Storm Lake Municipal Airport

Pavement Management Report



PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

JULY 2023





The preparation of this document was financed in part through an Airport Improvement Program grant from the Federal Aviation Administration (Project Number 3-19-0000-030-2022) as provided under Section 505 of the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended. The contents do not necessarily reflect the DOT's official views or the policy of the FAA. Acceptance of this report by the FAA does not in any way constitute a commitment on the part of the United States to participate in any development depicted therein nor does it indicate the proposed development is environmentally acceptable in accordance with appropriate public laws.

STORM LAKE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared For:



Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

Prepared By:



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	. 1
PAVEMENT INVENTORY	. 3
PAVEMENT EVALUATION	. 6
Pavement Evaluation Procedure	. 6
Pavement Evaluation Results	. 7
Inspection Comments	13
Runways	13
Taxiways	
Apron	13
T-Hangar	13
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM	
Analysis Parameters	14
Critical PCIs	14
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs	14
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs	14
Budget and Inflation Rate	14
Analysis Approach	
Analysis Results	15
General Maintenance Recommendations	
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)	16
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program	
(PMP)	17
SUMMARY	21

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.	1
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Storm Lake Municipal Airport	4
Figure 3. Storm Lake Municipal Airport network definition map	5
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	6
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.	7
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Storm Lake Municipal Airport	8
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Storm Lake Municipal Airport	9
Figure 8. Storm Lake Municipal Airport PCI map.	10

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results.	11
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.	15
Table 3. Pavement inspection report	19

APPENDIXES

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2023 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers (Robinson), updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Storm Lake Municipal Airport were assessed in November 2022 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

The pavement evaluation results for Storm Lake Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Storm Lake Municipal Airport, the Iowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the web-based interactive pavement data visualization tool IDEA, containing the information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the <u>Iowa DOT's website</u> or directly (<u>Iowa APMS IDEA</u>).

PAVEMENT INVENTORY


The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Storm Lake Municipal Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps, as necessary, to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2019.


The pavement network at Storm Lake Municipal Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.

Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.

To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 744,400 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Storm Lake Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age, in years, of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Storm Lake Municipal Airport.








FIGURE 3. NETWORK DEFINITION MAP.

T02SL-01 (78) -. 101 (02) 03 (04) 1 LO I (66) 67 68 69 3 - R17SL-01 (68) ^{_} R17SL-02 (89)

		pplied pave ECHNOLOGY	/ement	115 W. Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, IL 61801 Tel: 217-398-3977 Fax: 217-398-4027
NETWORK DEFINITION LEGEND	AGENCY: IOV	va Departmen	t of Transporta	tion
BRANCH IDENTIFIER		Modal Transp	ortation Burea	L
SECTION IDENTIFIER	LOCATION:	Storm Lake M	unicipal Airpor	t
R16ES-01 (88)		Storm L	ake, Iowa	
SECTION BREAK LINE	PAGE TITLE:	Network De	efinition Map	
SAMPLE UNIT BREAK LINE	PROJECT DATE:			JOB NUMBER:
SLAB JOINT	OCT. 2022	OCT. 2022	LJR	2021-125-AM01
03 SAMPLE UNIT NUMBER	DRAWING SCALE:	LAST MODIFIED DATE:	REVISED BY:	DRAWN BY:
03 SAMPLE UNIT INSPECTED	1"=200'	MAY 2023	DMS	KEW
ADDITIONAL SAMPLE UNIT	FILENAME: Storm L	_ake.dwg	LAYOUT NAME/NUMBER: NET. DEF.	PAGE NUMBER: 5

PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech inspected the pavements at Storm Lake Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, *Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of* Airport Pavements.
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, *Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)*.
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.



PCI = 33

Note: Photographs shown are not specific to Storm Lake Municipal Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

PCI Range	Repair				
86-100					
71-85	Preventive Maintenance				
56-70	• 				
41-55	Major Rehabilitation				
26-40					
11-25	Reconstruction				
0-10					

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which is useful when selecting M&R strategies. Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as:

- Load-related—These distress types are defined as being caused by aircraft or vehicular traffic and may indicate a structural deficiency. Examples of load-related distress include alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements and corner breaks on portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements.
- Climate/durability-related—These distress types often signify the presence of aged or environmentally susceptible (or both) material and include durability-related issues. Examples of climate/durability-related distress include weathering on asphalt-surfaced pavements, which is climate-related, and durability cracking on PCC pavements, which is durability-related.
- Other—Distress types that fall into this category cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability. Examples of this type of distress include depressions on asphalt-surfaced pavements and shrinkage cracking on PCC pavements.

