Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

1					
Department	Department of	Date:	12/23/2024	Total Rule	19
Name:	Transportation			Count:	
	761	Chapter/	500	Iowa Code	326.33
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact	Debbie	Email:	Deborah.Freeman@iowadot.us	Phone:	515-237-
Name:	Freeman				3104

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The intended benefit of this chapter is to is to comply with Iowa Code chapter 326 by outlining the process and procedures to obtain International Registration Plan (IRP) credentials, and all record keeping requirements. Iowa is a member jurisdiction of the International Registration Plan, and the apportionable registration is required for motor carriers that operates in interstate commerce.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. In calendar year 2023, the Department issued approximately 66,218 apportionable registrations and collected approximately \$107.3 million in statutory registration fees.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

Any costs to the public related to applying for IRP apportionable registration is because of the underlying statute, which requires the Department to have a process in place to issue apportionable registration to qualified applicants.

Iowa Code section 326.6 prescribes how the Department determines the amount to charge for apportionable registration fees.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

There are no costs to the Department to implement the rules beyond those that would otherwise be required to administer the statute.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. The rules establish the eligibility requirements, application process and guidelines for apportionable registration. This helps ensure only eligible entities are issued such registration and that the expectations for operating in interstate commerce are clear.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? \Box YES \boxtimes NO If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

There is no less restrictive alternative available for apportionable registration other than establishing the basic eligibility criteria, application process and registration guidelines in rule, which helps ensure the process is clear for applicants and is consistently applied.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

500.2 General information. This rule was amended to remove unnecessarily restrictive terminology and unnecessary wording.

500.3 Waiver of rules. This rule was amended to remove unnecessarily restrictive terminology.

500.4 Renewal for IRP registration. This rule was revised to improve the rule's organization and to remove unnecessarily restrictive terms and redundant content.

500.5 Deadline for placing vehicle in storage. This rule was amended to remove unnecessarily restrictive terminology.

500.6 Payment, delinquency, and suspension. This rule was revised to remove unnecessarily restrictive terminology.

500.7 Self-Certification of IRP registration plate and validation sticker destruction. This rule was revised to remove unnecessarily restrictive terminology.

500.8 IRP credentials. This rule was revised to remove unnecessarily restrictive terminology.

500.9 Nonrenewal vehicle additions. This rule was revised to remove unnecessarily restrictive terms.

500.10 Nonrenewal vehicle deletions. This rule was revised to remove unnecessarily restrictive terms and redundant content.

500.12 Registration credit. This rule was revised to improve the rule's organization and to remove unnecessarily restrictive terms and content that is redundant.

500.13 Penalty for late filing of vehicle schedule. This rule was revised to remove unnecessarily restrictive terms.

500.22 Registration of vehicles with non-lowa titles. This rule was revised to improve the rule's organization and to remove unnecessarily restrictive terms.

500.23 Record retention. The rule was revised to improve the rule's organization, remove unnecessarily restrictive terms and unnecessary content.

500.24 Trip permits. The rule was revised to remove outdated language and unnecessarily restrictive terms.

500.25 Electronic information The rule was revised to remove unnecessarily restrictive terms.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

None

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):				
500.1				
500.2				
500.3				
500.4				
500.5				
500.6				
500.7				
500.8				
500.9				
500.10				
500.11				
500.12				
500.13				
500.14				
500.15				
500.16				
500.17				
500.18				
500.19				
*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.				

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	0
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	66
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	36

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?