APPENDIX A PC CONCRETE GRADATION COMPARISON REPORT

(Computer Spreadsheet Available on Iowa DOT Office of Materials Web Site)

Rev 05/03		Re		-	artmer			-					Forr	n 200	
Project No.:		Intended Use:													
							•			(Pav	ing, Struc	ture, Pat	ching, Ind	cidental	
County:									Good		Fair		Poor		
Contractor/Producer:					Care of Equipment				_						
	Design No.:									_		_			
Coarse Agg. T203 A No.:									_		_				
Fine Agg. T203 A No.:										_					
Proper Equipment:															
Appl	licable Specs.:		-					Re	porting:		_		_		
DO	T Tested By:					C	ert. No.:				Date:			_	
			Cert. No.:							-	Date:				
							_		ercent Pa						
Grad No.	Sample ID	Specs	1 1/2"	1"	3/4"	1/2"	3/8"	#4	#8	#16	#30	#50	#100	#200	
Grad 140.	Oampic 15	DOT													
		Contr./Prod.													
		CONU./1 TOU.				_	l	l	l		-	l		l	
Grad No.	Sample ID	DOT				Specs									
		DOT													
		Contr./Prod.													
0:	DOT	Contr./Prod.	D:#	Tol.	Comply					action B		-		0/	
Sieves 1 1/2 - 1	% Retained	% Retained	Diff.	%	(Y/N) Y	0			Consec	cutive Sie	eves, %	<u>10</u>	olerance,	<u>%</u>	
1 - 3/4	NA NA	NA NA	0.0	2	Y	Coar	se Aggre	gate.	0.0	to	3.0		2		
3/4 - 1/2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	Y				3.1	to	10.0		3		
1/2 - 3/8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	Y				10.1	to	20.0		5		
3/8 - 4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	Y				20.1	to	30.0		6		
4 - 8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	Y				30.1	to	40.0		7		
8 - 200	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	Y				40.1	to	50.0		9		
200	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	Y								_		
		1													
3/8 - 4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	Υ		Fine Ag	gregate:							
4 - 8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	Υ				0.0	to	3.0		1		
8 - 16	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	Υ				3.1	to	10.0		2		
16 - 30	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	Y				10.1	to	20.0		3		
30 - 50	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	Υ				20.1	to	30.0		4		
50 - 100	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	Υ				30.1	to	40.0		4		
100 - 200	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	Y										
200	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	Υ										
Remarks:															
•	Distribution	Control Moto	-dala	Diet A	Antoriala	0	atr /Droduo		Dani Farai		Taska				

HMA GRADATION COMPARISON REPORT

(Computer Spreadsheet Available on Iowa DOT Office of Materials Web Site)

Rev 05/03		R	lowa Department Of Transportation eported Gradation & IM 216 Comparison Report									Form 201			
								-							
Contract ID:				Intended Use:											
							-								
	tor/Producer:						-							_	
	Design No.:									Good		Fair		Poor	
Mix Change (Y/N):						Care of Equipment:				_		-			
Date of Change:		-				Sampling Procedure:						-			
	Asphalt (Pb):			Splitting Procedure:							-		-		
Effective % A			•	Sieving to Completion:							•		-		
	r Equipment:		-						ıtations:		_		-		
Applic	cable Specs.:		-					Re	porting:		-		-		
DOT	Tostod By:						Cort No.					Date:			
DOT Tested By: Contr./Prod. Tested By:							Cert. No.:				Date: Date:				
001111.71 100	a. resieu by.						_	ort. 1 10			-	Date.			
ĺ							Sieve	Sizes - P	ercent Pa	ssing					
			1 1/2"	1"	3/4"	1/2"	3/8"	#4	#8	#16	#30	#50	#100	#200	
		Specs.													
Sample ID		DOT													
Sample ID		Contr./Prod.													
	DOT	Contr./Prod.		Tol.	Comply				DOT	Gyratory	Filler/Bitu	men Rati	io		
Sieves	% Retained	% Retained	Diff.	%	(Y/N)					, ,	0.00				
1 1/2 - 1	NA	NA	0.0	2	Υ										
1 - 3/4	NA	NA	0.0	2	Υ										
3/4 - 1/2	NA	NA	0.0	2	Υ		Sieve Fraction Between								
1/2 - 3/8	NA	NA	0.0	2	Υ		Consecutive Sieves, % Tol					Tolerar	nce, %		
3/8 - 4	NA	NA	0.0	2	Υ										
4 - 8	NA	NA	0.0	2	Υ				0.0	То	3.0		2		
8 - 16	NA	NA	0.0	2	Υ				3.1	То	10.0		3		
16 - 30	NA	NA	0.0	2	Υ				10.1	То	20.0		5		
30 - 50	NA	NA	0.0	2	Y				20.1	То	30.0		6		
50 - 100	NA	NA	0.0	2	Υ				30.1	То	40.0		7		
100 - 200	NA	NA	0.0	2	Υ				40.1	То	50.0		9		
200	NA	NA	0.0	2	Υ										
Remarks:															
	Distribution	Central Ma	terials	Dist I	Materials	Con	ntr./Produce	er	Proj. Engin	eer_	Technici	an			

QMC GRADATION COMPARISON REPORT

(Computer Spreadsheet Available on Iowa DOT Office of Materials Web Site)

			21/2	0	246		
Project No.:			QMC -	Gradation Correlation I.M.	Z10		
			Contract ID:		_ Date Sampled:		-
Plant Name:							_
Contractor:			Mix Design Number:		Design No.:		
Coarse Agg. Source:			Intermediate Agg. Source:				•
							=
		-					-
C.P.I.:		-	Cert. No.:		_ Specification:		-
Sieve Size	D.O.T. Coarse Agg	Prod. / C. P. I. Coarse	D.O.T. Coarse Agg	Prod. / C. P. I. Coarse			
	Percent Passing	Agg Percent Passing	Percent Retained	Agg Percent Retained	Fraction Difference	Applicable Tolerance	Complies
1.5" / 37.5mm 1" / 25.0mm							
3/4" / 19.0mm							
1/2" / 12.5mm							
3/8" / 9.5mm #4 / 4.75mm							
#8 / 2.36mm							
Minus #200							
Sieve Size			D.O.T. Intermediate Aggregate Percent Retained	Prod. / C. P. I. Intermediate Aggregate Percent Retained	Fraction Difference	Applicable Tolerance	Complies
1.5" / 37.5mm			Retained	Retained	Fraction Difference	Applicable Tolerance	Compiles
1" / 25.0mm							
3/4" / 19.0mm 1/2" / 12.5mm							
3/8" / 9.5mm							
#4 / 4.75mm #8 / 2.36mm							
Minus #200							
Sieve Size 3/8" / 9.5mm	D.O.T. Fine Aggregate Percent Passing	Prod. / C. P. I. Fine Aggregate Percent Passing	D.O.T. Fine Aggregate Percent Retained	Prod. / C. P. I. Fine Aggregate Percent Retained	Fraction Difference	Applicable Tolerance	Complies
#4 / 4.75mm							
#8 / 2.36mm							
#16 / 1.18mm #30 / 600um							
#50 / 300um							
#100 / 150um					-		
Minus #200			I		1		<u> </u>
Care of Equipment			□ GOOD	□FAIR	□POOR	Comments:	
Sampling Procedure			□ GOOD	□ FAIR	□ POOR		
Splitting Procedure			□ GOOD	□ FAIR	□ POOR		
Sieving to Completion			□ GOOD	□FAIR	□ POOR		
Computations			□ GOOD	□FAIR	□ POOR		
Reporting			E GOOD	□ EAIR	□POOR cc		