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at Storm Lake Municipal Airport were inspected in November 2022. The 2022 area-weighted condition of Storm Lake Municipal Airport is 73, with conditions ranging from 57 to 89 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2019, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 93.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Storm Lake Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.






Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Storm Lake Municipal Airport.

Pavement Condition Index (PCI)





(Values on chart are area-weighted)






FIGURE 8. PCI MAP.

~

R17SL-01 (68)





			pplied par ECHNOLOGY		115 W. Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, IL 61801 Tel: 217-398-3977 Fax: 217-398-4027			
		Robinson	Engineering C	Company	819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211			
-	PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX	AGENCY:	wa Departmen	t of Transporta	tion			
FIER	PCI		Modal Transportation Bureau					
IFIER	86-100	LOCATION:	LOCATION: Storm Lake Municipal Airport					
	71-85		Storm Lake, Iowa					
	<u>56-70</u> <u>41-55</u>	PAGE TITLE: 202	22 Pavement C	ondition Index	Мар			
K LINE	26-40	PROJECT DATE: OCT. 2022	CREATION DATE: OCT. 2022	PROJECT MANAGER:	JOB NUMBER: 2021-125-AM01			
	11-25	DRAWING SCALE: 1"=200'	LAST MODIFIED DATE: MAY 2023	REVISED BY: DMS	DRAWN BY: KEW			
	0-10	FILENAME: Storm	Lake.dwg	LAYOUT NAME/NUMBER:	PAGE NUMBER: 10			

	Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results.									
Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2022 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress	
A01SL	01	РСС	79,781	6/2/1995	83	34	52	14	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Small Patch	
A01SL	02	PCC	14,275	5/1/1978	57	72	9	19	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Small Patch	
R13SL	01	РСС	160,823	5/1/1971	72	25	37	38	Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch	
R17SL	01	РСС	322,167	5/3/1978	68	69	17	14	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking	
R17SL	02	PCC	60,000	6/1/1978	89	69	15	16	Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking	
T01SL	01	PCC	17,975	5/1/1981	65	57	15	28	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Popouts, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch	
T01SL	02	РСС	22,405	5/3/1978	73	43	19	38	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking	
T02SL	01	PCC	20,269	5/1/1978	78	31	50	19	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking	

	Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results (continued).										
Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2022 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress		
TH01SL	01	PCC	22,380	1/1/2005	79	4	49	47	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch		
TH01SL	02	PCC	12,881	1/1/1997	73	47	32	21	Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab		
TH01SL	03	PCC	3,320	1/1/1997	83	0	69	31	Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage		
TH01SL	04	PCC	8,085	1/1/1997	82	17	62	21	Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking		

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- LCD = last construction date. 3.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphaltsurfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as 5. weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
- Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors. 6.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Inspection Comments

Storm Lake Municipal Airport was inspected on November 18, 2022. There were twelve pavement sections defined during the inspection.

Runways

Runway 13/31 was defined by one section. Low- and medium-severity small patching, joint spalling, and corner spalling; medium- and high-severity joint seal damage; low-severity large patching; medium-severity longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking and shattered slab; and shrinkage cracking were observed in Section 01.

Runway 17/35 consisted of two sections. Medium-severity corner break, LTD cracking, and shattered slab; medium- and high-severity corner spalling; low-severity large patching and faulting; low- and medium-severity joint seal damage and joint spalling; and shrinkage cracking were recorded in Section 01. Areas of low-severity joint seal damage, low- and medium-severity joint spalling, medium-severity LTD cracking, and shrinkage cracking were observed in Section 02.

Taxiways

Taxiway 01 was defined by two sections. Section 01 contained areas of low-severity faulting, small patching, and large patching; medium-severity joint seal damage and corner break; high-severity corner spalling; low- and medium-severity joint spalling; all severities of LTD cracking; popouts; and shrinkage cracking. Medium-severity corner break, joint seal damage, and shattered slab; low- and medium-severity corner spalling, joint spalling, and LTD cracking; and shrinkage cracking were identified in Section 02.

