

MPO and RPA Distribution Formulas

MPO/RPA Quarterly
June 21, 2023

2

Background

- After qualifying urban areas are announced based on the results of the 2020 Decennial Census, States are required to revisit the intra-State distribution formulas for MPOs (FHWA PL and FTA 5305d) and revise, as necessary.
 - Required by law (23 CFR 420.109) (49 U.S.C. 5305(d)(2) for MPOs
- A revisit is to ensure that the new Census 2020 urban area population figures are being used and that any new MPOs are part of the calculation.
- Not federally required for RPA transportation planning funding

Revisit and Review

- Iowa DOT sent an email to MPOs and RPAs on May 2, 2023 that asked the agencies to review the current distribution formulas and other background information.
- Once the information was reviewed, agencies were asked to respond back to the Iowa DOT by May 31, 2023 to either reaffirm the current distribution formula or provide comments/suggestions for proposing a new distribution formula.
- Iowa DOT has been working on summarizing the results and updating spreadsheet calculations with alternative proposals.

RPA

- 17 of 18 reaffirmed the current formula;
 - ½ equally among all RPAs (minimum distribution)
 - ¼ based on each RPA's share of the total statewide non-urbanized population from the most recent decennial census (population), and
 - ¼ based on the ratio of the number of counties in each RPA out of the statewide total counties (regional planning needs).
- 1 proposal received
 - ½ equally among all RPAs (minimum distribution)
 - ¼ based on each RPA's share of the total statewide non-urbanized population from the most recent decennial census (population), and
 - ¼ based on the ratio of the total RPA land area (sq. mi) in each RPA as a proportion of the total statewide RPA land area (sq. mi). (regional planning needs).



RPA

- Presenting: Aaron Detter (RPA 1) to talk more about the proposal for switching out the criteria of “number of counties” with “total RPA land area”
- Discussion: Everyone, please feel free to ask questions, provide comments, and discuss the merits of the current formula and the proposed formula.



RPA

- Funding Implications
 - Estimated SFY 2025 allocation to RPAs (current allocation)
 - Estimated SFY 2025 allocation to RPAs (proposed allocation)
 - Comparison between current and proposed
- Mentimeter poll

Formula # 6-23-5305e-5311-59R
FHWA STATE PLANNING & RESEARCH (SPR) FUNDS - IOWA, FTA STATE PLANNING & RESEARCH PROGRAM (5305e) FUNDS - IOWA, and FTA FORMULA GRANTS FOR RURAL AREAS (5311) - IOWA
FFY 2025 (FFY 2024) ALLOCATION TO RPAs - DRAFT (1)

6/21/2023

Est. FFY 2024 IOWA 5305e APPOINTMENT TOTAL = \$180,645.00
 Est. FFY 2024 IOWA 5311 APPOINTMENT SET-ASIDE AMOUNT = \$400,000.00
 Est. FFY 2024 IOWA FTA TOTAL APPOINTMENT 1/2 DIVIDED EQUALLY = \$290,322.50
 Est. FFY 2024 IOWA FTA TOTAL APPOINTMENT 1/4 2020 CENSUS = \$145,161.25
 Est. FFY 2024 IOWA FTA TOTAL APPOINTMENT 1/4 NUMBER OF COUNTY = \$145,161.25
 Est. FFY 2024 IOWA FHWA SPR APPOINTMENT 1:1 = \$580,645.00

METHODOLOGY: **CURRENT ALLOCATION**
 CENSUS YEAR: 2020
 CHANGE: Using 2020 pop. instead of 2010 pop.

