APPENDIX A
STREAMLINED RESOURCE SUMMARY
## Streamlined Resource Summary

### SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACTS SECTION

#### Community Cohesion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Improvements to the interchange would not affect community cohesion, as community patterns have developed over decades around the facility. The area is predominantly rural, and any nearby development occurred after the interchange was in place.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method of Evaluation</td>
<td>Field visit / review of aerial photography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed by and Date</td>
<td>CH2M HILL; 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Environmental Justice

| Evaluation                                                                 | The study area (consisting of the census tracts in which the project is located) is 85 percent white, and 15 percent being of other races. Within that 15 percent, Asians comprise 8 percent of the population, followed by African Americans (4 percent), and the remaining 3 percent come from all other races. These racial breakdowns are similar to Coralville’s population. The communities of North Liberty and Tiffin, as well has Johnson County, contain smaller percentages of minority residents compared to the study area. The median income of families residing in the study area is $55,767, which is comparable to Coralville’s median family income. Median family incomes in Tiffin and North Liberty are slightly lower, and for all of Johnson County it is slightly higher. The study area’s median family income is well above the HHS poverty level of $16,600 for a family of three. The study area, with a poverty rate of about 11 percent, does not qualify as a poverty area (defined by the U.S. Census Bureau as a census tract or block numbering area with a poverty rate equal to or greater than 20 percent). Thus, no environmental justice impact would occur as a result of the proposed improvements. |
| Method of Evaluation                                                      | Review and analysis of Census Information                                                                                                                                            |
| Completed by and Date                                                     | CH2M HILL; 2008                                                                                                                                                                           |

#### Churches and Schools

| Evaluation                                                                 | There are no churches or schools within the project study limits. The nearest church is approximately 1.5 miles northwest of the interchange, in Tiffin. Schools located in the study area are in the Clear Creek-Amana School District. The nearest schools, Grace United Preschool and Clear Creek High School (both in Tiffin), are at least one mile away from the interchange. |
| Method of Evaluation                                                      | Field review and internet search                                                                                                                                                |
| Completed by and Date                                                     | CH2M HILL; 2004, 2008                                                                                                                                                           |

#### Economic

| Evaluation                                                                 | No economic impacts                                                                                           |
| Method of Evaluation                                                      | Field review, online research, review of 2000 Census data                                                     |
| Completed by and Date                                                     | CH2M HILL, 2008                                                                                               |
### CULTURAL IMPACTS SECTION

#### Historic Sites or Districts

**Evaluation**

The historic structures survey resulted in the recording of 33 properties, none of which were determined to be NRHP eligible either as individual buildings or as a district. The State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) concurred with these findings on November 2, 2005.

Tribal notification occurred.

**Method of Evaluation**

Cultural Resource Investigations and coordination with SHPO

**Completed by and Date**

University of Iowa Highway Archaeology Program, 2004; Bear Creek Archaeology, 2005; Louis Berger Group, 2007

#### Archaeological Sites

**Evaluation**

The Phase I archeological investigations recorded 65 sites in the study area. Of those, 24 were recommended for avoidance or Phase II testing. Eight sites were subject to Phase II testing because of their proximity to the proposed improvements. The findings of the Phase II investigations indicated that none of the sites was NRHP eligible. The SHPO concurred with these archaeological findings on July 10, 2007.

**Method of Evaluation**

Cultural Resource Investigations and coordination with SHPO

**Completed by and Date**

University of Iowa Highway Archaeology Program, 2004; Bear Creek Archaeology, 2005; Louis Berger Group, 2007

#### Cemeteries

**Evaluation**

There are no cemeteries within the study area.

**Method of Evaluation**

Review of aerial photography and USGS Quadrangle Maps, field verified during windshield and natural resource surveys

**Completed by and Date**

CH2M HILL; 2004, 2008

### NATURAL ENVIRONMENT IMPACTS SECTION

#### Wild and Scenic Rivers

**Evaluation**

None of the creeks in the study area (Clear Creek, Deer Creek, and Buffalo Creek) are designated “wild and scenic”.

**Method of Evaluation**

Internet review

**Completed by and Date**

CH2M HILL; 2004, 2008

#### Wildlife and Habitat

**Evaluation**

Three prairie remnant areas were identified. Combined, the three areas total 24.1 acres; however, these areas were mostly planted, of low floristic diversity, and with an assemblage of species very tolerant to disturbance.

**Method of Evaluation**

Field investigations in July 2004, and April and July 2008; review of sandy soil areas as mapped by NRCS

**Completed by and Date**

CH2M HILL; 2004, 2008
**PHYSICAL IMPACTS SECTION**

### Air Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>The study area is in attainment of the national ambient air quality standards for the transportation-related pollutants, carbon monoxide and ozone. Therefore, the conformity requirements of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 do not apply to this project. The proposed improvements would improve the overall traffic flow and, therefore, reduce vehicular emissions. This is expected to result in a slight improvement in air quality. Air quality could be affected by motor vehicle and machinery emissions during construction and by particulate emissions resulting from earthwork and other construction activities. Construction vehicle activity and the disruption of normal traffic flows may result in increased motor vehicle emissions in certain areas. Construction would be monitored to ensure that work proceeds in conformance with local and state air quality regulations. Standard construction specifications require contractors to comply with state regulations, including limitations on generation of fugitive dust (Iowa DOT Construction Manual, Section 2.12). Carbon monoxide and suspended particulate levels cannot exceed National Ambient Air Quality Standards.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method of Evaluation</td>
<td>Review of Iowa DOT BLE Manual requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed by and Date</td>
<td>CH2M HILL; 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MSATs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>This project will not result in any meaningful changes in traffic volumes, vehicle mix, location of the existing facility, or any other factor that would cause an increase in emissions impacts relative to the no-build alternative. As such, FHWA has determined that this project will generate minimal air quality impacts for Clean Air Act criteria pollutants and has not been linked with any special MSAT concerns. Consequently, this effort is exempt from analysis for MSATs. Moreover, EPA regulations for vehicle engines and fuels will cause overall MSATs to decline significantly over the next 20 years. Even after accounting for a 64 percent increase in VMT, FHWA predicts MSATs will decline in the range of 57 percent to 87 percent, from 2000 to 2020, based on regulations now in effect. This will both reduce the background level of MSATs as well as the possibility of even minor MSAT emissions from this project.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method of Evaluation</td>
<td>FHWA Interim Guidance on Air Toxic Analysis in NEPA Documents, February 3, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed by and Date</td>
<td>Iowa DOT; 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Energy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Reduced energy consumption is expected to result from reduced congestion and improved travel times and level of service.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method of Evaluation</td>
<td>Review of Iowa DOT BLE Manual requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed by and Date</td>
<td>CH2M HILL; 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Contaminated and Regulated Materials Sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>One property was identified as having known or potential REC on the western end of the project on the south side of I-80. No right-of-way is required from this property.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method of Evaluation</td>
<td>Iowa DOT internal review and memo 9/28/2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed by and Date</td>
<td>Iowa DOT; 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Visual

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>No impact.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method of Evaluation</td>
<td>Coordination with agencies, field survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed by and Date</td>
<td>CH2M HILL; 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>Relocation of major utilities is not expected as a result of this project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Evaluation</td>
<td>Field survey, document review of utilities in vicinity of project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed by and Date</td>
<td>CH2M HILL; 2004/2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B
4(f) COORDINATION
I-80/I-380 System Interchange 4(f) Coordination

The meeting was held at Coralville’s City Hall on February 13, 2008, to discuss impacts to Coralville’s parkland at the southeast quadrant of the interchange. Jeff Frantz, CH2M HILL, started the meeting by covering the agenda and reviewing the status of the engineering design of the I-80/I-380 System Interchange.

Dan Holderness, City of Coralville, then gave an overview of the City’s site, and also existing and planned recreation uses for the property. Three softball fields and one-half of the parking area have been developed at the site. Two softball fields and the remainder of the parking area are to be constructed.

The area north of 340th Street is planned to be open, natural space. The plan shows creation of wetland areas and trails through that part of the property. Dan explained that the overall intent is to provide passive recreation in that area, including trails and interpretive signage. There have been some preliminary discussions about providing water trail activities along the river through the area (such as canoe/kayak put-in). The proposed trail through the property is part of the regional Clear Creek Trail. The Clear Creek Trail would connect to Tiffin, Kemp Park, and eventually to the Amana Colonies. Dan noted that the trail is an important spine in the regional trail system.

Dan shared the official JCCOG trail map with the group. He clarified that the official endorsed JCCOG trail is the north route. (The map shows several trail routes, but the pink dashed line is the line that Tiffin has supported.)

The area near the existing barns is envisioned to be an area for small events, separate from the recreation uses that occur at the softball complex. Dan mentioned meetings, festivals, and the farmer’s market as potential uses. He stated that there would not be food production at the facility; at most, it may contain a warming/staging area for food brought to the site (in the case of meetings, for example). The long-term plan includes restoring the barns and creating an educational component related to them, as they are considered to be locally significant to the area’s history. He noted that one of the barns was one of the earliest structures in the area. The City has a photo of the barn dating from 1840.

Dan noted that the wooded area in the northeastern quadrant of the interchange is in the process of being deeded to the City. The City’s plan is to extend the trail through that area...
(as shown on the regional trail system map). Beyond that, the site would remain as open, wooded space. Dan noted that the site is low and swampy. IADOT needs to determine if Section 4(f) applies to the property.

There were questions about the area labeled “Phase 5” on the City’s master park plan map. Dan explained that the City has been purchasing property in the Clear Creek floodway in order to protect the floodplain and wetland areas. There is only one parcel that it does not own within the Phase 5 area.

Christine Norrick, CH2M HILL, gave a brief overview of the Section 4(f) process and how it ties into the rest of the documentation.

Dan stated that the City would want to review the detailed design and specific areas needed for right-of-way near the parkland. He also noted several issues that the City was interested in as part of the project (and use of their lands):

- The City noted two areas of particular concern within the site: the area near the ramp from US 218/IA 27 to I-80 (near the existing barns) and the area along I-80 near the City’s wetland mitigation site. These would be areas where the City would like a buffer provided to screen the roadway from the park facility.

- The City would like an aesthetic buffer to be provided between the interchange and park use areas.

- The City prefers that a native mix of plant species be used for areas adjacent to its park/wetland site.

- The City noted that there are locations within the park site where it is considering accommodating wetland mitigation and possibly restoring the stream. Its preference is for one large, well done wetland mitigation site, rather than several small, scattered sites. To that end, it offered the potential to use its property for the project’s wetland mitigation. IADOT stated that this seemed to be a win-win situation, as IADOT would have a location for its mitigation and could help fulfill the City’s long-term plans for the site. In addition, the City could provide long-term maintenance for the wetland areas.

There were some questions about the USACE’s Section 206 Project and its proposed wetland mitigation demonstration site (which was proposed on the City of Coralville’s site). The City explained that the USACE does not have funding for development of the wetland site, and that the City is not bound by anything the USACE has proposed.

The City’s wetland mitigation site is a wet meadow wetland of roughly 5 acres with some forested areas. The City is in year 4 of its monitoring. The roadway design would affect the site, so IADOT would be obligated to employ mitigative measures at the site. There was discussion about whether the entire site or just part of it would be affected. Judy Krieg, Earthview Environmental, suggested that if the entire wetland is affected, the portion not used for roadway purposes could be used for stormwater detention or filtering.

Roger Larsen, IADOT, offered to provide the wetland determinations to the City, including a technical memorandum and the GIS shape file. It was clarified that these are not delineations but determinations. It was explained that determinations are one step short of being full delineations, and that the delineation forms are not completed at this level.
Judy asked questions about stormwater and culvert locations as it affects the property. Kevin Olson, City of Coralville, also asked whether IADOT was required to adhere to MS4 permit requirements, which is part of the NPDES Phase 2 permit. Jeff responded that he does not think the permit applies. He further stated that he thought there may be other DOT requirements that address some of the same issues as those in the permit. Dan suggested that the stormwater mitigation requirements (or at least some of them) could apply to the site. Roger stated that IADOT would like to work with the City on locating stormwater requirements on the City’s site, to the extent possible.

The following issues, unrelated to the park property but about properties within Coralville’s corporate limits were also discussed:

- The area between IL 6 and I-80, east of the park property, is known as the Colony Property. A preliminary plat was approved for the site, but the developer has walked away from the project. Nevertheless, commercial development will occur at the site in time.
- Areas on the north side of I-80 have been platted. No specific commercial development has been proposed.
- At Forevergreen Road, the City of Coralville controls the areas on the south side of the road (on both sides of I-380). Tiffin controls the northwest corner and North Liberty the northeast corner. The City envisions an interchange at Forevergreen Road, as well as extension of Oakdale Road west to I-380 and extension of Kansas Avenue south (to extended Oakdale Road).

Roger stated that the IADOT would be happy to share any information that it has collected as part of the project.

**Action Items**

- CH2M HILL to provide the functional plan set to IADOT.
- Once review and revisions are complete, IADOT to provide functional plans to City of Coralville.
- IADOT to provide Wetland Determination Memo and GIS file to City of Coralville.
- Coordination on stormwater, seeding, and planting issues between IADOT and City will continue.
- City to provide to IADOT a letter stating support of the project and affirming consistency with its overall plans for the site.
- CH2M HILL to provide City of Coralville a sample 4(f) letter.
- City to provide to IADOT a copy of the potential wetland mitigation site report prepared by its consultant, when complete (c. late spring).
- City to provide GIS file of existing wetland mitigation site to CH2M HILL.
- City to provide information on land to be deeded to it at the northeastern quadrant of the interchange.
Trees and Shrub Plantings 2.0 acres
- 300-400 Small trees and Shrubs
  - Option 1: Hand plant with cages and mulch
  - Option 2: Machine plant, no cages with or without mulch
  - Option 3: As above with Educational Outreach
  - Option 4: Mix and Match
  - Access?

Watering
- Access Issues

Plugs and Reseeding
- Identify areas where vegetation did not survive and replant (with or without educational outreach)

Weed Removal
- Identify plants to be removed and sprayed
- Mowing
  - First mowing in March/April to 2 inches
  - Second mowing in early June to 8 inches
  - Last mowing should leave 8 inches
  - Do not mow over trees and shrubs

Trash Removal

Animal Control
- Deer

Stream Restoration
- New tree growth and weed maintenance
- Logs and Trash removal
Email to: mike.lapietra@fhwa.dot.gov

From: Vine, Janet [DOT] [Janet.Vine@dot.iowa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 20, 2008 11:22 AM
To: LaPietra, Mike
Cc: Parham, Tom; program.delivery-IA@fhwa.dot.gov; Norrick, Christine/CHI; Frantz, Jeff/CHI; Larsen, Roger [DOT]
Subject: FW: I-80/380 Interchange, IMN-080-6(235)239--0E-52, 4(f) Decision Process

Mike,

I'm following up on our visit this morning about 4(f) and impacts to the two properties in Coralville in the northeast and southeast quadrants of the existing interchange. The results of our discussion follow:

Property in the northeast quad of the interchange:
Although the property is expected to be deeded to the City, it is currently privately owned and therefore is not protected by 4(f).

Coralville Creekside Ballpark:
The impacts to this property qualify as de minimis, provided the City concurs.

Do you concur?

Janet
Email to: tom.parham@fhwa.dot.gov

From: Vine, Janet [DOT] [Janet.Vine@dot.iowa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, April 15, 2008 3:32 PM
To: Parham, Tom
Cc: mike.lapietra@fhwa.dot.gov; program.delivery-IA@fhwa.dot.gov; Larsen, Roger [DOT]; Norrick, Christine/CHI; Rees, Jon/DMS; Frantz, Jeff/CHI
Subject: I-80/380 Interchange, IMN-080-6(235)239–0E-52, Additional 4(f) Decision Process

Tom,

Below is a summary of the 4(f) decision process for a third site in the project study area that the City of Coralville told us about at a recent meeting. The City does not currently own the property but informed us that the property will be donated to it. I'll send two copies of the decision process memo and supporting documents through interoffice mail tomorrow. Do you concur with these findings?

PARKS/RECREATION AREAS
Lot E: Step 1: Is it 4(f)? Yes.
This property currently is privately owned but will be donated to the City of Coralville. Lot E is approximately 40 acres in size and is located immediately adjacent to the existing system interchange in the north east quadrant.

Once Lot E is deeded to the City of Coralville, it will be designated as open space. The City plans to construct a bicycle/pedestrian trail on the property. This will be part of the Iowa River Corridor Trail, as designated on the Johnson County Council of Government (JCCOG) Trail Plan and is intended to connect to the proposed trails in the City’s Coralville Creekside Ballpark in the southeast quadrant of the I-80/380 interchange, and to the proposed North Ridge Trail into Tiffin (also on the JCCOG Trail Plan).

Step 2: Is there a use of the 4(f) property? Yes
A 9.7-acre strip of the property would be converted to transportation use for the proposed interchange improvements.

Step 3: Can the 4(f) property be avoided? No
The project is reconstruction of an existing interchange that abuts Lot E. Alternatives that would avoid impacts to the property, such as shifting the entire interchange to the south or west are not prudent and feasible.

Step 4: Can the impacts to the 4(f) property be minimized? Yes
The ramp alignments have been tightened to near minimum radii.

Janet
From: Parham, Tom [tom.parham@fhwa.dot.gov]
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2008 10:54 AM
To: Vine, Janet [DOT]
Cc: LaPietra, Mike; Delivery-IA, Program; Larsen, Roger [DOT]; Christine.Norrick@CH2M.com; jeff.frantz@ch2m.com
Subject: RE: I-80/380 Interchange, IMN-080-6(235)239--0E-52 - 4(f) Decision Process

Janet,

I do concur with the findings. I will give one copy of the memo and supporting documentation to Mike.

Thomas L. Parham, P.E.
Federal Highway Administration
phone: 515-233-7314
e-mail: tom.parham@dot.gov

-----------------------------------------------

From: Vine, Janet [DOT] [mailto:Janet.Vine@iowa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 06, 2008 3:38 PM
To: Parham, Tom
Cc: LaPietra, Mike; Delivery-IA, Program; Larsen, Roger [DOT]; Christine.Norrick@CH2M.com; jeff.frantz@ch2m.com
Subject: I-80 /380 Interchange, IMN-080-6(235)239--0E-52 - 4(f) Decision Process

Tom,

Below is a summary of the 4(f) decision process for two sites in the project study area. I'll deliver two copies of the decision process memos and supporting documents to your Office this afternoon. Do you concur with these findings?

PARKS / RECREATION AREAS

Tiffin City Park: Step 1: Is it 4(f)? No.
This property is approximately 88 acres and is located between the City of Tiffin and I-380. It is owned by the City of Tiffin and includes three baseball/softball fields, soccer fields, two open shelters, and a hard surface trail. It is open to the public year around at no cost. It's primary function is recreation. However, the portion of this property that is located between I-380 and Jasper Avenue is not developed for recreational uses and is currently farmed for row crops. This area has no features or attributes, nor does it support activities, that would qualify it as a Section 4(f) resource. Therefore, we believe this portion of the property does not qualify for 4(f) protection. Also note that LAWCON funds were used to construct two open shelters and a hard surface trail, and for landscaping, tree planting, lighting, and support facilities, which are all located in the central and western portions of the park, west of Jasper Avenue. Therefore, we believe 6(f) does not apply to the portion of the property that is east of Jasper Avenue.
Coralville Creekside Ballpark: Step 1: Is it 4(f)? Yes
This property is located in the southeast quadrant of the I-80/380 interchange. It is owned by the City of Coralville and is managed by their Parks and Recreation Department. It includes three softball fields, parking area, and a concession stand. Two more ball fields, additional parking, and a trail are planned. The park is open to the public. In the northern portion of the property, between 340th Street and I-80, the City constructed a 5.8 ac. wetland mitigation site and has been monitoring it for approximately 3 years. The City is investigating other areas in this portion of the property as potential future wetland mitigation and stream restoration sites. The City also plans to incorporate a trail through this area with interpretive signage and picnic areas. The proposed trail is part of the planned regional Clear Creek Trail. The City's overall plan is that the northern portion of the property will be natural open space used for passive recreation.

Step 2: Is there a use of the 4(f) property? Yes
The project will require approximately 16 acres of right-of-way from the west and northwest portions of the park property.

Step 3: Can the 4(f) property be avoided? No
The project is reconstruction of an existing interchange that abuts the park property. Alternatives that would avoid impacts to the park, such as shifting the entire interchange to the west or constructing retaining walls throughout the portion of the roadway adjacent to the park property, are not prudent and feasible.

Step 4: Can the impacts to the 4(f) property be minimized? Yes
The ramp alignments have been tightened to near minimum radii.