Taxiway 02 contained one section. Medium-severity joint spalling and corner break, highseverity corner spalling, low- and medium-severity LTD cracking and faulting, and medium- and high-severity joint seal damage were recorded in Section 01.

Apron

The apron area was defined by two sections. Areas of medium-severity corner break; lowseverity corner spalling, faulting, and small patching; medium- and high-severity joint seal damage; and low- and medium-severity joint spalling and LTD cracking were observed in Section 01. Section 02 contained all severities of corner break and joint spalling; low-severity small patching, corner spalling, large patching, and faulting; medium-severity joint seal damage; low- and medium-severity LTD cracking; and medium- and high-severity shattered slab.

T-Hangar

The T-hangar area consisted of four sections. Areas of low- and medium-severity corner spalling, low-severity LTD cracking and faulting, high-severity joint seal damage, medium- and high-severity joint spalling, shrinkage cracking, and medium-severity small patching were recorded in Section 01. Medium-severity corner spalling and shattered slab, high-severity joint seal damage, all severities of joint spalling, and low- and medium-severity LTD cracking were identified in Section 02. Section 03 contained areas of high-severity joint seal damage and medium-severity joint spalling at the time of inspection. Medium-severity corner spalling and LTD cracking, shrinkage cracking, high-severity joint seal damage, and low- and medium-severity joint spalling at the time of 4.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Storm Lake Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct the different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Storm Lake Municipal Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2023 and an inflation rate of 4.0 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2023) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2024 or 2025, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2023. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Storm Lake Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2023

localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Storm Lake Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2023 is provided in Appendix F.

Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost
2023	A01SL	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$47,909
2023	R13SL	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$98,427
2023	R17SL	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$1,164
2023	T01SL	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$13,943
2023	T01SL	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$22,726
2023	T02SL	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$10,770
2023	TH01SL	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$16,143
2023	TH01SL	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$9,725
2023	TH01SL	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$1,831
2023	TH01SL	04	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$4,048
2024	A01SL	02	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$126,933
2025	R17SL	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$2,979,251
2026	T01SL	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$172,873

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.

Total Estimated Cost: \$3,506,000

- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Storm Lake Municipal Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Storm Lake Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Storm Lake Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Storm Lake Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- 1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this report.
- Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur as a result of inspections. These need to be reported to the FAA and the Iowa DOT. This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a "bathtub" effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Storm Lake Municipal Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Storm Lake Municipal Airport will also need to undertake monthly drive-by inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this Appendix, along with

instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized words are direct quotations from the FAA Advisory Circular.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

A-1.0. An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at Storm Lake Municipal Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the Iowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map provided in Figure 3 is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at Storm Lake Municipal Airport is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to the pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for Storm Lake Municipal Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys, the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

A-1.3. Record Keeping. The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:

- a. Inspection date
- b. Location
- c. Distress types
- d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items a through c are satisfied by this inspection report. Item d is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

A-1.4. Information Retrieval. An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Inspected By: _____

Date Inspected:

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01SL	01					
A01SL	02					
R13SL	01					
R17SL	01					
R17SL	02					
T01SL	01					

Inspected By:

Date Inspected:

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
T01SL	02					
T02SL	01					
TH01SL	01					
TH01SL	02					
TH01SL	03					
TH01SL	04					

Table Note: See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Storm Lake Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2022 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 73. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Storm Lake Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$3,506,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Storm Lake Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

APPENDIX A

CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.


APPENDIX B

INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01SL-01. Overview.



A01SL-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 25).



A01SL-02. Overview.




A01SL-02. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 07).



R13SL-01. Overview.



R13SL-01. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 07).



R17SL-01. Overview.



R17SL-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).



R17SL-02. Overview.



R17SL-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 06).



T01SL-01. Overview.



T01SL-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 05).



T01SL-02. Overview.



T01SL-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 07).



T02SL-01. Overview.




T02SL-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 08).



TH01SL-01. Overview.



TH01SL-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 10).



TH01SL-02. Overview.



TH01SL-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01SL-03. Overview.



TH01SL-03. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01SL-04. Overview.



TH01SL-04. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 02).



