint spalling, LTD cracking, and small patching were recorded in Section 03 during the inspection.

#### T-Hangar

The T-Hangar was defined by four sections. Areas of low-severity alligator cracking and depression, all severities of longitudinal and transverse (L&T) cracking, and medium-severity weathering were observed in Section 01. The low-severity L&T cracking was unsealed, the medium-severity L&T cracking was identified where unsealed crack widths were greater than ½ in, and the high-severity L&T cracking was recorded where crack widths exceeded 3 in. In Section 02, low-severity joint spalling, low- and medium-severity LTD cracking, shrinkage cracking, and high-severity joint seal damage were identified. Areas of low- and medium-severity L&T cracking and medium-severity alligator cracking and weathering were recorded in Section 03. The low-severity L&T cracking was unsealed while the medium-severity L&T cracking was recorded where unsealed crack widths exceeded ¼ in or the crack sealant no longer prevented water from penetrating the pavement surface. In Section 04, low-severity alligator cracking, low- and medium-severity L&T cracking and weathering, and high-severity raveling were observed. The low-severity L&T cracking was unsealed and the medium-severity L&T cracking was noted where the crack sealant was no longer satisfactorily preventing water from penetrating the pavement surface or secondary cracking had developed.

#### PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Shenandoah Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

#### **Analysis Parameters**

#### Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

#### Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct for the different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Shenandoah Municipal Airport.

#### Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to more accurately estimate the cost of such work.

#### Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2021 and an inflation rate of 1.4 percent was used during the analysis.

#### **Analysis Approach**

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2021) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2022 or 2023, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2021. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Shenandoah Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2021

localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

#### **Analysis Results**

A summary of the M&R program for Shenandoah Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2021 is provided in Appendix F.

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

			Surface		Estimated
Year	Branch	Section	Type	Type of Repair	Cost
2021	A02SH	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$531
2021	A02SH	02	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$3,623
2021	A02SH	03	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$91
2021	R04SH	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$946
2021	R04SH	02	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$12,988
2021	R12SH	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$1,753,800
2021	R12SH	02	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$525
2021	R12SH	03	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$598
2021	R12SH	04	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$490
2021	T01SH	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$5,260
2021	T02SH	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$6,065
2021	T03SH	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$14,518
2021	T03SH	02	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$1,869
2021	T03SH	03	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$864
2021	T04SH	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$1,358
2021	TH01SH	01	AAC	Localized Maintenance	\$77
2024	TH01SH	01	AAC	Major Rehabilitation	\$55,952
2021	TH01SH	02	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$3,249
2021	TH01SH	03	AC	Major Rehabilitation	\$22,278
2021	TH01SH	04	AC	Major Rehabilitation	\$38,699

**Total Estimated Cost: \$1,924,000** 

#### Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Shenandoah Municipal Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Shenandoah Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to

identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Shenandoah Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Shenandoah Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

#### **General Maintenance Recommendations**

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- 1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this report.
- 2. Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur as a result of inspections. These need to be reported to the FAA and the Iowa DOT. This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a "bathtub" effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

#### FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Shenandoah Municipal Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Shenandoah Municipal Airport will also need to undertake monthly drive-by inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. Following is a copy of this Appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized words are direct quotations from the FAA Advisory Circular.

#### FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

**A-1.0.** An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

#### A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at *Shenandoah Municipal Airport*. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the Iowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map (Figure 3) is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at *Shenandoah Municipal Airport* is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for *Shenandoah Municipal Airport* is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys, the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

- **A-1.3. Record Keeping.** The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:
  - a. Inspection date
  - b. Location
  - c. Distress types
  - d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items a through c are satisfied by this inspection report. Item d is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

**A-1.4. Information Retrieval.** An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Table 3. Pavement inspection report.

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A02SH	01					
A02SH	02					
A02SH	03					
R04SH	01					
R04SH	02					
R12SH	01					

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued).

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
R12SH	02					
R12SH	03					
R12SH	04					
T01SH	01					
T02SH	01					
T03SH	01					

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued).

Inspected By: _	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
T03SH	02					
T03SH	03					
T04SH	01					
TH01SH	01					
TH01SH	02					
TH01SH	03					

Table 3. Pavement	inspection report	(continued)
-------------------	-------------------	-------------

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
TH01SH	04					

Table Notes:

1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

Summary July 2021

#### **SUMMARY**

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Shenandoah Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2020 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 81. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Shenandoah Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$1,924,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Shenandoah Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

# APPENDIX A CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Cause of Distress Tables July 2021

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress	
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.	
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.	
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.	
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.	
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.	
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.	
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.	
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.	
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.	
Patching	N/A	
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.	
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.	
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.	
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.	
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.	
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.	
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.	

Cause of Distress Tables July 2021

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

# APPENDIX B INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A02SH-01. Overview.



A02SH-01. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 03).



A02SH-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).



A02SH-02. Overview.



A02SH-02. ASR (Sample Unit No. 04).



A02SH-02. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 01).



A02SH-03. Overview.



A02SH-03. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 07).



R04SH-01. Overview.



R04SH-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 07).



R04SH-01. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 07).



R04SH-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 51).



R04SH-01. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 51).



R04SH-01. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 70).



R04SH-02. Overview.



R04SH-02. ASR (Sample Unit No. 08).



R04SH-02. ASR (Sample Unit No. 17).



R04SH-02. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 05).



R12SH-01. Overview.



R12SH-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 15).



R12SH-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 55).



R12SH-01. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 55).



R12SH-01. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 05).



R12SH-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 05).



R12SH-02. Overview.



R12SH-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



R12SH-03. Overview.



R12SH-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).



R12SH-04. Overview.



R12SH-04. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).



R12SH-04. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).



R12SH-04. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).




T01SH-01. Overview.



T01SH-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 04).



T01SH-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 05).




T02SH-01. Overview.



T02SH-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 03).



T03SH-01. Overview.




T03SH-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 14).



T03SH-02. Overview.



T03SH-02. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 26).



T03SH-03. Overview.



T03SH-03. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 02).



T03SH-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 06).



T04SH-01. Overview.



T04SH-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 03).




T04SH-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 07).



T04SH-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 08).



TH01SH-01. Overview.



TH01SH-01. Depression (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01SH-01. Weathering (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01SH-02. Overview.