HISTORIC PROPERTIES AND DISTRICTS
There are two barns located on the western edge of the Coralville Creekside Ballpark property, south of 340th Street. These barns are not listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places. Therefore, these barns are not protected under Section 4(f). However, the City considers them to be locally significant and intends to use the area near the barns for meetings, festivals, and the farmers' market. The long-term plan includes restoring the barns and using them as the basis for education on the history of the area.

Janet

****************************************************************
Janet M. Vine
Iowa Department of Transportation
Office of Location and Environment
NEPA Compliance Section
Phone: 515.239.1467
Fax: 515.239.1726
janet.vine@dot.iowa.gov
June 18, 2008

Don Holderness, P.E.
City of Coralville Engineer
1512 7th Street
Coralville, IA 52241

Dear Mr. Holderness:

I am following up on our February 13, 2008, meeting in which we discussed the Iowa Department of Transportation (IA DOT) plans to reconstruct the Interstate 80 / Interstate 380 (I-80/380) system interchange and the potential effects of the project on Coralville’s Creekside Park located in the southeast quadrant of the interchange. During the meeting, you informed us that the portion of the park that is north of 340th Street is planned to be open, natural space that will provide passive recreational opportunities. The park master plan indicates that the northern portion of the park currently contains a 5-acre wetland, which was created as compensatory mitigation for a previous City project, and that additional wetlands, walking trails, and interpretive signs are planned for the area. The portion of the park south of 340th Street consists of softball fields, a concession stand, and parking lots.

Public parks and recreational properties such as the Coralville Creekside Park are protected by Section 4(f) of the U.S. Department of Transportation Act. Section 4(f) states that the Secretary of Transportation may approve the use of Section 4(f) property only if there is no feasible and prudent alternative to using land from the property, and the project includes all possible planning to minimize harm to the 4(f) property, or the project has a de minimis impact on the Section 4(f) property. De minimis impacts to 4(f) resources are defined as those that do not “adversely affect the activities, features and attributes” of the resource. This impact assessment is based on the level of impact, including minimization, mitigation, avoidance, and enhancement measures included in the project related to the 4(f) resource. The positive benefits of any mitigation measures must be taken into account when determining whether the impact to the 4(f) resource is de minimis. A de minimis finding also requires that the public has been afforded an opportunity to review and comment and that the officials with jurisdiction of the 4(f) property provide written concurrence with the finding.

Because the project involves reconstruction of an existing interchange that abuts the park, impacts to the park property cannot be completely avoided. However, we are minimizing impacts to the park property through such measures as utilizing minimum design criteria, shifting ramp alignments, and using steeper foreslopes. As a result of these efforts, based on our current
level of design, we estimate that in order to reconstruct the interchange we will need to acquire approximately 16 acres of land from the portion of the park that is north of 340th Street. During our February 13th meeting, we discussed potential options for mitigating the impact of incorporating 16 acres of park land into transportation right-of-way, including developing portions of wetland areas and other passive recreation uses designated on your plan in the area north of 340th Street. As the design is refined and finalized, we are committed to coordinating with you to determine the best mitigation options that are consistent with your master plan goals for the property. Since the use of 16 acres of park property will be mitigated, and since the project will not affect the softball fields and other existing active recreation areas, we anticipate that we will not adversely affect the activities, features, and attributes that qualify the park for 4(f) protection. Later this year, after we've published the Environmental Assessment for the project, including documentation of the effects of the project on Coralville Creekside Park, and held a public hearing on the project, we expect to request your written concurrence that the effect of the project on the park is de minimis.

I look forward to continued coordination with you on this subject. If you have any comments or questions, please call me at (515) 239-1467.

Sincerely,

Janet M. Vine
Office of Location and Environment

copies:  
Ken Yanna, District 5  
Cathy Cutler, District 6  
Roger Larsen, Location  
Jim Rost, Location and Environment  
Tom Parham, FHWA  
Mike La Pietra, FHWA
Janet,

The land is not under public ownership. The land has not yet been developed as a park. It is not 4(f).

Mike

Mike,

I'm following up on this morning's telephone conversation to confirm my understanding of the Section 4(f) status of the property described below. Specifically, that Lot E does not qualify for Section 4(f) protection because it hasn't yet been donated to the City, therefore it's not publicly owned. Is that correct?

Janet

Tom,

Below is a summary of the 4(f) decision process for a third site in the project study area that the City of Coralville told us about at a recent meeting. The City does not currently own the property but informed us that the property will be donated to it. I'll send two copies of the decision process memo and supporting documents through interoffice mail tomorrow. Do you concur with these findings?

PARKS/RECREATION AREAS
Lot E: Step 1: Is it 4(f)? Yes.
This property currently is privately owned but will be donated to the City of Coralville. Lot E is approximately 40 acres in size and is located immediately adjacent to the existing system interchange in the north east quadrant.

Once Lot E is deeded to the City of Coralville, it will be designated as open space. The City plans to construct a bicycle/pedestrian trail on the property. This will be part of the Iowa River Corridor Trail, as designated on the Johnson County Council of Government (JCCOG) Trail Plan and is intended to connect to the proposed trails in the City's Coralville Creekside Ballpark in the southeast quadrant of the I-80/380 interchange, and to the proposed North Ridge Trail into Tiffin (also on the JCCOG Trail Plan).
Step 2: Is there a use of the 4(f) property? Yes
A 9.7-acre strip of the property would be converted to transportation use for the proposed interchange improvements.

Step 3: Can the 4(f) property be avoided? No
The project is reconstruction of an existing interchange that abuts Lot E. Alternatives that would avoid impacts to the property, such as shifting the entire interchange to the south or west are not prudent and feasible.

Step 4: Can the impacts to the 4(f) property be minimized? Yes
The ramp alignments have been tightened to near minimum radii.

Janet
Based on your email last Friday and our subsequent telephone conversation Monday morning, my understanding is that Coralville has two concerns regarding the IA DOT's proposed I-80/I-380 Interchange improvements:

1). Coralville is concerned that they wouldn't be compensated for the property acquired by the IA DOT for the I80/I380 improvements and that the city is expected to donate the land to the IA DOT for the project.

2). Coralville is concerned that if the IA DOT mitigates wetlands impacts adjacent to the existing wetlands walking/interpretive trail ("on-site"), that the city would lose their ability to mitigate their own projects on site. This would then cause the city to purchase land elsewhere to mitigate their own projects. Therefore, Coralville should be compensated for the cost of the land that the IA DOT would use for "on-site" mitigation.

Regarding your first concern, I checked with our Right of Way Office. The Iowa Administrative Code requirements found in 761 IAC Chapter 150.2(1) state:

**761—150.2(306) Improvements and maintenance on extensions of freeways.**

150.2(1) **Construction.** Except as otherwise provided, the department shall be responsible for all right-of-way and construction costs associated with the construction of freeways and their extensions.

a. The department shall expect the city to be responsible for providing, without cost to the department, all necessary right-of-way which involves:

   (1) Dedicated streets or alleys, and

   (2) Other city-owned lands, except parklands, subject to the condition that the department may reimburse the city for the functional replacement value of improved property and advanced purchases negotiated by the city for project purposes.

b. Outside the federal control limits, the department shall be responsible for the costs of construction of longitudinal and outlet storm sewers made necessary by highway construction in the proportion that the street right-of-way of the primary road extension bears to the total drainage area to be served by the proposed sewers. The city shall be expected to be responsible for the remaining portion of storm sewer costs not paid for by the department.

c. The department shall be responsible for all storm-sewer related costs within the federal control limits.

By Iowa Administrative Code, the IA DOT would normally expect the city to provide, without cost to the department, all necessary right-of-way. However, according to exception (2) above, the IA DOT "may (emphasis added) reimburse the city for the functional replacement value of improved property and advanced purchases negotiated by the city for project purposes." It should be noted that the Iowa Administrative Code does not require reimbursement and that reimbursement under this rule is discretionary. From the NEPA perspective though, affected 4f properties require mitigation which could potentially be in the form of compensation or some other mutually agreeable (negotiated) solution. This email does not constitute a decision or commitment on the IA DOT's part to reimburse or not to reimburse Coralville for any property acquired and does not constitute an admission, decision or commitment that the exception stated has application to any property acquired. At this point, I'm only identifying the governing administrative code.
Regarding your second concern, I checked with our Office of Location and Environment, Water Resources Section. The Corps of Engineers ground rules regarding mitigation have changed since we met with the City of Coralville last winter. The IA DOT is now considering purchase of wetlands credits from a mitigation bank to account for project impacts, including Coralville's mitigation site. Purchase of mitigation credits from an established mitigation bank is now the Corps' primary option for wetland mitigation, and a wetland bank is available within the same watershed as the project. This will be easier to get permitted and should better address the City's concern of having future mitigation areas available.

As stated in our meeting last winter, the IA DOT is willing to work with the City of Coralville to reach a mutually agreeable mitigation to the 4f affects to the City's park. I hope that I have adequately addressed the City's concerns regarding this project and please feel free to contact me if you have any further questions. Thank you.

Roger Larsen, P.E.
Iowa Department of Transportation
Office of Location & Environment
Ames, IA 50010
515-239-1791
roger.larsen@dot.iowa.gov

From: Dan Holderness [mailto:dhelderess@ci.coralville.ia.us]
Sent: Friday, November 14, 2008 5:00 PM
To: Larsen, Roger [DOT]
Subject: RE: I80/I380

Roger - we need an accurate estimate of the acreage required from the NE and SE quads of the interchange improvements project.

Once we have this information, we should be able to respond.

Thanks

Dan Holderness, P.E.
City Engineer
City of Coralville, IA
dhelderess@ci.coralville.ia.us
Phone # 319.248.1720
Fax # 319.248.1894
PO Box 5172
1512 7th St.
Coralville, IA 52241
www.coralville.org
December 18, 2008

Mr. Jim Schnoebelen, P.E.
District 6 Engineer
Iowa Department of Transportation
430 16th Ave. SW
Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-3150

Re: I-80/I-380 Systems Interchange Improvements
Information Request

Dear Jim:

As discussed at our recent meeting, I am requesting the following information on the impacts of the above-referenced project on City of Coralville owned property:

**SE Quadrant**

1. The city purchased some of the property required by the DOT with a DNR REAP grant. This grant required a conservation easement be placed on this property. A copy is included for your information. What will the impact be to the city if the DOT’s acquires a portion of this property?

2. A portion of the property required by the DOT is within a city owned wetlands mitigation site which was required and is being monitored by the USACE. If the wetlands mitigation site is not fully approved by the USACE prior to the DOT acquisition, what impact will a partial acquisition have on the city’s mitigation requirements? If the USACE has approved the wetlands mitigation site, what are the impacts to the City?

3. Our assumption is that the DOT will meet all of the requirements of our existing Construction Site Erosion and Sediment Control Ordinance (a copy is included for your information) as well our Post Construction Stormwater Runoff Control Ordinance which is being drafted for adoption by our Council early in 2009.

4. Cross sections based on the most current preliminary plans depicting the impacts from all proposed ramps on our existing historic barns and any planned mitigating efforts.

5. Additionally, we do not concur with the DOT’s statement that neither of these barns qualify for the National Historic Register – we believe that one does qualify based on its age and type of construction.
NE Quadrant

1. The City will have ownership of the property impacted by this project prior to the project’s construction. A copy of this agreement is included for your information.
2. How will this project impact our future proposed Clear Creek Trail in this area? A copy of our Trails Map is included for your information.

General Comment

1. As you can see by our Trails Map, the mainline route of our Clear Creek Trail goes through this interchange area. We are concerned about the impact this improvements project will have on this future trail.
2. The official JCCOG Clear Creek Trail alignment is along Hwy 6 where the trail crosses under I-380 from Coralville to Tiffin. We have always been concerned about the safety of the trail users with this alignment so close to Hwy 6. We are talking to our neighbors in Tiffin about changing the official Clear Creek Trail alignment from Hwy 6 to an alignment along Clear Creek. We will let you know if this trail alignment change is approved.

Our desire is to work with you to negotiate an agreement with the DOT addressing our concerns mentioned above as well as compensation for the city owned properties which the DOT wants to acquire for this project.

Please let me know if you need additional information on this matter from us.

Sincerely,

Dan Holderness
City Engineer
1512 7th Street
Coralville, IA 52241-0127
(319) 248-1720
dholderness@ci.coralville.ia.us
NOTICE OF USE RESTRICTIONS IMPOSED BY STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULE ON CERTAIN REAL ESTATE

Notice is hereby given that on December 22, 2000, an agreement was made between the Iowa Department of Natural Resources and the City of Coralville, Johnson County, Iowa, to provide state funds for the acquisition or development of real estate legally described as:

DESCRIPTION: #01-R4-KH, Clear Creek Greenbelt – Phase 4
(See Legal Description Attached)

The state funds provided by the above-mentioned agreement were appropriated pursuant to the Iowa Resources and Enhancement, Chapter 455A, Code of Iowa and administered pursuant to the Resource Enhancement and Protection Program: County, City, and Private Open Spaces Grant Programs, Chapter 33, Iowa Administrative Code. This rule imposes the following restrictions on use of the above-described real estate:

33.17(2) Land Disposal. Whenever the department, and, if a city or county, the grantee, determine that land acquired or developed with resource enhancement and protection fund assistance is no longer of value for the program purposes, or that the grantee can show good cause why the land should no longer be used in accord with the approved project purpose: the land may be disposed of with the director's approval and the proceeds therefore used to acquire or develop an area of equal value, or all grant funds shall be returned to the state for inclusion in the account from which the grant was originally made. If land acquired through the private grant program is determined to be no longer of interest by the state, the proposed disposal of the property shall be reviewed by the grantee, and the grantee shall have the first right of refusal on an option to take title to the property in question.

The notice will be filed by the City of Coralville, Johnson County, Iowa in the office the Recorder of the county in which the subject real estate is located.

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

State Capitol Complex
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0034

July 13, 2001
Date

Larry J. Wilson, Deputy Director
Title

STATE OF IOWA

COUNTRY OF POLK

KERRY A. STONE
NOTARY PUBLIC
in and for the state of Iowa
CONSTRUCTION SITE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL ORDINANCE

SECTION 1. Short Title. This Chapter shall be known as the Construction Site Erosion and Sediment Control Ordinance.

SECTION 2. Purpose. It is the purpose of this Ordinance to:

(a) Protect, maintain and enhance the environment of the City of Coralville and the public health, safety, and general welfare of the public by controlling discharges of pollutants to the City's storm water system and to maintain and improve the quality of the receiving waters into which the storm water flows; and

(b) Enable the City of Coralville to comply with its National Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permit (NPDES) and applicable statutes and regulations for storm water discharges.

SECTION 3. Findings.

(a) The United States Environmental Agency's (EPA) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program administered by the Iowa Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) requires that cities meeting certain demographic and environmental criteria obtain from the IDNR an NPDES permit for the discharge of storm water from a Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit. The City of Coralville is subject to the NPDES permit program and is required to obtain, and has in fact obtained, an MS4 permit; the City's MS4 permit is on file at the office of the City Clerk and is available for public inspection during regular office hours.

(b) The Program requires certain individuals engaged in construction activities to submit an application to the Department for a State NPDES General Permit No. 2. Notwithstanding any provision of this ordinance, every Applicant(s) bears final and complete responsibility for compliance with a State NPDES General Permit No. 2 and a City Construction Site Runoff Permit, and any other requirement of state or federal law or administrative rule.

(c) As a condition of the City's MS4 permit, the City is obliged to undertake responsibility for administration and enforcement of the Program by adopting a Construction Site Erosion and Sediment Control ordinance designed to achieve the following objectives:

(i) Any Person required by law or administrative rule to apply to the Department for a State NPDES General Permit No. 2 shall also be
MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT entered into by and between the City of Coralville, Iowa, 1512 7th Street, P.O. Box 5127, Coralville, Iowa 52241, hereinafter referred to as the “City”; and Southgate Development Company, 755 Mormon Trek Boulevard, Iowa City, Iowa 52246, hereinafter referred to as the “Developer.”

WHEREAS, the City submitted application to the Iowa Department of Transportation on behalf of Southgate Development Company for funding via the Revitalize Iowa’s Sound Economy (“R.I.S.E.”) Program; and

WHEREAS, the Iowa Department of Transportation, on January 9, 2001, approved said application to provide R.I.S.E. funds in the amount of $309,375.00 to be used to construct a portion of Jones Boulevard into the proposed WestCor Business Park (the “Project”); and

WHEREAS, the local matching share of said Project is also $309,375.00; and

WHEREAS, it is now necessary for the City and Developer to enter into an agreement regarding cost-sharing, reimbursement of funds and design standards in connection with this Project.

NOW, THEREFORE, FOR THEIR JOINT AND MUTUAL CONSIDERATION, THE PARTIES AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

A. Payment of Invoices

1. Upon submission of an invoice and subsequent review and approval by the City Council at its next regularly scheduled meeting, the City shall pay any and all invoices associated with this Project.

2. After payment by the City and subject to Section B below, the Finance Officer or her designee shall forward an invoice to the Developer for reimbursement of funds, that invoice being equal to the total invoice paid by the City less any reimbursement by the Iowa Department of Transportation under the R.I.S.E. Agreement. Said invoice is due and payable to the City of Coralville upon receipt. Please send payments to:

   Finance Officer
   City of Coralville
   1512 7th Street, P.O. Box 5127
   Coralville, Iowa 52241

3. In the event that the Developer does not reimburse the City under the terms of this Agreement, the additional costs paid by the City on behalf of the Developer which are not reimbursed by the Iowa Department of Transportation shall become a lien upon the property known as the “WestCor Business Park,” and shall not meet the requirements of notice, benefit or value as provided for by the Code of Iowa for assessing municipal improvements.
January 16, 2009

Mr. Dan Holderness, P. E.
City of Coralville, Engineering
1512 7th Street P. O. Box 5127
Coralville, Iowa 52241-1708

SUBJECT: I-80 / I-380 Interchange

Dear Dan:

This letter is in response to questions outlined in your letter of Dec. 18, 2008.

1. Regarding the City owned property purchased with a REAP grant, the provided agreement with Iowa DNR only indicates how the disposal of the land should be accomplished. While this project may not constitute a disposal of the land, it would seem that if the Iowa DOT needs to acquire any of the land purchased or developed with REAP funds, the City, as recipient of the funds, and the DOT will have to coordinate with DNR to obtain approval for the acquisition.

2. With the issuance of the DOT's 404 permit for the project by the USACE, the City will be relieved of the responsibility for the portion of their Section 404 wetland mitigation acres that the DOT acquires or otherwise impacts with the road project. The DOT will, in essence, assume responsibility for that portion of the City’s original wetland mitigation. In addition, we expect to be required by the USACE to mitigate beyond the City’s original requirement. Whether the USACE has approved the mitigation site and has released the City from further reporting or corrective actions on their Coralville mitigation site does not matter.

3. All Iowa DOT construction projects which disturb 1 acre of land or more are required to have a storm water permit. Storm Water Discharge requirements are mandated by Iowa Code in Chapter 455B.105 and 455B.173. Further guidance is provided in Iowa Administrative Code 567 Chapter 64. Contractors are required to obtain the appropriate storm water permit for any activities that involve asphalt plants, concrete batch plants, rock crushing plants, as well as construction sand and gravel facilities. The contractor shall provide proof of coverage to the resident construction engineer prior to that site being allowed to provide material to the project. All contractors and subcontractors who deal with or have an impact on storm water pollution issues shall sign a co-permittee certification prior to conducting land disturbing work on the project. This signed certificate is submitted to the Office of Contracts when the signed contract is submitted. The Contractor is presumed to be familiar with all laws,
ordinances, and regulations that may in any manner affect the conduct of the work. The specifications note that the Contractor shall conduct the work so conflict from any such laws, ordinances, and regulations will be avoided. If desired, a note can be added to the construction plan which notifies the Contractor of specific ordinances which they will be required to follow.

4. The ROW line did include some buffer based on engineering judgment to account for some of the unknowns. We can give you an approximate number of feet from the barn based on this information but please just note that it is preliminary and subject to change as the design is further refined in the future. We have asked the consultant to produce some additional cross sections showing the barns approximate locations and will provide to you. If you then require additional information, please let us know.

5. The DOT, through our cultural resource consultant, collected information about the barns. Based on the consultant's findings, the DOT made a recommendation to the Iowa State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) that the barns did not meet one or more of the National Park Service's four criteria of eligibility for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. The SHPO concurred in this finding.

6. As noted in #4 above, the barns are not affected by this project. Therefore, the IA DOT believes that the City of Coralville will need to consult with the SHPO if the eligibility of the barns for the National Register is in question. Also, while the DOT has avoided impacting the barns, if an alignment change is required later during the design phase, their proximity and eligibility status could complicate addressing the safety and operational concerns underlying the project.