STATUS: **EXAMPLE FOR REVIEW AND DISCUSSION**
 DATE: 6/21/2023

Iowa Non-UZA Planning Area	2020 Census Non-Urbanized Area Population Utilized				Allocation - 1/2 Equal Distribution (3)	Allocation - 1/4 Share of 2020 Pop. Distribution (4)	Allocation - 1/4 Share of Number of Counties (5)	TOTAL 5305d & 5311 ALLOCATION		TOTAL SPR ALLOCATION (6)	TOTAL COMBINED ALLOCATION	
	Number of Counties	# of Counties Dist. Factor	2020 CENSUS Pop. (7)	2020 CENSUS Pop. Dist. Factor				Amount	Rounding Correction(7)		Amount	Amount
RPA 1	5	5.05%	80,152	4.53%	\$16,129.03	\$6,568.99	\$7,331.38	\$30,029.40	\$30,029	\$30,029	\$60,058	5.17%
RPA 2	8	8.08%	123,083	6.95%	\$16,129.03	\$10,087.47	\$11,730.20	\$37,946.70	\$37,947	\$37,947	\$75,894	6.54%
RPA 3	9	9.09%	141,474	7.99%	\$16,129.03	\$11,594.74	\$13,196.48	\$40,920.24	\$40,920	\$40,920	\$81,840	7.05%
RPA 4	5	5.05%	70,198	3.96%	\$16,129.03	\$5,753.19	\$7,331.38	\$29,213.60	\$29,214	\$29,214	\$58,428	5.03%
RPA 5	6	6.06%	91,583	5.17%	\$16,129.03	\$7,505.84	\$8,797.65	\$32,432.52	\$32,432	\$32,432	\$64,864	5.59%
RPA 6	4	4.04%	92,780	5.24%	\$16,129.03	\$7,603.94	\$5,865.10	\$29,598.07	\$29,598	\$29,598	\$59,196	5.10%
RPA 7	6	6.06%	101,233	5.72%	\$16,129.03	\$8,296.72	\$8,797.65	\$33,223.40	\$33,223	\$33,223	\$66,446	5.72%
RPA 8	4	4.04%	114,925	6.49%	\$16,129.03	\$9,418.87	\$5,865.10	\$31,413.00	\$31,413	\$31,413	\$62,826	5.41%
RPA 9	2	2.02%	66,427	3.75%	\$16,129.03	\$5,444.14	\$2,932.55	\$24,505.71	\$24,506	\$24,506	\$49,012	4.22%
RPA 10	7	7.07%	167,452	9.45%	\$16,129.03	\$13,723.81	\$10,263.93	\$40,116.76	\$40,117	\$40,117	\$80,234	6.91%
RPA 11	8	8.08%	248,681	14.04%	\$16,129.03	\$20,381.07	\$11,730.20	\$48,240.30	\$48,240	\$48,240	\$96,480	8.31%
RPA 12	6	6.06%	72,167	4.07%	\$16,129.03	\$5,914.57	\$8,797.65	\$30,841.25	\$30,841	\$30,841	\$61,682	5.31%
RPA 13	4	4.04%	45,273	2.56%	\$16,129.03	\$3,710.42	\$5,865.10	\$25,704.55	\$25,705	\$25,705	\$51,410	4.43%
RPA 14	5	5.05%	33,897	1.91%	\$16,129.03	\$2,778.09	\$7,331.38	\$26,238.49	\$26,238	\$26,238	\$52,476	4.52%
RPA 15	5	5.05%	90,526	5.11%	\$16,129.03	\$7,419.21	\$7,331.38	\$30,879.61	\$30,880	\$30,880	\$61,760	5.32%
RPA 16	4	4.04%	103,784	5.86%	\$16,129.03	\$8,505.79	\$5,865.10	\$30,499.92	\$30,500	\$30,500	\$61,000	5.25%
RPA 17	7	7.07%	61,528	3.47%	\$16,129.03	\$5,042.63	\$10,263.93	\$31,435.58	\$31,436	\$31,436	\$62,872	5.41%
RPA 18	4	4.04%	66,032	3.73%	\$16,129.03	\$5,411.76	\$5,865.10	\$27,405.89	\$27,406	\$27,406	\$54,812	4.72%
Non-UZA Total	99	100.00%	1,771,195	100.00%	\$290,322.50	\$145,161.25	\$145,161.25	\$580,645.00	\$580,645.00	\$580,645.00	\$1,161,290.00	100.00%
Allocation %					50.00%	25.00%	25.00%	100.00%	100.00%			
IA Total (8)			3,190,369	55.52%	\$290,322.50	\$145,161.25	\$145,161.25	\$580,645.00	\$580,645.00		\$1,161,290.00	

Individual RPA totals are rounded to the nearest dollar.

NOTES:
 (1) Based on the formula adopted as part of Iowa in Motion Transit Implementation Plan.
 (2) Population adjustment not used.
 (3) One-half is distributed equally among all RPAs (Base).
 (4) One-quarter is distributed based on each RPA's share of the total statewide non-urbanized population from the most recent decennial census (Population).
 (5) One-quarter is distributed based on the ratio of the number of counties in each RPA out of 99 total counties (Regional Need).
 (6) Distributed on a 1:1 match after each RPA's 5305(e)/5311 allocation is determined.
 (7) Manual rounding corrections were needed for RPA 5 (round down by \$1).
 (8) Population listed is Iowa statewide total population. Population distribution is the percentage the Total Non-Urbanized Area population is of the Iowa total population.