TH01SH-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01SH-03. Overview.



TH01SH-03. L&T Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01SH-03. Weathering (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01SH-04. Overview.



TH01SH-04. Alligator Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01SH-04. Weathering (Sample Unit No. 01).



# APPENDIX C INSPECTION REPORT

IA 2020

Network: SDA	Name	: SHE	NANDO.	AH MUNICI	PAL AIRI	PORT					
Branch: A02SH	Name	: APR	ON 02				Use: AI	PRON	Area:	60,982.00SqFt	
Section: 01 Surface: PCC	of Fan	3 nily: I	From:	APRON SEC	CT 02		To: 1	ΓAXIWAY	02 Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/03/1984 Rank: P
Area: 19,086.005	SqFt	Length	ı:	189.00Ft		Width:	100.00	Ft			
Slabs: 153	Slab Wid	lth:	12.:	50Ft	Slab	Length:	10.001	₹t	Joint Length	3,113.00Ft	
Shoulder: St	reet Type:		Grade:	0.00	Lanes	_			2		
Section Comments:											
Last Insp. Date: 11/ Conditions: PCI : 9. Inspection Comments:		l Sampl	les: 8	Surv	reyed:	5					
Sample Number: Sample Comments: <no distressi<="" td=""><td></td><td>Туре:</td><td>R</td><td></td><td>Area:</td><td></td><td>20.00Slabs</td><td></td><td>PCI = 100</td><td></td><td></td></no>		Туре:	R		Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 100		
Sample Number: Sample Comments:	03	Туре:	R		Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 82		
62 CORNER BRI	EAK					L	1.00	Slabs	Comments	:	
63 LINEAR CRA						L	4.00	Slabs	Comments	:	
Sample Number: Sample Comments:	06	Туре:	R		Area:		22.00Slabs		PCI = 89		
73 SHRINKAGE	CRACKING					N	2.00	Slabs	Comments	:	
74 JOINT SPA	LLING					M	1.00	Slabs	Comments	:	
75 CORNER SPA	ALLING					Н	1.00	Slabs	Comments	:	
74 JOINT SPA	LLING					L	1.00	Slabs	Comments	:	
Sample Number: Sample Comments:	07	Туре:	R		Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 95		
63 LINEAR CRA	ACKING					L	1.00	Slabs	Comments	:	
Sample Number: Sample Comments: <no distressi<="" td=""><td></td><td>Туре:</td><td>R</td><td></td><td>Area:</td><td></td><td>20.00Slabs</td><td></td><td>PCI = 100</td><td></td><td></td></no>		Туре:	R		Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 100		

#### IA 2020

Network: SDA Nan	me: SHENANDOAH MUI	NICIPAL AIRPORT				
Branch: A02SH Nar	me: APRON 02		Use: APRON	Area: 6	0,982.00SqFt	
Section: 02 of Surface: PCC F	3 From: A02SH- amily: IowaPCCAPSC	01	To: A02SH-03	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	04/03/1999 Rank: P
Area: 18,213.00SqFt Slabs: 152 Slab W Shoulder: Street Type: Section Comments:	Length: 113.00	Ft Width Slab Length: Lanes: 0		Joint Length:	3,127.30Ft	
Last Insp. Date: 11/13/2020 To Conditions: PCI: 77 Inspection Comments:	tal Samples: 9	Surveyed: 5				
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 91		
66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING		L L	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs			
Sample Number: 04 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 79		
76 ASR 67 LARGE PATCH/UTILI 63 LINEAR CRACKING	TY	L L L	5.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 05 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	16.00Slabs	PCI = 66		
76 ASR 76 ASR 62 CORNER BREAK		L M L	10.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 07 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	18.00Slabs	PCI = 89		
66 SMALL PATCH 63 LINEAR CRACKING		L L	2.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs			
Sample Number: 09 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	18.00Slabs	PCI = 59		
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILI 66 SMALL PATCH	TY	L L	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs			
63 LINEAR CRACKING 62 CORNER BREAK		L L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR 74 JOINT SPALLING		L H	7.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments:		

IA 2020

Network: SDA	Name: SHENANDOAH MUN	NICIPAL AIRPORT				
Branch: A02SH	Name: APRON 02		Use: APRON	Area:	60,982.00SqFt	
Section: 03 Surface: PCC	of 3 From: A02SH-0	02	To: END OF A	PRON Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/03/2004 Rank: P
Area: 23,683.00SqFt	Length: 165.001	Ft Width:	145.00Ft	Zon <b>e</b> .	cuit gery.	1
, 1	ab Width: 12.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	5,866.08Ft	
Shoulder: Street Typ		Lanes: 0		8	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/13/202 Conditions: PCI: 93 Inspection Comments:	0 Total Samples: 11	Surveyed: 6				
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: <no distresses=""></no>	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 100		
Sample Number: 03	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 96		
Sample Comments: 75 CORNER SPALLIN	IG	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 06 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	25.00Slabs	PCI = 99		
74 JOINT SPALLING	;	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 07 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 91		
74 JOINT SPALLING	}	L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKIN	IG	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 08 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	25.00Slabs	PCI = 96		
63 LINEAR CRACKIN	IG .	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 10 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 78		
66 SMALL PATCH		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKIN	IG .	L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		

#### IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08	, 2021								
Network: SDA Nam	e: SH	ENANDOAH MUNICIP	AL AIRP	ORT					
Branch: R04SH Nam	e: RU	NWAY 04/22			Use: RU	JNWAY	Area: 385,	475.00SqFt	
Section: 01 of Surface: PCC Fa	2 mily:	From: RW 22 APPR IowaPCCRWSC_Basic	ОАСН		To: s	SECTION 0	2 Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/03/1984 Rank: P
Area: 317,137.00SqFt	Lengt	h: 4,110.00Ft		Width:	75.00	Ft			
Slabs: 2,046 Slab Wi	dth:	12.50Ft	Slab	Length:	12.50F	<sup>2</sup> t	Joint Length:	45,135.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type:		Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0					
Section Comments:									
Last Insp. Date: 11/13/2020 Tota Conditions: PCI: 89 Inspection Comments:	ıl Samp	oles: 87 Surve	eyed: 9	)					
Sample Number: 07 Sample Comments:	Type:	R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 66		
76 ASR				L		Slabs	Comments:		
71 FAULTING				M		Slabs	Comments:		
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILI	ľΥ			L		Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING				L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 16 Sample Comments:	Type:	R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 96		
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILI	ľΥ			L		Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING				L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 25 Sample Comments:	Type:	R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 91		
76 ASR				L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 34 Sample Comments: <no distresses=""></no>	Type:	R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 100		
Sample Number: 43 Sample Comments: <no distresses=""></no>	Type:	R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 100		
Sample Number: 51 Sample Comments:	Type:	R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 79		
76 ASR				L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING				L		Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	3			N		Slabs	Comments:7	3	
66 SMALL PATCH				L		Slabs	Comments:		
71 FAULTING				L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 61 Sample Comments:	Type:	R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 91		
63 LINEAR CRACKING				L		Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING				L L		Slabs Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number: 70	Type:	R	Area:	_	24.00Slabs		PCI = 87		
Sample Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING				т.	1 00	Slabs	Commonta		
74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING				L L		Slabs	Comments: Comments:		

IA 2020

66 SMALL PATCH		Н	2.00 Slabs	Comments:LTNG STRIKE
Sample Number: 79	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 89
Sample Comments: 76 ASR		L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:

#### IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April ( Network: SDA Na:	08, 2021 me: SHENANDOAH M	INICIPAL AIRPORT				
		ONION ALL AIM ON				
Branch: R04SH Na	me: RUNWAY 04/22		Use: RUNWAY	Area: 385,47	5.00SqFt	
Section: 02 of Surface: PCC I	2 From: RW 04 Family: IowaPCCRWSC		To: SECTION 0			/03/1992 ank: P
Area: 68,338.00SqFt	Length: 890.0	*****	n: 75.00Ft			
Slabs: 427 Slab W		Slab Length	: 12.50Ft	Joint Length:	9,715.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0		Č	ŕ	
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/13/2020 To Conditions: PCI: 78 Inspection Comments:	otal Samples: 18	Surveyed: 7				
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 80		
76 ASR		L	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR		М	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 80		
76 ASR		L	9.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 05 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 79		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR		L	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKII	NG	N	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 08 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 83		
76 ASR		L	7.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	NIC .	L	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKII	NG	N	2.00 STADS	Comments:73		
Sample Number: 11 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 65		
76 ASR		L	8.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments:74		
72 SHATTERED SLAB 71 FAULTING		L L	2.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
	<b>m</b> -					
Sample Number: 14 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 80		
76 ASR		L	10.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 17 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 83		
76 ASR		M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		

#### IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08	, 2021					
Network: SDA Name	e: SHENANDOAH MUI	NICIPAL AIRPORT				
Branch: R12SH Name	e: RUNWAY 12/30		Use: RUNWAY	Area: 257	7,594.00SqFt	
Section: 01 of Surface: PCC Fa	4 From: RUNWA		To: RUNWAY	SECT 02 Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/02/2002 Rank: S
Area: 222,000.00SqFt	Length: 2,962.00	Ft Width	75.00Ft			
Slabs: 1,420 Slab Wi	dth: 12.50Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	32,507.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/13/2020 Total Conditions: PCI: 64 Inspection Comments:	al Samples: 60	Surveyed: 8				
Sample Number: 05 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 53		
71 FAULTING		L	8.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	4.00 Slabs			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		Н	24.00 Slabs			
63 LINEAR CRACKING		М	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 10 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 61		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	5.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		H	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 15 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 59		
76 ASR		L	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M H	4.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
- DAMAGE		п	24.00 STADS	Comments.		
Sample Number: 25 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 77		
76 ASR		L	1.00 Slabs			
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	4.00 Slabs			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		Н	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 35 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 62		
76 ASR		L	8.00 Slabs			
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	10.00 Slabs			
71 FAULTING		L	2.00 Slabs			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		H	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 45 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 66		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	8.00 Slabs			
76 ASR	~	L	9.00 Slabs			
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	<del>j</del>	N	1.00 Slabs			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		Н	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 50 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 76		

#### IA 2020

63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	7.00 Slabs	Comments:
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	24.00 Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 55 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 62
1			
71 FAULTING	${f L}$	4.00	Slabs Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00	Slabs Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00	Slabs Comments:
76 ASR	L	4.00	Slabs Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	24.00	Slabs Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs Comments:
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00	Slabs Comments:

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

Network: SDA	Name: SHENANDOAH N	MUNICIPAL AIRPORT				
Branch: R12SH N	Name: RUNWAY 12/30		Use: RUNWAY	Area: 25	7,594.00SqFt	
Section: 02 of Surface: PCC	f 4 From: RUN Family: IowaPCCRWS	WAY SECT 01 C_Basic	To: RUNWAY 04	1/22 Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/03/1984 Rank: S
Area: 10,839.00SqFt Slabs: 76 Shoulder: Street Type Section Comments:	Length: 115 Width: 12.50Ft	5.00Ft Width: Slab Length:	75.00Ft 12.50Ft	Joint Length:	1,190.00Ft	
Last Insp. Date: 11/13/2020 Conditions: PCI: 78 Inspection Comments:	Total Samples: 4	Surveyed: 3				
1	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 60		
Sample Comments:	•					
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING		М	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING			4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING		M L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING		M L L	4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING		M L L L	4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments:		
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 76 ASR  Sample Number: 02		M L L L M	4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 76 ASR  Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments:	Type: R	M L L M L	4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 76 ASR  Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments: 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 03	Type: R	M L L M L	4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 20.00Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: PCI = 98		
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 76 ASR  Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments: 75 CORNER SPALLING	Type: R	M L L M L Area:	4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 20.00Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: PCI = 98 Comments:		

#### IA 2020

Network: SDA Na	ame: SHENANDOAH MU	INICIPAL AIRPORT				
Branch: R12SH Na	ame: RUNWAY 12/30		Use: RUNWAY	Area: 257,	594.00SqFt	
Section: 03 of	4 From: RUNW	AY 04/22	To: RUNWAY	SECT 04	Last Const.:	06/03/1984
Surface: PCC	Family: IowaPCCRWSC_			Zone:	Category:	Rank: S
Area: 14,212.00SqFt	Length: 151.0	0Ft Width:	75.00Ft			
	Width: 12.50Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	1,586.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/13/2020 T Conditions: PCI: 85 Inspection Comments:	Total Samples: 5	Surveyed: 4				
Sample Number: 01	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 97		
Sample Comments: 75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	18.00Slabs	PCI = 69		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
71 FAULTING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:A	r 63	
Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	18.00Slabs	PCI = 81		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		М	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 05 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	17.00Slabs	PCI = 90		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		

