

## Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

<b>Department Name:</b>	Department of Transportation	<b>Date:</b>	12/12/2024	<b>Total Rule Count:</b>	4
<b>IAC #:</b>	761	<b>Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):</b>	630	<b>Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:</b>	321.190
<b>Contact Name:</b>	Kasey Lee	<b>Email:</b>	Kasey.Lee@iowadot.us	<b>Phone:</b>	515-231-7509

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

**What is the intended benefit of the rule?**

The intended benefit of this chapter is to is to comply with Iowa Code section 321.190 and the federal REAL ID Act, which require the Department to adopt rules to administer nonoperator identification cards and to administer REAL ID nonoperator’s identification cards in compliance with federal regulations.

**Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.**

Yes, there are 58,295 nonoperator identification card holders in Iowa as of December 2024.

**What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?**

Any costs to the public related to applying for a nonoperator identification card is because of the underlying statute. An applicant for a nonoperator identification card is subject to the same statutory fees.

Iowa Code section 321.190(1)“d” prescribes the applicable fee the Department must charge for nonoperator identification cards.

**What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?**

There are no costs to the Department to implement the rules beyond those that would otherwise be required to administer the statute.

**Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.**

Yes. The rules establish the eligibility criteria and application process for issuance of nonoperator identification cards. This helps ensure only eligible persons are issued a nonoperator identification card and that REAL ID nonoperator’s identification cards conform to federal requirements.

**Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit?  YES  NO**

**If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.**

There is no less restrictive alternative available for issuing nonoperator identification cards other than establishing the basic eligibility criteria, application process and guidelines in rule, which helps ensure the process is clear for applicants and is consistently applied.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

**630.1. General Information.** This rule removes an unnecessarily restrictive term.

**630.2. Application and Issuance.** This rule removes unnecessarily restrictive terms and content that is duplicative of statute and unnecessary.

**630.3. Duplicate card.** This rule removes unnecessarily restrictive terms and content that is duplicative of statute.

**630.4. Cancellation.** This rule is deleted due to being duplicative of statute.

**RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):**

630.4

**RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):**

630.1

630.2

630.3

***\*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

#### METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	1
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	109
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	25

**ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?**

No.