

## Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

<b>Department Name:</b>	Transportation	<b>Date:</b>	08/14/2025	<b>Total Rule Count:</b>	6
<b>IAC #:</b>	761	<b>Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):</b>	136	<b>Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:</b>	307.12(1)"j"
<b>Contact Name:</b>	Chris Poole	<b>Email:</b>	chris.poole@iowadot.us	<b>Phone:</b>	515-239-1513

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

### What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The intended benefit of the rule is to provide clear guidance on how entities can request lighting at the intersection of a side road or entrance with a primary road.

### Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. The chapter provides guidance for entities to request approval from the Department to install lighting within the primary highway right-of-way.

### What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

None. The rule applies only to entities that choose to submit a request for lighting.

### What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

Costs to the Department include the staff time associated with the processing of lighting requests.

### Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

The costs justify the benefits since the Department's costs to administer the rule are minimal, and because the Department typically receives fewer than five lighting requests per year.

### Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☒ YES ☐ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

There is no Iowa Code section requiring these rules. Therefore, the Department is proposing to permanently rescind this chapter and plans to instead include the guidance in an operational manual.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

None noted.

---

**RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):**

136.1  
136.2  
136.3  
136.4  
136.5  
136.6

**RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):**

None.

***\*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

**METRICS**

<b>Total number of rules repealed:</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation</b>	<b>750</b>
<b>Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation</b>	<b>19</b>

**ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?**

None.