IA 2020

Network: SDA	Name: S	HENANDO	AH MUNICIP	AL AIRPOR	Т					
Branch: R12SH	Name: R	UNWAY 12	2/30			Use: RU	NWAY	Area:	257,594.00SqFt	
Section: 04	of 4	From:	RUNWAY 30	END		То: в	RUNWAY S	SECT 03	Last Const.:	09/03/2014
Surface: PCC	Family:	IowaPCC	RWSC_Basic					Zone:	Category:	Rank: S
Area: 10,543.00SqFt	Len	gth:	140.00Ft	V	Vidth:	75.00	Ft			
Slabs: 60 Sl	ab Width:	12.	50Ft	Slab Le	ngth:	14.00F	`t	Joint Length	h: 1,375.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Ty	pe:	Grade:	0.00	Lanes: 0	-					
Section Comments:										
I										
Inspection Comments:  Sample Number: 01	Туре	e: R		Area:	18.	00Slabs		PCI = 96		
		e: R		Area:			Slabs	PCI = 96 Comments	5:	
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 02							Slabs		s:	
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments:	Туре			М	18.	1.00 00Slabs	Slabs	Comments		
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 02	Туре			M Area:	18.	1.00 00Slabs 4.00		Comments PCI = 75	»:	
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKIN	G Type			M Area:	18.	1.00 00Slabs 4.00 1.00	Slabs	Comments  PCI = 75  Comments	3: 3:	
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 62 CORNER BREAK 75 CORNER SPALLING	G Type NG			Area:	18.	1.00 00Slabs 4.00 1.00 2.00	Slabs Slabs	Comments  Comments Comments	3: 3: 3:	
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKIN 62 CORNER BREAK 75 CORNER SPALLIN 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 03	G Type NG	e: R		Area:	18.	1.00 00Slabs 4.00 1.00 2.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments Comments Comments Comments	3: 3: 3:	
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKIN 62 CORNER BREAK 75 CORNER SPALLIN 74 JOINT SPALLING	Type NG G Type	e: R		Area:  L L L	18.	1.00 00Slabs 4.00 1.00 2.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments  Comments Comments Comments Comments	3: 3: 3:	

IA 2020

Network: SDA Nam	ne: SHENANDOAH MU	UNICIPAL AIRPORT				
Branch: T01SH Nam	ne: TAXIWAY 01		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	13,547.00SqFt	
Section: 01 of Surface: PCC Fa	1 From: RUNV		To: SEE MAP	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/01/2002 Rank: P
Area: 13,547.00SqFt	Length: 288.0		h: 35.00Ft			
Slabs: 124 Slab Wi Shoulder: Street Type:	-	Slab Length Lanes: 0	12.00Ft	Joint Length:	1,702.88Ft	
Section Comments: Abandoned section  Last Insp. Date: 11/13/2020 Tot  Conditions: PCI: 86		Surveyed: 4				
Inspection Comments:						
Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 86		
75 CORNER SPALLING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L H	1.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 86		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		Н	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 04 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	21.00Slabs	PCI = 88		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		Н	21.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 05 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	21.00Slabs	PCI = 84		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		Н	21.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		

IA 2020

Network: SDA	Name: SHENANDOAH M	IUNICIPAL AIRPORT				
Branch: T02SH	Name: TAXIWAY 02		Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 2	2,223.00SqFt	
Section: 01 Surface: PCC	of 1 From: APRO Family: IowaPCCTWSO		To: RUNWAY	04/22 Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/03/1984 Rank: P
Area: 22,223.00SqFt Slabs: 258 Shoulder: Street T Section Comments:	Slab Width: 8.75Ft	00Ft Wid Slab Leng Lanes: 0		Joint Length:	3,429.50Ft	
Last Insp. Date: 11/13/20 Conditions: PCI: 93 Inspection Comments:	)20 Total Samples: 15	Surveyed: 6				
Sample Number: 03	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 70		
Sample Comments: 76 ASR 76 ASR 74 JOINT SPALLIN	JG	M L L	2.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number: 04 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 93		
74 JOINT SPALLIN 76 ASR	IG	L L	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number: 07 Sample Comments: <no distresses=""></no>	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 100		
Sample Number: 08 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 95		
71 FAULTING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 11 Sample Comments: <no distresses=""></no>	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 100		
Sample Number: 12	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 99		
Sample Comments: 66 SMALL PATCH		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		

IA 2020

Network: SDA Nam	e: SHENANI	OOAH MUNICIF	PAL AIRP	ORT					
Branch: T03SH Nam	e: TAXIWA	Y 03			Use: TA	AXIWAY	Area: 9	1,299.00SqFt	
Section: 01 of Surface: PCC Fa		n: SEE MAP			To: s	SEE MAP	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	10/03/2010 Rank: P
Area: 31,493.00SqFt	Length:	750.00Ft		Width	35.00	Ft			
Slabs: 345 Slab W		8.75Ft		Length:	11.00I	₹t	Joint Length:	4,601.36Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade	e: 0.00	Lanes:	0					
Section Comments: Average Slab Ler	gth Shown								
Last Insp. Date: 11/13/2020 Tot Conditions: PCI: 92 Inspection Comments:	al Samples:	17 Surv	eyed:	7					
Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments:	Type: R		Area:		18.00Slabs		PCI = 92		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKIN	G			N	1.00	Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				М	18.00	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 04 Sample Comments:	Type: R		Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 93		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				M	20.00	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 07 Sample Comments:	Type: R		Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 91		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				M		Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING				L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 09 Sample Comments:	Type: R		Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 93		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				M	20.00	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 11 Sample Comments:	Type: R		Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 88		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				M	20.00	Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING				М	2.00	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 14 Sample Comments:	Type: R		Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 93		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				М	20.00	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 16 Sample Comments:	Type: R		Area:		19.00Slabs		PCI = 93		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				M	19.00	Slabs	Comments:		