**NE Quadrant**

1. Thank you for the copy of the agreement.

2. We would react to any alignment of the Clear Creek trail when the project is built. It would be helpful for the City to strive to avoid the footprint of the project with any trail to avoid future land use conflicts. It is likely that a trail along U.S. 6 is viable. (Also addresses General Comment 1 and 2).

Regarding an agreement and property acquisition of City land for the project, typically the DOT would reimburse for functional replacement of any improvements within the area to be acquired; for example, paths, fountains, ponds, gazebos, etc. The DOT would also typically cover the cost of replacement land to mitigate the acquisition if the park was 4f or wetlands, and in order to secure the necessary permits the DOT is required to mitigate. We reference here Iowa Code 761 Chapter 150.0(1). The precise details of the agreement will be developed as the project progresses.
If you have further questions or we need to discuss any issues, please let me know.

Sincerely,

James R. Schnoebelen, P. E.
District 6 Engineer

cc:  Roger Larsen, Iowa DOT-Office of Location and Environment/Ames
    Ken Yanna, P. E., Assistant District Engineer, Iowa DOT-Cedar Rapids
    Catherine Cutler, Transportation Planner, Iowa DOT-Cedar Rapids
    Newman Abuissa, P. E., Iowa City Area Engineer, Iowa DOT-Cedar Rapids
APPENDIX C
FARMLAND PROTECTION FORM
July 15, 2008

Ref: I-80/380 Interchange
Johnson County

Mark LaVan
Resource Soil Scientist
Natural Resources Conservation Service
1805 W. Jefferson
Fairfield, IA 52556

Dear Mr. LaVan:

The Iowa Department of Transportation is proposing to upgrade and reconstruct the Interstate 80/ Interstate 380 interchange in Coralville, Johnson County, Iowa. Because the project will affect farmland, I am enclosing a completed Farmland Conversion Impact Rating form (AD-1006) and supporting documentation for your review.

If you have any questions, please call me at (515) 239-1467.

Sincerely,

Janet M. Vine
Office of Location and Environment

Enclosures

cc:
Roger Larsen, OLE
Christine Norrick, CH2M Hill
Jeff Frantz, CH2M Hill
Date: August 1, 2008

TO: Iowa Department of Transportation
Janet M. Vine
Office of Location and Environment
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, Iowa, 50010

RE: Form AD-1006
Farmland Conversion Impact Rating
Interstate 80/380 Interchange
Coralville/Johnson County, Iowa

Janet,

Your request for the completion of a Farmland Impact Rating for the upgrade and reconstruction of the Interstate 80/380 Interchange in Coralville has been received by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Parts II, IV, and V, as shown on the attached AD-1006 Form, have been completed by this office.

Please contact me if you have any questions about the completion of the attached AD-1006 Form.

Mark R. La Van
Mark R. La Van
Resource Soil Scientist

cc: Wendell Jones, District Conservationist, NRCS
### PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name Of Project</th>
<th>Proposed Land Use</th>
<th>Federal Agency Involved</th>
<th>County And State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-80/I-380 System Interchange</td>
<td>Highway Interchange</td>
<td>Iowa DOT/FHWA</td>
<td>Johnson County IA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Date Of Land Evaluation Request

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Request Received By NRCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Johnson County IA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PART II (To be completed by NRCS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Does the site contain prime, unique, statewide or local important farmland?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Crop(s)</th>
<th>Farmable Land In Govt. Jurisdiction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>386,442 % 76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name Of Land Evaluation System Used</th>
<th>Name Of Local Site Assessment System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Johnson County</td>
<td>LESA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Date Land Evaluation Returned By NRCS

| 8/11/08 |

### PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Acres To Be Converted Directly</th>
<th>Site A</th>
<th>Alternative Site Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly</td>
<td>Site B</td>
<td>Site C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Total Acres In Site</td>
<td>Site E</td>
<td>Site F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Site A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site B</th>
<th>Site C</th>
<th>Site D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PART IV (To be completed by NRCS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Evaluation Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PART V (To be completed by NRCS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Evaluation Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 558.5(b))</th>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Area In Nonurban Use</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Distance To Urban Support Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Availability Of Farm Support Services</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. On-Farm Investments</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS**

| 160 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)**

| 260 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### Site Selected: Date Of Selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Selected:</th>
<th>Date Of Selection</th>
<th>Was A Local Site Assessment Used?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reason For Selection:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason For Selection:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
APPENDIX D
EARLY COORDINATION
March 18, 2005

Mr. Joe Cothern
National Environmental Policy Act Team
US Environmental Protection Agency
901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS  66101

Subject:  I-80/I-380 System Interchange Improvement Project
          Johnson County, Iowa
          IMN-80-6(235)--0E-52

Dear Mr. Joe Cothern:

The Iowa Department of Transportation (Iowa DOT) has initiated planning and preliminary design studies for the
reconstruction of the I-80/I-380 System Interchange in Johnson County, Iowa. The purpose of the proposed project is
to improve ramp geometry, traffic flow and safety issues associated with the current cloverleaf interchange design.
The improvements may include components such as the removal of some or all of the existing loop ramps, along with
the possible introduction of directional ramps (including flyover ramps), the provision of auxiliary lanes, collector-
distributor roads, and improved ramp spacing and weaving lengths. An Interchange Justification Report, which will
consider the effects of the system interchange alternatives on adjacent interchanges, will also be prepared as part of the
project. A project map is attached.

An Environmental Assessment (EA) will be prepared for the proposed project. An EA is a National Environmental
Policy Act (NEPA) document that is required in the preliminary stages of the planning process. The EA is a written
record of the analysis of potential impacts to the environment resulting from the proposed project and is prepared for
projects for which the potential for significant impacts is unclear. Impacts to both the natural and socioeconomic
environment will be assessed, with the evaluation to include issues relating to cultural and recreational resources, air
and noise quality, displacements of homes and businesses, and potential wetland, floodplain, water quality, wildlife
habitat, and threatened and endangered species involvement.

While it is the expectation that existing right-of-way will be used whenever practicable, some additional acreage may
be required to accommodate certain aspects of the proposed improvement. As planning and design activities continue,
the precise right-of-way needs, as well as potential project impacts upon these resources will be more accurately
determined.

For the project as described above, and as part of our required early coordination processing, the Iowa DOT is
soliciting comments from your agency in regard to the project and its potential impacts as related to your area of
expertise and jurisdiction by law. This project is being developed for federal funding participation through the Federal
Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation. Your response by May 1, 2005, would be greatly
appreciated.

Very truly yours,

James Rost
Director
Office of Location and Environment
515-239-1225
Mr. James Rost  
Office of Location and Environment  
Iowa Department of Transportation  
800 Lincoln Way  
Ames, IA 50010  

Subject: I-80/I-380 System Interchange Improvement Project  
Johnson County, Iowa  
IMN-80-6(235)--OE-52  

Dear Mr. Rost:  

This letter is in response to your request for comments regarding the I-80/I-380 System Interchange Improvement Project referenced above. Although a significant portion of the activity may be in the existing right-of-way, there appears to be the opportunity or need to extend beyond the existing right-of-way.  

Our preliminary identification of environmental impacts include:  
- Potential removal of trees and shrubs that would affect area wildlife, including resident and migratory neo tropicals and Indiana bat habitat.  
- Potential sedimentation entering Clear Creek.  
- Potential to prime, important farm land.  

Contact Wendell Jones, NRCS District Conservationist, at 51 Escort Lane, Iowa City, Iowa, or telephone number 319/337-2322, to file the Farmland Protection Policy Act, Form AD 1006.  

Sincerely,  

[Signature]
Richard Van Klaveren  
State Conservationist  

cc: Bruce Trautman, ASTC-FO, NRCS, Fairfield, IA  
Wendell Jones, DC, NRCS, Iowa City, IA
March 28, 2005

Mr. James R. Schnoebelen, P.E.
Iowa Department of Transportation
P.O. Box 3150
Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406-3150

Dear Mr. Schnoebelen,

Hawkeye Foodservice Distribution, Inc. is located on Highway 6 in Coralville and is included in the study of the I-80/US 218/IA 27 interchange in Johnson County. I appreciate the letter that you sent out on March 18, and will certainly cooperate with DOT personnel and/or other consultants contracted by the DOT.

We have been in the same location on Highway 6 since 1964. In 2001, we purchased the FS Feeds building, now 3800 2nd Street in Coralville. The amount of traffic growth we have witnessed over the years is significant. The growth in traffic since the opening of the Coral Ridge Mall has been staggering.

Highway 6, from the I-380 overpass to the west Lowe's entrance surely requires capacity improvements to improve flow and safety. We are big proponents of this. We are also big supporters of direct on/off access to I-380 from Highway 6. Our trucks and employees would then be able to avoid going through the town of Tiffin or through the congested Coral Ridge Mall accesses to the interstate system.

Thank you for your consideration. Please let me know if there are additional audiences that are interested in learning what landowners within the study area are thinking.

Sincerely,

Jeff A. Braverman
President

cc: Richard Kautz, District 6 Office, Iowa DOT
    Catherine Cutler, District 6 Office, Iowa DOT
    Mitch Dillavou, Engineering Building, Iowa DOT
    Jim Rost, Office of Location and Environment, Iowa DOT
    Roger Larsen, Office of Location and Environment, Iowa DOT
March 29, 2005

James Rost, Director
Office of Location and Environment
Iowa Department of Transportation
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, IA 50010

RE: FHWA – JOHNSON COUNTY – IMN-80-6(235)—0E-52 – INTERSTATE 80 AND 380 SYSTEM INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENT PROJECT - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT – PLANNING AND PRELIMINARY DESIGN STUDIES INITIATED

Dear Mr. Rost,

Thank you for notifying our office about the above referenced proposed project. We understand that this project will be a federal undertaking and will need to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and with the National Environmental Policy Act. We look forward to consulting with you and the Federal Highway Administration on the Area of Potential Effect for this proposed project and whether this project will affect any significant historic properties under 36 CFR Part 800.4. In accordance with our Programmatic Agreement between your agency, the Federal Highway Administration, and our office; we will need the following types of information for our review:

- The Area of Potential Effect (APE) for this project needs to be adequately defined (36 CFR Part 800.16 (d)).
- Information on what types of cultural resources are or may be located in the APE (36 CFR Part 800.4).
- The significance of the historic properties in the APE in consideration of the National Register of Historic Places Criteria.
- A determination from your agency, as authorized by Federal Highway Administration, of the undertaking’s effects on historical properties within the APE (36 CFR Part 800.5).

The responsible federal agency will need to identify and contact all potential consulting parties that may have an interest in historic properties within the project APE (36 CFR Part 800.2 (c)). Please reference the Review and Compliance Number provided above in all future submitted correspondence to our office for this project. We look forward to further consulting with you and the Federal Highway Administration on this project. Should you have any questions please contact me at the number below.

Sincerely,

Douglas W. Jones, Archaeologist
State Historic Preservation Office
State Historical Society of Iowa
(515) 281-4358

In reply refer to:
R&C#: 050352113
March 30, 2005

James Rost, Director
Office of Location and Environment
Iowa Department of Transportation
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, Iowa 50010

Re: I-80/I-380 System Interchange Improvement Project
Johnson County, Iowa
Request for comments

Dear Mr. Rost:

The Iowa Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Air Quality Bureau received your letter of March 18, 2005, requesting comments on a proposed reconstruction of the I-80/I-380 System Interchange in Johnson County, Iowa. Your letter has been forwarded to other areas within the DNR for review and comment.

The DNR is the regulatory authority for the air quality programs described below. These programs may or may not apply to the proposed I-80/I-380 System Interchange project.

- **Construction Permitting Requirements**
  DNR issues construction permits for new and modified sources of air pollutants. If the project includes any new air emission units, including portable equipment, the project may be subject to these construction permitting requirements. You may wish to visit our website at [www.iowadnr.com/air/prof/const/const.html](http://www.iowadnr.com/air/prof/const/const.html) for more information, or contact our permit hotline at 1-877-AIR-IOWA. You may also wish to review the rules for permitting contained in 567 Iowa Administrative Code (IAC) Chapter 22 (455B). The IAC is available on-line at [www.legis.state.ia.us/IAC.html](http://www.legis.state.ia.us/IAC.html).

- **Asbestos**
  Building renovations, demolitions and training fires are potentially subject to the asbestos release prevention efforts under the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for asbestos [40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 61, subpart M]. The DNR has been delegated the authority to administer and enforce this program.
The asbestos NESHAP rules apply **before** renovation or demolition begin, and often require a thorough inspection and lab analysis of suspect asbestos containing material, notification to the DNR and, in some cases, proper removal and disposal. For more information, please contact the DNR Asbestos NESHAP Coordinator, Marion Burnside, at 515-281-8443.

- **Open Burning**
The DNR regulates open burning. "Open burning" is the burning of combustible materials where the products of combustion are emitted into the open air without passing through a chimney or stack. In general, open burning is prohibited, except for the specific exemptions listed in the state open burning rules. The open burning rules are contained in 567 IAC rule 23.2(455B). In addition, there are a number of definitions in 567 Chapter 20 that are applicable to open burning. The IAC is available on-line at [www.legis.state.ia.us/IAC.html](http://www.legis.state.ia.us/IAC.html).

- **Fugitive Dust**
The DNR administers regulations that pertain to fugitive dust. In general, owners or operators must take reasonable precautions to prevent fugitive dust from becoming airborne and crossing the property line. These regulations, which may be applicable to this project, are contained in 567 IAC paragraph 23.3(2)"c", and can be found at the website indicated above.

- **Opacity**
The DNR administers regulations that pertain to opacity (visible emissions). In general, visible emissions in excess of 40 percent opacity are not allowed unless specifically exempted under rule. The rules for opacity, which may pertain to this project, are under paragraph 567 IAC 23.3(2)"d", and are available on-line at the link indicated above.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 515 242-5154 or by e-mail at christine.paulson@dnr.state.ia.us.

Sincerely,

![Signature]

Christine M. Paulson  
Senior Environmental Specialist - Program Development Section  
Air Quality Bureau

---

c: Scott VanderHart – DNR
James Rost  
Director  
Office of Location and Environment  
Iowa Department of Transportation  
800 Lincoln Way  
Ames, Iowa 50010  

Dear Mr. Rost,  

Thank you for contacting the Iowa City Area Chamber of Commerce regarding the I-80/I-380 System Interchange Improvement Project. We recognize that safety at this interchange is an issue that needs to be addressed.  

If further investigation finds improvements are necessary and feasible, the Iowa City Area Chamber of Commerce will be supportive of the project. Please let me know if there is anything the Area Chamber can do to assist you in gaining federal funding.  

Sincerely,  

[Signature]  

James C. Griffin Jr.  
President  
Iowa City Area Chamber of Commerce
April 5, 2005

Mr. James Rost
Iowa Department of Transportation
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, IA 50010

RE: I-80/I-380 System Interchange Improvement Project, IMN-80-6(235)—0E-52, Johnson County, IA

Dear Mr. Rost:

Thank you for inviting our comments on the impact of the above referenced project.

The soil map shows areas of sandy soil (Chelsea, Sparta, and complexes including Chelsea) in the vicinity of this project. If this project will disturb land that is outside the existing right-of-way and that contains sandy soil with native vegetation, then a survey is recommended for the Ornate Box Turtle (Terrapene ornata, Iowa listed threatened).

The Indiana Bat (Myotis sodalis, state and federal endangered) may occur in the area of this project. The enclosed guidelines provide information about summer habitat requirements and survey methods for the Indiana Bat. If it appears that you will disturb potential Indiana Bat summer habitat, we suggest that you contact the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Rock Island Field Office at (309) 793-5800. If other listed species or rare communities are found during the planning or construction phases, additional studies and/or mitigation may be required.

This letter is a record of review for protected species, rare natural communities, state lands and waters in the project area, including review by personnel representing state parks, preserves, recreation areas, fisheries and wildlife but does not include any potential comment from the Environmental Services Division of this Department. This letter does not constitute a permit and before proceeding with this project, permits may be needed from this Department or from other state or federal agencies.

Effective March 10, 2003, any construction activity that bares the soil of an area greater than or equal to 1 acre including clearing, grading or excavation may require a storm water discharge permit from the Department. Construction activities may include the temporary or permanent storage of dredge material. For more information regarding this matter, please contact Ruth Rosdial at 515/281-6782.

The Department administers regulations that pertain to fugitive dust IAW Iowa Administrative Code 567-23.3(2)"c". All persons shall take reasonable precautions to prevent the discharge of visible emissions of fugitive dusts beyond the lot line of property during construction, alteration, repairing or demolishing of buildings, bridges or other vertical structures or haul roads. All questions regarding fugitive dust regulations should be addressed to Jim McGraw at 515/242-5167.
If you have any questions about this letter or if you require further information, please contact Keith Dohrmann at (515) 281-8967.

Sincerely,

\[Signature\]

Diane Ford-Shivvers, Supervisor
Policy and Coordination
Conservation and Recreation Division

DFS: kd

Attachment: Indiana Bat Guidelines (addressee only)

FILE COPY: Keith L. Dohrmann
IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

GUIDELINES FOR PROTECTION OF INDIANA BAT SUMMER HABITAT

These guidelines were prepared to provide information about the Indiana bat and its summer habitat requirements in Iowa and to prevent inadvertent harm to the species through various human activities. This update of the guidelines is in response to changes in the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service requirements for protecting this endangered species. The changes include:

- No cut dates changed to April 15 through September 15
- Drop the requirement for the number of roost trees/acre
- Use the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service guidelines for mist net surveys

The Indiana bat is a federal (50 CFR Part 17) and state (Code of Iowa, Chapter 481B) listed endangered species that occurs in southern Iowa from May through August.

Female Indiana bats have their young beneath the loose or peeling bark of trees. Most nursery colonies have been found beneath the bark of standing dead trees on the trunk or large branches. Dead trees that retain sheets or plates of bark and which provide space beneath the bark such as red oak, post oak, and cottonwood are potential roost trees. Live trees such as shagbark and shellbark hickory are also used at times for roosting. The nursery colonies are located along streams and rivers or in upland forest areas. Riparian areas are also important feeding areas for this species. Indiana bats have been captured on the edge of urban areas. It is likely that the bats would be using only areas on the edge of the town or city and only if there is suitable habitat such as a greenbelt or a large park with a natural forest component that would have the below listed requirements. This would exclude city parks that are maintained as mowed areas.

**Counties affected**

Summer Range in Iowa:

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service considers all counties south of Interstate 80, including those portions of Dallas, Polk, Jasper, Poweshiek, Iowa, Johnson, Muscatine, and Scott counties south of Interstate 80, as being within the potential range of the species in Iowa.
If a survey of the habitat within the project area finds that suitable summer habitat for the Indiana Bat, as defined above, is present then there are two options available.

**Option 1:**
Conduct a mist net survey of the project area for Indiana Bats

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service developed guidelines for conducting mist net surveys. A copy titled "Mist Netting Guidelines" may be obtained from the following office:

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
4469 48th Avenue Court  
Rock Island, Illinois 61201

Survey results should be submitted to:

Iowa Department of Natural Resources,  
Wallace State Office Building  
502 East Ninth  
Des Moines, IA 50319  
(Attention: Daryl Howell)

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service  
4469 48th Ave. Court  
Rock Island, IL 61201

If Indiana bats are found during the survey then no removal of the trees will be allowed between April 15 and September 15.

**Option 2:**
Conduct tree clearing and cutting between September 16 and April 14 or remove all potential roost trees identified during the habitat survey between these dates.

The IDNR can offer assistance in identifying qualified professionals to conduct habitat surveys and bat surveys. Contact Daryl Howell if you have questions about these guidelines at the above listed address or (515) 281-8524.

Please contact the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service at the above listed address or (309) 793-5800, for information about the most current federal guidelines for the Indiana bat.

