West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport


Pavement Management Report

PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

JULY 2024







WEST UNION-GEORGE L SCOTT MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared For:



Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

Prepared By:



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	
PAVEMENT INVENTORY	3
PAVEMENT EVALUATION	
Pavement Evaluation Procedure	6
Pavement Evaluation Results	
Inspection Comments	13
Runway	
Taxiway	
Apron	
T-Hangar	13
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM	14
Analysis Parameters	
Critical PCIs	
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs	
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs	
Budget and Inflation Rate	
Analysis Approach	
Analysis Results	
General Maintenance Recommendations	
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)	
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Progra	
(PMP)	
SUMMARY	
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair	′
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at West Union-George L Scott Municipal	
Airport	
Figure 3. West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport network definition map	
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type	7
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport	{
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at West Union-George L Scott Municipal	
AirportFigure 8. West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport PCI map	8 1(
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results	11

Table of Contents July 2024

APPENDIXES

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2024 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	F-1

Introduction July 2024

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers (Robinson), updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the State of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport were visually assessed in November 2023 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present on the pavement surface are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

Introduction July 2024

The pavement evaluation results for West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport, the Iowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the web-based interactive pavement data visualization tool IDEA, containing the information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website or directly (Iowa APMS IDEA).

Pavement Inventory July 2024

PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps, as necessary, to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2020.

The pavement network at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.


Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.


To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 321,600 square feet of pavement were evaluated at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age in years of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport.

Pavement Inventory July 2024

Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport.





PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech visually inspected the pavements at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, <u>Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of</u> Airport Pavements.
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP).
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

During the PCI inspection, a cursory inspection of the entirety of a pavement section was performed. Sample units identified for more detailed inspection were verified, and adjustments to the selected sample units for inspection were made as needed to ensure an accurate assessment of the pavement's condition. Data pertaining to the types, severities, and quantities of observed pavement distresses were then collected within each sample unit. These data were then used to calculate the composite PCI of each pavement section. The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition with no visible signs of deterioration. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

PCI: 100

PCI: 83

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.

Note: Photographs shown are not specific to West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport.

PCI: 39

PCI: 66

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

PCI Range
86-100
Preventive Maintenance

56-70
Major Rehabilitation

26-40
11-25
Reconstruction

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which is useful when selecting M&R strategies. Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as:

- Load-related—These distress types are defined as being caused by aircraft or vehicular traffic and may indicate a structural deficiency. Examples of load-related distress include alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements and corner breaks on portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements.
- Climate/durability-related—These distress types often signify the presence of aged or environmentally susceptible (or both) material and include durability-related issues.
 Examples of climate/durability-related distress include weathering on asphalt-surfaced pavements, which is climate-related, and durability cracking on PCC pavements, which is durability-related.
- Other—Distress types that fall into this category cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability. Examples of this type of distress include depressions on asphaltsurfaced pavements and shrinkage cracking on PCC pavements.

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport were inspected in November 2023. The 2023 area-weighted condition of West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport is 80, with conditions ranging from 0 to 99 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2020, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 59.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.

Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport.





Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport. (Values on chart are area-weighted)






Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results.

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2023 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
A01WU	01	PCC	8,516	6/1/1975	22	53	9	38	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
A01WU	02	PCC	5,738	6/1/1977	0	93	7	0	Corner Break, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
A01WU	03	PCC	8,527	7/1/1991	82	36	54	10	Corner Break, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
A01WU	04	PCC	17,637	1/1/1996	60	61	23	16	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Scaling
A01WU	05	PCC	8,395	6/3/2017	92	0	86	14	Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
R17WU	01	PCC	48,287	6/1/1988	86	13	0	87	ASR, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
R17WU	02	PCC	165,863	6/1/1975	85	69	0	31	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
R17WU	03	PCC	40,766	6/3/2007	78	4	0	96	ASR, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
R17WU	04	PCC	5,600	6/3/2007	88	20	0	80	Faulting, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
T01WU	01	PCC	8,333	6/3/2015	99	100	0	0	Corner Break
TH01WU	01	PCC	3,950	10/1/2008	67	66	29	5	Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Scaling, Shrinkage Cracking

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.