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

74 JOINT SPALLING

Network: SDA Nan	ne: SHENANDOAH MU	UNICIPAL AIRPORT				
Branch: T03SH Nan	ne: TAXIWAY 03		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	91,299.00SqFt	
Section: 02 of Surface: PCC F	3 From: SEE M amily: IowaPCCTWSC_		To: SEE MAP	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/03/2014 Rank: P
Area: 47,709.00SqFt Slabs: 545 Slab W Shoulder: Street Type:	Length: 1,275.0 idth: 8.75Ft Grade: 0.00	0Ft Width Slab Length Lanes: 0	22.00-	Joint Length:	8,252.50Ft	
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/13/2020 Tot Conditions: PCI: 97 Inspection Comments:	tal Samples: 27	Surveyed: 7				
Sample Number: 02	Type: R	Area:	18.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	18.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 06	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 10	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 14	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 18	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 22	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 98		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 26	Type: R	Area:	18.00Slabs	PCI = 90		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	18.00 Slabs	Comments:		

2.00 Slabs Comments:

#### IA 2020

Network: SDA Na	me: SHENANDOAH N	MUNICIPAL AIRPORT				
Branch: T03SH Na	me: TAXIWAY 03		Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 9	1,299.00SqFt	
Section: 03 of Surface: PCC	3 From: RW 2	22 APPROACH C_Basic	To: SEE MAP	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/01/1996 Rank: P
Area: 12,097.00SqFt	Length: 230	0.00Ft Width	n: 85.00Ft			
Slabs: 113 Slab V	Vidth: 8.75Ft	Slab Length	: 10.00Ft	Joint Length:	3,874.29Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/13/2020 To Conditions: PCI: 78 Inspection Comments:	otal Samples: 6	Surveyed: 4				
Sample Number: 01	Type: R	Area:	23.00Slabs	PCI = 71		
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
71 FAULTING		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR		L	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	25.00Slabs	PCI = 79		
62 CORNER BREAK		M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR		L	8.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 04	Type: R	Area:	16.00Slabs	PCI = 85		
Sample Comments: 76 ASR		L	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 06 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	16.00Slabs	PCI = 78		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH		Н	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		

#### IA 2020

Network: SDA N	ame: SHENANDOAH	MUNICIPAL AIRPORT				
Branch: T04SH N	ame: TAXIWAY 04		Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 1	5,522.00SqFt	
Section: 01 of Surface: PCC	1 From: RUI Family: IowaPCCTWS	NWAY 04 APPROACH	To: SEE MAP	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/01/1996 Rank: P
Area: 15,522.00SqFt		0.00Ft Width:	85.00Ft			
•	Width: 10.00Ft	Slab Length:		Joint Length:	3,874.29Ft	
Last Insp. Date: 11/13/2020	Total Samples: 8	Surveyed: 5				
Conditions: PCI: 72 Inspection Comments:						
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	28.00Slabs	PCI = 65		
76 ASR		L	10.00 Slabs	Comments:		
71 FAULTING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH		Н	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
67 LARGE PATCH/UTII	LITY	М	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 03 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	23.00Slabs	PCI = 74		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR		L	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 06 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	14.00Slabs	PCI = 79		
76 ASR		L	11.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 07 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	14.00Slabs	PCI = 70		
76 ASR		L	7.00 Slabs	Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 08 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	14.00Slabs	PCI = 76		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		

IA 2020

48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021					
Network: SDA Name: SHENANDOAH MUNICIP	AL AIRPORT				
Branch: TH01SH Name: T-HANGAR 01		Use: T-HANGAR	Area: 27	,047.00SqFt	
Section: 01 of 4 From: TAXIWAY 03	3	To: SEE MAP		Last Const.:	01/01/2010
Surface: AAC Family: IowaASPHALTTHSouth	nern		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 11,322.00SqFt Length: 480.00Ft	Wie	dth: 25.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Conditions: PCI: 66 Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 01 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	6,447.00SqFt	PCI = 59		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	27.00 Ft	Comments:I	ıIJ	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING					
40 LUNGIIUDINAL/IKANSVERSE CRACKING	M	12.00 Ft	Comments:W	-	
40 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	M L	12.00 Ft 36.00 SqFt	Comments:W Comments:E	I	
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING		36.00 SqFt 6,447.00 SqFt		I	
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	L	36.00 SqFt	Comments: E	I EDGE AT PCC	

10.00 Ft

Comments:AT PCC

PCI = 75Sample Number: 02 Type: R Area: 4,875.00SqFt Sample Comments:

48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 46.00 Ft L Comments:LU 57 WEATHERING Μ 4,875.00 SqFt Comments:

Μ

IA 2020

Network: SDA	Name: S	HENANDOAH MUNIC	CIPAL AIRPORT				
Branch: TH01SH	Name: T	-HANGAR 01		Use: T-HANGAR	Area:	27,047.00SqFt	
Section: 02 Surface: PCC	of 4 Family:	From: SEE MAP IowaPCCTHsouthern		To: SEE MAP	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2012 Rank: P
Area: 7,159.00SqFt	Len	igth: 265.00Ft	Widt	h: 25.00Ft			
Slabs: 80 Sla	ab Width:	9.00Ft	Slab Length	10.00Ft	Joint Length:	: 1,108.61Ft	
Shoulder: Street Ty		Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0			-,	
Section Comments:	•						
Inspection Comments:							
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments:	Турс	e: R	Area:	17.00Slabs	PCI = 88		
		e: R	Area:	17.00Slabs 17.00 Slabs	PCI = 88 Comments:	:	
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAN Sample Number: 02						:	
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAN Sample Number: 02	MAGE Type		Н	17.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAN Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments:	MAGE Type		H Area:	17.00 Slabs 24.00Slabs	Comments:	:	
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAM Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAM	MAGE Type MAGE NG		H Area:	17.00 Slabs  24.00Slabs  24.00 Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 77  Comments:	:	
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAN Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAN 63 LINEAR CRACKIN	MAGE Type MAGE NG NG		H Area: H L	17.00 Slabs  24.00Slabs  24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 77  Comments: Comments:	:	
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAM  Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAM 63 LINEAR CRACKIM	Type MAGE MAGE NG NG CKING		H Area:  H L M	17.00 Slabs  24.00Slabs  24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments:  Comments: Comments: Comments:	:	
Sample Number: 01 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAN Sample Number: 02 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAN 63 LINEAR CRACKIN 63 LINEAR CRACKIN 73 SHRINKAGE CRAC 74 JOINT SPALLING	Type MAGE MAGE NG NG CKING	e: R	H Area: H L M N	17.00 Slabs  24.00Slabs  24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 77  Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:	:	
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAM  Sample Number: 02  Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAM 63 LINEAR CRACKIN 63 LINEAR CRACKIN 73 SHRINKAGE CRAC 74 JOINT SPALLING	Type MAGE NG NG CKING G	e: R	H Area:  H L M N L	17.00 Slabs  24.00 Slabs	Comments:  Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:	:	