APPENDIX C

INSPECTION REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: SLB Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Page 1

Network ID. SED		i age i
Branch Name: APRON	Branch - Section	ON ID: A01SL - 001 Use: APRON
LCD: 6/2/1995 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 79,781.00 Length (ft): 375.00 Width (ft): 214.00 From: SECTION 2 To: END OF APRON		PCI Family: IowaPCCAPNCW_General
Slabs: 651 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 9.80 Joint Length (ft): 13,937.84		Section Comments: SECTION 01 CHANGED TO BE SECTIONS 01, 02, 03 & 04 ON 6/1/97.
Last Insp Date: 11/18/2022 PCI: 83 Total Samples: 34 Surveyed: 8		Inspection Comments:
Sample Number: 004		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	:	Sample Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING	M H L	1.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs
Sample Number: 006		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 58 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M M M	1.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs
Sample Number: 011		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 85 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00	:	Sample Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L M	2.00 Slabs 16.00 Slabs
Sample Number: 013		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs
Sample Number: 017 Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00		Sample Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 66 SMALL PATCH	L M L	1.00 Slabs 25.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022

Network ID: SLB

Sample Number: 019			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 89 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Μ	20.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 025			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 87 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Μ	20.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	Μ	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 030			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 83 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	20.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	3.00 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: SLB

	: A01SL - 002 Use: APRON mily: IowaPCCAPNCW_General
PCI Fa	mily: IowaPCCAPNCW_General
Sectior	n Comments: BUILT INTO SECTION 01 ON 6/1/97
Inspect	tion Comments:
Sample	e Comments:
L L L L	1.00 Slabs1.00 Slabs20.00 Slabs3.00 Slabs1.00 Slabs
Sample	e Comments:
M M L L	2.00 Slabs 25.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs
Sample	e Comments:
L M L L M	1.00 Slabs1.00 Slabs25.00 Slabs1.00 Slabs1.00 Slabs1.00 Slabs1.00 Slabs1.00 Slabs
	L L L Sample L L Sample M L L Sample L L L

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: SLB

Sample Number: 07

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 22 Sample Area (Slabs): 27.00 **62 CORNER BREAK** 62 CORNER BREAK **62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING** 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING 72 SHATTERED SLAB 72 SHATTERED SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL

Sample Comments:

н	1.00 Slabs
L	1.00 Slabs
Μ	2.00 Slabs
Μ	2.00 Slabs
Μ	2.00 Slabs
Μ	27.00 Slabs
L	2.00 Slabs
Н	1.00 Slabs
М	3.00 Slabs
Н	1.00 Slabs
L	1.00 Slabs
М	1.00 Slabs
М	2.00 Slabs
L	1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: SLB

75 CORNER SPALL

Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Page 5

Network ID: SLB			Page
	Branch - Section ID:	: R13SL - 001	
Branch Name: RUNWAY 13/31			Use: RUNWA
LCD: 5/1/1971 Surface Type: PCC Rank: S Section Area (sf): 160,823.00 Length (ft): 3,038.00	PCI Family: lowaPCCRWNCW_General		
Width (ft): 50.00 From: RUNWAY END 13 To: RUNWAY END 31			
Slabs: 841 Slab Length (ft): 15.30 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 20,107.75	Section	Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/18/2022 PCI: 72 Total Samples: 42 Surveyed: 8	Inspecti	on Comments:	
Sample Number: 003			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 64 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	24.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	5.00 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	4.00 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	Ν	2.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	Μ	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	Μ	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	3.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Μ	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 007			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 82 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	20.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	Μ	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	М	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 012	-		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 55 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	М	2.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	20.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	М	2.00 Slabs	
72 SHATTERED SLAB	М	1.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	Ν	1.00 Slabs	
	N/	200 Slaba	

Μ

3.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022

Network ID: SLB

Network ID: SLB			Page 6
Sample Number: 017			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 79 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	20.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	11	4.00 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	М	2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 021			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 57 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	М	3.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	20.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	М	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Μ	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 030			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	20.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	М	2.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Μ	2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 034			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 81 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	20.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	3.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	М	3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 038			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	25.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	М	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Μ	3.00 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: SLB Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Page 7