These guidelines may be revised based on the availability of new research or management information or to clarify particular points in the guidelines. You may wish to check with the DNR to determine if you have the most current set of guidelines.
Mr. James Rost  
Director  
Office of Location and Environment  
Iowa Department of Transportation  
800 Lincoln Way  
Ames, Iowa  50010

Dear Mr. Rost:

I received your letter dated March 18, 2005, concerning I-80/I-380 System Interchange Improvement Project, Johnson County, Iowa (IMN-80-6(235)-0E-52). Rock Island District staff reviewed the information you provided and have the following comments:

a. The Rock Island District Corps of Engineers (Corps) is currently conducting the Iowa River Clear Creek Section 206 feasibility study with the potential for construction in 2006/2007. Our project includes bankline stabilization, construction of wetlands and riparian buffers, and the installation of riffle structures. Our proposed project has two sites that are located within your proposed study corridor. In addition, the City of Coralville has recently constructed a mitigation site that is within your study corridor. (See enclosure)

Additional coordination with the Corps will need to take place so that both of these projects may be developed to the benefit of our respective agencies and the local sponsor. Ms. Amy Moore (amy.r.moore@usace.army.mil) is the project engineer for the Iowa River Clear Creek Section 206 feasibility study and may be contacted by telephone at 309-794-5831. You may also write to Ms. Moore at the above address, ATTN: Amy Moore, ED-DN.

b. Any proposed placement of fill or dredged material into waters of the United States (including wetlands) requires Department of the Army (DA) authorization. We require additional details of your project before we can make a final determination. When detailed plans are available, please complete and submit an application packet to the Rock Island District for processing.
c. The Responsible Federal Agency should coordinate with Ms. Maria Pandullo, Iowa Historic Preservation Agency, ATTN: Review and Compliance Program, State Historical Society of Iowa, Capitol Complex, Des Moines, Iowa 50319 to determine impacts to historic properties.

d. The Rock Island Field Office of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service should be contacted to determine if any federally listed endangered species are being impacted and, if so, how to avoid or minimize impacts. The Rock Island Field Office address is: 4469 - 48th Avenue Court, Rock Island, Illinois 61201. Mr. Rick Nelson is the Field Supervisor. You can reach him by calling 309/793-5800.

e. The Iowa Emergency Management Division should be contacted to determine if the proposed project may impact areas designated as floodway. Mr. Dennis Harper is the Iowa State Hazard Mitigation Team Leader. His address is: Hoover State Office Building, Level A, Des Moines, Iowa 50319. You can reach him by calling 515/281-3231.

No other concerns surfaced during our review. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on your proposal. If you need more information, please call Ms. Sandra Brewer of our Economic and Environmental Analysis Branch, telephone 309/794-5171.

You may find additional information about the Corps’ Rock Island District on our web site at http://www.mvr.usace.army.mil. To find out about other Districts within the Corps, you may visit the web site http://www.usace.army.mil/divdistmap.html.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Kenneth A. Barr
Chief, Economic and Environmental Analysis Branch

Enclosure
April 21, 2005

James Rost, Director
Office of Location and Environment
Iowa Department of Transportation
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, IA 50010

Re: Your correspondence of March 18, 2005; I-80/I-380 system interchange improvement project; Johnson County, Iowa; IMN-80-6(235)-0E-52

Dear Mr. Rost:

Pursuant to your letter of March 18, 2005, any improvement to the I-80/I-380 system interchange in Johnson County Iowa to improve ramp geometry, traffic flow, and safety issues is consistent with the JCCOG Long-Range Multi-Modal Transportation Plan. As Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Iowa City Urbanized Area, we look forward to these improvements.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jeff Davidson
Executive Director
April 28, 2005

Mr. James Rost
Director, Office of Location and Environment
Iowa Department of Transportation
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, IA 50010

RE:  I-80/I-380 System Interchange Improvement Project
     Johnson County, IA
     IMN -80-6(235)- -0E-52

Dear Mr. Rost:

The City of Coralville supports the IJR study of this systems interchange and subsequent improvements. This interchange in its current condition is getting increasingly dangerous as the volumes of traffic overall and percentage of trucks continue to increase.

The city owns ground immediately south of I-80 and east of the systems interchange. The grading and initial seeding has been completed for a wetlands mitigation project in this area. The final plantings will occur in 2005. A copy of the as-built plans for this project are enclosed for your information.

The city is also involved with the US Army Corps of Engineers in a 206 Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration Project on ground we own immediately east of the above-mentioned wetlands restoration project. This project is in the feasibility stage of planning at this time. My understanding is that Amy Moore of the USACE is sending preliminary plans for this project to you for your information.

The city is planning a trail system through our land along Clear Creek to eventually attach to trails from Tiffin to the west. A map detailing the proposed routes of these trails is included for your information. As you can see, our proposal is to route these trails under existing bridges on I-80 and I-380. We are interested in working with the DOT to make sure these trails can be constructed in the future.

I am sending under separate cover to CH2M Hill the private development plans for the ground on the NE quadrant of the systems interchange.

Finally, the city is interested in constructing a future interchange at the I-380/US Highway 6 crossing. Our understanding is that one of the outcomes of the systems IJR is determine if an interchange at the I-380/US Highway 6 location is
possible. We think there are a lot of advantages of an interchange at this location one of which is direct connectivity from the interstate system to Hwy 6.

Thank you for the opportunity to share information in the early stages of this IJR. We are anxious to see the results. Please let me know if you require additional information.

Sincerely,

Dan Holderness, P.E.
City Engineer

Enc.
Proposed Clear Creek Trail Alignment at Interstate 80 / 380 Interchange
May 4, 2005

Mr. James Rost
Iowa Department of Transportation
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, Iowa 50010

Dear Mr. Rost:

This letter responds to your request for information regarding federally threatened or endangered species in the project area being considered for the reconstruction of the I-80/I-380 System Interchange in Johnson County, Iowa. We have the following comments.

To facilitate compliance with Section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, Federal agencies are required to obtain from the Fish and Wildlife Service information concerning any species, listed or proposed to be listed, which may be present in the area of a proposed action. Therefore, we are furnishing you the following list of species which may be present in the concerned area:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Common Name (Scientific Name)</th>
<th>Habitat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus)</td>
<td>Wintering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis)</td>
<td>Caves, mines (hibernacula); small stream corridors with well developed riparian woods; upland forests (foraging)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Prairie bush clover (Lespedeza lepiostachya)</td>
<td>Dry to mesic prairies with gravelly soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Western prairie fringed orchid (Platanthera praeclara)</td>
<td>Mecis to wet prairies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr. James Rost

Threatened: Eastern prairie fringed orchid
(Platanthera leucophaea) Mesic to wet prairies

Candidate: Eastern massasauga rattlesnake
(Sistrurus c. catenatus) Shrub wetlands

The threatened bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) is listed as wintering along large rivers, lakes, and reservoirs in Johnson County, Iowa. During the winter, this species feeds on fish in the open water areas created by dam tailwaters, the warm water effluents of power plants and municipal and industrial discharges, or in power plant cooling ponds. The more severe the winter, the greater the ice coverage and the more concentrated the eagles become. They roost at night in groups in large trees adjacent to the river in areas that are protected from the harsh winter elements. They perch in large shoreline trees to rest or feed on fish. There is no critical habitat designated for this species. The eagle may not be harassed, harmed, or disturbed when present nor may nest trees be cleared.

The endangered Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis) could potentially occur in all counties south of Interstate 80, including those portions of Johnson County south of Interstate 80.

Indiana bats migrate seasonally between winter hibernacula and summer roosting habitats. Winter hibernacula include caves and abandoned mines. Females form nursery colonies under the loose bark of trees (dead or alive) and/or cavities, where each female gives birth to a single young in June or early July. A single colony may utilize a number of roost trees during the summer, typically a primary roost tree and several alternates. The species or size of tree does not appear to influence whether Indiana bats utilize a tree for roosting provided the appropriate bark structure is present.

During the summer, the Indiana bat frequents the corridors of small streams with riparian woods as well as mature upland forests. It forages for insects along stream corridors, within the canopy of floodplain and upland forests, over clearings with early successional vegetation (old fields), along the borders of croplands, along wooded fencerows, over farm ponds, and in pastures.

Suitable summer habitat in Iowa is considered to have the following characteristics within a ½ mile radius of a project site:

1) forest cover of 15% or greater;
2) permanent water;
3) one or more of the following tree species: shagbark and shellbark hickory that may be dead or alive, and dead bitternut hickory, American elm, slippery elm, eastern cottonwood, silver maple, white oak, red oak, post oak, and shingle oak with slabs or plates of loose bark;
4) potential roost trees with 10% or more peeling or loose bark
If the project site contains any habitat that fits the above description, it may be necessary to conduct a survey to determine whether the bat is present. In addition, a search for this species should be made prior to any cave-impacting activities. If habitat is present or Indiana bats are known to be present, they must not be harmed, harassed, or disturbed when present, and this field office should be contacted for further assistance.

The prairie bush clover (*Lespedeza leptostachya*) is listed as threatened and is considered to potentially occur statewide in Iowa based on historical habitat. It occupies dry to mesic prairies with gravelly soil. There is no critical habitat designated for this species. Federal regulations prohibit any commercial activity involving this species or the destruction, malicious damage or removal of this species from Federal land or any other lands in knowing violation of state law or regulation, including state criminal trespass law. This species should be searched for whenever prairie remnants are encountered.

The western prairie fringed orchid (*Platanthera praeclara*) is listed as threatened and is considered to potentially occur statewide based on historical records and habitat distribution. It occupies wet grassland habitats. There is no critical habitat designated for this species. Federal regulations prohibit any commercial activity involving this species or the destruction, malicious damage or removal of this species from Federal land or any other lands in knowing violation of state law or regulation, including state criminal trespass law. This species should be searched for whenever wet prairie remnants are encountered.

The eastern prairie fringed orchid (*Platanthera leucophaea*) is listed as threatened for Johnson County in Iowa. It occupies wet grassland habitats. There is no critical habitat designated for this species. Federal regulations prohibit any commercial activity involving this species or the destruction, malicious damage or removal of this species from Federal land or any other lands in knowing violation of state law or regulation, including state criminal trespass law. This species should be searched for whenever wet prairie remnants are encountered.

The project lies within the range of the eastern massasauga (*Sistrurus c. catenatus*), a docile rattlesnake that is declining throughout its national range and is currently a Federal Candidate species. The snake is currently listed as endangered by the State of Iowa and is believed to occur in Johnson County. Your proactive efforts to conserve this species now may help avoid the need to list the species under the Endangered Species Act in the future. Due to their reclusive nature, we encourage early project coordination to avoid potential impacts to massasaugas and their habitat.

The massasauga is often found in or near wet areas, including wetlands, wet prairie, or nearby woodland or shrub edge habitat. This often includes dry goldenrod meadows with a mosaic of early successional woody species such as dogwood or multiflora rose. Wet habitat and nearby dry edges are utilized by the snakes, especially during the spring and fall. Dry upland areas up to 1.5 miles away are utilized during the summer, if available.

At a minimum, project evaluations should contain delineations of whether or not massasauga habitat occurs within project boundaries. Descriptions should indicate the quality and quantity
of massasauga habitat (holes, crayfish burrows, foraging area, or basking sites) that may be affected by the project. In cases where massasaugas are known to occur or potential habitat is rated moderate to high, massasauga surveys may be necessary. Please contact this office for further information should massasauga or their habitat be suspected.

This letter provides comments under the authority of and in accordance with provisions of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (48 Stat. 401, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 661 et seq.); and the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. If you have any questions concerning our comments, please contact Ms. Heidi Woeber of my staff at 309/793-5800, ext. 209.

Sincerely,

Richard C. Nelson
Field Supervisor

S:\Office Users\Heidi\dojohnsonco.doc
October 30, 2008

Tribal Chair
Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma
RR 1, Box 721
Perkins, OK 74059

Re: Interstate 80/Interstate 380 (I-80/380) Interchange/U.S. 218

Dear Chairperson:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Iowa Department of Transportation (Iowa DOT) are evaluating the I-80/380 Interchange/U.S. 218 project. We have recently become aware that for this project we did not contact you as we typically do during the early coordination process. We apologize for our error and are correcting that oversight by providing project information now for your review and comment.

This project is in Johnson County, within the corporate boundaries of Coralville, Tiffin, and North Liberty. The project involves improvements to the I-80/380/U.S. 218 system interchange as shown on the attached map. Land uses along I-80, east of the I-80/380 interchange, tend to be commercial while uses along I-80 west of the interchange tend to be agricultural. I-380 north of I-80 is primarily agricultural. U.S. 218/IA 27 south of I-80 is a mix of agricultural, park, and industrial uses.

The proposed improvements include replacing all four loop ramps of the existing I-80/380/U.S. 218 interchange with directional ramps and widening I-80 and I-380/U.S. 218. To improve safety and achieve the proper alignment, acquisition of right-of-way and/or construction easements is anticipated from several adjacent property owners.

As part of this coordination effort, we request that you contact us if you have any concerns that the project could impact sites of religious or cultural importance to your tribe. We will provide any additional project information that may be of interest to you as it becomes available, including the results of archaeological surveys that will be made of any undisturbed right of way needed for the project.

Enclosed with the map is a postage-paid notification form that you may use, if you wish, to return comments about the project. Please feel free to call Mr. Randall B. Faber, Iowa DOT, at (515)239-1215 or email him at randall.faber@dot.iowa.gov. If you
wish to contact a representative of the U.S. government, call Mr. Michael LaPietra, Federal Highway Administration, Iowa Division, at (515)233-7302.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Janet M. Vine
Office of Location and Environment
Iowa Department of Transportation

Enclosures:
Project Description
Map of Project Limits
Tribal Notification

cc:
Randy Faber, Iowa DOT
Mike LaPietra, FHWA
Ms. 
Tribal Chair 
Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma 
RR 1, Box 721 
Perkins, OK 74059

Tribal Chairperson 
Sac & Fox of Oklahoma Business Council 
Route 2 - Box 246 
Stroud, OK 74079

Ms. Sandra Massey, NAGPRA Coordinator 
Sac & Fox Nation of Oklahoma 
Rt.2 - Box 246 
Stroud, OK 74079

Barbara Childs-Walton 
Otoe-Missourri Tribe 
NAGPRA Coordinator 
8151 Highway 177 
Red Rock, OK 74651

Tribal Council 
Sac and Fox Nation of Missouri 
305 N Main St. 
Reserve, KS 66434

Ms. Deanne Bahr, NAGPRA Coordinator 
Sac & Fox Nation of Missouri 
305 N Main St. 
Reserve, KS 66434

Executive Director 
Sac & Fox Nation of Mississippi in Iowa 
349 Meskwaki Road 
Tama, Iowa 52339-9629

Mr. Jonathon Buffalo 
Historic Preservation Coordinator 
Sac & Fox Nation of Mississippi in Iowa 
349 Meskwaki Road 
Tama, Iowa 52339-9629
PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project involves improvements to the Interstate 80/Interstate 380 (I-80/I-380/U.S. 218) System Interchange. The study area, in Johnson County, is within the corporate boundaries of three communities: Coralville, Tiffin, and North Liberty.

The improvement involves replacing all loop ramps with directional ramps. I-80 would be upgraded to an eight-lane section (four lanes each direction) having a closed median with a barrier section separating directions of travel. To the east, the eight-lane section would transition to the existing six lanes near the Coral Ridge/IA 965 interchange. To the west, the proposed eight-lane section would transition to the existing four lanes at the Ireland Avenue interchange. I-380/U.S. 218 would be upgraded to a six-lane section through the System Interchange. South of the interchange, U.S. 218 would transition back to the existing four-lane section near 355th Street SW. To the north, I-380 would transition back to the four-lane section south of Forevergreen Road. The study area and proposed project are shown in the attached map.
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Date: October 30, 2008

IA DOT contact: Randy Faber

IADOT project #: IMN-080-6(235)/239-0-E-52

Phone #: IA DOT - 515-239-1215
FHWA - 515-233-7300

Location: Johnson County, Iowa

E-mail: Randall.Faber@dot.iowa.gov

Description: Improvements to the Interstate-80/Interstate 380/U.S. 218 interchange

Type of Project (see map)

- VERY SMALL - Disturb less than 12-inch depth (plow zone)
- SMALL - Grading on existing road, shouldering, ditching, etc.
- SMALL - Bridge or culvert replacement
- LARGE - Improve existing road from 2 lanes to 4 lanes
- LARGE - New alignment
- OTHER – Interchange reconstruction & road widening

Type of Coordination/Consultation Points

- 1 - Early project notification (project map and description)
- 2 - Notification of survey findings (Phase I)
- 2a - Notification of site evaluation (Phase II)
- 3 - Consultation regarding site treatment
- 4 - Data Recovery Report
- 5 - Other

Type of Findings

- No American Indian site found
  --Section 106 Consultation Process ends*
- American Indian sites found but not eligible for National Register listing
  --Section 106 Consultation Process ends*
- Avoided American Indian sites eligible for National Register listing
  (see map and list of sites)
  --Section 106 Consultation Process may or may not end
- Potentially significant American Indian sites found
  (see map and list of sites)
- American Indian sites eligible for National Register listing cannot be avoided (see map)
- Burial site found

* In the event of a late discovery, consultation will be reopened

# of non-significant prehistoric sites

# of potentially significant prehistoric sites

# of National Register-eligible prehistoric sites

Affected National Register Properties

- Investigating avoidance or minimizing harm options
- Avoided
- Protected
- Data Recovery/MOA

Who should we contact for site/project-related discussions?

Name: ___________________________ Street Address: ___________________________
City, Zip Code: _______________________

Phone: __________________________ E-mail: __________________________

Do you know of any sensitive areas within or near the project the FHWA/DOT should avoid (please describe)?

☐ Thank you for the information; however, we do not need to consult on this particular project.

☐ We do not have a comment at this time, but request continued notification on this project.

☐ Please send a copy of the archaeology report.

☐ Thank you for the information. We are satisfied with the planned site treatment.

☐ We have concerns and wish to consult.

☐ We wish to participate in the Memorandum of Agreement for this project.

Comments

________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________

Name: ________________________ Tribal name: ________________________ Date: ________________________

(Comments continued on back)
APPENDIX E
NEPA/404 CONCURRENCE
I-80/I-380 System Interchange NEPA/404 Concurrence

ATTENDEES:  
Andy Wilson / FHWA  
Chris Schwake / Iowa DNR  
Neal Johnson / COE  
Heidi Weber / FWS (via phone)  
Jim Olson / Iowa DOT  
Kelly Poole / Iowa DOT  
Scott Marler / Iowa DOT  
Tammy Nicholson / Iowa DOT  
Ken Toomsen / Iowa DOT  
Mike LaPietra / FHWA  
Jim Schnoeblen / Iowa DOT  
Jon Rees / CH2M HILL  
Jeff Frantz / CH2M HILL

FROM:  
CH2M HILL

DATE:  
October 10, 2005

PROJECT NUMBER:  
318061

The meeting was held at 8:30 a.m. on Tuesday, October 26, 2005, to introduce the project and review concurrence points #1 and #2: *Purpose and Need* and *Alternatives to be Analyzed*. Concurrence on these points was not requested during this meeting, but will be requested at the next meeting, which will be held after the Public Information meeting.

Jeff Frantz started the meeting by covering the agenda and introducing background information on the I-80/I-380 System Interchange Project. Jeff then discussed the Purpose and Need for improvements to the I-80/I-380 System Interchange. The four need points are:

- Traffic and capacity
- Geometry/interchange design
- Safety
- Travel continuity/access.

Next, Tammy Nicholson provided information concerning initial alternatives and the alternative screening process. The initial alternatives consisted of 13 different concepts, which were displayed and discussed briefly. These included:

- Three Loop Alternative: A1, A2, A3
- Two Loop Alternative: B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, B7
- Single Loop Alternative: C1 and C2
- No Loop Alternative: D1

Tammy introduced the refined alternatives, which were Alternatives B1, B3, B4, B5, C1, and D1. She discussed each of these, and displayed exhibits of each and their anticipated construction phasing. Tammy also provided a brief overview of the proposed interchanges at U.S. 6 and Forevergreen Road. Based on the studies completed to date, the Iowa DOT is not recommending an interchange at U.S. 6; however, an interchange may be feasible at Forevergreen Road.
Jeff Frantz summarized the resource studies which had been and are currently being completed. He discussed socioeconomic resources, noise, regulated materials, natural resources, and cultural resources. Jeff mentioned that due to the rural project location, there are no anticipated traffic noise impacts. No potential regulated materials sites were found within the study limits. Studies for wetlands, surface/groundwater, floodplains, wildlife/habitat, and agriculture/farmland have been completed or are underway. Initial surveys of cultural resources have been completed, resulting in the identification of several sites for Phase II surveys for archaeological resources. Additionally, one barn was identified as having potential local historical importance.

Finally, Jeff provided a brief summary of the early coordination contacts from the following agencies: SHPO, Iowa DNR, NRCS, Iowa City Chamber of Commerce, Corps of Engineers, Johnson County Council of Governments, City of Coralville, and the Fish and Wildlife Service. The floor was then opened for discussion, which is summarized below.

**Coralville Wetland Mitigation Site:**
Scott Marler noted that there is a potential recreational property (City of Coralville) in the SE quadrant of the System Interchange and a proposed trail through the Coralville mitigation site, which led to the following discussion points.