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

Street Type:

Network: SDA Name: SHENANDOAH MUNICIPAL AIRPORT Branch: TH01SH Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR Area: 27,047.00SqFt Section: 03 of 4 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP Last Const.: 01/01/2000 Family: IowaASPHALTTHSouthern Rank: P Surface: Zone: Category: ACArea: 4,700.00SqFt Length: 180.00Ft Width: 25.00Ft

Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Shoulder:

Last Insp. Date: 11/13/2020 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1

Grade: 0.00

Conditions: PCI: 53 Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01 Type: R	Area:	4,700.00SqFt		PCI = 53
Sample Comments:				
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	1	45.00	SqFt	Comments:
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	]	L 100.00	Ft	Comments: LU
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	1	M 165.00	Ft	Comments:W FS
57 WEATHERING	1	4,700.00	SqFt	Comments:

IA 2020

Report Generated Date: April 08, 2021

Network: SDA Name: SHENANDOAH MUNICIPAL AIRPORT Branch: TH01SH Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR Area: 27,047.00SqFt Section: 04 of 4 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP Last Const.: 09/01/2007 Family: IowaASPHALTTHSouthern Rank: P Surface: Zone: Category: ACArea: 3,866.00SqFt Length: 140.00Ft Width: 25.00Ft Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/13/2020 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1

Conditions: PCI: 41 Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	3,866.00SqFt	PCI = 41
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	L	158.00	SqFt Comments:AT EDGE
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	M	61.00	Ft Comments:AT BREAK FS SEC CRK
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	42.00	Ft Comments:LU
57 WEATHERING	L	1,860.00	SqFt Comments:
57 WEATHERING	M	2,000.00	SqFt Comments:
52 RAVELING	Н	6.00	SqFt Comments:

## APPENDIX D WORK HISTORY REPORT

#### **Work History Report**

1 of 5

Pavement Database: IA 2020

 Network:
 SDA
 Branch:
 A02SH
 (APRON 02 AT SHENANDOAH)
 Section:
 01
 Surface:
 PCC

 L.C.D.:
 06/03/1984
 Use:
 APRON
 Rank P Length:
 189.00
 Ft
 Width:
 100.00
 Ft
 True Area:
 19,086.00
 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
10/07/2019	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0	0.00	False	-
06/03/1984	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True	6" P-501
06/02/1984	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	4.00	False	4" P-154
06/01/1984	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	6.00	False	6" P-152

 Network:
 SDA
 Branch:
 A02SH
 (APRON 02 AT SHENANDOAH)
 Section:
 02
 Surface:
 PCC

 L.C.D.:
 04/03/1999
 Use:
 APRON
 Rank P Length:
 113.00 Ft
 Width:
 161.00 Ft
 True Area:
 18,213.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
10/07/2019	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/06/2019	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/05/2019	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/04/2019	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
04/03/1999	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True	6" P-501
04/02/1999	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	4.00	False	4" P-154
04/01/1999	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	8.00	False	8" P-155

 Network:
 SDA
 Branch:
 A02SH
 (APRON 02 AT SHENANDOAH)
 Section:
 03
 Surface:
 PCC

 L.C.D.:
 06/03/2004
 Use:
 APRON
 Rank P Length:
 165.00 Ft
 Width:
 145.00 Ft
 True Area:
 23,683.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
10/07/2019	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/06/2019	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/05/2019	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0	0.00	False	-
06/03/2004	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True	DOT 2301 PCC
06/02/2004	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0	4.00	False	P-154 Granular Base
06/01/2004	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0	8.00	False	Fly Ash Stabilized Subgrade

 Network:
 SDA
 Branch:
 R04SH
 (RUNWAY 04/22 SHENANDOAH)
 Section:
 01
 Surface:
 PCC

 L.C.D.:
 06/03/1984
 Use:
 RUNWAY
 Rank P Length:
 4,110.00 Ft
 Width:
 75.00 Ft
 True Area:317,137.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
10/07/2019	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/06/2019	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/05/2019	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/04/2019	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/03/2019	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
06/03/1984	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True	6" P-501
06/02/1984	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	4.00	False	4" P-154
06/01/1984	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	6.00	False	6" P-152

 Network:
 SDA
 Branch:
 R04SH
 (RUNWAY 04/22 SHENANDOAH)
 Section:
 02
 Surface:
 PCC

 L.C.D.:
 09/03/1992
 Use:
 RUNWAY
 Rank P Length:
 890.00 Ft
 Width:
 75.00 Ft
 True Area:
 68,338.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
10/07/2019	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/06/2019	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/05/2019	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/04/2019	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
09/03/1992	INITIAL	Initial Construction	-	6.00	True	6" P-501
09/02/1992	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	4.00	False	4" P-154
09/01/1992	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0	8.00	False	8" P-155

#### **Work History Report**

Pavement Database: IA 2020

Network: SDA Branch: R12SH

(RUNWAY 12/30 SHENANDOAH)

Section: 01

Surface: PCC

2 of 5

L.C.D.: 06/02/2002 Use: RUNWAY Rank S Length: 2,962.00 Ft

Width:

75.00 Ft

True Area:222,000.00 SqF

Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description (in) M&R CR-PC 06/02/2002 Complete Reconstruction - PC 5.50 True 5-6" P-501 06/01/2002 Subgrade - Stabilized SG-ST \$0 12.00 False EXISTING AGG PULVERIZED AND PLACED WITH P-155 06/01/1959 NC-AC New Construction - AC True