Nelwork ID. 3LD			Fage
Branch Name: RUNWAY 17/35	Branch - Section ID:	R17SL - 001	Use: RUNWA
LCD: 5/3/1978 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 322,167.01 Length (ft): 4,200.00 Width (ft): 75.00 From: RUNWAY END 17 To: RUNWAY END 35	PCI Fan	nily: IowaPCCRWNCW_General	
Slabs: 1,718 Slab Length (ft): 15.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 42,878.89	Section Comments:		
Last Insp Date: 11/18/2022 PCI: 68 Total Samples: 73 Surveyed: 9	Inspection Comments:		
Sample Number: 003			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALL	M M L	2.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 008			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 71 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING 75 CORNER SPALL	M M L H	2.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 013			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 52 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 72 SHATTERED SLAB	M M M	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 022	IVI	0.00 01000	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2022

Network ID: SLB

			5
Sample Number: 029			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample Comments:		
	M	2.00 Slobe	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	2.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	24.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 038			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 34	Sample	Comments:	
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00			
63 LINEAR CRACKING	М	13.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	2.00 Slabs	
72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	1.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
	М	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 048			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 58 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	М	6.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Μ	24.00 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 057			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 67 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	М	4.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	М	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 066			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 75 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	М	2.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	М	2.00 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: SLB Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Page 9

			i age
Branch Name: RUNWAY 17/35	Branch - Section ID:	R17SL - 002	Use: RUNWA
LCD: 6/1/1978 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 60,000.00 Length (ft): 800.00 Width (ft): 75.00 From: S END OF SECTION 01 To: S END OF RUNWAY	PCI Fan	nily: IowaPCCRWNCW_General	
Slabs: 331 Slab Length (ft): 14.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 8,062.93	Section	Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/18/2022 PCI: 89 Total Samples: 14 Surveyed: 6	Inspecti	on Comments:	
Sample Number: 002			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 004			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALL	L N L	24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 006			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 82 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00		Comments: 2.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M L	2.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 008			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 010			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL	L M	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: SLB

Sample Number: 013

Sample (Comments:
Μ	4.00 Slabs
L	24.00 Slabs
L	1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: SLB Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Page 11

INELWORK ID. SLD			Fayer
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01	Branch - Section ID:	: T01SL - 001	Use: TAXIWA
LCD: 5/1/1981 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 17,975.00 Length (ft): 411.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: APRON 01 SECT 04 To: RUNWAY 13/31	PCI Fan	nily: IowaPCCTWNCW_General	
Slabs: 123 Slab Length (ft): 14.60 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 2,535.55	Section	Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/18/2022 PCI: 65 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4	Inspecti	on Comments:	
Sample Number: 001			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 73 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 66 SMALL PATCH 67 LARGE PATCH 68 POPOUTS 71 FAULTING	M M L L N L	1.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 002			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 65 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	M M L M	2.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 004			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 66 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALL	H M M N L	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: SLB

Sample Number: 005

Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Page 12

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 57	Sample	Comments:
Sample Area (Slabs): 22.00		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	4.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	Μ	3.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Μ	22.00 Slabs
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	Ν	2.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	Μ	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	Н	1.00 Slabs

75 CORNER SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2022		Generate Date: 6/14/2023
Network ID: SLB		Page 13
	Branch - Section	ID: T01SL - 002
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01		Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 5/3/1978 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 22,405.00 Length (ft): 373.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: RUNWAY 13/31 To: RUNWAY 17/35	PCI	Family: IowaPCCTWNCW_General
Slabs: 202 Slab Length (ft): 11.30 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 3,673.17	Sect	ion Comments:
Last Insp Date: 11/18/2022 PCI: 73 Total Samples: 10 Surveyed: 5	Insp	ection Comments:
Sample Number: 03		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 82 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sam	ple Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	20.00 Slabs
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	Ν	1.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	Μ	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	Μ	1.00 Slabs
Sample Number: 04		

20.00 Slabs

2.00 Slabs

2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 04		
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:
Sample PCI: 86		
Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	

Sample Number: 07

-			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 63			
Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00			
62 CORNER BREAK	Μ	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	Μ	1.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Μ	20.00 Slabs	
72 SHATTERED SLAB	Μ	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	Μ	1.00 Slabs	

L

Sample Number: 08

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 64 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	2.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Μ	20.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	Μ	6.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	Μ	2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: SLB

Sample Number: 10

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 69 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR 65 JOINT SEAL 74 JOINT SPAL 74 JOINT SPAL 75 CORNER S

Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Page 14

Sample Comments:

RACKING	L	1.00 Slabs
RACKING	Μ	1.00 Slabs
AL DAMAGE	Μ	20.00 Slabs
ALL	L	1.00 Slabs
ALL	Μ	2.00 Slabs
SPALL	Μ	1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: SLB Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Page 15

Page 15

Network ID: OED			Tage To
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 02	Branch - Sec	tion ID: T02SL - 001	Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 5/1/1978 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 20,269.00 Length (ft): 484.00		PCI Family: IowaPCCTWNCW	V_General
Width (ft): 40.00 From: RUNWAY END 35 To: END OF TAXIWAY			
Slabs: 152 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 2,912.47		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/18/2022 PCI: 78 Total Samples: 9 Surveyed: 5		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 002			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 90 Sample Area (Slabs): 23.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALL	M M	23.00 Sla 1.00 Sla	
Sample Number: 004			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 68 Sample Area (Slabs): 22.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Sla	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	2.00 Sla 22.00 Sla	
75 CORNER SPALL	H H	22.00 Sla 1.00 Sla	
Sample Number: 006			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 74 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	20.00 Sla	abs
71 FAULTING	L	2.00 Sla	
71 FAULTING	Μ	2.00 Sla	abs
Sample Number: 008			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 68 Sample Area (Slabs): 15.00		Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	М	1.00 Sla	abs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	Μ	2.00 Sla	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Μ	15.00 Sla	abs
Sample Number: 009			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	20.00 Sla	abs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: SLB Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Page 16

			1 490 10
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01	Branch - Section	on ID: TH01SL - 001	Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 1/1/2005 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 22,380.00 Length (ft): 360.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: . To: .		PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NC NCW	
Slabs: 224 Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 3,854.33		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/18/2022 PCI: 79 Total Samples: 12 Surveyed: 6		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	H N M	18.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 70 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 66 SMALL PATCH 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	H M H M	21.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 75 CORNER SPALL	H M	21.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 06			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	21.00 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2022

Network ID: SLB

Sample Number: 10			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	20.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	М	2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 11			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	

Sample PCI: 78		
Sample Area (Slabs): 23.00		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	23.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	М	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: SLB Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Page 18

			1 490 10
	Branch - Section	on ID: TH01SL - 002	
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 1/1/1997 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 12,881.00 Length (ft): 600.00 Width (ft): 20.00 From: . To: .		PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NC N	ICW
Slabs: 117 Slab Length (ft): 11.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,793.58		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/18/2022 PCI: 73 Total Samples: 5 Surveyed: 4		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 72 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	Μ	2.00 Sla	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	20.00 Sla	
75 CORNER SPALL	Μ	1.00 Sla	bs
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 75 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	М	2.00 Sla	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Sample Number: 03	H	20.00 Sla	DS
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 71 Sample Area (Slabs): 28.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Sla	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	28.00 Sla	
72 SHATTERED SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Sla 1.00 Sla	
75 CORNER SPALL	L M	1.00 Sla 1.00 Sla	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 74 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	20.00 Sla	
74 JOINT SPALL	Н	1.00 Sla	
74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Sla 2.00 Sla	
, I CONTROLLE	101	2.00 014	~~

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: SLB Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Page 19 Branch - Section ID: TH01SL - 003 Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NC NCW LCD: 1/1/1997 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 3,320.00 Length (ft): 166.00 Width (ft): 20.00 From: . То: . Slabs: 28 Section Comments: Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 422.67 Last Insp Date: 11/18/2022 Inspection Comments: PCI: 83 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1 Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 83	Sample Comments:	
Sample Area (Slabs): 28.00		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	28.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	М	2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Network ID: SLB Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Page 20

	Branch - Section ID	: TH01SL - 004			
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAR		
LCD: 1/1/1997 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 8,085.00 Length (ft): 380.00 Width (ft): 20.00 From: . To: .	PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NC NCW				
Slabs: 75 Slab Length (ft): 10.80 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,131.58	Section Comments: avg				
Last Insp Date: 11/18/2022 PCI: 82 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3	Insp	ection Comments:			
Sample Number: 01					
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 87 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sam	ple Comments:			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALL	H L	24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs			
Sample Number: 02					
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 23.00	Sam	ple Comments:			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALL	H N M	23.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs			
Sample Number: 03					
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 28.00	Sam	ple Comments:			
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 75 CORNER SPALL	M H M	1.00 Slabs 28.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs			