Formula # 6-23-5305e-5311-59R
FHWA STATE PLANNING & RESEARCH (SPR) FUNDS - IOWA, FTA STATE PLANNING & RESEARCH PROGRAM (5305e) FUNDS - IOWA, and FTA FORMULA GRANTS FOR RURAL AREAS (5311) - IOWA
FFY 2025 (FFY 2024) ALLOCATION TO RPAs - DRAFT (1)

6/21/2023

Est. FFY 2024 IOWA 5305e APPOINTMENT TOTAL = \$180,645.00
 Est. FFY 2024 IOWA 5311 APPOINTMENT SET-ASIDE AMOUNT = \$400,000.00
 Est. FFY 2024 IOWA FTA TOTAL APPOINTMENT 1/2 DIVIDED EQUALLY = \$290,322.50
 Est. FFY 2024 IOWA FTA TOTAL APPOINTMENT 1/4 2020 CENSUS = \$145,161.25
 Est. FFY 2024 IOWA FTA TOTAL APPOINTMENT 1/4 TOTAL LAND AREA OF NON-UZA RPA = \$145,161.25
 Est. FFY 2024 IOWA FHWA SPR APPOINTMENT 1:1 = \$580,645.00

METHODOLOGY: **PROPOSED ALLOCATION**
 CENSUS YEAR: 2020
 CHANGE: Using 2020 pop. instead of 2010 pop.
 Total Land Area in lieu of Number of Counties

STATUS: **EXAMPLE FOR REVIEW AND DISCUSSION**
 DATE: 6/21/2023

Iowa Non-UZA Planning Area	2020 Census Non-Urbanized Area Population Utilized				Allocation - 1/2 Equal Distribution (3)	Allocation - 1/4 Share of 2020 Pop. Distribution (4)	Allocation - 1/4 Share of Non-UZA RPA Total Area (5)	TOTAL 5305d & 5311 ALLOCATION		TOTAL SPR ALLOCATION (6)	TOTAL COMBINED ALLOCATION	
	Total Area of Non-UZA RPA	Total Area Dist. Factor	2020 CENSUS Pop. (7)	2020 CENSUS Pop. Dist. Factor				Amount	Rounding Correction(7)		Amount	Amount
RPA 1	3,347	6.15%	80,152	4.53%	\$16,129.03	\$6,568.99	\$8,933.26	\$31,631.28	\$31,631	\$31,631	\$63,262	5.45%
RPA 2	4,483	8.24%	123,083	6.95%	\$16,129.03	\$10,087.47	\$11,963.66	\$38,180.16	\$38,180	\$38,180	\$76,360	6.58%
RPA 3	4,858	8.93%	141,474	7.99%	\$16,129.03	\$11,594.74	\$12,964.73	\$40,688.50	\$40,689	\$40,689	\$81,378	7.01%
RPA 4	3,304	6.07%	70,198	3.96%	\$16,129.03	\$5,753.19	\$8,816.95	\$30,699.18	\$30,699	\$30,699	\$61,398	5.29%
RPA 5	3,465	6.37%	91,583	5.17%	\$16,129.03	\$7,505.84	\$9,246.28	\$32,881.15	\$32,881	\$32,881	\$65,762	5.66%
RPA 6	2,452	4.51%	92,780	5.24%	\$16,129.03	\$7,603.94	\$6,542.86	\$30,275.83	\$30,276	\$30,276	\$60,552	5.21%
RPA 7	3,000	5.51%	101,233	5.72%	\$16,129.03	\$8,296.72	\$8,505.39	\$32,431.13	\$32,431	\$32,431	\$64,862	5.59%
RPA 8	2,400	4.41%	114,925	6.49%	\$16,129.03	\$9,418.87	\$6,404.27	\$31,952.17	\$31,952	\$31,952	\$63,904	5.50%
RPA 9	738	1.36%	66,427	3.75%	\$16,129.03	\$5,444.14	\$1,968.88	\$23,542.05	\$23,542	\$23,542	\$47,084	4.05%
RPA 10	3,961	7.28%	167,452	9.45%	\$16,129.03	\$13,723.81	\$10,571.38	\$40,424.22	\$40,424	\$40,424	\$80,848	6.96%
RPA 11	4,156	7.64%	248,681	14.04%	\$16,129.03	\$20,381.07	\$11,091.68	\$47,601.77	\$47,602	\$47,602	\$95,204	8.20%
RPA 12	3,471	6.38%	72,167	4.07%	\$16,129.03	\$5,914.57	\$9,263.18	\$31,306.77	\$31,307	\$31,307	\$62,614	5.39%
RPA 13	2,042	3.75%	45,273	2.56%	\$16,129.03	\$3,710.42	\$5,450.27	\$25,289.72	\$25,290	\$25,290	\$50,580	4.36%
RPA 14	2,495	4.59%	33,897	1.91%	\$16,129.03	\$2,778.09	\$6,657.96	\$25,565.08	\$25,565	\$25,565	\$51,130	4.40%
RPA 15	2,516	4.63%	90,526	5.11%	\$16,129.03	\$7,419.21	\$6,714.44	\$30,262.68	\$30,263	\$30,263	\$60,526	5.21%
RPA 16	1,819	3.34%	103,784	5.86%	\$16,129.03	\$8,505.79	\$4,853.08	\$29,487.90	\$29,488	\$29,488	\$58,976	5.08%
RPA 17	3,382	6.22%	61,528	3.47%	\$16,129.03	\$5,042.63	\$9,024.45	\$30,196.11	\$30,196	\$30,196	\$60,392	5.20%
RPA 18	2,506	4.61%	66,032	3.73%	\$16,129.03	\$5,411.76	\$6,688.51	\$28,229.30	\$28,229	\$28,229	\$56,458	4.86%
Non-UZA Total	54,395	100.00%	1,771,195	100.00%	\$290,322.50	\$145,161.25	\$145,161.25	\$580,645.00	\$580,645.00	\$580,645.00	\$1,161,290.00	100.00%
Allocation %					50.00%	25.00%	25.00%	100.00%	100.00%			
IA Total (8)			3,190,369	55.52%	\$290,322.50	\$145,161.25	\$145,161.25	\$580,645.00	\$580,645.00		\$1,161,290.00	

