U.S. 20 Iowa River Bridge



2ND NATIONAL PREFABRICATED BRIDGE ELEMENTS AND SYSTEMS WORKSHOP



owa Department Transportation

NEW BRUNSWICK, New Jersey

September 8-10, 2004



History of the Relocation Process







History of the Relocation Process







Environmental Concerns & Restrictions

Concerns

- Eagles roosting area
- Northern Monkshood plant
- Mussels in river
- Quality Wetlands





Environmental Concerns & Restrictions

Restrictions

- Limited clearing & grubbing under bridge.
- Minimized areas of construction zone.
- No bridging or crossing of the river.
- No work on site Nov. 1st Apr. 15th. This restriction was lifted





Environmental Concerns & Restrictions







Archeological Restrictions

Indian burial mounds
Ancient native american campsites
Headstones





Bridge Details (One Superstructure)







Bridge Details



Bridge Details (Piers)



Geotechnical Analysis & Recommendations





lowa Department of Transportation



Launching Pit Excavated at East Abutment







Girders Assembled in Launching Pit







Girders Supported Rollers







Ramp Plates Aid Transition at Field Splices







Girders Supported by Rollers







Girders Guided by Horizontal Rollers







Monitor Girder Position During Launching







Jacking System Used for Launching







Jacking System Used for Launching







Launching Nose Accommodates Deflection







Deflection of WB Span 1 During Launch







Launching Nose Landing at Final Pier







Looking East From Beneath Girders at Pier 1







Rollers Removed After Launching Completed







Bearings Inserted and Girders Jacked Down







Goals of Monitoring Program

Gain a more complete understanding of the behavior of launched plate girder bridges

Quantify structural performance and verify assumptions made during design

Identify locations of overstress or other damage

- Immediate repair
- Long-term maintenance concerns



wa Department Transportation





Substructure Monitoring

General pier behavior (drilled shaft and driven pile)

- Column base strain
- Column base translation and tilt
- Cap beam tilt





At near and far column faces





Substructure Monitoring

Magnitude of launch induced forces At hydraulic jacks At pier cap







Largest day launch cumulative column stress measured was 600 psi

Residual stress at end of day launch









Max. measured column stresses of approx. 260 psi due to applied launch force "spikes"; similar to calculated values

Pier design controlled by AASHTO loads -design checks considered ramp crossing loads







Drilled shaft foundation more "flexible" than pile group foundation in resisting launch forces



Superstructure Monitoring

Girder load distribution Bending

Cross-frame behavior

Roller contact stresses

- Bottom flange
- Web
- Flange to web welds







Design bearing for vertical compressive stress -closed form solution of equivalent line load -reaction at Pier 6 for Pier 5 touchdown



Significant longitudinal flange strain measured > F_v



Significant vertical strain measured



Cross-frame behavior is complex and sensitive -axial forces, biaxial bending, and torsion

Measured values exceeded design values

Design assumed AASHTO loads only

Member Type	Design Force	Calculated Force (WB1)	Calculated Force (WB5)
Upper Chord	20 kips C	42.6 kips T	86.2 kips T
Diagonals	38 kips T or C	56.2 kips T	172.1 kips T
Bottom Chord	20 kips T or C	31.1 kips T	39.7 kips C





Action Related to Contact Stress Issue

Post-construction inspection

- Visual and magnetic particle
- No signs of cracking or other damage

High stresses can result in "cold work" regionFracture characteristics not impacted





Launch Project Recommendations

Use large contact surface area for launch rollers

Design crossframe members/connections to support the weight of one girder supported only by crossframe

Provide comprehensive monitoring program

- Identify potential problematic issues
- Alert contractor during launch





Launch Project Recommendations

Develop a launching system that is reversible

Use a set of mirrors or other system to monitor the "plumbness" of piers

Use constant width bottom flanges for I-girders





Conclusion

This project is proof that the incremental launching erection method can be successfully performed on longer span steel I-girder bridges. It is anticipated that this method of construction will become more commonplace in the U.S. as bridge owners recognize its potential benefits. Incremental launching is applicable to either environmentally sensitive areas or locations limited by restricted access.





Acknowledgements

Jensen ConstructionHNTB