Pavement Evaluation

Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results (continued).

- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Inspection Comments

West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport was inspected on November 8, 2023. There were eleven pavement sections defined during the inspection. Suspected alkali-silica reaction (ASR) was recorded at this airport in accordance with ASTM D5340. It should be noted that laboratory testing in the form of petrographic analysis is the only definitive way to validate the presence of ASR; however, the formation of a precipitate is evidence of a reaction consistent with this type of materials-related distress.

Runway

Runway 17/35 was defined by four sections. Section 01 was located at the Runway 35 approach. Areas of low-severity ASR, large patching, small patching, and longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking were recorded. Section 02 comprised the majority of Runway 17/35. Low-severity corner break, corner spalling, joint spalling, large patching, and small patching; low- and medium-severity LTD cracking; and shrinkage cracking were observed in this section. Section 03 was located along the edges of Runway 17/35. It contained areas of low- and medium-severity ASR and low-severity large patching, LTD cracking, and small patching. Section 04 was connected to the Runway 35 approach with areas of low-severity faulting, joint spalling, LTD cracking, and small patching recorded during the inspection.

Taxiway

Taxiway 01 consisted of one section in excellent condition. It connected Runway 17/35 to the apron area and contained only low-severity corner break.

Apron

The apron area was defined by five sections. Section 01 was in poor condition with low- and medium-severity corner break, faulting, and LTD cracking; medium-severity corner spalling and shattered slab; high-severity joint seal damage; and all severities of joint spalling. Section 02 was also in poor condition and contained medium-severity corner break and LTD cracking, medium- and high-severity shattered slab, and high-severity joint seal damage. Low- and medium-severity joint spalling, medium-severity corner break and LTD cracking, and high-severity joint seal damage were recorded in Section 03. Areas of low-severity corner break and scaling, medium-severity LTD cracking, high-severity corner spalling and joint seal damage, and all severities of joint spalling were identified in Section 04. Low-severity corner spalling and joint spalling and medium-severity joint seal damage were recorded in Section 05.

T-Hangar

The T-hangar area contained one section. Low-severity scaling, low- and medium-severity LTD cracking, high-severity joint seal damage, and shrinkage cracking were identified in Section 01.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The lowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the lowa DOT considered appropriate to correct the different distress types and severities. The lowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire State. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The lowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2024, and an inflation rate of 2.0 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2024) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2025 or 2026, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2024. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2024 localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2024 is provided in Appendix F.

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost
2024	A01WU	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$157,038
2024	A01WU	02	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$105,816
2024	A01WU	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$5,303
2024	A01WU	05	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$4,074
2024	R17WU	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$433
2024	R17WU	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$18,113
2024	T01WU	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$26
2024	TH01WU	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$1,686
2026	A01WU	04	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$160,070

Total Estimated Cost: \$453,000

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that State or Federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this report.
- Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur because of these
 inspections. This documentation needs to be reported to the FAA and the lowa DOT.
 This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in
 compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further
 information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure all edges of pavement maintain the required 1.5-inch lip. This enables the water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, mowing, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for Federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the Federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport will also need to undertake monthly drive-by inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized text is a direct quotation from the FAA Advisory Circular.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

A-1.0. An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the lowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map provided in Figure 3 is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to the pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

- d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.
 - Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.
- e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys," the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

A-1.3. Record Keeping. The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:

- a. Inspection date
- b. Location
- c. Distress types
- d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items A through C are satisfied by this inspection report. Item D is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

A-1.4. Information Retrieval. An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Table 3. Pavement inspection report.

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01WU	01					
A01WU	02					
A01WU	03					
A01WU	04					
A01WU	05					
R17WU	01					

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued).