Individual RPA totals are rounded to the nearest dollar.

NOTES:
 (1) Based on the formula proposed by RPA 1 for discussion at the June 2023 MPO/RPA quarterly meeting.
 (2) Population adjustment not used.
 (3) One-half is distributed equally among all RPAs (Base).
 (4) One-quarter is distributed based on each RPA's share of the total statewide non-urbanized population from the most recent decennial census (Population).
 (5) One-quarter is distributed based on the ratio of the total non-urbanized land area (sq. mi.) in each RPA as a proportion of the total statewide non-urbanized land area (sq. mi) (Regional Need).
 (6) Distributed on a 1:1 match after each RPA's 5305(e)/5311 allocation is determined.
 (7) Manual rounding corrections were not needed.
 (8) Population listed is Iowa statewide total population. Population distribution is the percentage the Total Non-Urbanized Area population is of the Iowa total population.

SFY 2025 Funding Implications for Iowa RPAs
 Current Allocation updated with 2020 Census compared to
 Proposed Allocation updated with 2020 Census and
 switching the criteria of "Number of Counties" to "RPA Total Land Area"

Iowa Non-UZA Planning Area	Current Allocation (CA) using 2020 Population		vs.	Proposed Allocation 2020 Pop. + Total RPA Land Area		
	Est. Amount	Percent of Total Amount		Est. Amount	Increase / Decrease from CA	Percent of Total Amount
RPA 1	\$60,058	5.17%		\$63,262	\$3,204	5.45%
RPA 2	\$75,894	6.54%		\$76,360	\$466	6.58%
RPA 3	\$81,840	7.05%		\$81,378	(\$462)	7.01%
RPA 4	\$58,428	5.03%		\$61,398	\$2,970	5.29%
RPA 5	\$64,864	5.59%		\$65,762	\$898	5.66%
RPA 6	\$59,196	5.10%		\$60,552	\$1,356	5.21%
RPA 7	\$66,446	5.72%		\$64,862	(\$1,584)	5.59%
RPA 8	\$62,826	5.41%		\$63,904	\$1,078	5.50%
RPA 9	\$49,012	4.22%		\$47,084	(\$1,928)	4.05%
RPA 10	\$80,234	6.91%		\$80,848	\$614	6.96%
RPA 11	\$96,480	8.31%		\$95,204	(\$1,276)	8.20%
RPA 12	\$61,682	5.31%		\$62,614	\$932	5.39%
RPA 13	\$51,410	4.43%		\$50,580	(\$830)	4.36%
RPA 14	\$52,476	4.52%		\$51,130	(\$1,346)	4.40%
RPA 15	\$61,760	5.32%		\$60,526	(\$1,234)	5.21%
RPA 16	\$61,000	5.25%		\$58,976	(\$2,024)	5.08%
RPA 17	\$62,872	5.41%		\$60,392	(\$2,480)	5.20%
RPA 18	\$54,812	4.72%		\$56,458	\$1,646	4.86%
Total	\$1,161,290	100.00%		\$1,161,290	\$0	100.00%

Individual RPA totals are rounded to the nearest dollar.



MPO

- 7 - 8^{ish} reaffirmed the current formula;
 - Distributed based on each MPO's share of the total statewide urbanized area population from the most recent decennial Census.
- 1 - 2^{ish} had thoughts about including a base amount, resulting in this proposed formula:
 - Each MPO receives their prior SFY allocation as a base;
 - The difference between the prior SFY and current SFY Iowa PL apportionment is allocated among MPO's by their share of total statewide urbanized area population growth from the prior decennial Census to the most recent decennial Census .