- Neal Johnson (COE) also noted the mitigation site, commenting that all of the alternatives discussed would impact the site. Scott noted that they might need a permit modification with the City of Coralville. Neal stated that the COE would prefer the City of Coralville and DOT work together to modify the City’s permit. With this approach, the site would not be completely mitigated at this time, compared to being completed and adversely impacted later during construction. Such a situation would likely create negative public perception about the involved agencies.

- Chris Schwake mentioned that the mitigation site may have already been recorded with the County, however, she was not sure. She mentioned that this would be a concern, as it would make things difficult to modify once it has been recorded.

- Neal Johnson recommended that the DOT work with the City of Coralville now to replace the portion of the mitigation site that would be impacted by the project and obtain credit to be used later. Scott Marler expressed concern that due to the time between when the mitigation work may occur and when it would be needed, the DOT may not be recognized for the credit subsequently. Neal noted that the COE staff is willing to work with the DOT and the City of Coralville concerning this issue.

- Chris Schwake noted that the DNR would prioritize avoidance of impacts to the Coralville mitigation site.

- Neal Johnson requested avoidance of impacts to streams, and noted some recent stream stabilization at Clear Creek. Overall, Neal had no concerns with wetland impacts, other than at the mitigation site. As well, Chris Schwake had no other concerns about wetland impacts.

- Scott Marler has a copy of plans for the natural resource work in the SE quadrant. He noted that he will provide this information to CH2M HILL.
Wildlife:
- Scott Marler asked about the status of the wildlife surveys. Jeff Frantz noted that CH2M HILL has completed wildlife surveys and will be submitting them to the DOT for review.
- Heidi Woeber (Fish and Wildlife) had concern with dashed lines (phased construction) with the B Alternatives on the refined alternative exhibits, as there was conflict with Indiana bat habitat due to sandy soils. It was recommended that a netting survey (sampling technique to catch Indiana bats) be completed.
- Heidi noted that mist surveys would not be necessary after looking at figures concerning the Indiana bat habitat areas. She said that previous surveys in Johnson County have not netted Indiana bats (survey data as close as Coralville Lake). She will be sending DOT (Scott Marler) a letter regarding Indiana bat consultation; however, on smaller projects that do not meet a No Effect ruling, but are NLAA, email correspondence is all that is needed. (Additional information included from an email from Heidi Woeber to Scott Marler on November 1, 2005)
- Scott Marler suggested waiting and doing winter tree removal opposed to mist surveys.
- Chris Schwake noted the need to ask John Pearson (DNR) on appropriate timing concerning ornate box turtle studies.

Project Schedule and Additional Action Items:
- Need to obtain map of Section 206 project for file
- The Public Information Meeting is anticipated for late January/early February.
- The next concurrence meeting will be held in April 2006 (covering concurrence points 1, 2, and possibly 3)
- By April 2006 the Iowa DOT will have calculated quantities of resource impacts for the build concepts

The meeting adjourned at 10:15 a.m.
The following is a summary of the comments received from early coordination activities conducted in the Spring of 2005 via the distribution of an Early Coordination packet to resource and regulatory agencies, local government officials, and other interested parties potentially affected by the project.

R : 
Douglas W. Jones, Archaeologist
State Historic Preservation Office
State Historical Society of Iowa

Summary
This letter confirms that they received the early coordination letter. SHPO states that this is a federal undertaking and will need to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and NEPA. They will need the following information:

- Area of Potential Effect
- Information on cultural resources
- Significance of historical properties in consideration of the National Register of Historic Places Criteria
- A determination of the undertaking’s effects on historical properties

R : 
Christine M. Paulson
Senior Environmental Specialist- Program Development Section
Air Quality Bureau

Summary
The DNR Air Quality Bureau received the early coordination letter and forwarded it to other areas within the DNR. The DNR is the authority for air quality programs that may or may not apply to the 80/380 System Interchange. These programs are:

- Construction Permitting Requirements
- Asbestos
- Open Burning
- Fugitive Dust
- Opacity
Summary
The NRCS identified the following as potential impacts:
- Removal of trees/shrubs would affect wildlife (Indiana bat habitat)
- Sedimentation entering Clear Creek
- Potential to prime, important farmland

Summary
This letter states that they received the early coordination letter and are supportive of the project.

Summary
This letter responded with a soils map indicating locations with Chelsea, Sparta, and complexes including Chelsea. A survey is recommended if sandy soils within the project study area will be impacted. This survey is recommended for the Ornate Box Turtle (Iowa listed threatened). The letter also indicates to contact the US Fish and Wildlife Service Rock Island Field Office since there is Indiana Bat summer habitat in the project area.

Summary
The Corps received the early coordination letter and is currently conducting the Iowa River Clear Creek Section 206 feasibility study. This includes bank stabilization, wetland
construction, and installation of riffle structures. Their proposed project has two sites located in the 80/380 study limits. The City of Coralville also has constructed a mitigation site in the study area. The letter also states that proposed placement of fill/dredged materials in US waters and wetlands requires Department of the Army authorization. An application must be completed and submitted with the Rock Island District office. Also need to coordinate with Maria Pandullo at the Iowa Historic Preservation Agency concerning impacts to historic properties. Noted that the project team should also contact FEMA division for floodway information.

R: 
Jeff Davidson, Executive Director
Johnson County Council of Governments (JCCOG)

Summary
This letter responded by stating that they received the early coordination letter and any improvement to ramp geometry, traffic flow, and safety issue is consistent with the JCCOG Long-Range Multi-Modal Transportation Plan. As the MPO for the Iowa City urban area, JCCOG looks forward to these improvements.

R: 
Dan Holderness, P.E.
City Engineer
City of Coralville

Summary
This letter states that the City of Coralville is in support of the IJR study. The city owns land immediately south of I-80 to the east of the system interchange, where there is a wetlands mitigation project. The City is also involved with the US Army Corps of Engineers in a 206 Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration Project at this site. The City is planning a trail system through land along Clear Creek, to attach to trails with Tiffin. Attached to this letter are private development plans for the ground on the NE quadrant of the system interchange. The letter also mentions interest in constructing a future interchange at the I-380 and US 6 crossing.

R: 
Richard C. Nelson, Field Supervisor
Rock Island Fish and Wildlife Service

Summary
This letter contains a list of threatened and endangered species and their suitable habitat. These include: Bald eagle, Indiana bat, Prairie bush clover, Western prairie fringed orchid, Eastern prairie fringed orchid, and Eastern massasauga rattlesnake.
Iowa Department of Transportation
City of Coralville
Iowa DNR
Rock Island District Corps of Engineers

A meeting was held on September 23, 2005 with staff from the agencies listed above. Discussed at the meeting were the following: COE Section 206 program, City of Coralville wetlands mitigation project south of I-80 and east of U.S. 218, potential for flooding of I-80 east of U.S. 218, potential for flooding of the ramp in the northeast quadrant, the proposed recreational trail in the southeast and northeast quadrants, and City plans for developing a park in the southeast quadrant. The City recently purchased property in the southeast quadrant and noted potentially historic barns on the site and their intentions to develop the area into a softball field complex.
Mr. James Rost  
Director, Office of Location and Environment  
Iowa Department of Transportation  
800 Lincoln Way  
Ames, IA 50010

Dear Mr. Rost:

RE: Concurrence Point 3, I-80/I-380 Interchange near Iowa City, Tiffin and Coralville

Thank you for providing the review materials for the I-80/I-380 Interchange relative to Concurrence Point 3 “Alternatives to be Carried Forward”. Myself and Jason Daniels, of the Watershed Planning and Implementation Branch, have reviewed this information and provide the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) concurrence for carrying forward Alternatives D1, D2 and D3.

No EPA personnel will be attending the meeting at Ames on July 26, 2006. Please keep us informed of any matters that EPA may be able to provide assistance.

Sincerely,

Joseph E. Cothern  
NEPA Team Leader  
Environmental Services Division
Scott,

Via this email we are providing concurrence on Concurrence Point 3 for I-29 Sioux City Interstate Study and for Concurrence points 1-3 for the I-80/I-380 Interchange.

I will be out the next two weeks after today. So, any questions feel free to call today.

Heidi Woeber
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
Ecological Services, Rock Island Field Office
4469 48th Avenue Court
Rock Island, Illinois 61201
309/793-5800 Ext. 209
309/793-5804 Fax
heidi_woeber@fws.gov

"Our life is frittered away by detail.
Simplify, simplify."
-Henry David Thoreau

This message was scanned by U.S. Fish and Wildlife, Region 3 by Symantec Anti-Virus. Warning: Although we have taken reasonable precautions to ensure no viruses are present in this email, we cannot accept responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the use of this email or attachments. Recipients should use common sense and IT "Best Practices" before opening any attachment.
Christine-
I will still check on emails/copies of the other letters of concurrence.

-----Original Message-----
From: Marler, Scott [DOT]
Sent: Wednesday, September 06, 2006 4:13 PM
To: Larsen, Roger [DOT]
Cc: Solberg, Marc [DOT]
Subject: FW: Fw: Concurrence for I-80/I-380 Interchange

fyi

--
Scott C. Marler, PWS
Iowa Department of Transportation
Office of Location and Environment
Water Resources Program Manager
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, Iowa 50010
515/239-1510
515/233-7966 FAX
scott.marler@dot.iowa.gov

-----Original Message-----
From: Cothern.Joe@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Cothern.Joe@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 06, 2006 2:03 PM
To: Daniels.Jason@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: Marler, Scott [DOT]
Subject: Re: Fw: Concurrence for I-80/I-380 Interchange

Jason,
I'm ok with concurring with all three points on this project.

Joseph E. Cothern
NEPA Team Leader
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 7 - Kansas City
(913) 551-7148
cothern.joe@epa.gov
Joe,

Evidently, the I-80/I-380 interchange had concurrence points 1-3, and our letter said just #3. I am ok with all three points.

Jason M. Daniels
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 Watershed Planning and Implementation Branch
901 N. 5th
Kansas City, KS 66101
913-551-7443
daniels.jason@epa.gov
http://www.epa.gov/owow/wetlands/

----- Forwarded by Jason Daniels/WWPD/R7/USEPA/US on 09/06/2006 01:53 PM
-----

"Marler, Scott [DOT]"
<Scott.Marler@dot.iowa.gov> To Jason
Daniels/WWPD/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
09/06/2006 01:40 PM "Larsen, Roger [DOT]"
<Roger.Larsen@dot.iowa.gov> cc
Subject Concurrence for I-80/I-380 Interchange

Jason,

We received your letter regarding concurrence for Concurrence Point 3 for the I-80/I-380 Interchange project. The concurrence meeting was 7/26/06. At the meeting, we were seeking concurrence for Concurrence Points 1 - 3.

Would you mind clarifying whether you concurrence applies to Concurrence Points 1 and 2 as well?

Thank you.

--
Scott C. Marler, PWS
Iowa Department of Transportation
Office of Location and Environment
Water Resources Program Manager
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, Iowa 50010
515/239-1510
515/233-7966 FAX
scott.marler@dot.iowa.gov
A meeting was held at 10:00 A.M. on Wednesday July 26, 2006, to review the project and seek formal concurrence from the resource agencies for NEPA concurrence points 1 — Purpose and Need, 2 — Alternatives To Be Analyzed, and 3 — Alternatives To Be Carried Forward.

Scott Marler/Iowa DOT began the meeting with introductions of attendees and reiterated that the purpose of the meeting was to receive formal NEPA concurrence from the resource agencies for the first three concurrence points.

Roger Larsen/Iowa DOT gave a brief background on the project and study area. He stated that the resource agencies had seen much of this information at the previous meeting held on October 26, 2005 but that concurrence had not been sought because a public meeting had not been held for the project. Since that meeting, the Iowa DOT has held a public meeting, discussed later in this presentation.

Christine Norrick/CH2M HILL reviewed the purpose of and need for the project. She stated that the purpose and need have not changed since last presented to and discussed by the group. The purpose and need are based largely on engineering needs related to traffic projections, safety issues, and substandard design features. The purpose of the action is to improve ramp and mainline geometry, increase traffic flow, and correct safety issues with the current interchange design. The project is needed to accommodate existing and future traffic volumes and capacity, to update roadway geometry and interchange design, to improve safety, and to enhance travel continuity and access.

Scott Marler/IA DOT read two written transmittals: one from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and one from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), as representatives from these agencies were unable to attend the meeting.

- The transmittal from USFWS stated its concurrence on Points 1 through 3 without further question.
The transmittal from USEPA stated its concurrence on Point 3. It was noted that the EPA’s letter did not specifically state concurrence on Points 1 and 2. The DOT stated that it would follow up with USEPA to clarify its intent. In response, USEPA clarified that it also concurred on Points 1 and 2.

- U.S. Corps of Engineers (USACE) concurred with Point 1.
- The Iowa Department of Natural Resources (IA DNR) concurred with Point 1.

In summary, all coordinating agencies agreed on Concurrence Point 1.

C P A T B A

Scott Marler/IA DOT presented an overview of the engineering concepts developed over the course of the study. Fifteen alternative concepts were developed for the interchange, including a no-build alternative. Many of the concepts are derivatives of one another. These variations focused on the number of loop ramps removed from the interchange. The alternatives were grouped as follows:

- A – Alternatives that would remove the loop in the southwest quadrant only
- B – Alternatives that would remove the loops in the southwest and northeast quadrants
- C – Alternatives that would remove the loops in the southwest, northeast and southeast quadrants
- D – Alternatives that would remove all loops and put in directional ramps

After initial analysis, nine alternatives (B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, C1, D1, D2, D3) were retained for further analysis. All A alternatives were eliminated because of continued safety concerns and because of an unconventional loop design of one ramp that possibly would not meet driver expectations. Because Alternative B6 had an undesirable loop design/configuration and Alternative B7 an unconventional loop design, both were eliminated. Alternative C2 also was eliminated because of an unconventional loop design.

The group discussed avoiding impact to the southeast quadrant of the interchange, where the City of Coralville is developing a park and the USACE has a wetland mitigation site under development. Roger Larsen/Iowa DOT and Tammy Nicholson/Iowa DOT explained that the existing loop must be replaced with a directional flyer to accommodate traffic, and thus the USACE’s wetland mitigation site cannot be avoided. Design has not yet been refined to determine whether City property will be affected. They stated that, as the engineering design proceeds, the project team will continue to coordinate and attempt to minimize the area affected. It was agreed that detailed documentation will be needed in the alternatives discussion of the environmental assessment about the southeast quadrant of the interchange.

- The written transmittal from USFWS stated its concurrence on Point 2.
- In DOT’s subsequent followup, USEPA clarified its concurrence on Point 2.
- USACE concurred with Point 2.
- IA DNR concurred with Point 2.

In summary, all coordinating agencies agreed on Concurrence Point 2.
Nine alternatives had been retained from the initial analysis. Roger Larsen/Iowa DOT referred to a screening matrix (described as a Consumer Reports–type chart) generally comparing the alternatives. It was noted that this was a subjective rating, and that the alternatives were rated against each other. He stated that the intent was to provide clearance for the whole area, even if the project were to be built in phases over time. He then summarized why the B and C alternatives should be eliminated and only the D alternatives carried forward:

- The B alternatives (B1, B2, B3, B4, B5) still pose problems. The loop ramps do not have the capacity to accommodate future traffic loads. Further, with the loop ramps there are still operational issues such as weaving patterns, speed differences between entering and exiting traffic and exit loops, and undesirable design.

- The C alternative (C1) does not meet future traffic operations and retains an existing loop ramp of undesirable design.

- The D alternatives (D1, D2, D3) meet future traffic needs; can be built (phased-in) over time as needed and as money becomes available; and the design meets driver expectations.

Neal Johnson/USACE asked whether the reason these six alternatives were being eliminated would be documented somehow, as in a technical memorandum. He suggested that in the environmental assessment, there be ample discussion of why alternatives were eliminated. He asked if there was a table showing quantitative impacts for the nine alternatives, rather than just the D alternatives. (He was referring to the preliminary environmental impact table for D alternatives, presented in the slideshow.) Roger Larsen and Tammy Nicholson both explained that because the design of the nine alternatives was very preliminary, there was not sufficient engineering design to conduct a detailed environmental analysis. Further, because the six alternatives were being eliminated because of failure to satisfy the purpose and need requirements (safety, design, etc.), it was unnecessary to do more detailed engineering design in order to do detailed evaluations of environmental impacts.

There was discussion and clarification about specific alternatives, as follows:

- Alternative D1 has flyover ramps with curvature.

- Alternative D2 has broken-back curve-type ramps, which are undesirable and require numerous structures that would drive up cost.

- Alternative D3 retains one broken-back curve-type ramp and one fly-over curvature-type ramp. This includes one long structure.

- Neal Johnson/USACE asked for more specifics regarding the undesirable loop in Alternative C1. Tammy Nicholson/Iowa DOT clarified that it is the loop itself that is undesirable, noting that as traffic volumes increase, loops do not function as well as other design types.
Neal Johnson/USACE asked why the exit ramps are considered undesirable in the B alternatives. Iowa DOT explained that weaving from the ramps to the mainline, or vice versa, is undesirable because it causes speed differentials and increases safety risks.

It was noted that the ultimate buildout will be in the future. The desire and need now is to clear the footprint for the ultimate design so that the project can be built in phases over time. Each existing loop ramp would be removed in phases as time and money allow.

Neal Johnson/USACE remained concerned about not having detailed environmental impact data to support elimination of the six alternatives.

It was agreed that the presentation would continue, and we would see if USACE’s concerns were addressed in the remaining presentation.

Christine Norrick/CH2M HILL presented the resource review part of the presentation. She stated that some environmental resources were not considered significant and would not be analyzed in great detail. These include:

- **Regulated materials** — There are no hazardous materials near the study area.
- **Socioeconomic resources** — The area near the interchange is rural. The closest community is Tiffin, but improvements will not bisect neighborhoods or greatly affect the community itself.
- **Noise** — There are few receptors to be analyzed.
- **Land Use/Park** — We have recently learned that a park in Tiffin may be affected. The need for a 4(f) analysis will be investigated. If there is 4(f) involvement, this would become a significant issue to be addressed in detail in the environmental assessment.

Ms Norrick reviewed the field surveys and findings done to date, noting that 80+ hours of field work had been completed. Field investigations for wetlands and for habitat and individual species were completed. Wetland determination forms and documentation forms for the Indiana bat were completed, and two technical memorandums were submitted to Iowa DOT. She then reviewed resources considered significant and environmental findings to date:

- **Wetlands** — There are approximately 22 acres of wetlands within the project area.

- **Threatened and endangered species** — No federal or state listed threatened and endangered species or their habitat was observed. Some degraded remnants of mesic forest were found, but they are severely degraded. Sandy soil areas were investigated, but no special concern species were found.

- **Surface water resources** — There are three watersheds in the project area. I-80 and I-380 cross Clear Creek at two locations within the project area.

- **Floodplain** — There is a history of overtopping of mainline I-80 and one of the ramps.

- **Archaeological resources** — One site is potentially eligible for NRHP. Also five sites may need a phase II survey, mainly along Jasper Road. Finally, tribal notification is complete.
**Historic resources**—No structures were found to be NRHP eligible. One barn on property owned by the City of Coralville may be considered locally historic.

Neal Johnson/USACE commented that he was expecting there to be wetlands in northeast quadrant by Clear Creek and in the northwest quadrant where trees are. Review of the field notes and wetland determination forms confirmed that there is a wetland area along Clear Creek (Wetland No. 5), but the forested areas are not wetlands.

There was discussion of the draft environmental impact table and the range of environmental resource impacts presented for the D alternatives.

Public involvement activities to date were reviewed. About 40 people attended a public information meeting on March 28, 2006, in Iowa City. Most comments from the public were related to concern of residential impacts, particularly in the southwest quadrant.

Roger Larsen/IA DOT then returned to the issue of requesting concurrence on Point 3, Alternatives To Be Carried Forward. He asked if the agencies wished to move forward with the project and the alternatives as presented.

- The written transmittal from USFWS stated its concurrence on Point 3.
- In DOT’s subsequent followup, USEPA clarified its concurrence on Point 3.
- USACE concurred with Point 3.
- IA DNR concurred with Point 3.

In summary, all coordinating agencies agreed on Concurrence Point 3.

**S C**

The group discussed the wetland mitigation site in the southeastern quadrant of the interchange. If the project affects the wetland site, IA DOT will mitigate at the time of construction. Neal Johnson/USACE thinks that mitigation would need to occur on a different site. He noted that there is flexibility with 206 Corps money (which is partially funding construction of the mitigation site) at this stage of development and construction.

Dan Holderness/City of Coralville updated the group on the development of the park at the southeast quadrant of the interchange. Softball fields are under construction this year. The barns located on the site are an integral part of their park planning. Roger Larsen/IA DOT indicated that it would be necessary to look closely at the engineering issues in that area to see whether impact to the barns could be avoided. There was also discussion about 4(f) applicability at this site, as park development is underway.