APPENDIX D

WORK HISTORY REPORT

WORK HISTORY

Page 1

3,038.00

50.00 160,823.00

Network: STORM LAKE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch - Section ID:

A01SL - 001

LCD: 6/2/1995 Use: APRON Rank: P Surface: PCC

Ganado. I	00					
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
03-01-2014	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	CRACK SEAL
03-01-2014	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	JOINT SEAL
03-01-2014	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	SLAB REPLACEMENT
03-01-2014	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	PARTIAL DEPTH PATCHING
06-02-1995	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC
06-01-1995	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-152 Compacted SG
06-01-1962	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: A01SL - 002

LCD: 5/1/1978 Use: APRON Rank[,] P

Rank: P Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
03-01-2014	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	CRACK SEAL
03-01-2014	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	JOINT SEAL
03-01-2014	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	SLAB REPLACEMENT
03-01-2014	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	PARTIAL DEPTH PATCHING
05-01-1978	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID:

R13SL - 001

LCD: 5/1/1971 Use: RUNWAY Rank: S Surface: PCC

Surface: P						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
03-01-2014	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
03-01-2014	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
03-01-2014	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
03-01-2014	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
05-01-1971	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Length (ft):	375.00
Width (ft):	214.00
True Area (sf):	79,781.00

Length (ft):	130.00
Width (ft):	115.00

True Area (sf): 14,275.00

Length (ft):

Width (ft):

True Area (sf):

WORK HISTORY

R17SL - 001

Branch - Section ID:

LCD: 5/3/1978 Use: RUNWAY Rank: P Surface: PCC

Generate Date:	6/25/2023
	Page 2

800.00

75.00

411.00

40.00

373.00

40.00

22,405.00

17,975.00

60,000.00

Length (ft): 4,200.00 Width (ft): 75.00 True Area (sf): 322,167.01

Length (ft):

Width (ft):

Length (ft):

Width (ft):

Length (ft):

Width (ft):

True Area (sf):

True Area (sf):

True Area (sf):

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2008	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-03-2003	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-02-2003	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2003	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
05-03-1978	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC P-501
05-02-1978	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" P-154
05-01-1978	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" Compacted SG P-152

Branch - Section ID: R17SL - 002

LCD: 6/1/1978 Use: RUNWAY Rank: P Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2003	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-02-2003	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2003	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1978	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T01SL - 001

LCD: 5/1/1981 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
03-01-2014	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
03-01-2014	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	JOINT SEAL
03-01-2014	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2008	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-03-2003	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-02-2003	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2003	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
05-01-1981	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

T01SL - 002

Branch - Section ID:

LCD: 5/3/1978 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-2012	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	Field est.
05-03-1978	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC
05-02-1978	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" P-154 Subbase
05-01-1978	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-152 Compacted SG

WORK HISTORY

Branch - Section ID: T02SL - 001

LCD: 5/1/1978 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Surface: PCC

Length (ft):	484.00
Width (ft):	40.00
True Area (sf):	20,269.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2003	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-02-2003	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2003	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
05-01-1978	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: TH01SL - 001

LCD: 1/1/2005 Use: T-HANGAR Rank: P Surface: PCC					Length (ft): Width (ft): True Area (sf):	360.00 40.00 22,380.00	
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments	
01-01-2005	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST	

Branch - Section ID: TH01SL - 002

LCD: 1/1/1997	Length (ft):	600.00
Use: T-HANGAR	Width (ft):	20.00
Rank: P Surface: PCC	True Area (sf):	12,881.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-1997	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST, BETWEEN 1994 AND 2004

Branch - Section ID: TH01SL - 003

LCD: 1/1/1997 Use: T-HANGAR			Length (ft): Width (ft):	166.00 20.00
Rank: P			True Area (sf):	3,320.00
Surface: PCC				
	 . .	 	•	

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-1997	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST, BETWEEN 1994 AND 2004

Branch - Section ID: TH01SL - 004

Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Maior	Comments	
Surface: F	PCC						
Rank: P	Rank: P					True Area (sf):	8,085.00
Use: T-HA	ANGAR					Width (ft):	20.00
LCD: 1/1/	1997					Length (ft):	380.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-1997	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST, BETWEEN 1994 AND 2004

