

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department Name:	Transportation	Date:	7-23-2025	Total Rule Count:	5
IAC #:	761	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	923	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	1985 Iowa Acts, Chapter 265
Contact Name:	Kristin Haar	Email:	Kristin.haar@iowadot.us	Phone:	515-233- 7875

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This chapter enables Iowa public transit agencies to obtain faster capital acquisitions by providing loans to help cover the local match required by federal or state programs.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. The Capital Match Revolving Loan Fund has provided local matching funds to state or federal capital programs allowing public transit agencies across Iowa to purchase replacement buses or build facilities sooner or more quickly than otherwise able. From 2006 to the present, 16 of Iowa's 35 public transit agencies have utilized the revolving loan program and two of the three intercity bus carriers operating in the state have also been the recipients of loans from this program.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

No costs are incurred by the public.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

There are no costs to the Department to implement or enforce the rules.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Not applicable.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

As a loan program, there must be eligibility and repayment guidance clearly outlined for public transit agencies to understand expectations when applying. It is believed this loan fund is unique to Iowa.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

923.1 removes unnecessary language.
923.2 removes an unnecessary definition.
923.3 removes unnecessary language.
923.4 removes unnecessary language.
923.5 removes unnecessary language and unnecessarily restrictive terms.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

923.2

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

923.1

923.2

923.3

923.4

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	1
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	66
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	11

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No