**N S**

A draft of the environmental document is scheduled to be complete in early 2007, provided that engineering design proceeds. A public hearing will be held after the environmental assessment is released.

The next concurrence meeting is planned for April 2007 (if Section 4(f) does not come into play). This would be before the signing of the draft document.
I-80/I-380 System Interchange NEPA/404 Concurrence

USEPA, USFWS, and the Iowa DNR were not present at the agency concurrence meeting. Iowa DOT will coordinate with them following the meeting to seek concurrence.

C P

Janet Vine introduced the project, summarizing the previous NEPA/Merger meetings for the project. She stated that the purpose of today’s meeting was to request concurrence for Point 4: Preferred Alternative. Janet reviewed the project purpose and need, which had been agreed to in June 2006. Roger Larsen then reviewed the alternatives analyzed as part of the study, and the alternatives carried forward (Alternative D concepts). He explained the criteria used to evaluate the alternative carried forward for detailed analysis. The criteria were avoidance and minimization of impacts to environmental resources; safety considerations; vertical and horizontal geometry; interchange height; cost; and ease of phasing construction; and minimizing throwaway pavement and costs.

Christine Norrick then provided a review of environmental resource impacts resulting from the proposed footprint, including right-of-way and displacements, wetlands and threatened and endangered species, water resources, regulated materials, parkland/4(f) resources, agriculture, and cultural resource impacts.

Right-of-Way/Displacements. Approximately 135 acres of new right-of-way would be required for the proposed improvements. The total amount of right-of-way that the interchange would use would be 524 acres. There would be five residential displacements: four in the southwestern quadrant and one on the east side of I-380, north of Route 6.

Wetlands/Threatened and Endangered Species. Six wetlands would be affected, totaling about 3.8 acres of wetland area. Most of that (nearly 3 acres) would be to Coralville’s wetland mitigation site, which cannot be avoided. It is expected that mitigation would be provided at Coralville’s Creekside Park property. No federal- or state-threatened or endangered species were found within the project area.

Water Resources. Four crossings of Clear Creek, three of Clear Creek Tributaries, and one of Deer Creek occur under existing and future improvements. The length of stream within the
proposed footprint totals roughly 4,000 feet for all these streams. It was noted that this should not be interpreted as total stream length impact, and that impact would likely be less but would need to be determined as part of drainage studies in subsequent phases of design.

**Regulated Materials.** One site was identified in field investigations, on the south side of I-80 just east of the Ireland Avenue interchange. No right-of-way would be required there.

**Parkland/4(f).** There are two park properties within the project area. FHWA has determined that Coralville Park, at the southeast quadrant of the interchange, is subject to 4(f) processing. (It is expected that it would be processed as *de minimis.*) Roughly 16 acres would be required. FHWA has determined that Tiffin Park, on the west side of I-380, north of the interchange, is not subject to 4(f), as the affected part of the site does not contain recreation facilities and is farmed. Less than 1 acre would be required from the property.

**Agriculture.** The project would affect nearly 91 acres of farmland, most of which consists of prime or important soils. Coordination with USDA/NRCS is under way.

**Cultural Resources.** SHPO concurred with the findings that none of the 33 properties identified in the historic structures survey is NRHP eligible. It also concurred that none of the archaeological sites is NRHP eligible.

Following the environmental resource update, Roger explained that within Alternative D, the D1 configuration was determined to be the optimal design. He then showed the preferred D1 configuration.

Following the presentation, Roger asked if there were any questions regarding the project or impacts or resources.

Neal Johnson asked if the Clear Creek area in the northeast quadrant contained wetlands. He also asked if the project would involve relocating Clear Creek, and whether the east to northbound ramp would affect the creek. Jeff Frantz, Roger, and Mike Carlson responded to various aspects of his question, noting that there are no wetlands based on CH2M HILL’s field work/delineations. Furthermore, the creek would not need to be relocated as part of the improvements, and the design has been tightened to minimize impacts at the creek crossing.

Scott Marler asked the USACE what mitigation requirements might be necessary as a result of affecting the Coralville wetland mitigation site. Neal stated that the wetland mitigation site has not been very successful, although the monitoring period is still in effect. He said that it would not be a problem to affect the site, but that DOT would need to mitigate, and perhaps at mitigation ratios higher than 1:1. Neal stated that he did not know whether the entire wetland would be considered affected or if the remaining area could still function. He noted that if the City still wanted to maintain what was left of the wetland site, and if it could function, that was fine with them. It would also be fine if the City elected to remitigate the remaining part in another location (in conjunction with the rest of the mitigation effort).

Following this discussion, Neal stated that the USACE concurred with Point 4: Selection of the Preferred Alternative.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Phone No.</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scott Marker</td>
<td>DOT</td>
<td>515-239-1590</td>
<td>scott.marker.dot.ia.gov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Schoebebelen</td>
<td>DOT Dist 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathy Cutler</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abu Issa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Vann</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jill Rudloff</td>
<td>DOT</td>
<td>239-1458</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jill.rudloff@dot.ia.gov">jill.rudloff@dot.ia.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Carlson</td>
<td>DOT</td>
<td>238-7742</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janet Vine</td>
<td>DOT</td>
<td>239-1467</td>
<td><a href="mailto:janet.vine@dot.ia.gov">janet.vine@dot.ia.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Frantz</td>
<td>CHAM HILL</td>
<td>715.493.3800</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jeff.frantz@cham.com">jeff.frantz@cham.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christine Norrick</td>
<td>CHAM HILL</td>
<td>715.493.3800</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cnorrick@cham.com">cnorrick@cham.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libby Brabant</td>
<td>CHAM HILL</td>
<td>715.493.3800</td>
<td><a href="mailto:libby.brabant@cham.com">libby.brabant@cham.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Johnson</td>
<td>Corps of Engineers</td>
<td>309/294-5379</td>
<td><a href="mailto:john.johnson@usace.army.mil">john.johnson@usace.army.mil</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike La Mettra</td>
<td>FHWA</td>
<td>515.233-7302</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mike.lametra@fhwa.dot.gov">mike.lametra@fhwa.dot.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Greenan</td>
<td>DOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not present:
- DNR - rep on vacation, need to send .ppt
- EPA - opted out, need to send .ppt
- USFWS - no show, no contact

Robert Lasson       | TA DOT        | 515-239-1791 | rob.lasson.dot.ia.gov        |
Libby and Chris,

Below is EPA's concurrence on point 4 for U.S. 20 and I-80/380.

Janet

-----Original Message-----
From: Greenan, Colin [DOT]
Sent: Monday, August 11, 2008 9:55 AM
To: Vine, Janet [DOT]; Larsen, Roger [DOT]
Subject: FW: Concurrence Point 4 I-80/I-380 and US 20 from the July 23, 2008 meeting

FYI

Colin Greenan
Office of Location and Environment
Iowa Department of Transportation
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, IA 50010
515.233.7711 (office)
515.460.0345 (cell)
colin.greenan@dot.iowa.gov

-----Original Message-----
From: Daniels.Jason@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Friday, August 08, 2008 6:56 PM
To: Greenan, Colin [DOT]
Cc: Marler, Scott [DOT]; Neal.J.Johnson@mwr02.usace.army.mil; Schwake, Christine [DNR]; Cothern.Joe@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Concurrence Point 4 I-80/I-380 and US 20 from the July 23, 2008 meeting

Colin,

After reviewing the information for Concurrence Point 4 on I-80/I-380 and US 20 from the July 23, 2008 meeting, I concur with Concurrence Point 4 for both projects.

Thanks,
Jason M. Daniels
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 Watershed Support, Wetland and Stream Protection Section
901 N. 5th
Kansas City, KS 66101
913-551-7443
daniels.jason@epa.gov
From: Vine, Janet [DOT] [Janet.Vine@dot.iowa.gov]
Sent: Monday, August 11, 2008 10:00 AM
To: Norrick, Christine/CHI
Cc: Frantz, Jeff/CHI; Larsen, Roger [DOT]
Subject: FW: Concurrence Point 4 I-80/I-380 interchange

Chris,

Below is Iowa DNR’s concurrence on point 4.

Janet

From: Greenan, Colin [DOT]
Sent: Monday, August 11, 2008 9:55 AM
To: Vine, Janet [DOT]; Larsen, Roger [DOT]
Subject: FW: Concurrence Point 4 I-80/I-380 interchange

FYI

Colin Greenan
Office of Location and Environment
Iowa Department of Transportation
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, IA 50010
515.233.7711 (office)
515.460.0345 (cell)

colin.greenan@dot.iowa.gov

-----Original Message-----
From: Schwake, Christine [DNR]
Sent: Monday, August 11, 2008 9:53 AM
To: Greenan, Colin [DOT]
Cc: Marler, Scott [DOT]; Johnson, Neal J MVR; Daniels.Jason@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Concurrence Point 4 I-80/I-380 interchange

Hi Colin,

I’ve reviewed the information for the I-80/I-380 interchange and can concur with Concurrence Point 4. As you refine the project, please continue to minimize the amount of wetland/water of the US impacts.

Thanks, Chris

10/27/2008
Scott and Colin,

After reviewing the powerpoint slides you sent me, the Service is providing it's concurrence on both the above listed projects via this e-mail. I apologize for not being able to attend the July 23, 2008 meeting.

Thanks, Joe

Joe Slater
USFWS
1511 47th Avenue
Moline, IL 61265
(309) 757-5800 ext.208

"The only progress that counts is that on the actual landscape of the back forty"

Aldo Leopold
Mr. Ralph Christian  
Bureau of Historic Preservation  
State Historical Society of Iowa  
600 East Locust  
Des Moines, IA 50319-0290  

Dear Ralph:

Enclosed for your review is the Cultural Resources Survey Report for a project to upgrade the operational characteristics of the Interstate 80/380/US218 interchange at Iowa City. This includes possible widening along the I-380 mainline immediately north of the interchange. Improvements being considered may be programmed as several projects with different schedules.

Information regarding historic properties can be found in Chapters I, V, & VI of Volume I and in Volume III of the enclosed reports. The survey consisted of inspection and documentation of property characteristics, archival/record searches, and photographs of the properties. Another copy of Volume I along with Volume II and a CD copy of the entire report was sent previously for archaeological review.

A total of 49 properties including eight farmsteads are inventoried in the report. Twenty-seven of these properties include structures more than 50 years old. None of the properties are recommended as being eligible for listing on the National Register. Therefore we propose a finding No Historic Properties Affected for this project.

If you can agree with these findings and conclusions, please sign the concurrence line below, add or attach any comments you wish to make, and return this letter.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Randall B. Faber  
Office of Location and Environment  
randall.faber@dot.iowa.gov

RBF:  
Encl.  
cc: Mike LaPietra, Federal Highway Administration

[Signature]  
Date 11/2/06  

Comments:
Iowa Department of Transportation

TRIBAL NOTIFICATION

Date: October 26, 2005

IA DOT contact: David M. Stemmer

Phone #: 515-239-1035

E-mail: david.stemmer@dot.iowa.gov

Location: Johnson County

Description: Reconstruction of I-80/380 Interchange

---

### Type of Project (see map)

- [ ] VERY SMALL - Disturb less than 12 inch depth (plow zone)
- [ ] SMALL - Grading on existing road, shouldering, ditching, etc.
- [ ] SMALL - Bridge or culvert replacement
- [ ] LARGE - Improve existing road from 2-lanes to 4-lanes
- [X] LARGE - Interchange Reconstruction
- [ ] OTHER

### Type of Coordination/Consultation Points

- [ ] 1—Early project notification (project map and description)
- [ ] 2—Notification of survey findings (Phase I)
- [ ] 2a—Notification of site evaluation (Phase II)
- [ ] 3—Consultation regarding site treatment (scope)
- [ ] 4—Data Recovery Report
- [ ] 5—Other

### Type of Findings

- [ ] No American Indian sites found
  - Section 106 Consultation Process ends
- [ ] American Indian sites found but not eligible for National Register listing
  - Section 106 Consultation Process ends
- [ ] Avoided American Indian sites eligible for National Register listing
  - Section 106 Consultation Process may or may not end

  * in the event of a late discovery consultation will be reopened

- [X] Potentially significant American Indian sites found
  - (see map and list of sites)
- [ ] American Indian sites eligible for National Register listing cannot be avoided
  - (see map)

  
  - Burial site found
  - # of non-significant prehistoric sites
  - # of potentially significant prehistoric sites

  
  - # of National Register eligible prehistoric sites

### Affected National Register Properties

- [ ] Investigating avoidance or minimizing harm options
- [ ] Avoided

- [ ] Protected
- [ ] Data Recovery/MOA

---

### Please Respond

Who should we contact for site/project related discussions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Street Address</th>
<th>City, Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phone: ___________________________ E-mail: ___________________________

Do you know of any sensitive areas within or near the project the FHWA/DOT should avoid (please describe)?

[ ] Thank you for the information; however, we do not need to consult on this particular project.

[ ] Thank you for the information. We are satisfied with the planned site treatment.

[ ] We do not have a comment at this time but request continued notification on this project.

[ ] We have concerns and wish to consult.

[ ] Please send a copy of the archaeology report.

[ ] We wish to participate in the Memorandum of Agreement for this project.

Comments: ___________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Tribal Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Iowa Department of Transportation
TRIBAL NOTIFICATION

Date  October 11, 2005  IA DOT contact  David Stember
IADOT project #  PNH- 80-6(235)239-00-52  Phone #  515-239-1035
Location  Johnson County  E-mail  david.stember@dot.iowa.gov
Description  55 sites

- **Type of Project (see map):**
  - [ ] VERY SMALL - Disturb less than 12 inch depth (plow zone)
  - [ ] SMALL - Grading on existing road, shouldering, ditching, etc.
  - [ ] SMALL - Bridge or culvert replacement
  - [x] LARGE - Improve existing road from 2-lanes to 4-lanes
  - [ ] LARGE - New alignment
  - [ ] OTHER

- **Type of Coordination/Consultation Points:**
  - [ ] 1—Early project notification (project map and description)
  - [ ] 2—Notification of survey findings (Phase I)
  - [ ] 2a—Notification of site evaluation (Phase II)
  - [ ] 3—Consultation regarding site treatment
  - [ ] 4—Data Recovery Report
  - [ ] 5—Other

- **Type of Findings:**
  - [ ] No American Indian sites found
    - Section 106 Consultation Process ends *
  - [ ] American Indian sites found but not eligible for National Register listing -- Section 106 Consultation Process ends*
  - [ ] Avoided American Indian sites eligible for National Register listing
    (see map and list of sites)
    - Section 106 Consultation Process may or may not end
    * in the event of a late discovery consultation will be reopened
  - [x] Potentially significant American Indian sites found
    (see map and list of sites)
  - [ ] American Indian sites eligible for National Register listing cannot be avoided (see map)
  - [ ] Burial site found
    # of non-significant prehistoric sites
    # of potentially significant prehistoric sites
    # of National Register eligible prehistoric sites

- **Affected National Register Properties:**
  - [ ] Investigating avoidance or minimizing harm options
  - [ ] Avoided
  - [ ] Protected
  - [ ] Data Recovery/MOA

**Please Respond**

Who should we contact for site/project related discussions?

Name
Street Address
City, Zip Code
Phone
E-mail

Do you know of any sensitive areas within or near the project the FHWA/DOT should avoid (please describe)?

- [ ] Thank you for the information; however, we do not need to consult on this particular project.
- [ ] We do not have a comment at this time but request continued notification on this project.
- [ ] Please send a copy of the archaeology report.
- [ ] Thank you for the information. We are satisfied with the planned site treatment.
- [ ] We have concerns and wish to consult.
- [ ] We wish to participate in the Memorandum of Agreement for this project.

Comments

Name
Tribal Name
Date

(Comments continued on back)
• Iowa Tribes
• Sac & Fox Nations (Meskwakis)
• Otoe-Missouria
Dear Doug:

RE: Phase II Archaeological Investigations for Prehistoric / Historic Sites:
13JH1220, 13JH1221, 13JH1223, 13JH1224, 13JH1227, 13JH1233, 13JH1236, 13JH1240. (Revised Letter)
Interstate 80 / 380 Interchange Improvement Project
Section 35, T80N-R7W -Johnson County, Iowa

Enclosed for your review is the Phase II Archaeological Investigations for the above mentioned federally funded project. Eight archaeological sites were recommended for additional archaeological investigations during the initial Phase I surveys for this project. The sites recommended are as follows: 13JH1220, 13JH1221, 13JH1223, 13JH1224, 13JH1227, 13JH1233, 13JH1236, and 13JH1240.

These Phase II archaeological investigations were conducted using an extensive review of the records / archival resources as well as a review of the initial findings of the original surveys. The field work for the excavation of additional subsurface testing that included test units.

Site 13JH1220 was first recorded as a historic hunting camp (cabin) and prehistoric resource procurement location. The historic component of this site represents an abandoned 20th Century hunting cabin. The prehistoric component of this site represents a resource procurement location. The Phase II investigation of 13JH1220 determined that this site has been previously disturbed by burrowing animals. The site deposits are spatially limited and are characterized by very low artifact density. Due to this, Site 13JH1220 was determined not eligible for the National Register and no further work was recommended for it.

Site 13JH1221 and 13JH1233 represent prehistoric procurement locations. These sites appear to lack site integrity and were determined to be not eligible for the National Register and no further work was recommended.
Sites 13JH1223, 13JH1224, 13JH1236, and 13JH1240 represent prehistoric bivouac or short-term campsites. Archaeological investigations determined that Site 13JH1224 was has a Late Woodland cultural affiliation, while Site 13JH1236 was determined to have a Late Archaic / Early Woodland affiliation. These investigations were unable to determine the prehistoric affiliations for sites 13JH1223 and 13JH1240.

The Phase II investigations for these for sites determined that the four sites had limited research potential. Due to this, all four sites were not recommended for National Register and no further work was recommended for them.

Site 13JH1227 represents a prehistoric bivouac site or base camp with what appears to be a Woodland period association. The Phase II investigation of this site determined that 13JH1227 has very low potential for additional archaeological information and due to this, Site 13JH1227 was determined not eligible for the National Register. No further work was recommended.

Base on the findings of these Phase II investigations, the determination is **No Historic Properties Affected**. If you agree with this determination for these archaeological sites, please sign the concurrence line below and return this letter. If you have any questions regarding these sites or investigations, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Matthew J. Donovan  
Office of Location and Environment  
Matt.Donovan@dot.iowa.gov

MJFD  
Enclosure

cc: Dee Ann Newell- Location and Environment / NEPA  
Jim Schnoebelen- District Engineer- District 6  
Randy Withrow- Principal Investigator / Louis Berger Group

Concur [Signature] Date 7/10/2007

Comments:
Dear Doug:

RE: Supplemental Phase I Archaeological Investigation for an Additional Parcel
   Interstate 80 / 380 Interchange Improvement Project
   Section 35, T80N R7W -Johnson County, Iowa
   *No Historic Properties Affected*

Enclosed for your review is the Supplemental Phase I Archaeological Investigation for an additional parcel, in Johnson County, Iowa. This site was examined as part of the proposed improvements to the Interstate 80 / 380 Interchange, due to additional right of way needs that require the use of a land parcel adjacent to the southwest corner of the original 2005 archaeological investigations for this project.

The additional parcel encompasses a project area that has an approximate length of 2100 ft. and an approximate width of 1400 ft. A total area of 54 acres was investigated.

The supplemental archaeological investigation was conducted using an extensive archival / records search, along with a pedestrian survey. Subsurface testing was conducted using posthole tests, augur tests, and soil cores.

Due to their location, three previously recorded archaeological sites were revisited during this investigation: Sites 13JH1242, 13JH1243, and 13JH1244. (These sites are adjacent to the eastern boundary of the currently proposed survey area.) No additional artifacts were recovered from Site 13JH1242 and no further archaeological investigations were conducted for the site.

Artifacts were recovered from both 13JH1243 and 13JH1244. Additional archaeological testing was conducted for each of these sites, but both sites were determined to be heavily impacted by modern agricultural activities and erosion. The original determination made that neither of these sites were eligible for the National Register and no further work was recommended for them is supported by the present supplemental investigations.
This supplemental investigation also identified two previously unrecorded prehistoric sites, 13JH1308 and 13JH1309. Both these sites represent prehistoric short-term resource procurement stations. These sites have been impacted by agricultural activities and erosion. Due to this, both sites were determined to have low potential for intact archaeological remains and neither site is considered potentially eligible for the National Register. No further work is recommended for Sites 13JH1308 and 13JH1309.