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
R17WU	02					
R17WU	03					
R17WU	04					
T01WU	01					
TH01WU	01					

Table Note: See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

Summary July 2024

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2023 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 80. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$453,000 needs to be expended on M&R. West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

APPENDIX A CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Cause of Distress Tables July 2024

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Cause of Distress Tables July 2024

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

APPENDIX B INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01WU-01. Overview.



A01WU-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).



A01WU-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



A01WU-01. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 02).



A01WU-02. Overview.



A01WU-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



A01WU-02. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 01).



A01WU-03. Overview.



A01WU-03. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 02).



A01WU-03. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 02).



A01WU-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



A01WU-04. Overview.



A01WU-04. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 05).



A01WU-04. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



A01WU-05. Overview.



A01WU-05. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).



A01WU-05. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 03).



R17WU-01. Overview.



R17WU-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 11).



R17WU-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 13).



R17WU-01. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 04).



R17WU-02. Overview.



R17WU-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).



R17WU-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 33).



R17WU-02. Large Patching (Sample Unit No. 16).



R17WU-03. Overview.



R17WU-03. ASR (Sample Unit No. 10).



R17WU-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).



R17WU-03. Large Patching (Sample Unit No. 22).



R17WU-04. Overview.



R17WU-04. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).




R17WU-04. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



T01WU-01. Overview.



T01WU-01. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01WU-01. Overview.



TH01WU-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01WU-01. Scaling (Sample Unit No. 01).



APPENDIX C INSPECTION REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: 3Y2

Network ID: 3Y2			Page	
	Branch - Section ID: A01WU - 001			
Branch Name: APRON			Use: APRO	
LCD: 6/1/1975 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 8,515.60 Length (ft): 171.00 Width (ft): 57.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP	PCI Fa	amily: lowaPCCAP_NE_Basic		
Slabs: 41 Slab Length (ft): 14.00 Slab Width (ft): 15.00 Joint Length (ft): 976.77 Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 PCI: 22 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2		n Comments: avg		
Sample Number: 01				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 29 Sample Area (Slabs): 26.00		e Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M L M H L M H L M	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 8.00 Slabs 26.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs		
Sample Number: 02 Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 10 Sample Area (Slabs): 15.00	Sample	e Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 72 SHAT. SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL	L L M H M H L	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 15.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs		

Μ

2.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

74 JOINT SPALL

75 CORNER SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: 3Y2 Page 2

Branch - Section ID: A01WU - 002

Branch Name: APRON Use: APRON

LCD: 6/1/1977 PCI Family: lowaPCCAP_NE_Basic

Surface Type: PCC Rank: P

Naik.i

Section Area (sf): 5,738.00 Length (ft): 131.00 Width (ft): 101.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 18 Section Comments: avg slab width

Slab Length (ft): 20.00 Slab Width (ft): 16.00 Joint Length (ft): 544.91

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 0

Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 0

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

62 CORNER BREAK	M	4.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CR	M	5.00 Slabs
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	18.00 Slabs
72 SHAT. SLAB	Н	2.00 Slabs
72 SHAT SLAB	M	10.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: 3Y2

Branch - Section ID: A01WII - 003

	Dianon	000000111111111111111111111111111111111	
Branch Name: APRON			Use: APRON
LCD: 7/1/1991		PCI Family: IowaPCCAP_NE_Basic	
Surface Type: PCC			

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 8,527.00 Length (ft): 200.00 Width (ft): 37.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 72 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 11.90 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,296.16

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 82 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 75

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

 62 CORNER BREAK
 M
 1.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CR
 M
 1.00 Slabs

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 84

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 20.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL M 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 86

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 16.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL L 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: 3Y2

Network ID: 3Y2			Page 4
	Branch - Secti	on ID: A01WU - 004	
Branch Name: APRON			Use: APRON
LCD: 1/1/1996 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 17,636.62 Length (ft): 250.00 Width (ft): 70.00 From: A01WU-03 To: SEE MAP		PCI Family: IowaPCCAP_NE_Basic	
Slabs: 135 Slab Length (ft): 11.30 Slab Width (ft): 11.60 Joint Length (ft): 2,758.66		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 PCI: 60 Total Samples: 7 Surveyed: 4		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 48 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL	M H M	10.00 Slabs 21.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 47 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	L M H	1.00 Slabs 10.00 Slabs 21.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 70 SCALING 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL	M H L L M	1.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 67 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL	M H H L	1.00 Slabs 21.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	

