# Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department	Transportation	Date:	8-8-2025	Total Rule	8
Name:				Count:	
	761	Chapter/	910	Iowa Code	324A.4
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact	Kristin Haar	Email:	kristin.haar@iowadot.us	Phone:	515-233-
Name:					7875

## PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

#### What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This rule chapter details the process providers receiving federal, state, or local funding for provision of public transit services or transportation to the general public or specific client groups will follow to report the types of funding received, population groups served, services accessed, and whether or not the provider purchases transportation from the local public transit agency. The rule chapter's benefit is ascertaining the types of transportation services provided using public dollars and encouraging coordination with the local public transit system to consolidate services for operating efficiencies.

### Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. The majority of public transit agencies provide Medicaid non-emergency transportation and several contract with nursing homes or HeadStart to provide transportation services.

#### What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

No costs are incurred by the public to comply with the rule.	

#### What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

No costs are incurred by the department to implement and enforce the rule.

### Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Not applicable.			

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit?  $\square$  YES  $\boxtimes$  NO If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

There is no less restrictive alternative available to accomplish the benefit of the rule.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

## PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

<b>910.1</b> was amended to remove unnecessary	definition and	other redundant or	obsolete content.
--	----------------	--------------------	-------------------

**910.3** was amended to remove unnecessarily restrictive terms and unnecessary content.

**910.4** was amended to remove unnecessarily restrictive terms and unnecessary content.

**910.7** was amended to remove unnecessarily restrictive terms and unnecessary content.

**910.8** was amended to remove unnecessarily restrictive terms.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):	
None	
	l

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):			
910.1			
910.2			
910.3			
910.4			
910.5			
910.6			
910.7			
910.8			

#### **METRICS**

Total number of rules repealed:	0
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	43
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	27

CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND	

No.

<sup>\*</sup>For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.