Based on the findings of this supplemental archaeological investigation, the determination for this additional parcel area is **No Historic Properties Affected**. If you concur with the findings of this investigation and this determination, please sign the concurrence line below. If you have any questions regarding this site or this investigation, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Matthew J.F. Donovan
Office of Location and Environment
Matt.Donovan@dot.iowa.gov

MJFD
Enclosure

cc:  Dee Ann Newell- NEPA / OLE  
Jim Schnoebelen- District Engineer- District 6  
Mark L. Anderson- Project Archaeologist / HAP

Concur _______________________  Date  8/18/2003  

SHPO Archaeologist

Comments:
Dear Doug:

RE: Supplemental Phase I Archaeological Investigation for
Interstate 80 / 380 Interchange Improvement Project (Seven Parcel Areas)
Section 35, T80N-R7W -Johnson County, Iowa
No Historic Properties Affected

Enclosed for your review is the Supplemental Phase I Archaeological Investigation for the above-mentioned federal-funded project, in Johnson County, Iowa. This supplemental investigation was conducted to examine additional parcel areas needed for the proposed improvements to the Interstate 80 / 380 Interchange. These areas of additional right of way were not covered in the original 2005 archaeological investigations.

This supplemental investigation surveyed seven parcels of land. These locations are contiguous to the existing highway or interstate right-of-way. A total area of 50.9 acres was examined for this investigation.

The supplemental archaeological investigation was conducted using an extensive archival / records search, along with a pedestrian survey. Subsurface testing was conducted using shovel testing and auger testing. No new archaeological sites were identified within the seven parcel areas.

The present investigation revisited and re-identified two prehistoric archaeological sites, 13JH70 and 13JH71. Both sites were identified in 1975 for the Highway 518 project and were determined at that time to be not eligible for the National Register and no further work was recommended for them. The present investigation supports the original conclusion and agrees that no further work is necessary for these two sites.
Based on the findings of this supplemental archaeological investigation, the determination for these additional parcel areas is **No Historic Properties Affected**. If you concur with the findings of this investigation and this determination, please sign the concurrence line below. If you have any questions regarding this site or this investigation, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Matthew J.F. Donovan  
Office of Location and Environment  
Matt.Donovan@dot.iowa.gov

MIFD
Enclosure

cc:  Dec Ann Newell- NEPA / OLE  
     Jim Schnoebelen- District Engineer- District 6  
     Brennan J. Dolan- Principal Investigator / Louis Berger Group

Concur [Signature]  Date 12/10/2008

SHPO Archaeologist
Comments:
APPENDIX G
PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
SUMMARY OF THE
MARCH 28, 2006
PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
I80/380 Public Information Meeting Summary
March 28, 2006

The Iowa Department of Transportation held a public information meeting (PIM) regarding the Interchange Improvement Study for the I-80/I-380/US 218/IA 27 interchange area. The purpose of the meeting was to present the progress of the study, solicit public comments and answer questions regarding the project.

An open-house public meeting was held from 5-7 pm in Iowa City. Forty-one people attended the PIM. Personnel from the Iowa Department of Transportation and project consultants were present to answer questions and receive comments.

Written comments were accepted through April 10, 2006. Twelve written comments were received and are included in this project summary document.

Project staff held a debriefing at the conclusion of the public meeting. The following are the major issues and comments heard by staff at the meeting.

Comments recorded by staff during discussions with public:
1. Where can a new interchange be built?
   Local developers support a new interchange at Oakdale Boulevard and they understand why US 6 isn’t a good location. Staff explained that adding a new interchange is a long process and that an interchange located at Oakdale Boulevard would need to be included in local plans before any studies could begin.
2. Project Schedule - when can it start?
3. General support for project.
4. Property owner west of I-80 in Tiffin had questions on traffic counts.
5. Staff talked with Dan Holderness, City of Coralville, about city owned property in the SE quadrant. It has been annexed into the City of Coralville.
6. Jasper Avenue and Kansas Avenue: What are we planning to do? Farmers use Jasper Avenue to access the COOP; and to access U.S. 6.
8. Staff spoke with media about:
   Name of the proposed interchange: turbine interchange
   Media agreed the weaving section and loops were problems.
9. Mr. & Mrs. Pat Rogers are homeowners in SW quadrant; middle house. They have questions on schedule and ROW process.
10. Questions on funding and ROW. Support for project.
11. Resident noted lots of overturned trucks in SE quadrant.
12. Questions on accident locations and LOS. Needed explanation.
13. Public asked what NEPA meant and did not note any big NEPA issues.
14. Residents from the Southgate development attended.
15. Chamber of Commerce annexation (Gil Janes with H.R Green) with regional transportation group voiced support for the project as primary transportation project in the Iowa City/Cedar Rapids area.
INVITATION LETTER AND
MAILING LIST FOR THE
MARCH 28, 2006
PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
March 14, 2006

Dear:

You are invited to attend a Public Meeting on March 28, 2006, to discuss the interchange improvement study for the I-80/I-380/US 218/IA 27 interchange in Johnson County. The meeting will be held between 5:00 and 7:00 p.m. at the Iowa City West High School cafeteria, 2901 Melrose Avenue, Iowa City, Iowa. The high school is located just east of U.S. 218 on Melrose Avenue.

This public meeting will be conducted utilizing an open house format. No formal presentation will be made. Iowa DOT staff members will be present to informally discuss the improvement study and the environmental process. Interested individuals are encouraged to attend the meeting anytime between 5:00 and 7:00 p.m. to express their views and ask questions about the study. Written statements will be accepted at the meeting.

Your involvement is encouraged. General information regarding the study and the meeting is available from Jim Schnoebelen, Assistant District 6 Engineer, Iowa DOT, P.O. Box 3150, 430 16th Avenue SW, Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-3150, telephone 800-866-4368 or 319-364-0235.

Sincerely,

Gary L. Hood
Location and Environment

GLH:glh
cc: Richard Kautz, District 6 Office, Iowa DOT
Jim Schnoebelen, District 6 Office, Iowa DOT
JOHNSON COUNTY
IM N-80-6(235)239--0E-52
MARCH 28, 2006  PIM

SIMPSON FAMILY FARM LTD
8 ASHWOOD DR
IOWA CITY , IA  52245

HAZEL I REEVE
4081 2ND ST
CORALVILLE, IA  52241

ROBERT RARICK
2836 KANSAS AVE NE
IOWA CITY , IA  52240

LEONARD W & MARGARET GOUGH
3300 HEARTLAND DR
CORALVILLE, IA  52241

JOHN R LOVETINSKY
2720 JASPER AVE NW
IOWA CITY , IA  52240

MARINEA A & SCOTT MEHRHOFF
3390 KANSAS AVE SW
OXFORD, IA  52322

BENJAMIN ALLEN
605 MEADOW ST
IOWA CITY , IA  52245

RIVER PRODUCTS CO INC
PO BOX 2120
IOWA CITY, IA  52244-2120

WARREN N & CARLA A SCHMIDT
3486 KANSAS AVE SW
OXFORD, IA  52322

GARY J & DEBRA J SPRATT
3411 KANSAS AVE SW
OXFORD, IA  52322

RICHARD D & JANE A GENT
2847 340TH ST SW
TIFFIN, IA  52340-9375

LORRAINE F VOGT
2894 340TH ST SW
TIFFIN, IA  52340

FREDERICK B & MARILYN CHARBON
40 E CHESTNUT ST
NORTH LIBERTY , IA  52317
GARY L & CATHRYN L KEE
PO BOX 176
TIFFIN, IA  52340

RICHARD J & BRENDA L REEVE
3259 JASPER AVE NW
IOWA CITY, IA  52240-9730

DAWN M (MILLER) NIGHTINGALE
3265 JASPER AVE NW
IOWA CITY, IA  52240

MERRILL SMALLEY
2959 270TH ST NE
IOWA CITY, IA  52240

WILLIAM A & MONIKA A DEATSCH
2757 KANSAS AVE NE
IOWA CITY, IA  52240

CEDAR HOLDINGS LLC
PO BOX 17
NORTH LIBERTY, IA  52317-0017

AL STREB
1700 COUNTRY CLUB RD
CORALVILLE, IA  52241

JAMES BOWMAN
2775 JASPER AVE NW
IOWA CITY, IA  52240

MARK VAN GUNDY
2922 JASPER AVE NW
IOWA CITY, IA  52240

CAREW FAMILY LLC
3921 2ND ST
IOWA CITY, IA  52241

MELVIN R REEVE
2852 HWY 6 NW
IOWA CITY, IA  52240

LISA NOVAK
1869 250TH ST NW
OXFORD, IA  52322

WAYNE D & DENISE M GRELL
1075 W FOREVERGREEN RD
NORTH LIBERTY, IA  52317

HAWKEYE FOODS DIST INC
% STEVE CONNER
PO BOX 1820
IOWA CITY, IA  52244-1820
MAGGIE GROSVENOR MOWERY  
INTERIM PRESIDENT AREA CHAMBER  
325 EAST WASHINGTON  
IOWA CITY, IA  52244

GLENN POTTER, MAYOR  
CITY OF TIFFIN  
211 MAIN ST  
TIFFIN, IA  52340

MARGARET REIHMAN  
CITY CLERK  
211 MAIN ST  
TIFFIN, IA  52340

GARY KEE  
FIRE CHIEF  
211 MAIN ST  
TIFFIN, IA  52340

BRETT MEHMEN  
PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR  
211 MAIN ST  
TIFFIN, IA  52340

STEVE SPENLER  
DIRECTOR JOHNSON CO. AMBULANCE  
808 SOUTH DUBUQUE ST  
IOWA CITY, IA  52240

HARRY GRAVES  
DIRECTOR JOHNSON CO. CONSERVATION  
2048 HIGHWAY 6  
OXFORD, IA  52322

LONNY PULKRABEK  
COUNTY SHERIFF  
511 SOUTH CAPITOL  
IOWA CITY, IA  52240

RICK DVORAK, ADMINISTRATOR  
JOHNSON CO. PLANNING & ZONING  
913 SOUTH DUBUQUE ST  
IOWA CITY, IA  52240

JEFF DAVIDSON, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
JOHNSON CO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS  
410 EAST WASHINGTON  
IOWA CITY, IA  52240

TRIBAL CHAIRPERSON  
IOWA TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA  
R1, BOX 721  
PERKINS, OK  74059

ROBERT HYATT, CULTURAL COORDINATOR  
IOWA TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA  
R1, BOX 721  
PERKINS, OK  74059

TRIBAL CHAIRPERSON  
IOWA TRIBE OF KANSAS & NEBRASKA EX COMM  
3345 THRASHER RD #B  
WHITE CLOUD, KS  66094

JOANN COMER  
IOWA TRIBE OF KANSAS & NEBRASKA  
3345 THRASHER RD #B  
WHITE CLOUD, KS  66094-4028
COMMENTS RECORDED BY STAFF
AT THE
MARCH 28, 2006
PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
Money – Where is the money coming from?  
Is there any ROW required?

Staff Person: Newman Abuissa  
Citizen: ?

The City of Coralville has annexed the city-owned parcel on the SE quadrant of the interchange.

The property owner west of I-380 seems to be okay with the project. He owns a big parcel north and east of Tiffin.

Staff Person: Tammy Nicholson  
Citizen: Pat Rogers

- SW quadrant – home owner (2nd house from I-380) (next to home with long driveway)
- Sees many accidents – everyday
- Water overtops I-80. Thinks Lowe’s fill will contribute to high water problems.

Citizen: Dan Holderness  
City of Coralville

- Asked for PowerPoint of all PIM slides
- Jeff will send PIM (current) PowerPoint.
- Adult softball fields in SE quadrant– construction April 1, 2006

Citizen: Tiffin City Council

- Weaving is a problem
  SB ? EB
- Questions about crash rates.

Citizen: Charlie Gay

- Former owner of SE quadrant (barns)
- Lots of truck overturning in SE quadrant

Staff Person: Jeff Frantz  
Citizen: Property on west side of 380, South of Evergreen

Questioned width of study areas; noted that it is a bad interchange (the system interchange)
Citizen:  ?

Concern from property owner on Kansas Avenue regarding timeline, land acquisition; whether they would be directly impacted.

---

**Staff Person:** Lee Benfield

**Citizen:** Glen Potter and Margaret Reiman, Council members in Tiffin

*Extension of Ireland Avenue*

Just interested in the project.

**Citizen:** Southgate Development

**Citizen:** Randy Browerman

Interested in a variety of issues related to project. Most of discussion was about U.S. 6 interchange issue.
COMMENTS RECEIVED
PRIOR TO THE
MARCH 28, 2006
PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
James Rost
Director
Office of Location and Environment
Iowa Department of Transportation
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, Iowa 50010

Dear Mr. Rost,

Thank you for contacting the Iowa City Area Chamber of Commerce regarding the I-80/I-380 System Interchange Improvement Project. We recognize that safety at this interchange is an issue that needs to be addressed.

If further investigation finds improvements are necessary and feasible, the Iowa City Area Chamber of Commerce will be supportive of the project. Please let me know if there is anything the Area Chamber can do to assist you in gaining federal funding.

Sincerely,

James C. Griffin Jr.
President
Iowa City Area Chamber of Commerce
March 28, 2005

Mr. James R. Schnoebelen, P.E.
Iowa Department of Transportation
P.O. Box 3150
Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406-3150

Dear Mr. Schnoebelen,

Hawkeye Foodservice Distribution, Inc. is located on Highway 6 in Coralville and is included in the study of the I-80/US 218/IA 27 interchange in Johnson County. I appreciate the letter that you sent out on March 18, and will certainly cooperate with DOT personnel and/or other consultants contracted by the DOT.

We have been in the same location on Highway 6 since 1964. In 2001, we purchased the FS Feeds building, now 3800 2nd Street in Coralville. The amount of traffic growth we have witnessed over the years is significant. The growth in traffic since the opening of the Coral Ridge Mall has been staggering.

Highway 6, from the I-380 overpass to the west Lowe’s entrance surely requires capacity improvements to improve flow and safety. We are big proponents of this. We are also big supporters of direct on/off access to I-380 from Highway 6. Our trucks and employees would then be able to avoid going through the town of Tiffin or through the congested Coral Ridge Mall accesses to the interstate system.

Thank you for your consideration. Please let me know if there are additional audiences that are interested in learning what landowners within the study area are thinking.

Sincerely,

Jeff A. Braverman
President

cc: Richard Kautz, District 6 Office, Iowa DOT
    Catherine Cutler, District 6 Office, Iowa DOT
    Mitch Dillavou, Engineering Building, Iowa DOT
    Jim Rost, Office of Location and Environment, Iowa DOT
    Roger Larsen, Office of Location and Environment, Iowa DOT
COMMENTS RECEIVED

AT THE

MARCH 28, 2006

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
I think converting from a loop to ramp system would definitely be cost-effective.

The intersection is extremely hazardous.

By calculating costs of crashes, we would pay for itself rapidly.

Thanks for doing it.

I (do □ do not □) desire a response.

Please return comments by April 10, 2006.
CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED

AFTER THE

MARCH 28, 2006

PUBLIC MEETING
We have a business in Jefferson and it would be much more convenient for our clients coming from the north to have access to Highway 60 west rather than another exit into the North Liberty Area.

The number of businesses located in the Hurley area between 965 and the western edge of Jefferson has grown tremendously in the last three years. Although the access to these businesses is very limited I-380 to I-80 west, exit the North Liberty exit to 965 south then highway west, the convenience is missing for the Citizens of Jefferson and the businesses established in this area. It would also benefit the traffic covering the UI of IA athletic section.

Please PRINT

Name: Hart-Frederich Consultants
Address: 510 F. State Street
          P.O. Box 560
          Jefferson, IA 52340-0560
Phone: 319-545-7215

I (do X do not ) desire a response.

Please return comments by April 10, 2006.
May 17, 2006

Ms. Karen Dils
Hart-Frederick Consultants
510 East State Street
P.O. Box 560
Tiffin, IA 52340

Dear Ms. Dils:

The Iowa Department of Transportation (Iowa DOT) would like to thank you for attending the March 28, 2006 Public Information Meeting in Iowa City. Your input regarding potential improvements to the I-80/I-380/U.S. 218 Systems Interchange is greatly appreciated.

I understand from your comments that you would like to see additional access to I-380 north of the systems interchange. The Iowa DOT believes the next feasible location for access to I-380 is at Forevergreen Road. A location closer than Forevergreen Road is not feasible because it would result in:

1. A short weaving section that would pose operational and safety concerns;
2. undesirable traffic operations - poor level of service;
3. undesirable interchange spacing (does not meet design guidelines for spacing);
4. inadequate space for a standard interchange configuration at U.S. 6.

New interchanges are subject to the approval of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Iowa DOT is obligated to follow FHWA policies and federal code. One aspect of FHWA policy is that local roads and streets shall be improved to provide necessary access prior to adding an interchange on the interstate. If you have concerns about regional/local access, we recommend you work with local officials and the Metropolitan Planning Organization to improve the local/regional system. One potential improvement for access to the north noted by some at the Public Information Meeting was the improvement/extension of Jasper Avenue and/or Kansas Avenue.

Again, thank you for your comments. If you have additional comments, I can be contacted at the address, phone, or e-mail listed above.

Sincerely,

James R. Schnoebelen, P.E.
Assistant District 6 Engineer

JRS/clc
cc: Richard E. Kautz, P.E., District Engineer, Iowa DOT, Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-3150
Roger Larsen, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
Gary Hood, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
Jim Olson, Right of Way, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
There is a need for a stop sign on Roberts Ferry Road at the corner of Gold Finch Drive in Tiffin. Not only would it help to avoid an accident, but it would control the speed of traffic. This area is getting very populated with children and pets. Let's try to avoid an accident of any kind. You are welcome to talk to anyone in our neighborhood about the need.

I (do [X] do not [ ] ) desire a response.

Please return comments by April 10, 2006.

Name: Brian McCubbin
Address: 303 W. Godd Finch Dr
Tiffin, Iowa
Phone: 319-545-1636
May 17, 2006

Mr. Brian McCubbin
303 W. Goldfinch Drive
Tiffin, IA 52340

Dear Mr. McCubbin:

The Iowa Department of Transportation would like to thank you for attending the March 28, 2006 Public Information Meeting in Iowa City.

I understand that your main concern is that you feel there is a need for a stop sign on Roberts Ferry Road at the corner of Goldfinch Drive in Tiffin. This intersection is part of the municipal street system of the City of Tiffin. Therefore, the Iowa Department of Transportation does not have jurisdiction over that intersection. We will refer your comment to the City of Tiffin by copy of this letter for local review and also suggest that you contact the City of Tiffin.

Again, thank you for your comments. If you have additional comments, I can be contacted at the address, phone, or e-mail listed above.

Sincerely,

James R. Schnoebelen, P.E.
Assistant District 6 Engineer

JRS/clc

cc: Richard E. Kautz, P.E., District Engineer, Iowa DOT, Cedar Rapids, IA  52406-3150
Roger Larsen, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA  50010
Gary Hood, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA  50010
Jim Olson, Right of Way, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA  50010
The number one priority should make this very dangerous intersection as safe as it can possibly be. Your chart graphically illustrates how many travelers have been harmed by this interchange. Until it is improved to the safest standards possible it should be marked with many signs alerting drivers to take every caution and point out its dangers.

I (do □ do not □) desire a response.

Please return comments by April 10, 2006.

Name: CATHARINE JOHNSON
Address: 242 FORSON AVE.
         IOWA CITY, IOWA  52246
Phone: 337-5989
May 17, 2006

Ms. Catherine Johnson
242 Ferson Avenue
Iowa City, IA 52246

Dear Ms. Johnson:

The Iowa Department of Transportation (IA DOT) would like to thank you for attending the March 28, 2006 Public Information Meeting in Iowa City. Your input regarding potential improvements to the I-80/I-380/U.S. 218 Systems Interchange is greatly appreciated.

I understand from your comments that you would like to see safety improvements made to the Systems Interchange. Crash records from 1999 to 2003 indicate the need to make improvements to the existing interchange. Our studies also indicate that modifications to the interchange will be necessary to address operational and safety concerns due to future increases in traffic volume. Traffic forecasts for the year 2030 indicate that traffic will operate very poorly without improvements to the interchange.

You recommend that warning signs be placed near the Systems Interchange. We agree and currently the interchange is marked with signs advising motorists of several aspects of the interchange to pay attention to – speed, curve, merging traffic, etc.

Again, thank you for your comments. If you have additional comments, I can be contacted at the address, phone or e-mail listed above.