Μ

1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

74 JOINT SPALL

75 CORNER SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: 3Y2 Page 5

Branch - Section ID: A01WU - 005

Branch Name: APRON Use: APRON

LCD: 6/3/2017 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 8,395.00 Length (ft): 158.00 Width (ft): 45.00

From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 68 Slab Length (ft): 11.00

Slab Width (ft): 11.20 Joint Length (ft): 1,273.05

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 92 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3

Section Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCAP NE Basic

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 91

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG **75 CORNER SPALL** Μ L

24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG

Μ

Μ

L

20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 92

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: 3Y2

Network ID: 3Y2			Page 6
	Branch - Section	on ID: R17WU - 001	
Branch Name: RUNWAY 17/35			Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 6/1/1988 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 48,286.91 Length (ft): 830.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: RUNWAY END 17 To: RUNWAY SECT 02		PCI Family: lowaPCCRW_NE_BasicLocal	
Slabs: 261 Slab Length (ft): 14.80 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 6,101.67		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 PCI: 86 Total Samples: 13 Surveyed: 6		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 99 Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00 66 SMALL PATCH	L	Sample Comments: 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH 67 LARGE PATCH	L L	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 07			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 89 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 66 SMALL PATCH	L	Sample Comments: 3.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 09			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 74 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 66 SMALL PATCH 76 ASR	և Լ Լ	2.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 12.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 11			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 79 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
OO OMALL DATOLL		0.00 01.1	

L

6.00 Slabs 9.00 Slabs

66 SMALL PATCH

76 ASR

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: 3Y2 Page 7

Sample Number: 13

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 86

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

 63 LINEAR CR
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 66 SMALL PATCH
 L
 7.00 Slabs

 67 LARGE PATCH
 L
 2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: 3Y2

Network ID: 3Y2			Page 8
	Branch - Section ID	: R17WU - 002	
Branch Name: RUNWAY 17/35			Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 6/1/1975 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 165,863.16 Length (ft): 3,420.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: RUNWAY SECT 01 To: RUNWAY END 35	PCI F	amily: lowaPCCRW_NE_BasicLocal	
Slabs: 663 Slab Length (ft): 20.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 18,196.45 Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023		on Comments:	
PCI: 85 Total Samples: 34 Surveyed: 8			
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 76 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Samp	le Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR 67 LARGE PATCH	L M L	4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 07			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 99 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Samp	le Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 12			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 90 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Samp	le Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 66 SMALL PATCH	L L	2.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 16			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 91 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Samp	le Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs	

L

Ν

2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs

67 LARGE PATCH

73 SHRINKAGE CR

Pavement Database: IA 2023		Generate Date: 4/16/	/2024
Network ID: 3Y2		Pa	age 9
Sample Number: 21			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 75	Sample	Comments:	
Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		4.00.01.1	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	L	3.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	4.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CR 75 CORNER SPALL	N L	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
	L	1.00 Stabs	
Sample Number: 26			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 71	Sample	Comments:	
Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00			
63 LINEAR CR	L	8.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	L	8.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
67 LARGE PATCH	L	3.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 30			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 97 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 33			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 79 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	
JE OUTHER BILLING	<u>-</u>	1.00 51455	

