

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department Name:	Transportation	Date:	2/6/2025	Total Rule Count:	1
IAC #:	761	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	151	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	No specific rule authority
Contact Name:	Tina Greenfield	Email:	tina.greenfield@iowadot.us	Phone:	(515) 357-0965

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The chapter is intended to provide guidance for the requirements and procedures for closing primary road extensions in cities, for reasons other than fire, construction or repair.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. The chapter provides guidance for safe and effective closure for community events and festivals.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

The public incurs no cost except perhaps temporary inconvenience due to detours.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

Costs to the Department directly associated with the chapter includes the staff time associated with reviewing city closure requests and erecting detour signs. Iowa State Patrol has staff time costs associated with reviewing the proposed detour. The other roles are borne by the city who requested the closure.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. Cities can host festivals and other activities that enrich their community culture and invigorate local businesses while motorists have safe and comprehensible detours around the event.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

There is no specific rule authority within the Iowa Code for this activity. Therefore, the Department is proposing to permanently rescind Chapter 151 and will instead provide an Instructional Memorandum to manage these types of closures.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

None noted.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

Entirety of Chapter 151:
151.1

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

None

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	1
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	548
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	27

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

None noted.