Red Tape Review Rule Report

(Due: September 1, 2025)

Department	Transportation	Date:	08/14/2025	Total Rule	5
Name:				Count:	
	761	Chapter/	150	Iowa Code	
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	307.12(1)"j"
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact	Tina	Email:	tina.greenfield@iowadot.us	Phone:	(515) 357-
Name:	Greenfield				0965

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This chapter is intended to define responsibilities, requirements, and procedures for construction and maintenance on primary road extensions within cities.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. This chapter provides guidance for the respective costs and responsibilities of a city and the Department for facilities commonly impacted by maintenance or construction and includes traffic signals, lighting, bridges, intersection paint markings, signs, rights-of-way, etc.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

This chapter dictates which public funding source will be used for a particular situation. Depending on the project, the citizens of a city may bear the cost for a project, or it may be the Department's tax base. Therefore, the cost to a member of the public to comply with these rules depends on the particular project and which tax base they belong to.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

Costs to the Department directly associated with the chapter include staff time associated with reviewing project plans and any responsibilities assigned to the Department for a given project. Cities also have costs associated with project review and responsibilities assigned to them for a given project.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. This chapter provides statewide consistency and predictability in how costs and responsibilities are divided and reduces time spent in negotiation between the city and the Department.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? \Box YES oxdot NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Since this chapter guides funding decisions for highway maintenance and construction projects in any lowa city, a rule chapter is necessary to ensure predictability, uniformity, and structure to those decisions.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

150.1 unnecessary content was removed.

150.2 unnecessarily restrictive terms and unnecessary content were removed.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):						
None.						
RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):						
150.1						
150.2						
150.3						
150.4						
150.5						
*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.						
MATTRICC						

150.3 unnecessary content was removed.

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	0
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	226
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	22

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?						
No.						
	1					