MPO

- Presenting: Dylan Mullenix (DMAMPO), with others, to talk more about the proposal of MPOs receiving their prior SFY allocation as a base and distributing new funding by an MPOs share of total statewide urbanized area population growth from the prior decennial Census to the most recent decennial Census .
- Discussion: Everyone, please feel free to ask questions, provide comments, and discuss the merits of the current formula and the proposed formula.

MPO

- Funding Implications
 - Estimated SFY 2025 allocation to RPAs (current allocation)
 - Estimated SFY 2025 allocation to RPAs (proposed allocation)
 - Comparison between current and proposed
- Mentimeter poll

FHWA METROPOLITAN PLANNING (PL) FUNDS - IOWA SFY 2025 (FFY 2024) ALLOCATION TO MPOs - DRAFT

METHODOLOGY: **CURRENT ALLOCATION**
CENSUS YEAR: **2020**

EST. FFY 2024 IOWA PL APPORTIONMENT TOTAL =
EST. FFY 2024 IOWA SATO SET-ASIDE TOTAL =
EST. FFY 2024 IOWA PL NET OF SET-ASIDE TOTAL =

\$2,722,415.00
\$68,060.00
\$2,654,355.00

CHANGE: **Using 2020 pop. instead of 2010 pop.**

STATUS: **EXAMPLE FOR REVIEW AND DISCUSSION**
DATE: **6/21/2023**

(2020 Census Urbanized Area Population (Iowa Side) Utilized)				MPO SATO SET-ASIDE ALLOCATION (1)		MPO PL NET OF SET-ASIDE ALLOCATION (2)		TOTAL MPO PL ALLOCATION (W/O OBLIGATION LIMITATION)	
Iowa Urbanized Area	2020 CENSUS Pop. IA UZA	2020 CENSUS Total Pop. Percentage	2020 CENSUS Pop. Dist. Factor	Amount	Rounding Correction (3)	Amount	Rounding Correction (4)	Amount	Rounding Correction
Ames	66,342	4.67%	0.0467469105	\$3,181.59	\$3,182	\$124,082.90	\$124,082	\$127,264.49	\$127,264
Cedar Rapids	192,844	13.59%	0.1358846766	\$9,248.31	\$9,248	\$360,686.17	\$360,686	\$369,934.48	\$369,934
Council Bluffs	68,447	4.82%	0.0482301677	\$3,282.55	\$3,283	\$128,019.99	\$128,020	\$131,302.53	\$131,303
Davenport	151,477	10.67%	0.1067360310	\$7,264.45	\$7,264	\$283,315.32	\$283,316	\$290,579.77	\$290,580
Des Moines	542,486	38.23%	0.3822547482	\$26,016.26	\$26,016	\$1,014,639.80	\$1,014,640	\$1,040,656.06	\$1,040,656
Dubuque	67,774	4.78%	0.0477559482	\$3,250.27	\$3,250	\$126,761.24	\$126,762	\$130,011.51	\$130,012
Iowa City	126,810	8.94%	0.0893547937	\$6,081.49	\$6,082	\$237,179.34	\$237,179	\$243,260.83	\$243,261
Sioux City	88,855	6.26%	0.0626103635	\$4,261.26	\$4,261	\$166,190.13	\$166,190	\$170,451.39	\$170,451
Waterloo	114,139	8.04%	0.0804263607	\$5,473.82	\$5,474	\$213,480.11	\$213,480	\$218,953.93	\$218,954
UZA Total	1,419,174	100.00%	1.0000000000	\$68,060.00	\$68,060	\$2,654,355.00	\$2,654,355	\$2,722,415.00	\$2,722,415
PL Allocation %				2.50%		97.50%		100.00%	
IA Total (4)	3,190,369	44.48%	0.4448306763	\$68,060.00		\$2,654,355.00		\$2,722,415.00	