L

L

4.00 Slabs

2.00 Slabs

63 LINEAR CR

74 JOINT SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: 3Y2			Page 10
	Branch - Section	on ID: R17WU - 003	
Branch Name: RUNWAY 17/35			Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 6/3/2007 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 40,766.00 Length (ft): 4,248.00 Width (ft): 10.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP		PCI Family: lowaPCCRW_NE_BasicLocal	
Slabs: 815 Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 5.00 Joint Length (ft): 8,143.60		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 PCI: 78 Total Samples: 39 Surveyed: 10		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 75 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 63 LINEAR CR 66 SMALL PATCH	L L	Sample Comments: 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	11.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH 76 ASR	L L	1.00 Slabs 15.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 10		10.00 01455	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 75 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR	L	1.00 Slabs	
76 ASR 76 ASR	L M	4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 13			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 53 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH 76 ASR 76 ASR	L L M	3.00 Slabs 14.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 16			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 80		Sample Comments:	

66 SMALL PATCH 1.00 Slabs 76 ASR 12.00 Slabs

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

Pavement Database: IA 2023

Network ID: 3Y2

Sample Number: 22

Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Page 11

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

> 67 LARGE PATCH L 2.00 Slabs 76 ASR L 10.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 23

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

76 ASR L 4.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 26

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 90

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

76 ASR L 3.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 28

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 82

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

 67 LARGE PATCH
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 76 ASR
 L
 7.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 35

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 86

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

76 ASR L 6.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: 3Y2 Page 12

Branch .	Section	ID: R17WL	I _ NN4
Dianti	· Section	ID. RI/VVL	, - vv4

Branch Name: RUNWAY 17/35 Use: RUNWAY

Surface Type: PCC

LCD: 6/3/2007

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 5,600.00

Length (ft): 70.00 Width (ft): 80.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 42 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 13.20 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 834.24

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 88 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCRW NE BasicLocal

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 89

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

1.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CR L L 1.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 87

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

66 SMALL PATCH 2.00 Slabs L 71 FAULTING 3.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: 3Y2 Page 13

Branch - Section ID: T01WU - 001

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW NE BasicLocal

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/3/2015 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 8,333.00

Length (ft): 225.00 Width (ft): 25.00 From: APRON 01 To: RUNWAY 17/35

Slabs: 76 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 11.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,220.49

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 99 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 97

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

62 CORNER BREAK L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 28.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/16/2024

Network ID: 3Y2 Page 14

Branch - Section ID: TH01WU - 001

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR

LCD: 10/1/2008

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 3,950.00 Length (ft): 158.00

Width (ft): 158.00
From: SEE MAP
To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 28 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.40 Slab Width (ft): 11.50 Joint Length (ft): 479.03

Last Insp Date: 11/8/2023

PCI: 67 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1

PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NE&NCE

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 67

Sample Area (Slabs): 28.00

 63 LINEAR CR
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CR
 M
 4.00 Slabs

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 28.00 Slabs

 70 SCALING
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 73 SHRINKAGE CR
 N
 1.00 Slabs

APPENDIX D WORK HISTORY REPORT

WORK HISTORY

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: 3Y2

Network: WEST UNION-GEORGE L SCOTT MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch - Section ID: A01WU - 001

 LCD: 6/1/1975
 Length (ft):
 171.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 57.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 8,515.60

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2007	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1975	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: A01WU - 002

 LCD: 6/1/1977
 Length (ft):
 131.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 101.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 5,738.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2007	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1977	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: A01WU - 003

 LCD: 7/1/1991
 Length (ft):
 200.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 37.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 8,527.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
07-01-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: A01WU - 004

 LCD: 1/1/1996
 Length (ft):
 250.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 70.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 17,636.62

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-1996	NC-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	ESTIMATED DATE

Branch - Section ID: A01WU - 005

 LCD: 6/3/2017
 Length (ft):
 158.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 45.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 8,395.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2017	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	IDOT Section 7010 Class C
06-02-2017	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	P-209 (Granular Subbase)
06-01-2017	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	P-158 (Fly Ash Treated Subgrade)
06-01-2007	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1977	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

WORK HISTORY

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: 3Y2 Page 2

Branch - Section ID: R17WU - 001

 LCD: 6/1/1988
 Length (ft):
 830.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 48,286.91

