

## Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2024)

<b>Department Name:</b>	Transportation	<b>Date:</b>	6-21-24	<b>Total Rule Count:</b>	5
<b>IAC #:</b>	761	<b>Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):</b>	178	<b>Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:</b>	314.1A
<b>Contact Name:</b>	Nicole Moore	<b>Email:</b>	<a href="mailto:Nicole.moore@iowadot.us">Nicole.moore@iowadot.us</a>	<b>Phone:</b>	515.460.0763

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

**What is the intended benefit of the rule?**

The intended benefit of the rules is to implement cost reporting of projects that cities and counties construct.

**Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.**

Yes. The counties and cities are reporting this information annually.

**What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?**

No additional costs incurred by the public.

**What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?**

No costs incurred by the Department or others to implement and enforce these administrative rules. Iowa Code section 314.1A is what requires the project cost reporting, which does have an administrative time component to it, but the rules don't cause any additional costs above what the Iowa Code requires.

**Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.**

Since there are no costs incurred, the benefits of the rules are achieved by providing project cost information for transparency.

**Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit?  YES  NO**

**If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.**

Instructions to implement the Iowa Code are already provided, that include more detail than the administrative rules, but the details from the administrative rules could be added to the instructions.

**Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]**

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

178.3 The definition of "Repair or maintenance" is unnecessary.

**RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):**

Rescind Rule 761 IAC 178.2, Contact Information (combine with 178.1).

**RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):**

- 178.1 Purpose and contact Information
- 178.2 Definitions
- 178.3 Detailed Instructions furnished to cities and counties
- 178.4 Project Reporting

***\*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

**METRICS**

<b>Total number of rules repealed:</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation</b>	<b>6</b>

**ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?**

No.