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low	Monitor
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium	Monitor
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

	Severity	
Distress Type	Level	Maintenance Action
ASR	Low	Monitor
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement
ASR	High	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Faulting	Low	Monitor
Faulting	Medium	Grinding
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Popouts	N/A	Monitor
Pumping	N/A	Monitor
Scaling	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch

Table E-2 Localized	preventive maintenance	policy PC	navements
Table E-2. Localized	preventive manuellance	poney, i C	> pavements.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$15.24/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.61/lf
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$39.04/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$17.43/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.14/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.14/lf
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.37/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$17.43/sf

Table E-3. 2023 unit costs for localized preventive maintenance actions.

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

Table E-4. 2023 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0–40	PCI Range 40–50	PCI Range 50–60	PCI Range 60–70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80–90	PCI Range 90–100
AC	\$10.82	\$5.12	\$5.12	\$5.12	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$18.08	\$8.55	\$8.55	\$8.55	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

APPENDIX F

YEAR 2023 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2023 Estimated Cost
A01SL	01	Corner Break	Medium	4	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$2,276
A01SL	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	570	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$38,328
A01SL	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	81	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$5,437
A01SL	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	4	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$1,020
A01SL	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	24	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$849
R13SL	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	80	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$8,365
R13SL	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	722	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$54,172
R13SL	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	119	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$8,966
R13SL	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	35	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$8,783
R13SL	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	25	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$1,086
R13SL	01	Shattered Slab	Medium	5	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$17.43	\$16,589
R13SL	01	Small Patch	Medium	10	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$467
R17SL	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$580
R17SL	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	14	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$585
T01SL	01	Corner Break	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$844
T01SL	01	Corner Spalling	High	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$158
T01SL	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	123	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$7,962
T01SL	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$756
T01SL	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	11	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$406
T01SL	01	LTD Cracking	High	2	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$17.43	\$3,817
T01SL	02	Corner Break	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$1,137

Table F-1. Year 2023 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2023 Estimated Cost
T01SL	02	Corner Spalling	Medium	8	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$849
T01SL	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	202	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$11,534
T01SL	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	20	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$5,093
T01SL	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	4	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$135
T01SL	02	Shattered Slab	Medium	2	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$17.43	\$3,979
T02SL	01	Corner Break	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$856
T02SL	01	Corner Spalling	High	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$160
T02SL	01	Faulting	Medium	3	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.37	\$11
T02SL	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	58	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$3,475
T02SL	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	94	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$5,670
T02SL	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$383
T02SL	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	6	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$215
TH01SL	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	5	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$569
TH01SL	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	224	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$12,103
TH01SL	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	11	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$2,733
TH01SL	01	Joint Spalling	High	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$569
TH01SL	01	Small Patch	Medium	4	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$169
TH01SL	02	Corner Spalling	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$279
TH01SL	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	117	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$5,632
TH01SL	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$670
TH01SL	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	5	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$175
TH01SL	02	Shattered Slab	Medium	1	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$17.43	\$2,549

Table F-1. Year 2023 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Year 2023 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details

Table F-1. Year 2023 localized preventive							
ranch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity			
H01SL	03	Joint Seal Damage	High	28			
H01SL	03	Joint Spalling	Medium	2			
H01SL	04	Corner Spalling	Medium	2			
H01SL	04	Joint Seal Damage	High	75			
H01SL	04	Joint Spalling	Medium	1			
H01SL	04	LTD Cracking	Medium	1			
ole Notes:							
1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.							
2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitu							

Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Storm Lake Municipal Airport.

Branch

TH01SL

TH01SL

TH01SL

TH01SL

TH01SL

TH01SL

Table Notes:

2023

Estimated

Cost

\$1,327

\$504

\$210

\$252

\$33

\$3,553

Unit

Cost

\$3.14

\$39.04

\$39.04

\$3.14

\$39.04

\$3.14

Maintenance Action

Joint Seal (Localized)

Patching - PCC Partial Depth

Patching - PCC Partial Depth

Joint Seal (Localized)

Patching - PCC Partial Depth

Crack Sealing - PCC

Distress

Unit

Slabs

Slabs

Slabs

Slabs

Slabs

Slabs

PREPARED FOR

Rad with 7 4

Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

JULY 2023