Individual MPO totals are rounded to the nearest dollar.

NOTES:

FHWA PL funds: Distributed based on each MPO's share of the total statewide urbanized area population from the most recent decennial census.

- (1) Safe and Accessible Transportation Options (SATO) Set-Aside: The Bill requires each MPO to use at least 2.5% of its PL funds on specified planning activities to increase safe and accessible options for multiple travel modes for people of all ages and abilities. (§ 11206(b))
- (2) Total MPO PL Allocation minus the SATO Set-aside distributed based on each MPO's share of the total statewide urbanized area population from the most recent decennial Census.
- (3) Manual rounding corrections were needed for Iowa City (round up SATO by \$1).
- (4) Manual rounding corrections were needed for Ames (round down PL by \$1), Davenport (round up PL by \$1), and Dubuque (round up PL by \$1).
- (5) Population listed is Iowa statewide total population. Population distribution factor is the proportion the Iowa Total Urbanized Area population is of the Iowa total population.

FHWA METROPOLITAN PLANNING (PL) FUNDS - IOWA SFY 2025 (FFY 2024) ALLOCATION TO MPOs - DRAFT

METHODOLOGY: **PROPOSED ALLOCATION ALTERNATIVE**
CENSUS YEAR: **2020**

FFY 2023 IOWA PL APPORTIONMENT TOTAL =
FFY 2023 IOWA SATO SET-ASIDE TOTAL =
FFY 2023 IOWA PL NET OF SET-ASIDE TOTAL =

\$2,669,034.00
\$66,726.00
\$2,602,308.00

CHANGE: **Using 2020 pop. instead of 2010 pop. Previous year allocation as base % of Iowa UZA growth**

EST. FFY 2024 IOWA PL APPORTIONMENT TOTAL =
EST. FFY 2024 IOWA SATO SET-ASIDE TOTAL =
EST. FFY 2024 IOWA PL NET OF SET-ASIDE TOTAL =