Network: SDA Branch: R12SH (RUNWAY 12/30 SHENANDOAH)

Section: 02

Surface: PCC

L.C.D.: 06/03/1984 Use: RUNWAY 75.00 Ft True Area: 10,839.00 SqF Rank S Length: 115.00 Ft Width:

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
10/07/2019	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/06/2019	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/04/2019	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
06/03/1984	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True	6" P-501
06/02/1984	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	4.00	False	4" P-154
06/01/1984	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	6.00	False	6" P-152

Branch: R12SH

(RUNWAY 12/30 SHENANDOAH)

Section: 03

Surface: PCC

L.C.D.: 06/03/1984 Use: RUNWAY True Area: 14,212.00 SqF Rank S Length: 151.00 Ft Width: 75.00 Ft

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
10/07/2019	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/06/2019	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/04/2019	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
06/03/1984	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True	6" P-501
06/02/1984	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	4.00	False	4" P-154
06/01/1984	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	6.00	False	6" P-152

Network: SDA

Branch: R12SH

(RUNWAY 12/30 SHENANDOAH)

Section: 04

Surface: PCC

L.C.D.: 09/03/2014 Use: RUNWAY True Area: 10,543.00 SqF Rank S Length: 140.00 Ft 75.00 Ft Width:

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
10/07/2019	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/06/2019	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
10/04/2019	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0	0.00	False	-
09/03/2014	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True	P-505: PCC Pavement
09/02/2014	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0	4.00	False	P-208: Aggregate subbase
09/01/2014	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0	9.00	False	P-158: Fly ash treated subgrade

Network: SDA

Branch: T01SH

(TAXIWAY 01 AT SHENANDOAH)

Section: 01

Surface: PCC

L.C.D.: 06/01/2002 Use: TAXIWAY True Area: 13,547.00 SqF Rank P Length: 288.00 Ft Width: 35.00 Ft

Work Work Work **Thickness** Major Comments Cost Date Code Description M&R (in) New Construction - PCC (Majo 06/01/2002 NC-PC True

Network: SDA Branch: T02SH (TAXIWAY 02 AT SHENANDOAH) Section: 01 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 06/03/1984 Use: TAXIWAY 35.00 Ft Rank P Length: 533.00 Ft Width: True Area: 22,223.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
10/01/2019	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0	0.00	False	-
06/03/1984	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0	6.00	True	6" P-501
06/02/1984	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0	4.00	False	4" P-154
06/01/1984	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0	6.00	False	6" P-152

#### **Work History Report**

Pavement Database: IA 2020

Network: SDA Branch: T03SH L.C.D.: 10/03/2010 Use: TAXIWAY

Rank P Length:

(TAXIWAY 03 AT SHENANDOAH) 750.00 Ft Width:

Section: 01 35.00 Ft

Surface: PCC True Area: 31,493.00 SqF

3 of 5

Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description (in) M&R P-505 PCC NU-IN 10/03/2010 New Construction - Initial \$0 6.00 True BA-AG 10/02/2010 Base Course - Aggregate \$0 4.00 False P-208 AGG SUBBASE 10/01/2010 SG-ST Subgrade - Stabilized \$0 9.00 False P-158 FLY-ASH TREATED

Network: SDA Surface: PCC Branch: T03SH (TAXIWAY 03 AT SHENANDOAH) Section: 02 L.C.D.: 06/03/2014 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 1.275.00 Ft Width: 35.00 Ft True Area: 47,709.00 SqF

Work Work Work Thickness Major Cost Comments M&R Date Code Description (in) 06/03/2014 NC-PC New Construction - PCC P-505: PCC Pavement \$0 6.00 True 06/02/2014 BA-AG Base Course - Aggregate \$0 False P-208: Aggregate subbase 4.00 False 06/01/2014 SG-ST Subgrade - Stabilized \$0 9.00 P-158: Fly ash treated subgrade

Network: SDA Branch: T03SH (TAXIWAY 03 AT SHENANDOAH) Surface: PCC Section: 03 L.C.D.: 06/01/1996 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 230.00 Ft Width: 85.00 Ft True Area: 12,097.00 SqF

Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Description Code (in) M&R 10/07/2019 JS-LC Joint Seal (Localized) 0.00 False \$0 10/06/2019 CS-PC Crack Sealing - PCC 0.00 \$0 False PA-PP Patching - PCC Partial Depth 10/06/2019 \$0 0.00 False 06/01/1996 INITIAL **Initial Construction** True

Branch: T04SH Surface: PCC Network: SDA Section: 01 (TAXIWAY 04 AT SHENANDOAH) L.C.D.: 06/01/1996 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 230.00 Ft Width: 85.00 Ft True Area: 15,522.00 SqF

Thickness Work Work Work Major Comments Cost M&R Date Code Description (in) Joint Seal (Localized) 10/07/2019 JS-LC \$0 0.00 False CS-PC Crack Sealing - PCC 10/06/2019 \$0 0.00 False 10/05/2019 PA-PP Patching - PCC Partial Depth \$0 0.00 False 10/04/2019 SL-PC Slab Replacement - PCC \$0 0.00 False 06/01/1996 INITIAL **Initial Construction** True

Network: SDA Branch: TH01SH Surface: AAC (T-HANGAR 01 AT SHENANDOAH) Section: 01 L.C.D.: 01/01/2010 Use: T-HANGAR Rank P Length: 480.00 Ft Width: 25.00 Ft True Area: 11,322.00 SqF

Thickness Work Work Work Major Comments Cost Date Code Description (in) M&R 01/01/2010 EST. VIA GE, CONSTRUCTED B/W OL-AC Overlay - AC 0.00 \$0 True 9/2009-9/2010 01/01/2000 NC-AC New Construction - AC 0.00 EST. VIA GE, CONSTRUCTED B/W \$0 True 10/1994-10/2004

Network: SDA Branch: TH01SH Surface: PCC (T-HANGAR 01 AT SHENANDOAH) Section: 02 L.C.D.: 01/01/2012 Use: T-HANGAR Rank P Length: 265.00 Ft 25.00 Ft Width: True Area: 7,159.00 SqF

