Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department	Department of	Date:	9/3/2024	Total Rule	2
•	•	Date.	5/5/2024		5
Name:	Transportation			Count:	
	761	Chapter/	600	Iowa Code	307.12(1)"j";
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	321.445
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact Name:	Kathleen	Email:	Kathleen.Meradith-	Phone:	515-231-1230
	Meradith-Eyers		Eyers@iowadot.us		

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The intended benefit of this chapter was to provide two standardized definitions that apply to the rules in 761 Chapters 600 to 699 ("director of the motor vehicle division" and "license") and provide clarification on physical and medical seat belt exemptions as required by Iowa Code section 321.445.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes; the seat belt exemption forms are easily accessible and available to the public through the Motor Vehicle Division's website, as provided by the rule.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

The public does not incur costs to comply with the seat belt exemption requirements, which are attributable to the underlying statute.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

There are no costs to the Department to implement the rules beyond those that would otherwise be required to administer the statute.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

The public does not incur costs to comply with the seat belt exemption requirements, which are attributable to the underlying statute.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? \Box YES \boxtimes NO If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

There is no alternative to the rules for seat belt exemptions as the Department is required by Iowa Code to create a form and promulgate rules.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

600.1. Definitions. This rule is being rescinded as it is unnecessary. Rather, the Department will incorporate these two definitions into the applicable rule chapters as needed.

600.2. Information and location. This rule is being rescinded as it is duplicative of information already contained in chapters 600 through 699.

600.3. Seat belt exemptions. This rule is being moved from chapter 600 to chapter 450, Motor Vehicle Equipment. The language will not change, and the Department determined it is a better fit in chapter 450.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

600.1. Definitions.

600.2. Information and location.

600.3. Seat belt exemptions. (Moved to chapter 450)

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

None

*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

METRICS	
---------	--

Total number of rules repealed:	3
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	269
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	2

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No.