





















What's the Plan?

- A long-range document that addresses federal requirements and guides transportation investments by the Iowa Transportation Commission (Commission) and Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT).
- Prior plan update was adopted in 2017.
- Updated every five years to stay current with trends, forecasts, and factors that influence decision-making, such as legislation, funding, technological changes, and state priorities.
- Forecasts demand for transportation infrastructure and services to 2050.
- Provides direction for each transportation mode, and includes a continued emphasis on stewardship – defined as efficient investment and prudent, responsible management of the existing transportation system.

What's in the draft Plan?

- Trends: Demographic, economic, passenger, and freight
- **System condition**: An overview of each mode
- Planning considerations: Issues that influence transportation planning
- Vision and system objectives: The vision for lowa's future transportation system and system objectives to help achieve it
- Needs and risks: Analysis of current and future needs and risks by mode
- Strategies: Actions and initiatives to help implement the plan
- Financial analysis: Projected annual costs and revenues by mode; ways to address any shortfalls
- **Implementation**: Programming and performance monitoring

What's changed from the last plan?

This plan is the third in the current series of long-range plans. In 2012, a policy level plan was adopted. In 2017, the plan was expanded to identify primary investment areas, categorize future needs across modes, and provide strategies to achieve the system vision. The 2022 Plan is building on these past plans with several notable enhancements that will impact the transportation system, including the following.

- Clearly defined system objectives
- Expanded consideration and analysis of safety •
- Accessibility and equity considerations
- Rightsizing policy guidance
- Focus on infrastructure resiliency
- Clarified role in project development

What will the outcome be?

Input gathered during the comment period and the final draft Plan will be discussed with the Commission prior to their consideration of adopting the Plan at a business meeting. Once adopted, the Plan will be a guide to assist the Commission and department in making informed transportation investment decisions for the state.

Projects programmed within the Iowa DOT's Five-Year Program, which is approved by the Commission, logically flow from the Plan and align with its vision. In addition, more specialized plans will provide further detail concerning the implementation of elements of the Plan. The Plan plays a key role in the transportation planning cycle of public policy and input, the transportation plan, the Five-Year Program, and performance monitoring.













Vision

The vision of the Commission and Iowa DOT is:

A safe and efficient multimodal transportation system that enables the social and economic wellbeing of all lowans, provides enhanced access and mobility for people and freight, and accommodates the unique needs of urban and rural areas in a sustainable manner.

System Objectives

The ultimate purpose of the transportation system is to get people and goods where they need to go – mobility. To know whether or not we are meeting or making progress towards that goal, we need to be able to define outcomes that can be measured. Through this Plan, mobility is being defined through four outcomes – safety, sustainability, accessibility, and flow – which are all critical elements for a well-functioning transportation system. These mobility outcomes can also be thought of as system objectives, or what we are trying to achieve with the system – that it is the safest, most sustainable, most accessible, and smoothest flowing that it can be for users. The system objectives help form a framework for decision-making. By defining what we are trying to achieve and how to measure whether we are achieving it, we can make better decisions about what projects to fund or which activities to undertake to make progress.











Planning Considerations

The Plan includes expanded discussion of several topics due to their importance to the statewide transportation planning process and input from stakeholders. Several are also emerging planning areas that may not have standalone plans or may have relatively new plans.

- Asset management and stewardship
- **Economic vitality**
- Energy
- **Environmental planning**
- Equity, accessibility, and civil rights
- Land use, livability, and quality of life
- Resiliency and sustainability
- Safety
- Security
- Technology
- Travel and tourism
- Transportation systems management and operations

Analysis, Needs, and Risks


The Plan includes an accessibility/mobility analysis, a detailed analysis of the Primary Highway System, and incorporates needs for other modes from their system plans.

Accessibility/mobility analysis


- Focused on factors that may limit mobility, ability to access transportation infrastructure, and/or travel via a personal vehicle.
- The aim was to identify populations that may be more likely to have mobility challenges than the general public. These populations may be particularly in need of or best served by alternatives to driving and may be better served by non-traditional public outreach techniques.