\$2,722,415.00
\$68,060.00
\$2,654,355.00

STATUS: **EXAMPLE FOR REVIEW AND DISCUSSION**
DATE: **6/21/2023**

(Census Urbanized Area Population (Iowa Side) Utilized)				PREVIOUS YEAR ALLOCATION FFY 2023		MPO SATO SET-ASIDE (2.5%) ALLOCATION (1)		MPO PL ALLOCATION		TOTAL MPO PL ALLOCATION (W/O OBLIGATION LIMITATION)	
Iowa Urbanized Area	2010 CENSUS Pop. IA UZA	2020 CENSUS Pop. IA UZA	% of Iowa UZA Growth	SATO Amount	PL Amount	Amount	Rounding Correction (2)	Amount	Rounding Correction	Amount	Rounding Correction (3)
Ames	60,438	66,342	3.93%	\$3,178	\$123,942	\$3,230.43	\$3,230	\$125,987.71	\$125,988	\$129,218.14	\$129,218
Cedar Rapids	177,844	192,844	9.99%	\$9,352	\$364,711	\$9,485.21	\$9,485	\$369,908.42	\$369,908	\$379,393.64	\$379,393
Council Bluffs	68,546	68,447	0.00%	\$3,604	\$140,570	\$3,604.00	\$3,604	\$140,570.00	\$140,570	\$144,174.00	\$144,174
Davenport	142,901	151,477	5.71%	\$7,514	\$293,052	\$7,590.16	\$7,590	\$296,023.54	\$296,024	\$303,613.70	\$303,614
Des Moines	450,070	542,486	61.46%	\$23,666	\$922,974	\$24,485.86	\$24,486	\$954,961.37	\$954,961	\$979,447.23	\$979,447
Dubuque	64,767	67,774	2.00%	\$3,406	\$132,820	\$3,432.70	\$3,433	\$133,861.91	\$133,862	\$137,294.62	\$137,295
Iowa City	106,621	126,810	13.44%	\$5,606	\$218,651	\$5,785.30	\$5,786	\$225,646.39	\$225,646	\$231,431.68	\$231,432
Sioux City	84,359	88,855	2.99%	\$4,436	\$172,998	\$4,475.93	\$4,476	\$174,555.84	\$174,556	\$179,031.77	\$179,032
Waterloo	113,418	114,139	0.48%	\$5,964	\$232,590	\$5,970.40	\$5,970	\$232,839.82	\$232,840	\$238,810.23	\$238,810
UZA Total	1,268,964	1,419,174	100.00%	\$66,726	\$2,602,308	\$68,060.00	\$68,060	\$2,654,355.00	\$2,654,355	\$2,722,415.00	\$2,722,415
PL Allocation %						2.50%		97.50%		100.00%	
IA Total	3,046,355	3,190,369		\$2,669,034.00		\$68,060.00		\$2,654,355.00		\$2,722,415.00	

Individual MPO totals are rounded to the nearest dollar.

NOTES:

FHWA PL funds are distributed based on
- Each MPO receiving their prior FFY allocation as a base amount;
- The difference between the prior FFY and current FFY Iowa PL apportionment being allocated by an MPO's share of total statewide urbanized area growth from the prior decennial Census to the most recent decennial Census

- (1) Safe and Accessible Transportation Options (SATO) Set-Aside: The Bill requires each MPO to use at least 2.5% of its PL funds on specified planning activities to increase safe and accessible options for multiple travel modes for people of all ages and abilities. (§ 11206(b))
- (2) Manual rounding corrections were needed for Iowa City (round up SATO by \$1).
- (2) Manual rounding corrections were needed for Cedar Rapids (round down total MPO PL by \$1).

SFY 2025 Funding Implications for Iowa MPOs
 Current Allocation updated with 2020 Census compared to
 Proposed Allocation Alternative updated with 2020 Census and
 distribution by Previous Year allocation as base plus % of Iowa UZA Growth

Iowa Urbanized Area	Current Allocation (CA) using 2020 Population		vs.	Proposed Allocation Alternative using 2020 Pop. + Previous Year Base + % of Iowa UZA growth		
	Est. Amount	Percent of Total Amount		Est. Amount	Increase / Decrease from CA	Percent of Total Amount
Ames	\$127,264	4.67%		\$129,218	\$1,954	4.75%
Cedar Rapids	\$369,934	13.59%		\$379,393	\$9,459	13.94%
Council Bluffs	\$131,303	4.82%		\$144,174	\$12,871	5.30%
Davenport	\$290,580	10.67%		\$303,614	\$13,034	11.15%
Des Moines	\$1,040,656	38.23%		\$979,447	(\$61,209)	35.98%
Dubuque	\$130,012	4.78%		\$137,295	\$7,283	5.04%
Iowa City	\$243,261	8.94%		\$231,432	(\$11,829)	8.50%
Sioux City	\$170,451	6.26%		\$179,032	\$8,581	6.58%
Waterloo	\$218,954	8.04%		\$238,810	\$19,856	8.77%
Total	\$2,722,415	100.00%		\$2,722,415	\$0	100.00%

Individual MPO totals are rounded to the nearest dollar.



Next Steps

- Timeline
 - If no other agency wants to proceed with the proposed alternative, then we are good to proceed with the status quo.
 - If the proposed alternative has support beyond the proposing agency, the current formula and proposed formula alternative should be discussed with your Policy Boards for the vote.
 - By August 1, all agencies must submit their final preferred allocation formula to the Iowa DOT.
 - Simple majority needed to change to the new alternative (5 MPOs, 10 RPAs)
 - Final Decision on September 1
 - Iowa DOT submit planning target methodology to FHWA/FTA
- Follow up documentation – if you need anything, please let Zac know.



Questions?