

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2024)

Department Name:	Transportation	Date:	10/27/2023	Total Rule Count:	7
IAC #:	761	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	106	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	No specific rule authority
Contact Name:	Steve McMenamin	Email:	steven.mcmenamin@iowadot.us	Phone:	515-239-1680

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The rule chapter is intended to provide Iowa agriculture organizations an opportunity to promote their products at Iowa interstate rest areas.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

No, very few organizations have taken advantage. Only 1 promotional rest area has been designated since 1996.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

The public incurs no cost. The agricultural agency wishing to provide the rest area promotion incurs the cost of the activity.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

Costs to the Department directly associated with the rule chapter includes the staff time associated with assisting potential applicants, the review of any applications, and the placing/removal of any signs provided by the agricultural sponsor.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

No. Very few applications have been submitted so it does not appear to be of benefit to the organizations it was created to serve.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

The Department is proposing to rescind and not repromulgate Chapter 106. The Department's, Chapter 105, Holiday Rest Stops, provides a similar opportunity for nonprofit agricultural organizations to provide refreshments to motorists at rest areas during holiday periods.

In accordance with 1995 Iowa Acts, chapter 18, section 2, the Department consulted the Department of Blind and Iowa Economic Development Authority, and they both agreed with not repromulgating Chapter 106.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

None noted.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

Entirety of chapter 106—106.1 to 106.7.

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

None noted.

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	7
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	852
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	25

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

None noted.