Sincerely,

James R. Schnoebelen, P.E.
Assistant District 6 Engineer

JRS/clc

c: Richard E. Kautz, P.E., District Engineer, Iowa DOT, Cedar Rapids, IA  52406-3150
Roger Larsen, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA  50010
Gary Hood, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA  50010
Jim Olson, Right of Way, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA  50010
April 4, 2006

Public Comment Department
Office of Location and Environment
Iowa Department of Transportation
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, Iowa 50010-9902

Regarding: Changes at I380/I80 Interchange

Sirs:

I recently read where you were in Iowa City discussing the above mentioned interchange which has been a problem for many years. I am enclosing a rough copy of my suggestion. It is patterned after Texas highways which are very easy to travel even for an older person who likes two lane roads. (myself)

The main points are that the lanes be well marked many miles ahead. The ramps that are needed need to be more sweeping.

Gradual lanes on and off on feeder roads. From Tiffin a road coming off for North 380 and Coral Ridge and S 380. There would need to be at least two lanes at first. These roads would come to a stop under 380 and then proceed to where they want to go. Left turn to North 380 then gradual feeder road north and catch 380 maybe as far north as North Liberty exchange. Joining that road would be a lane for North Liberty from I 80 eastbound and North Liberty Lane.

From the south Starting at Highway 1 or Melrose a feeder road for Coral Ridge and East 80. Probably two lanes. Work with the county and the road that cuts off of Melrose and heads to Coral Ridge. Melrose traffic going to Coral Ridge does not need to get on 380. The feeder road on the west side would help the Melrose and West High traffic. They would not have to be on 380.

Traffic on I 80 wanting to go north 380 would have a lane coming off that stops under I 80 and turns left then gradually connects with I380 many miles down the road.

From the north 380 there would be feeder roads off 380 near North Liberty that are for Coral Ridge and East 80. They would stop under I80 and turn left at stoplights and proceed east into the proper lanes. East bound I 80 lanes could have feeder roads all across until they are past Highway 1 on the east side. They keep local traffic off I 80. The same thing on the westbound I 80 and the north side of it. It could extend all the way through Iowa City.
This plan would use the medians which would mean almost total reconstruction. That way the businesses along the highways would not have to be purchased. Use the property already owned by the State. There does not have to be medians. I realize the semis would not like to come to a stop under I 80 and make left turns however they would not be upsetting and would be slowed down. At 965 anyone wanting to go west has to stop and make a left turn into the Superwalmart and shops and etc. Study my crude drawing and you will find it will work. It will also prevent accidents that are on the current ramps. It could be worked out but I am sure at great expense. The feeder roads are long and go for miles. I would be happy to talk to anyone about this plan. You may call me at 319-657-2701. The concept is simple but very difficult to explain.

Sincerely,

Karen McCreedy

Karen McCreedy
1975 Vine Avenue
Ainsworth, Iowa 52201-9224
May 17, 2006

Ms. Karen McCreedy
1975 Vine Avenue
Ainsworth, IA 52201-9224

Dear Ms. McCreedy:

The Iowa Department of Transportation (Iowa DOT) would like to thank you for attending the March 28, 2006 Public Information Meeting in Iowa City. Your input regarding potential improvements to the I-80/I-380/U.S. 218 Systems Interchange is greatly appreciated.

The Iowa DOT will do everything that it reasonably can to make this interchange as safe as possible while minimizing impacts to the adjacent properties.

Again, thank you for your comments. If you have additional comments, I can be contacted at the address, phone or e-mail listed above,

Sincerely,

James R. Schnoebelen, P.E.
Assistant District 6 Engineer

JRS/clc

c: Richard E. Kautz, P.E., District Engineer, Iowa DOT, Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-3150
Roger Larsen, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
Gary Hood, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
Jim Olson, Right of Way, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
1. The speed limit between Coralville and Tiffin is 55 mph, but the speed limit signs are missing. Please replace signs on both east and west bounds.

2. I believe an Overpass Extension/overpass is better than one at Forevergreen Rd.

I (do □ do not □) desire a response.

Please return comments by April 10, 2006.

Name: Thomas Brofield
Address: 532 North Iris Ave., Tiffin, IA 52340
Phone:
May 18, 2006

Mr. Thomas Bradfield
532 N. Iris Avenue
Tiffin, IA 52340

Dear Mr. Bradfield:

The Iowa Department of Transportation (Iowa DOT) would like to thank you for attending the March 28, 2006 Public Information Meeting in Iowa City. Your input regarding potential improvements to the I-80/I-380/U.S. 218 Systems Interchange is greatly appreciated.

I understand from your comments that you would like to see additional access to I-380 north of the systems interchange. The Iowa DOT believes the next feasible location for access to I-380 is at Forevergreen Road. A location closer than Forevergreen Road is not feasible because it would result in:

1. A short weaving section that would pose operational and safety concerns;
2. undesirable traffic operations - poor level of service;
3. undesirable interchange spacing (does not meet design guidelines for spacing);
4. inadequate space for a standard interchange configuration at U.S. 6.

A new interchange would require an additional study for review of operational and safety benefits. Typically, those studies are initiated by requests from the local jurisdictions.

You also noted that the speed limit between Coralville and Tiffin is 55 MPH but that the speed limits signs are missing. The area has been reviewed by our traffic technician. He noted there is currently a sign for eastbound traffic (leaving Tiffin) and one for westbound traffic (leaving Coralville). As the distance between the two cities is less than 2.5 miles and there are no major intersecting county roads, we believe the 55 MPH signs already posted to be sufficient.

Again, thank you for your comments. If you have additional comments, I can be contacted at the address, phone, or e-mail listed above.

Sincerely,

James R. Schnoebelen, P.E.
Assistant District 6 Engineer

Jim Olson, Right of Way, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
Roger Larsen, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
Gary Hood, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
Richard E. Kautz, P.E., District Engineer, Iowa DOT, Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-3150

430 Sixteenth Avenue SW
319-364-0235
FAX: 319-364-9614
Internet: jim.schnoebelen@dot.iowa.gov
Citizen Comments
JOHNSON COUNTY
IMN-80-6(235)239—0E-52

4/18/06

To whom it may concern,

Thank you very much for asking for citizen input on transportation issues. We have several ideas that we think would enhance the Tiffin, Iowa area:

* Build an off ramp from I-380 to HWY 6. It would probably relieve traffic congestion at the minimal intersections currently in place.

* Slow the speed limit down prior to Stephens St. in Tiffin to be 35 mph. Traffic should not be going 45 + with all of the houses and streets on that end of town.

* Put in a stoplight at HWY 6 and Stephens St.

I (do □ do not □) desire a response.

Please return comments by April 10, 2006.

PLEASE PRINT

Name: John Cress (319) 331-2401
Address: 4506 Dryden Ct., Iowa City, IA 52245
Co-owner of Suburban bp Amoco in Tiffin at 403 N. Stephens St., Tiffin, IA 52340
Phone:  
May 18, 2006

Ref: IMN-80-6(235)—0E-52
Johnson County
I-80/I-380/U.S. 218
Systems Interchange

Mr. John Cress
4506 Dryden Ct
Iowa City, IA 52245

Dear Mr. Cress:

The Iowa Department of Transportation (Iowa DOT) would like to thank you for attending the March 28, 2006 Public Information Meeting in Iowa City. Your input regarding potential improvements to the I-80/I-380/U.S. 218 Systems Interchange is greatly appreciated.

I understand from your comments that you would like to see additional access to I-380 north of the systems interchange. The Iowa DOT believes the next feasible location for access to I-380 is at Forevergreen Road. A location closer than Forevergreen Road is not feasible because it would result in:

1. A short weaving section that would pose operational and safety concerns;
2. undesirable traffic operations - poor level of service;
3. undesirable interchange spacing (does not meet design guidelines for spacing);
4. inadequate space for a standard interchange configuration at U.S. 6.

You also expressed interest in reducing the speed limit on U.S. 6 from 45 mph to 35 mph on the east side of Tiffin. The Iowa DOT has performed a recent speed study along U.S. 6 in this area and the result of the study was to not lower the present speed limit. Speed studies are based in part on the comfortable speed that the majority of motorists are traveling. To set a speed artificially low with little to no enforcement effort by the city will not have a significant impact on reducing speeds. You also suggest installing a stoplight at the intersection of U.S. 6 and Stephans Street. Traffic signals on state highways are owned and maintained by the city in which they are located so this is an issue you need to discuss with Tiffin city officials. However, certain national recognized “warrants” must be met for the Iowa DOT to allow the installation of traffic signals.

Again, thank you for your comments. If you have additional comments, I can be contacted at the address, phone, or e-mail listed above.

Sincerely,

James R. Schnoebelen, P.E.
Assistant District Engineer

JRS/clc

cc: Richard E. Kautz, P.E., District Engineer, Iowa DOT, Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-3150
Roger Larsen, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
Gary Hood, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
Jim Olson, Right of Way, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
I would support any off/on ramps being built to access Hwy 6 and I-380. This is much needed for various reasons. There is too much truck traffic through Tiffin to business on Hwy 6. There is too much congestion at I-80/I-380 interchange. I travel a lot to CR 91 taking an indirect route through the 380/90 interchange has been very dangerous for many years. I have personally had numerous near misses for accidents. I think the DOT should do all that is necessary to lay out existing land to put an on/off interchange at I-380 and Hwy 6. Thanks.
May 18, 2006

Mr. James Ebel
191 Stephans Street
Tiffin, IA 52340

Dear Mr. Ebel:

The Iowa Department of Transportation (Iowa DOT) would like to thank you for attending the March 28, 2006 Public Information Meeting in Iowa City. Your input regarding potential improvements to the I-80/I-380/U.S. 218 Systems Interchange is greatly appreciated.

I understand from your comments that you would like to see additional access to I-380 north of the systems interchange. The Iowa DOT believes the next feasible location for access to I-380 is at Forevergreen Road. A location closer than Forevergreen Road is not feasible because it would result in:

1. A short weaving section that would pose operational and safety concerns;
2. undesirable traffic operations - poor level of service;
3. undesirable interchange spacing (does not meet design guidelines for spacing);
4. inadequate space for a standard interchange configuration at U.S. 6.

A new interchange would require an additional study for review of operational and safety benefits. Typically, those studies are initiated by requests from the local jurisdictions.

Again, thank you for your comments. If you have additional comments, I can be contacted at the address, phone or e-mail listed above,

Sincerely,

James R. Schnoebelen, P.E.
Assistant District 6 Engineer

JRS/cle
cc: Richard E. Kautz, P.E., District Engineer, Iowa DOT, Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-3150
   Roger Larsen, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
   Gary Hood, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
   Jim Olson, Right of Way, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
Top of the list:

Improvements for safety, the 180-380 milepost.

As a local resident using this area, I find it regular as it is too dangerous.

More access to 1380 south of 180 such as Orchard Bank or Fricanos Point. The population of these areas need more access to distribute traffic.

I (do [x] do not [ ] ) desire a response.

Please return comments by April 10, 2006.

Name: LAURENE KINCADE
Address: 555 Kimberlite St
Tiffin IA 52340
Phone: (319) 545-9111
Ms. Laurene Kincade  
555 Kimberlite Street  
Tiffin, IA 52340

Dear Ms. Kincade:

The Iowa Department of Transportation (Iowa DOT) would like to thank you for attending the March 28, 2006 Public Information Meeting in Iowa City. Your input regarding potential improvements to the I-80/I-380/U.S. 218 Systems Interchange is greatly appreciated.

I understand from your comments that you would like to see safety improvements made to the Systems Interchange. Crash records from 1999 to 2003 indicate the need to make improvements to the existing interchange. Our studies also indicate that modifications to the interchange will be necessary to address operational and safety concerns due to future increases in traffic volume. Traffic forecasts for the year 2030 indicate that traffic will operate very poorly without improvements to the interchange.

You also expressed interest in another access to I-380 north of the systems interchange. The Iowa DOT believes the next feasible location for access to I-380 is at Forevergreen Road. A location closer than Forevergreen Road is not feasible because it would result in:
1. A short weaving section that would pose operational and safety concerns;
2. undesirable traffic operations - poor level of service;
3. undesirable interchange spacing (does not meet design guidelines for spacing);
4. inadequate space for a standard interchange configuration at U.S. 6.

A new interchange would require an additional study for review of operational and safety benefits. Typically, those studies are initiated by requests from the local jurisdictions.

Again, thank you for your comments. If you have additional comments, I can be contacted at the address, phone or e-mail listed above.

Sincerely,

James R. Schnoebelen, P.E.  
Assistant District Engineer

JRS/clc
cc:  Richard E. Kautz, P.E., District Engineer, Iowa DOT, Cedar Rapids, IA  52406-3150  
Roger Larsen, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA  50010  
Gary Hood, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA  50010  
Jim Olson, Right of Way, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA  50010
attached please find a response letter to Mrs. Mehroff. She called requesting information about the study and impacts to her property (listed below)

Parcel 0635352001 Mehrhoff, Marinea A and Scott
            3390 Kansas Ave SW        Oxford          52322
March 31, 2006

Mrs. Marinea Mehroff
3390 Kansas Avenue SW
Oxford, IA  52322

Dear Mrs. Mehroff,

Enclosed please find three sets of information from the March 28, 2006 public meeting concerning a study for proposed improvements to the I-80/I-380 interchange. Please share the information with your neighbors as you see fit. If you need additional copies, please let me know.

The Iowa DOT is studying the interstate interchange due to increasing traffic and the desire to improve the interchange configuration. The design work we have done so far directs us to look at elimination of all four loop ramps and replacing them with directional ramps. This project is in the environmental study phase and currently has no budget to proceed beyond the study phase.

I asked our design engineer to look at potential impacts to your property and he provided the following information: Assuming the limits extend to the north to around the location of the side road curve, it is likely that impacts would be to a northern piece of the property. Also, due to the lengthening and reconstruction of the eastbound to southbound ramp, some strip acquisition along the eastern property line may also be required. At this point it does not appear that taking the house would be required but we have not fully studied the design of the interchange. There could also be an indirect impact should Kansas Avenue be closed off in the area if it is impacted by the interchange.

I would caution you that the project design is not at all final and subject to change as further engineering refinements are done. As I mentioned on the phone, much more design work is needed to fully answer property impacts for land owners. If you would like to speak to someone from our office of right-of-way acquisition, I can send you a name and number.

If you need additional information or any clarification of the above information, please contact me at the address, telephone number or the e-mail address above.

Very truly yours,

Catherine Cutler
Field Services Coordinator
The City of Tiffin will serve as the primary detour route for the inevitable accidents that will occur thru this upgrading project on the I 80 /I 380 intersection.

The current route is unacceptable, the City desires to complete a straight thru for Ireland Avenue prior to construction start on the interstate.

The current $80,000 Road Use is insufficient to provide the needed detour route.

The detour should be completed prior to work start.

I (do □  do not □ ) desire a response.

Please return comments by April 10, 2006.
May 17, 2006

Margaret Reihman, City Clerk
211 Main St
P.O. Box 259
Tiffin, IA 52340

Dear Ms. Reihman:

The Iowa Department of Transportation (Iowa DOT) would like to thank you for attending the March 28, 2006 Public Information Meeting in Iowa City. Your input regarding potential improvements to the I-80/I-380/U.S. 218 Systems Interchange is greatly appreciated.

I understand from your comments that you would like to see additional access to I-380 north of the systems interchange. The Iowa DOT believes the next feasible location for access to I-380 is at Forevergreen Road. A location closer than Forevergreen Road is not feasible because it would result in:

1. A short weaving section that would pose operational and safety concerns;
2. undesirable traffic operations - poor level of service;
3. undesirable interchange spacing (does not meet design guidelines for spacing);
4. inadequate space for a standard interchange configuration at U.S. 6.

A new interchange would require an additional study for review of operational and safety benefits. Typically those studies are initiated by requests from the local jurisdictions.

The Iowa DOT fully supports alternative transportation systems such as the trains and trolleys you mention. We recommend you continue to work with JCCOG to develop a local and regional approach towards transportation and tourism issues. However, as mentioned above and described in more detail in the Interstate Justification Report documents, the Iowa DOT does not consider an interchange at U.S. 6/I-380 as providing a net benefit to the interstate system.

Again, thank you for your comments. If you have additional comments, I can be contacted at the address, phone, or e-mail listed above.

Sincerely,

James R. Schnoebelen, P.E.
Assistant District 6 Engineer

JRS/clc

cc: Richard E. Kautz, P.E., District Engineer, Iowa DOT, Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-3150
Roger Larsen, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
Gary Hood, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
Jim Olson, Right of Way, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
The current consideration of only one exit between the "mastermixer" and North Liberty exit neglects a concern for:

1. The rapid local growth that appears to continue far into the future.
3. Tourism-economic development of connecting IC/Coralville to the Amara Colonies along Hwy 6.
4. Homeland security planning for movement of large numbers of people and cars out of the Coral Ridge mall in the time of an emergency.

A maximum number of exits should be considered for the purpose of public safety, and economic growth.

PLease Print

Name: __________________________
Address: _______________________
Phone: _________________________

[Signature]

I (do [ ] do not [ ] ) desire a response.

Please return comments by April 10, 2006.
South 1/2 flattened
If the trolley/train tourism effort
proposed for study by Linn and
Johnson Counties is going to work
-it would be necessary to make
Highway 6 accessible from I-380.
Exit onto I-380 makes the tourism
triangle more accessible to people
coming into the state.
Highway 6 should be the scenic
route to Anamosa Colonies.

I (do □ do not □) desire a response.
Please return comments by April 10, 2006.

Name:
Address:
Phone:
May 17, 2006

Margaret Reihman, City Clerk
211 Main Street
P.O. Box 259
Tiffin, IA 52340

Dear Ms. Reihman:

The Iowa Department of Transportation (Iowa DOT) would like to thank you for attending the March 28, 2006 Public Information Meeting in Iowa City. Your input regarding potential improvements to the I-80/I-380/U.S. 218 Systems Interchange is greatly appreciated.

I understand from your comments that you are concerned that the City of Tiffin would serve as the primary emergency detour route while improvements are constructed. The Iowa DOT does not yet know what, if any, detours will be needed. However, the traditional detour route for I-380 and I-80 is on the state system to the U.S. 218/Melrose Avenue interchange.

You also mention that the City of Tiffin wants Ireland Avenue to be a straight-through road prior to the start of construction for the systems interchange project. Improvements to Ireland Avenue are the responsibility of the county and/or municipality because this is a local road. Please feel free to continue to use our Local Systems Office for support in obtaining grants and other funding. The amount of Road Use funding you receive is a legislative matter. However, if there is data the city needs in order to provide information to decision makers, please let me know.

Again, thank you for your comments. If you have additional comments, I can be contacted at the address, phone, or e-mail listed above.

Sincerely,

James R. Schnoebelen, P.E.
Assistant District 6 Engineer

cc: Richard E. Kautz, P.E., District Engineer, Iowa DOT, Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-3150
    Roger Larsen, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
    Gary Hood, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
    Jim Olson, Right of Way, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
I (do [ ] do not [ ] ) desire a response.

Please return comments by April 10, 2006.

Iowa Department of Transportation
HIGHWAY DIVISION, OFFICE OF LOCATION AND ENVIRONMENT, 515-239-1225

Citizen Comments
JOHNSON COUNTY
IMN-80-6(235)239—0E-52

Leave as is -

[Handwritten Comments]

Please PRINT

Name: Alberta Williams
Address: Box 199
Jefferson, Iowa 52340
Phone: 319-545-2885
May 17, 2006

Ms. Alverta Williams
Box 198
Tiffin, IA 52340

Dear Ms. Williams:

The Iowa Department of Transportation (Iowa DOT) would like to thank you for attending the March 28, 2006 Public Information Meeting in Iowa City. Your input regarding potential improvements to the I-80/I-380/U.S. 218 Systems Interchange is greatly appreciated.

I understand from your comments that you would prefer to leave the interchange unchanged. Iowa DOT studies indicate that modifications to the interchange will be necessary to address operational and safety concerns due to future increases in traffic volume. Traffic forecasts for the year 2030 indicate that traffic will operate very poorly without improvements to the interchange. Traffic volumes on I-80 currently range from 34,100 to 49,800 vehicles per day (VPD) and are predicted to grow to a range of 90,100 to 100,300 VPD. Traffic volumes on U.S. 218/I-380 currently range from 20,400 to 32,700 VPD and are predicted to grow to a range of 67,900 to 81,900 VPD. Also, crash records from 1999 to 2003 indicate the need to make improvements to the existing interchange. A total of 406 crashes were reported within the study corridor during this five year period. For these reasons, the Iowa DOT continues to recommend that improvements be made to the interchange.

If you have additional comments, I can be contacted at the address, phone or e-mail listed above.

Sincerely,

James R. Schnoebelen, P.E.
Assistant District 6 Engineer

cc: Richard E. Kautz, P.E., District Engineer, Iowa DOT, Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-3150
Roger Larsen, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
Gary Hood, Office of Location & Environment, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010
Jim Olson, Right of Way, Iowa DOT, Ames, IA 50010