Highway needs and risks

- A nine-layer analysis was conducted to analyze various needs and risks.
- The Primary Highway System was divided into 464 corridors for analysis, and needs and risks were identified at the corridor level.
- The Plan includes a comprehensive matrix covering the entire Primary Highway System. The matrix shows which need(s) and/or risk(s) were identified for each corridor.







| | | | MATHOR CHARLIS CONTRACT STRONG CONCESS STRONG CONTRACT STRONG | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-------------------|---------------------|---|---------|-------------|---------|----------------|------|---------|--------|---------|-------|---------------|---------------|
| Double | Corridor | ti | IMFN | CIN | ion ondinic | n necks | | City | 1640 | Stions | Silienc | | Tions | |
| Route | | County | Netv | vorks | | | Needs | | | | | Risks | | |
| US 6 | I-80 to US 59 | Pottawattamie | - | | | | 99 | | | | | | 0.0% | 5.9% |
| | US 59 to US 71 | Pottawattamie, Cass | _ | | | | | | | | | | 7.8% | 7.8% |
| | US 169 to I-35/80 | Dallas, Polk | _ | | | | 20, 46 | | Partial | | | | 1.9% | 1.9% |
| | I-35/80 to IA 28 | Polk | | Partial | | | 1, 11, 37, 102 | | | | | | 3 5.1% | 56.9% |
| | IA 28 to US 69 | Polk | | | | | 15, 37, 43 | | | | | | 98.1% | 98.1% |
| | US 69 to I-235 | Polk | | | | | | | | | | | 99.7% | 99.7% |
| | I-235 to I-80 | Polk | | | | | 75, 78 | | | | | | 25.6% | 39.6% |
| | I-80 to IA 146 | Jasper, Poweshiek | | | | | 36 | | | | | | 9.8% | 10.1% |
| | IA 146 to US 151 | Poweshiek, Iowa | | | | | | | | | | | 6.7% | 4.1% |
| | US 151 to IA 965 | Iowa, Johnson | | | | | 81 | | | | | | 0.1% | 0.1% |
| | IA 965 to IA 1 | Johnson | | | | | 2, 30, 81 | | | | | | 49.3% | 56.8% |
| | IA 1 to IA 70 | Johnson, Muscatine | | Partial | | | | | Partial | | | | 8.8% | 7.5% |
| | IA 70 to IA 38 | Muscatine | | | | | | | | | | | 0.1% | 0.1% |
| | IA 38 to I-80 | Muscatine, Cedar | | | | | | | | | | | 2.7% | 1.5% |
| | I-280 to IA 461 | Scott | | | | | | | | | | | 3 2.9% | 3 4.1% |
| | IA 461 to I-74 | Scott | | | | | 73 | | | | | | 0.8% | 2.6% |








Strategies and Rightsizing Policy

The Plan includes 30 strategies related to implementing the Plan, addressing critical planning considerations, and integrating the highway needs and risks analysis into the planning and programming process. One of the strategies relates to integrating the rightsizing policy across planning, programming, and project development activities. The rightsizing policy is included in the Plan; it defines rightsizing and provides a policy statement and discussion for ten rightsizing policy areas.

The Iowa DOT defines rightsizing as the following:

Rightsizing means seeking an appropriate level and type of investment that avoids overinvesting or underinvesting, overbuilding or underbuilding, and overserving or underserving the market based on user and system needs.

The department's role in rightsizing should be Benefit viewed as leveraging existing assets and limited resources to maximize the returns for users of the multimodal transportation system, with operating, maintaining, and constructing this system as a means to this end.



The rightsizing topic areas include the following.

- **Project needs**
- Comprehensive needs
- Stewardship priority
- Stratification of the system
- Equity
- Resiliency
- Congestion or operational issues
- Emerging technologies
- Speculative development
- New or revised interchange access

Planning and Programming Cycle

The Plan clarifies the overall planning and programming cycle by highlighting the steps involved in focusing attention, defining needs, prioritizing among needs, deciding what investments to make, executing projects, and monitoring progress.