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments	
06-03-2022	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	SLAB REPLACEMENT 6" PCC (PLAIN OR REINFORCED)	
06-03-2022	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-	
06-02-2022	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-	
06-01-2022	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	PATCHING (SPALL REPAIRS)	
06-01-2018	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	Field Est.	
06-01-2007	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-	
06-01-1988	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-	

Branch - Section ID: R17WU - 002

 LCD: 6/1/1975
 Length (ft): 3,420.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft): 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf): 165,863.16

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2022	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-02-2022	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2022	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	PATCHING (SPALL REPAIR)
06-01-2022	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00		SLAB REPLACEMENT 6" (PLAIN OR REINFORCED)
06-01-2007	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1975	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: R17WU - 003

 LCD: 6/3/2007
 Length (ft):
 4,248.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 10.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 40,766.00

 Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments	
06-03-2022	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-	
06-02-2022	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-	
06-01-2022	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	SLAB REPLACEMENT 6" (PLAIN OR REINFORCED)	
06-01-2022	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	PATCHING (SPALL REPAIR)	
06-03-2007	NC-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	6.00	True	-	
06-02-2007	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	P-208 Agg Subbase	
06-01-2007	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	P-152 Compacted Subgrade	

WORK HISTORY

Pavement Database: IA 2023 Generate Date: 4/30/2024

Network ID: 3Y2

Branch - Section ID: R17WU - 004

 LCD: 6/3/2007
 Length (ft):
 70.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 80.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 5,600.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2022	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-02-2022	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2022	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	PATCHING (SPALL REPAIR)
06-03-2007	NC-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	6.00	True	-
06-02-2007	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	P-208 Agg Subbase
06-01-2007	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	P-152 Compacted Subgrade

Branch - Section ID: T01WU - 001

 LCD: 6/3/2015
 Length (ft):
 225.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 25.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 8,333.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2022	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-03-2015	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	P-501 PCC
06-02-2015	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	P-154 Granular Subbase
06-01-2015	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	18.00	False	P-152 Subgrade

Branch - Section ID: TH01WU - 001

 LCD: 10/1/2008
 Length (ft):
 158.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 25.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 3,950.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-01-2008	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	LCD VIA GOOGLE EARTH

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low	Monitor
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium Monitor	
Swelling	High Asphalt Patch	
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action	
ASR	Low	Monitor	
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement	
ASR	High	Slab Replacement	
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement	
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement	
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement	
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC	
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch	
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch	
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor	
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch	
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement	
Faulting	Low	Monitor	
Faulting	Medium	Grinding	
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement	
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor	
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal	
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal	
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor	
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC	
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement	
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor	
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch	
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch	
Popouts	N/A	Monitor	
Pumping	N/A	Monitor	
Scaling	Low	Monitor	
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch	
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement	
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC	
Shattered Slab	Shattered Slab Medium Slab Replacement		
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement	
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor	
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor	
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch	
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch	

Table E-3. 2024 unit costs for localized preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$15.54/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.66/If
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$39.82/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$17.78/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.20/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.20/lf
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.38/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$17.78/sf

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

Table E-4. 2024 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0–40	PCI Range 40-50	PCI Range 50-60	PCI Range 60-70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80-90	PCI Range 90-100
AC	\$11.04	\$5.22	\$5.22	\$5.22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$18.44	\$8.72	\$8.72	\$8.72	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

APPENDIX F

YEAR 2024 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Table F-1. Year 2024 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2024 Estimated Cost
A01WU	03	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$47
A01WU	03	Joint Seal Damage	High	72	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$4,148
A01WU	03	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.82	\$343
A01WU	03	Corner Break	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.78	\$766
A01WU	05	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	68	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$4,074
R17WU	02	Corner Break	Low	8	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$218
R17WU	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	4	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$215
R17WU	03	ASR	Medium	20	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$17.78	\$18,113
T01WU	01	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$26
TH01WU	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	4	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.20	\$153
TH01WU	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	28	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.20	\$1,533

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at West Union-George L Scott Municipal Airport.



PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau – Aviation 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

JULY 2024