

Red Tape Review Rule Report

(Due: September 1, 2025)

Department Name:	Transportation	Date:	4/30/2025	Total Rule Count:	6
IAC #:	761	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	920	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	324A
Contact Name:	Kristin Haar	Email:	kristin.haar@iowadot.us	Phone:	515-233-7875

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

Chapter 920, State Transit Assistance, explains how the department will provide Iowa's designated public transit agencies with State Transit Assistance funding - either through a special project application or through formula payments. This rule shows the formula used to determine each transit agencies' allocation of state transit assistance funding.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. Annually, the department distributes approximately \$19 million to Iowa's designated public transit agencies through the state transit assistance formula to support daily operations of public transit services. New services are also piloted, and training fellowships are issued utilizing the special project funding set aside.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

No costs are incurred by the public to comply with this rule.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

There are no costs to the agency or any other agency to implement or enforce this rule.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

There are no costs.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

The public and the public transit agencies need to be aware of how the state transit assistance formula is calculated so it is distributed in a fair, equitable manner based on a transit agency's past performance statistics. This rule explains that formula plus how 'off the top' funds for special projects will be prioritized.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

920.1 This rule removes an unnecessarily restrictive term.
920.2 This rule removes unnecessarily restrictive terms and unnecessary content.
920.3 This rule removes unnecessary content.
920.4 This rule removes unnecessarily restrictive terms and unnecessary content.
920.5 This rule removes unnecessarily restrictive terms and unnecessary content.
920.6 This rule removes unnecessarily restrictive terms and unnecessary content.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

None.

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

920.1
920.2
920.3
920.4
920.5
920.6
920.7
920.8

***For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.**

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	0
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	0/added 142
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	8

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No.