Work Work Thickness Work Major Comments Cost Date Description M&R Code (in) NC-PC 01/01/2012 New Construction - PCC \$0 0.00 LCD VIA GOOGLE EARTH True

Network: SDA Branch: TH01SH (T-HANGAR 01 AT SHENANDOAH) Section: 03 Surface: AC L.C.D.: 01/01/2000 Use: T-HANGAR Rank P Length: 180.00 Ft True Area: 4,700.00 SqF Width: 25.00 Ft

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
01/01/2000	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0	0.00		EST. VIA GOOGLE EARTH; CONSTRUCTED BETWEEN 10/1994-10/2004

Network: SDA

#### **Work History Report**

Pavement Database:IA 2020

Branch: TH01SH **L.C.D.**: 09/01/2007 **Use**: T-HANGAR

Rank P Length:

(T-HANGAR 01 AT SHENANDOAH) 140.00 Ft

Width:

Section: 04 25.00 Ft

Surface: AC

4 of 5

True Area: 3,866.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
09/01/2007	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0	0.00		LCD VIA GOOGLE EARTH, CONSTRUCTED DURING 9/2007 MAGERY

#### Work History Report

5 of 5

Pavement Database:IA 2020

#### Summary:

Work Description	Section Count	Area Total (SqFt)	Thickness Avg (in)	Thickness STD (in)	
Base Course - Aggregate	4	113,428.00	4.00	.00	
Complete Reconstruction - PCC	1	222,000.00	5.50	-	
Crack Sealing - PCC	9	490,584.00	.00	.00	
Initial Construction	3	95,957.00	6.00	-	
Joint Seal (Localized)	11	531,893.00	.00	.00.	
New Construction - AC	4	241,888.00	.00	.00	
New Construction - Initial	1	31,493.00	6.00	-	
New Construction - PCC	10	490,804.00	5.40	1.90	
New Construction - PCC (Major MR)	1	13,547.00	-	-	
Overlay - AC	1	11,322.00	.00	-	
Patching - PCC Full Depth	1	317,137.00	.00	-	
Patching - PCC Partial Depth	6	454,990.00	.00	.00	
Slab Replacement - PCC	7	454,804.00	.00	.00	
Subbase - Aggregate	7	470,048.00	4.00	.00	
Subgrade - Compacted	6	401,710.00	6.33	.82	
Subgrade - Stabilized	6	403,766.00	9.17	1.47	

#### **APPENDIX E**

### LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action		
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch		
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor		
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Corrugation	Low	Monitor		
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch		
Depression	Low	Monitor		
_ ^	Medium	Monitor		
Depression				
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch		
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch		
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor		
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch		
Patching	Low	Monitor		
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch		
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor		
Raveling	Low	Monitor		
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch		
Rutting	Low	Monitor		
Rutting	Medium	Monitor		
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch		
Shoving	Low	Monitor		
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch		
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch		
Swelling	Low	Monitor		
Swelling	Medium	Monitor		
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch		
Weathering	Low	Monitor		
Weathering	Medium	Monitor		
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch		

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

Distruces Tyme	Severity Level	Maintenance Action		
Distress Type	1			
ASR	Low	Monitor		
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement		
ASR	High	Slab Replacement		
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement		
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement		
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement		
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC		
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch		
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch		
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch		
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement		
Faulting	Low	Monitor		
Faulting	Medium	Grinding		
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement		
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor		
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal		
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal		
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor		
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC		
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement		
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor		
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch		
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch		
Popouts	N/A	Monitor		
Pumping	N/A	Monitor		
Scaling	Low	Monitor		
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch		
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement		
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC		
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement		
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement		
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor		
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor		
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch		
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch		

Table E-3. 2021 unit costs for preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost		
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$14.10/sf		
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.41/lf		
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$36.10/sf		
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$16.12/sf		
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$2.90/1f		
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$2.90/1f		
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.35/sf		
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$16.12/sf		

Table E-4. 2021 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0-40	PCI Range 40–50	PCI Range 50–60	PCI Range 60-70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80–90	PCI Range 90–100
AC	\$10.01	\$4.74	\$4.74	\$4.74	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$16.71	\$7.90	\$7.90	\$7.90	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

# APPENDIX F YEAR 2021 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Table F-1. Year 2021 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2021 Estimated Cost
A02SH	01	Corner Break	Low	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$36
A02SH	01	Corner Spalling	High	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$146
A02SH	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$350
A02SH	02	ASR	Medium	2	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$16.12	\$3,063
A02SH	02	Corner Break	Low	3	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$79
A02SH	02	Joint Spalling	High	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$482
A02SH	03	Corner Break	Low	4	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$91
R04SH	01	Faulting	Medium	28	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.35	\$124
R04SH	01	Small Patch	High	19	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.12	\$822
R04SH	02	ASR	Medium	5	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$16.12	\$12,804
R04SH	02	Shattered Slab	Low	3	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$184
R12SH	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$295
R12SH	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	6	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$230
R12SH	03	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$29
R12SH	03	Joint Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$569
R12SH	04	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$24
R12SH	04	Joint Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$466
T01SH	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	124	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$4,938
T01SH	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$321
T02SH	01	ASR	Medium	4	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$16.12	\$6,065
T03SH	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	345	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$13,344

Table F-1. Year 2021 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2021 Estimated Cost
T03SH	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	5	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$1,174
T03SH	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	8	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$36.10	\$1,869
T03SH	03	Corner Break	Low	3	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$67
T03SH	03	Corner Break	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.12	\$735
T03SH	03	Small Patch	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.12	\$61
T04SH	01	Corner Break	Low	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$35
T04SH	01	Large Patch	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.12	\$1,177
T04SH	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	3	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$81
T04SH	01	Small Patch	High	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$16.12	\$64
TH01SH	01	L&T Cracking	Medium	22	Ft	Crack Sealing - AC	\$2.41	\$53
TH01SH	01	L&T Cracking	High	10	Ft	Crack Sealing - AC	\$2.41	\$24
TH01SH	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	80	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.90	\$3,215
TH01SH	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.90	\$34

#### Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Shenandoah Municipal Airport.



#### PREPARED FOR

lowa Department of Transportation Aviation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

**JULY 2021**